TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

MOTOR VEHICLE CRIME PREVENTION AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

Inn of the Hills Hotel & Conference Center 1001 Junction Highway Kerrville, Texas 78028

> 8:00 a.m. Thursday, June 15, 2023

BOARD MEMBERS:

Mike Rodriguez, Chair Charla Brotherton Julio C. Gonzalez Sharon Jones Rebecca Cantu Serrano P. Dean Smith Katherine "Kit" Whitehill

STAFF:

Joe Canady, Director
David Richards, General Counsel

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

I N D E X

AGEN.	<u>DA ITEM</u>	PAGE
1.	Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum	6
2.	Pledges of Allegiance - U.S. and Texas	6
3.	Chair's Reports A. Approval of Transcript as Minutes (April 19, 2023) (ACTION ITEM) B. Chair's Report	7
4.	MVCPA Director's Report (BRIEFING ONLY) A. Recognitions B. FY 2024 Budget C. FY 2024 FTEs	8
5.	Legislative Update (BRIEFING ONLY)	11
6.	MVCPA Grants Budget and Reporting (GBR) Committee Report (ACTION ITEM) A. FY 2024 Taskforce Grant Application Recommendation	18
7.	Unallowable Grant Expenditures (ACTION ITEM)	200
8.	Senate Bill 224 (BRIEFING AND ACTION ITEMS) A. MVCPA Fee Increase (BRIEFING ITEM) B. Develop and Implement a Plan to Coordinate Efforts with the Texas Department of Publi Safety, Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation, and the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles to Review Records of Person Regulated by Each Agency involving the Purchase, Acquisition, Sale, or Transfer o Catalytic Converters Removed from Motor Vehicles and Respond to Suspicious Activit That May be Detected through the analysis records. (ACTION ITEM) C. Establish a Task Force Composed of Persons Regulated by the Agencies involving the Purchase, Acquisition, Sale, or Transfer o Catalytic Converters Removed from Motor	s f ies of

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

(ACTION ITEM)

9.	FY 2024 Taskforce Grant Award and Allocations (ACTION ITEM)	219
	ED SESSION The Board may enter into closed session under	204
	one or more of the following provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Government Code Chapter 551: Section 551.071 Section 551.074 Section 551.076 Section 551.089	
11.	Action Items from Closed Session	204
12.	Public Comment	none
13.	Adjournment	223

PROCEEDINGS

(8:19 a.m.)

1.3

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. My name is Mike Rodriguez, and I am pleased to open the meeting of the Board of the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority.

It is 8:19 a.m. and I'm now calling the Board meeting for June 15, 2023, to order. I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting, containing all items on the agenda, was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on June 2, 2023.

Before we begin today's meeting, please place all cell phones and other communication devices in a silent mode. And please, as a courtesy to others, do not carry on side conversations or other activities in the meeting room.

I want to welcome those who are with us for today's Board meeting. If you wish to address the Board or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please complete a speaker's sheet at the registration table.

Please identify the specific items you are interested in commenting on, your name and address, and whether you are representing anyone or speaking for yourself. If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting.

In accordance with the department's administrative rule, comments to the Board will be limited to three minutes. To assist each speaker, a timer has been provided at the podium. The timer light will be green for the first two minutes, yellow for one minute, and then red when your time is up.

2.3

Individuals cannot accumulate time from another speaker. Comments should be pertinent to the issues stated on the comment sheet. When addressing the Board, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

There are a few things that will assist in making the meeting run smoother and assist the court reporter in getting an accurate record: please identify yourself before speaking, speak clearly and slowly and do not speak over others, and please ask the chairman for permission to speak and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

I would like to thank our court reporter who is transcribing this meeting.

Before we begin today, I'd like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct at our Board meeting. The Board chair has the authority to supervise the conduct of meetings. This includes the authority to determine when a speaker is being disruptive of the meeting or is otherwise violating the timing or

1	presentation rules I just discussed.
2	And now I'd like to have a roll call of each
3	Board member. Please respond verbally when I call your
4	name.
5	Member Jones?
6	MS. JONES: Present.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill?
8	MS. WHITEHILL: Present.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Smith?
10	MR. SMITH: Present.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez?
12	MR. GONZALEZ: Present.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Brotherton?
14	MS. BROTHERTON: Present.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Cantu Serrano?
16	MS. CANTU SERRANO: Present.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And let the record reflect that
18	I, Mike Rodriguez, am here too. We have a quorum.
19	Let's go to agenda item 2, Pledges of
20	Allegiance - U.S. and Texas. Please stand and honor our
21	country and state with the pledges of allegiance. Member
22	Dean Smith will now lead us in the U.S. Pledge.
23	(The Pledges of Allegiance - U.S. and Texas
24	were recited.)
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Smith, for

leading us in the U.S. Pledge and Texas Pledge.

2.3

Agenda item number 3, Chair's reports. Pretty much spoke a lot during our conference and we spoke a lot about the bills that came our way -- or actually that are coming our way. We spoke about the funding, we spoke about a lot of things that we're going to be discussing today, so I won't take too much time in trying to put out what we've said during this conference.

I do want to say thank you to everyone, again, for being here. And I'll make sure that once we leave today that everybody here has their answers and everybody here goes to their respective agency with the right answers to your chiefs or your sheriffs. So make sure that during this meeting where we discuss, to where if you do have a question and you might want to ask one of us later to please do so, and that way you can have the right response.

Members, we will now take up item 3.A.,

Approval of transcript from our April 19, 2023, meeting as
minutes.

Members, if everyone has reviewed the transcript, would anyone like to make a motion?

MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill. I move that the Board adopt the transcript as minutes from the February 22, 2023 meeting.

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Motion from Member Whitehill.
2	Is there a second?
3	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I second.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
5	Whitehill and a second from Member Brotherton. Is there
6	any other further discussion?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
9	for the vote. All those in favor of the motion say aye.
10	(A chorus of ayes.)
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All opposed say nay.
12	(No response.)
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
14	Mike Rodriguez vote aye. The motion passes.
15	Agenda item 3.B., Chair's reports. Again, at
16	this time I have no comments.
17	We'll go to agenda item number 4, MVCPA
18	director's report. Members, Joe Canady and designated
19	staff will present a briefing on various topics in this
20	agenda item.
21	Director Canady.
22	MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, members. Jana
23	Ybarra and the hotel staff worked tirelessly in the
24	preceding months leading up to the conference to ensure
25	that everything was in place and prepared for the MVCPA.

Staff were open to event room changes, food and beverage 1 2 requests and room availability to ensure that the MVCPA 3 staff, Board and attendees had the best experience that we could have this week. 4 5 But I'd also like to thank Texas DMV for 6 providing staff to assist us with this conference. It was 7 very well appreciated and we couldn't have done some of 8 the things that we were able to complete this week without 9 them, specifically Monica Hernandez, Claudia Leal, Zach 10 Warden, and our manager's wife, Kim Pence. We appreciate everything that everyone did this week to make this a 11 successful week. 12 1.3 Thank you. 14 (Applause.) 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any 16 questions for Director Canady? 17 (No response.) MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, we will now move to 18 19 agenda item number 5, Legislative update. We will now 20 hear from Director Keith Yawn, director of Government and 21 Strategic Communications, and Director Joe Canady. 22 MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, if we could go back 2.3 to item 4.B.

Director's Report, Joe Canady, again, director of MVCPA,

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So we're going back to 4.B.

24

25

and Texas DMV staff.

1.3

2.3

MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, members, this will be a two part for 4.B and 4.C. Mr. Hayden and Mr. Yawn will continue on agenda item 5, so if you'll just be patient with us briefly.

The 88th Legislative Session convened in regular session January 10, 2023 and adjourned on May 29, 2023. The General Appropriations Act and the Supplemental Appropriations Bill include a proposed budget of \$24,190,500 for the MVCPA. Of this amount, \$22,879,972 are available for grants. The budget amount does not include appropriations from a contingency rider for Senate Bill 224 at this time.

May I move to agenda item 4.C?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.

MR. CANADY: Agenda item 4.C, these appropriations will allow for an increase in MVCPA staff to include an administrative section, a grant compliance unit consisting of auditor and grant specialists, a fee collection unit, public awareness and education unit, as well as a law enforcement training unit.

And that's all I have on agenda item 4.C.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any questions for Director Canady or designated staff?

25 (No response.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Now we're moving to agenda 5.

So agenda item 5, we'll now hear from Director Keith Yawn,
director of Government and Strategic Communications, and
Director Joe Canady.

2.3

Thank you, Keith, for being with us here today.

MR. YAWN: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members.

Thank you for having me this morning. My name is Keith

Yawn and I'm the director of Government and Strategic

Communications for the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

Agenda item 5 is an update on recent legislative activities since your last Board meeting. As Joe just mentioned, the legislature completed the 88th Regular Session on May 29, and the governor's veto period ends this Sunday, by which time we will know for certain which bills will become finally law.

The department tracked 531 individual pieces of legislation this year, completed analysis of 413 of those, and reported 162 fiscal impact estimates. The legislature passed 70 bills that impacted either core or administrative department operations, including those of the MVCPA activities.

This was, by any definition, as was mentioned at this conference already, an incredibly productive session for MVCPA. Chris Hayden, Texas DMV deputy chief financial officer, will be reviewing funding and staffing

increases in more detail received by the program in just a moment, but in addition to those budget increases, several bills passed that alter motor vehicle crime enforcement activities.

2.3

I'd like to review a couple of those now. The first being, of course, Senate Bill 224, and others at this conference throughout the last several days have spoken much more eloquently and in detail on this than I will, but for those watching the livestream, I'll provide a brief summary of this. Senate Bill 224 addresses recent increases in catalytic converter theft and related crimes.

MVCPA is the central administrator for the bill's implementation, and the bill charges MVCPA with developing and implementing a multi-agency catalytic converter crime detection and prevention plan due by the end of this year. The bill also increases the insurance fee by a dollar to fund MVCPA grants for efforts related to catalytic converter crimes.

Additionally, the legislature provided specific appropriations for the implementation of the bill's provisions, including four new full-time equivalent positions. And this bill is, of course, the topic of an additional later item to discuss in more detail for the implementation requirements.

House Bill 914 amends the Penal Code to clarify

that temporary tags are governmental records and tampering with one is a Class A misdemeanor. The bill also allows cite and release for this offense. These changes take effect September 1 of this year.

1.3

2.3

Another of the very large and involved bills that the department tracked and worked on during this legislative session was House Bill 718, which replaces paper temporary tags, one-trip and 30-day permits with metal license plates. The change from paper to metal will take place during the summer of 2025.

The related implementation requires an expansion in the use of existing metal plates, the creation of several new types of metal plates, and development of a new plate inventory management system, as well as the reworking of numerous registration and licensing processes, including a change to have license plates remain with a vehicle at the time of transfer.

These are notable changes from current operations and the department will be working closely with tax assessor-collectors, dealers and the law enforcement community on the rulemaking and process changes related to this large implementation. Rule adoptions have their own due date of December 2024.

House Bill 2195 adds a covering to the existing offense of altering or obscuring the letters and numbers

on a license plate and sets a \$300 fine with potential penalty enhancements for repeat offenders. The bill also adds that the plate will be checked during a vehicle's safety inspection and the vehicle will fail the inspection if its plate is in violation of these changes. The change takes effect on September 1 of this year.

1.3

2.3

And finally, House Bill 3297 eliminates safety inspections of non-commercial vehicles but retains emission inspections in certain counties. This change takes effect in January of 2025 and will require revisions to department computer systems and policies to allow for registration of certain vehicles without the current safety inspection, while still collecting an inspection and replacement fee. The department plans to release public awareness communications leading up to the effective date. The Department of Motor Vehicles will be working closely with the Department of Public Safety and the Commission on Environmental Quality to implement these changes.

Mr. Chairman, this concludes my presentation unless there are any questions.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Keith.

On the committee that needs to be put together or the agencies that have to come together to create that reporting and the things that we need to do, by when do we

1	need to do that? The TDLR, DPS and DMV.
2	MR. YAWN: For the safety inspection
3	elimination?
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: For the catalytic converters.
5	MR. YAWN: For the catalytic converters. The
6	plan that is required by that, in collaboration with those
7	other entities that you mentioned, is due by January 1 of
8	2024, so by the end of this year.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So we have very little time.
10	MR. YAWN: There is a limited amount of time to
11	complete that work.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So members, can we discuss how
13	we are going to be approaching this during this agenda
14	item, or do we need to bring it back?
15	MR. CANADY: It will be done during that agenda
16	item.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay, perfect. Thank you,
18	Keith.
19	MR. HAYDEN: Good morning, Chairman, Board
20	members. For the record, I am Chris Hayden.
21	I am the deputy chief financial officer for the
22	Texas DMV, and I'm here to provide a high level summary of
23	the Appropriations Bill. And so I'm just going to go real
24	high level and I'll go in a little more detail as well.
25	On May 29 the 88th Legislature passed the

'24-25 budget for \$653.5 million for the department. Some of the highlights include: Phase I of the Registration and Title System replacement; two additional regional services, one each in Dallas and Houston; our Camp Hubbard renewal project. We also received 46 additional FTEs for the base bill, including six for MVCPA.

2.3

We also received additional funding for MVCPA to meet the full statutory requirement for funding, as has been discussed many times this week as well. We also received some contingency appropriations that I'll also go into a little more detail.

As Keith mentioned, we still have a few more days. These are all contingent on final approval by the governor. House Bill 1 has not been signed off by the governor at this point.

So a little more detail. The Conference Committee budget includes the RTS which is \$6.8 million, our regional service centers is \$3.5 million, our Camp Hubbard renewal project is \$143 million. We also have additional authorized FTEs of \$3.7 million, and then your MVCPA funding to bring you up to statutory authority is an additional \$14.3 million for the biennium. Like I said, you'll receive an six FTEs as a result of this.

And then I wanted to go into the contingency riders. Keith already mentioned a discussion of them but

1 I was going to go over the financing side. 2 Senate Bill 718 for temporary tags includes an 3 additional \$35 million in appropriations. Senate Bill 224 is approximately \$55 million, and that's the catalytic 4 5 converter bill and that includes an additional four FTEs 6 for MVCPA. Lastly, Senate Bill 505 which is for the 7 electric vehicles, and that's \$214,000. And these are 8 contingency bills and these are included in the original 9 number which I gave you, \$653.5 million. 10 There's two other items I wanted to point out. 11 \$2.6 million of general revenue has been appropriated 12 directly to the Department of Criminal Justice for 1.3 additional license plate production, and also we are 14 required by rider to do a long-range planning of our 15 regional service centers, and submit that no later than December 1 of 2024. 16 17 This concludes my presentation, and I am here 18 to answer any questions you may have. Thank you. 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you very much. 20 Anybody have any questions? 21 (No response.) 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia, are there any public 2.3 comments for this agenda item? 24 MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.

Okay.

Thank you.

MR. RODRIGUEZ:

25

Moving on to agenda item number 6, MVCPA

Grants, Budget and Reporting Committee Report. We will
now hear from Director Canady, Committee Chairman Julio
Gonzalez, and designated staff on agenda item number 6.

2.3

MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, members, Joe Canady, for the record, director for MVCPA.

The MVCPA authorized the issuance of FY 2024 and 2025 requests for applications at its regularly scheduled meeting held on February 22 of 2023. MVCPA is authorized in statute to provide grants to local law enforcement to combat motor vehicle theft, burglary from a motor vehicle and/or fraud-related motor vehicle crime. Eligible applicants may request funds for program operations by submitting an application consistent with the information, including the requirements and conditions stated in the request for applications.

The request for applications was posted in the Texas Register, as required by law, for at least 30 days prior to the due date for the applications. Twenty-six applications were submitted for FY 2024.

To be eligible for consideration for funding, a task force grant application must have been designed to support one or more of the following MVCPA program categories: law enforcement detection and apprehension; prosecution, adjudication or conviction; prevention,

anti-theft devices and automobile registration; reduction of the sale of stolen vehicles or parts; educational programs and marketing. The enabling statute provides that the Authority shall allocate grant funds primarily based on the number of motor vehicles stolen in or the motor burglary and theft rate across and the number of fraud-related motor vehicle crimes committed in a state rather than based on geographic distribution.

2.3

Under Texas Transportation Code Section

1006.151, in addition, the following grant features will
be given priority consideration in evaluating new grant
applications: continued funding programs in compliance
with MVCPA grant conditions; programs to combat organized
economic crime; border security; use of technology; theft
of parts of a motor vehicle; and dedicated prosecutors.

Twenty-four task force grant applications and two equipment-only applications were received, for a total of \$23,120,974 in MVCPA funds requested -- the breakdown of funds requested with personnel, professional and contractual and equipment being the largest categories.

Just to clarify, for professional and contractual, these are sub-grantees is what that is, the category for the personnel and fringe and other related items that the sub-grantees will require.

MR. GONZALEZ: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and

Board members.

1.3

2.3

The task forces have requested a combined total of \$23,120,984. We only have \$22,879,922 budgeted for task force grants. This creates a deficit of \$241,062.

The Grants, Budget and Reporting Committee has the responsibility to present viable options, and most importantly, a balanced budget to the Board for consideration.

One significant type of expense requested by task forces are the purchase of new vehicles. The task forces requested a combined total of \$1,473,671 in new vehicle purchases. It is my recommendation that the Board not fund the purchase of new vehicles, rather we fund the lease or rental of new vehicles needed by task forces.

We have analyzed the financial impact of lease versus purchase, and leasing new vehicles at a maximum rate of \$1,500 per month per vehicle would result in a new \$969,761 savings, placing us under budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

It should be noted that there are various line item costs requested by the task forces that should be reviewed and discussed by the Board for consideration, in addition to the previous lease versus purchase recommendation that I just gave.

That concludes my report, Mr. Chairman.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, any questions or 1 discussion? 2 3 MR. SMITH: Member Smith, for the record. 4 I guess is this a discussion, Lieutenant, about 5 approving that, or has this been approved by the Grants 6 and Budget Committee. 7 MR. GONZALEZ: That's a great question. what you have in front of you is a sheet that has all of 8 9 the task forces' requested items and so that is one 10 budget. It is over budget. 11 And then you also have on another column the 12 net savings if we were to go through the lease program. 1.3 And obviously that is a decision up to the Board; the 14 Board is free to adopt or reject any proposal that they 15 would want. 16 MR. SMITH: I just want to make sure that 17 that's not a recommendation of the Board, because I disagree with that. So I think under the rules weren't 18 19 there some stipulations regarding in-kind cash matches for 20 leases above certain amounts of money? 21 MR. GONZALEZ: Certainly. So the task forces 22 would be free to have purchases in their cash match. 2.3 We're talking about MVCPA funds dedicated to the purchase 24 of vehicles.

MR. SMITH:

Some of these I don't see any cash

25

matches that have been established, or perhaps we need to establish what those in-kind matches are going to be if allowed to spend up to \$1,500 a month for a lease. Does that make sense? It's stipulated in the Grant Handbook.

2.3

MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, director MVCPA.

Member Smith, grantees are required to have a minimum 20 percent cash match. The match is listed for each grantee here, what they have put in their application.

The match, some of them do it two different ways. Some of them will take all of the funds and apply their match to certain areas. Some of them will allow the system to calculate the match which is distributed out equally across the different line items in the budget. Some have done both.

The match funds that are listed here in this table is for the application, and those funds we anticipate there's going to be funds left in there in that match section that the grantee would be allowable to adjust around in areas that they need. Some of this would be from vehicle purchases that match was included, so there's going to be a little extra funds there, as well, for them to adjust as needed.

It's also that a grantee may decide to not lease a vehicle, but use the amount of funds that were

awarded to them for the purpose of leasing vehicles to put that together with additional match to purchase a vehicle versus being tied into a lease.

MR. SMITH: So are we leaving the option of purchasing a vehicle up to the grantee?

1.3

2.3

MR. CANADY: I think that the option should be there for them to be able to purchase with the amount of funds that were provided for that section if it were based off of leased vehicles. Some grantees have a large program income balance that they can put that additional match in, or they may have areas of the budget that they can adjust and move those line items over if the solution to purchase a vehicle works better for them.

MR. SMITH: Okay. You know, this has come up before. I believe it was when the City of Dallas had requested additional funds to lease vehicles within the last year or so, and there was a lot of discussion about the reality of \$1,500 a month vehicle lease and whether that was a pragmatic decision or not.

At the end of the day, I think \$1,500 a month is excessive. I don't think there's been a lot of homework been done about that rather than just put a number out there at \$1,500 a month and say that that's okay. I don't think anybody in this room probably under their normal business or personal situation would spend

\$1,500 a month on a vehicle lease, and I don't know that the optics of it are all that good.

1.3

2.3

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, for the record.

So, Member Smith, I should say that I am an employee of the City of Dallas and the department does pay for a rental as my city vehicle, which it's a Toyota Avalon, and the total cost of it is about \$1,200 per month. That's just the going rate for what it is in our contract.

But with that said, that \$1,500 a month is simply what MVCPA would be providing; task forces would be free to include any remainder within their cash match.

But let me give an example of how it is that this concept would result in a savings.

So you'll see in the grant applications that there is one task force that requested about \$400,000 in the purchase of new vehicles for about four cars. We can fund, we can give \$400,000 and say, Here you go, here's the money for those cars. Or we could set aside \$1,500 a month in a rental or lease and that equates to \$72,000 of MVCPA funds. So they get the same amount of cars except instead of us issuing \$400,000, we give \$72,000.

In addition to that, the maintenance is already covered when you are renting the vehicle. And I should also note that with purchasing a vehicle with MVCPA funds,

it is very difficult to get rid of that car once it has reached the end of its life. There are state rules because the state has a vested interest in that car and it has to be traded in.

2.3

Am I correct, Director Canady? And there's quite the process that once a car that we purchased has 200- or 300,000 miles, it's not very easy for these task forces to get rid of these vehicles.

And I'll let Director Canady expand on that.

MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA.

Member Gonzalez, that is correct. For a grantee to dispose of an asset there are two options.

One, concerning a vehicle, they can trade it in on the purchase of a new vehicle which will reduce the price of that new vehicle. Or if they decide to sell that, then grant payments must be deducted from the sale of that vehicle, the proceeds that are obtained.

Does that answer your questions?

MR. SMITH: So leasing versus buying creates an extended liability across the board for multiple years, and I think it's wise for the Board to consider that. Have we considered that, thought about that? Spending \$18,000 a year on a leased vehicle, that's going to come up again next year.

MR. GONZALEZ: Correct. And so one of the

concepts with rentals and leases versus purchasing -- I mean, we don't know how much we're going to be appropriated in the future. The rental can be canceled at any time and returned in the event that we don't have the appropriations moving forward.

1.3

2.3

MR. SMITH: But that puts us in the same boat we're in today then, and that is there's not enough cars. Correct?

MR. GONZALEZ: It's certainly difficult to be purchasing vehicles these days through a dealership, but I have not had any difficulty renting my vehicle through Enterprise. So that's my personal experience.

MR. SMITH: Well, what's just been said is that if we lose funds in the future, it's easy to turn one back in. That puts us again in a problem where we're short of vehicles again because there's no money.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: First of all, we don't have the money to fund everybody's request on vehicles. Chairman Rodriguez, for the record.

So we need to be responsible in trying to bring a balanced budget to this Board and to make sure that where the monies are granted that it's in a responsible way. So at this point we do not have the money to fund everybody's requests for those vehicles, and we're not going to be reducing the amount of FTEs versus vehicles.

Bottom line, we're not going to do that.

2.3

I think that would be irresponsible for us to do something like that. So I think we have to get creative in the way that we're going to be giving these task forces their vehicles to go and do their normal duties and their operations.

And one thing that I'm looking at that I also think it is a good idea, go to renting or leasing vehicles versus purchasing right out a vehicle. I saw some applications with a cost of \$87,000 per vehicle. That for me is not going to happen.

We need to make sure that we are responsible enough to make sure that we reduce that number. If we're going to purchase vehicles we're going to have to get creative; if we're going to go to leasing, that's what we're proposing. But this is the reason why we're here to discuss this and make sure that we get the right answers. But I think at this point we should have this conversation because we do not have the funds to put everybody in the vehicles that they requested.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, in Monday's board meeting there didn't seem to be a shortage of task force commanders that were willing and able to purchase vehicles. Certainly there were some that didn't seem expensive in terms of cost. They had done their homework

and were prepared to cite the expense of, say, a Ford F-150 four-wheel drive, and they certainly weren't 80-something thousand dollars.

2.3

So there have been those out there that have done their homework and have found a source for vehicles. I guess I'm having a hard time understanding why some have a hard time finding vehicles while others haven't, and is that a smart move for the Board to allow that to happen.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think the approach to this would be if somebody would want to purchase a vehicle, you know, and they have a sole source or a government purchase contract that they have already in place with their agency, that makes it easy for them to purchase these vehicles. Usually the bigger agencies have that. We have a lot of small agencies that do not have that luxury, and I think that's where that gap is at.

MR. SMITH: Most of them -- a lot of them are smaller agencies that have managed to come up with an agreement or a price point for a new vehicle. And just as an example, Paris seemed to be very reasonable in what their request was.

I'm just putting this discussion out there because, again, I agree with you, Chairman, that we need to be fairly cautious about how that money is spent and

how much money is spent, because at the end of the day, would any of us do that on our own. And if the expense is much higher than that, what is the justification for it and we be able to answer that question.

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I agree. And I'll tell you this, during my analysis of every application that came our way and reading some of these applications, what I saw is, okay, this is what we've been wanting all this time when we did not have the money to request. We needed cars, we needed computers, we needed, you know, a couple of other items.

But I didn't see anything in those applications that brought, hey, I need this equipment to bring the numbers down. The majority of the applications were vehicles, overtime and things like that, but at the same time, I did not see a new approach to reducing the number of auto thefts. And it doesn't go for everyone, I saw some that there was justification there. But a lot of them were -- I'm going to be very honest with you, a lot of them were just about I want new cars, I want new computers, I want uniforms.

Things like that to me, you know, we've been working with the minimum, and what I wanted to see was, okay, now that we have this money, now we'll be able to reduce the number of auto thefts; this is an idea that I

have, LPRs, cameras, cameras in vehicles, LPRs in vehicles. Even if you would have asked for LPRs in a patrol car that you would just be able to put it on your patrol squads in certain areas, especially small communities, that would have been gold for me, that you can just send a unit out there with LPRs and they can capture vehicle license plates during their rounds at hotels or neighborhoods or things like that, but I didn't see any of that. The majority, like I said, was requests for vehicles, requests for laptops, uniforms and things like that.

2.3

So again, going back to the vehicle issue, we do need to be very responsible with that. And I think we can continue the discussion right now; we can bring Dan to the table and kind of find out numbers, leases versus purchases. But at the same time, we do not have the money to fund everybody for vehicles, and we need to be very responsible.

Now, keep in mind, guys, this is just for the auto theft part, auto burglary part of the grant. The other catalytic converter money, we're not even discussing that right now, so that's totally different. That's going to be a different plan, different goals, and we're going to come back to the table on that.

But for this, we do need to come up with a

solution to what we have here. We need to bring in a balanced budget.

2.3

At the same time, I do want to say, going back to Midland-Odessa, we have not discussed that and we do need to discuss it. That's our responsibility to make sure that we reach out to that jurisdiction and say, hey, you have a problem. Here we are, we're here to help. And if we can help them, I think it's incumbent on us to do that.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, I guess to kind put the end of my comments out there on record, I don't believe that there is a cost savings to anybody purchasing versus leasing. With leasing we don't own anything, nobody owns anything, there's no asset. We're paying to use a vehicle and in my mind, leasing is generally a sign of the economic times that we go through.

The vehicle market is going to start softening, or has softened a bit. Interest rates are rising. I think it's wise to take a look at purchasing because of those reasons.

Vehicle inventories are up a bit more now; I don't think they're as hard to get as they were two years ago during COVID. So it's just hard to convince me that there's a cost savings to the program by leasing versus buying, and I'll just leave it at that.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think what if these agencies just get created, they just do a lease to own, a dollar buyout at the end of the three years that we're paying for the vehicle, but right now do not dish out the whole amount of money and we're left in the red. I say get creative, do a lease to own, a dollar buyout. In three years, it will be theirs.

1.3

2.3

MR. SMITH: Yeah, I agree. There was no discussion in the applications that I saw about the breakdown of the terms of the lease, whether it's a five years and out, is there a residual value to that vehicle. Because at the end of the day, it's beneficial for the leasing company to force the lessor to cover the residual cost.

That's how they make money. That doesn't exist in a purchase agreement, it exists in a different way in the pricing schedule how a vehicle is priced.

So again, leases are good for some people, not good for others. I'm fairly fiscally conservative, and again, I just don't think that over the short term or the long term that there is a financial savings by leasing over purchasing vehicles.

And I appreciate the Board's time in having this conversation.

MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, if I may? I've seen

some movement in the audience. Some of the commanders, I 1 believe they are probably filling out comment cards. 3 It might be a good idea to ask them if they do 4 comment, allow them to provide what works best for their 5 agencies as well, too. There may be some things that 6 we're not looking at. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Every agency has five minutes 8 to present something to us, so why don't we just starting bringing them up. 9 10 Board members, are you all right with that? Are we okay with that? 11 12 We'll start with City of Austin. 13 MR. SIMONEAUX: Good morning. Sqt. Simoneaux 14 with Austin PD. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Sarge, good morning. 16 So I'm going to get Sharon and Julio to start 17 the conversation with each agency and then that way the Board can continue with that. 18 19 MS. JONES: Sharon Jones, for the record. 20 Each agency has a five-minute presentation, or they should, and so in the presentation they can address 21 22 whatever they feel they need to address, including lease 2.3 or no lease. 24 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, I know that if you have

vehicles in your application, this is the time to kind of

25

go back and forth as to why is it that you prefer purchasing or why your agency is moving towards purchasing versus leasing, or if you would prefer which one or the other. It's just for conversation.

2.3

MR. SIMONEAUX: We do not have vehicles in our application, but one thing it brings to mind is how do you put a light package in a rented or leased vehicle. That's something I think that needs to be taken into consideration, because our vehicles are not just used to go from point A to point B; we use it in a police-related fashion. So how could you per that lease modify the vehicle to fit your needs as a task force is a question that comes to my mind.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, that's my understanding is that they're not allowed to modify those vehicles, permanently attaching equipment to that vehicle if it is indeed a lease or a rental. That's my understanding.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I know some agencies do the outfit on vehicles. I know some agencies request that and need that, but in the investigative component of MVCPA -- because every task force here is an investigator, we do undercover work, we do the operations that we need to do. I can ask mostly everybody here, when you do an op, you're always going to include patrol, you know.

You're always going to have that marked unit in case you engage in a 1080 and a pursuit. You're not going to engage in a pursuit in an unmarked vehicle. That's mainly mostly everybody's policy.

2.3

And so my vision of providing unmarked vehicles is not to outfit them with a cage to transport prisoners or suspects. It's not to outfit them with lights and siren because we're going to be going 80-90 miles an hour after a car. That's dangerous, we're not going to do that.

At the end of the day, something does happen, the liability falls on the agency and MVCPA because that is going to be an MVCPA-funded vehicle. So we do not want to engage on 1080s, on pursuits in an unmarked vehicle because that's not the way it works.

I know that the request has been let's outfit the vehicles with lights and siren but it's still going to be unmarked. Unless you're specifically requesting a marked unit, and you're going to have a uniformed officer on your task force, that changes the story.

I can tell you this, some of the briefs that

I've gotten from a lot of the agencies that we have here,

a lot of their work has been done with unmarked units, a

lot of their work -- we have agencies here with Camrys but

yet I see in applications Tahoes, and not LS, LT Tahoe,

very expensive.

2.3

So how is that going to bring the numbers down?

How is that going to help us with our mission to reduce

the number of auto thefts and do your work? And I can

tell you here there are several agents here, they don't

use marked units with cages and lights and siren.

But again, the investigative component of what we do every day, I just strongly feel and believe that you don't need an outfitted car. Yes, maybe some lights just in case, but not lights and sirens, not a cage, not a full blown MDT. If you're here with a beard and tattooed up and making yourself as an undercover agent for ATF and then you get there with lights, cage and an MDT in your unit, you're not doing that work, we're not doing that work.

So I'm going to be very honest with you, I've been in this business for a long time, we do not need those kind of vehicles, we don't. We can do our jobs with regular vehicles, unmarked, just to get us from point A to point B.

And if we're going to do a tactical surveillance, those are the vehicles you need, you need Camrys, you need a Blazer, not an Explorer because everybody knows that you're a cop. But those are the types of vehicles that we need to do those types of

1 operations. Those are the operations you guys are doing. 2 So again, outfitting vehicles is going to cost 3 us a lot of money. I myself as the chairman, I would not 4 support that. I'm going to be very honest with you. Not 5 full blown lights and siren, not a cage, not a full blown 6 MDT with GPS and everything in there. 7 So that is my belief. I'm opening it for the 8 other Board members to see if they have any comments to say. Julio? 9 Sharon? 10 MR. GONZALEZ: I agree, Mr. Chairman, and there are options. You know, there are several items from the 11 12 task forces in requested funds for make-ready and for 1.3 updating in the tens of thousands of dollars to put in 14 MDTs specifically. I have no problem with getting 15 Toughbooks and air cards so the folks can have a computer 16 in there, but when you combine those costs, it puts us 17 over budget. I mean, the fact is there's 29 cars here that 18 19 the task forces want, 29 cars at a total combined value of 20 \$1.51 million. Switching to leasing changes that cost to \$522,000. This is easy. 21 22 That's all I have. 2.3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So for Austin I noticed you 24 didn't put a line item for overtime.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. SIMONEAUX: Correct.

25

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there a reason why, 1 2 Sergeant? 3 MR. SIMONEAUX: Because right now we haven't had an issue being paid by the city itself. They've been 4 5 funding the overtime. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The city has been funding the 7 overtime. MR. SIMONEAUX: Yes, sir. I'm sure at some 8 9 point in time that will dry up, but at this point in time we've been funded with overtime. 10 Thank you for that. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 11 Anybody else have any questions for the 12 13 sergeant? 14 (No response.) 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: You want to say a little bit 16 about your task force for the Board here, what you guys do 17 and what it's composed of? MR. SIMONEAUX: Sure. We consist of ten 18 19 detectives and two sergeants. Some of the problems or 20 issues we've had, of those ten we've only had seven 21 working detectives because of medical reasons, FMLA, et 22 cetera. And we've had one that's been out for two years, 2.3 another that's been out for a year, and one that's been 24 out for three months, so that's caused some issues. 25 Within the department itself, we've had to

justify our existence. As you know, Austin PD is short on patrol, so there's been rumors, talks about eliminating certain units. Many units have been eliminated since the defunding, and we've been put on the chopping block on occasion. Luckily we've been able to justify our existence and I think the grant has helped with that a lot, seeing that it's funded by the state, partially anyway.

1.3

2.3

Other issues that we've come into, an extremely high caseload. Auto thefts in general are up 33 percent in our area. A lot of it has to do with the TikTok challenge, of course. So having the manpower to combat that has been very difficult.

Our cases are currently about 1,000 behind in assigning cases which has put us about two months -- because we're averaging about 500 cases a month. Some of the things that we have done to help with that issue is we did a grant adjustment recently and we're going to be hiring retired officers to come back on a part-time basis to help with the case assignments.

Because of the shortage of manpower, it limits our ability to work our bait program, but some of the things we've done to combat that is we've empowered patrol. We've given them the option to come up with ops plans which is monitored and guided by us, and we're

hitting hotspot areas with the use of patrol. They basically do all the fun work and my detectives handle the paperwork when it comes to arrests, search warrants, arrest warrants, et cetera. So we've doubled our bait deployments compared to last year based on this tactic.

1.3

2.3

We've also used the bait vehicle to help other units within Austin PD, which has helped also justify our existence. We've helped such organizations as homicide, setting up on suspects to verify their location before SWAT hits it. We've helped our violent crime task force with deploying vehicles and monitoring certain areas.

Sex crimes we also assisted with. They had a prolific sex offender that was committing robberies and sexual offenses in a particular complex. We set the bait vehicles up there for monitoring and was able to capture him on the bait vehicle video which helped identify actually who he was.

We've worked with other outside organizations with deploying vehicles, such as with DPS and Kyle PD.

We've been successful with other units such as human trafficking, the crash unit, and just surrounding agencies in general. So our bait program, even though we're limited in our capacity to work it as detectives, has been pretty successful.

That's about all I have.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Sarge. I know a 1 2 couple of commanders from Austin PD and I know the morale 3 is at an all-time low there, and I just want you to hang 4 in there. 5 You know, I remember back in, what, maybe five-6 six years ago, you guys were the agency to look up to and 7 kind of everybody wanted to be in Austin PD at your pay 8 rate, what you guys were getting, and something just 9 happened and completely went south on that. 10 MR. SIMONEAUX: It's called defunding. MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I think just hang in there, 11 there will be some changes, hopefully soon. Just hang in 12 13 there, I know it's tough for you guys. 14 And that was the reason why I was asking about 15 the OT because I know you guys are running on fumes and I 16 didn't see the line item from Austin. I appreciate 17 everything you guys do there and we're here for any 18 support that you need. 19 MR. SIMONEAUX: Thank you. We are getting the 20 LPR back so that's some good news. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Sarge. 22 City of Beaumont. 2.3 MR. KARR: For the record, Sqt. Ken Karr with 24 the Beaumont Police Department, Southeast Texas Auto Theft

25

Task Force.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, Sarge. 1 2 MR. KARR: Good morning, sir. Good morning, 3 everyone. 4 MS. JONES: Good morning. 5 MR. KARR: I am the designated grant program 6 manager for the Southeast Texas Auto Theft Task Force in 7 Beaumont. Southeast Texas Auto Theft Task Force has been 8 9 a part of this program since virtually the beginning. 10 This is our 30th year, and we've always tried to do everything we can to reduce auto theft and auto burglary. 11 12 We always try to set our goals high and try to go above 13 and beyond that, when possible. 14 Just a few little stats. Over the last 2-1/215 years our task force has recovered 283 vehicles valued at 16 \$5,475,251; 24 weapons valued at \$13,600; other properties 17 which is trailers, ATVs, stuff like that, valued at \$670,000; and 22 pieces of equipment, tractors, 18 19 excavators, et cetera, valued at \$1.2 million, for a total 20 of \$7,453,750. 21 We also had 95 arrests, and these are actual 22 hands-on arrests. We were not counting warrants, any of 2.3 that, which will change. 24 But over the last five years I did figure up

that we've had 231 hands-on arrests and 438 cases filed

25

resulting in warrants for motor vehicle theft or burglary of a motor vehicle, 360 other cases filed resulting in warrants issued for other charges relating to other cases handled by the task force, such as theft, fraud, et cetera. That's a total of 1,029 arrests and warrants issued for the last five years.

2.3

In the last 2-1/2 years we've also conducted 2,133 68-A inspections. Many of those were from out of our area, our coverage area. That is due to the overwhelming need for these 68-As. We get overflow from Harris County; we get overflow from everybody around us.

Right now the task force is comprised of the Beaumont Police Department, Jefferson County Sheriff's Office, Port Arthur Police Department. We just lost Hardin County Sheriff's Office as a participating member due to budgetary -- I don't know if it was budgetary, more of a manpower issues, according to the sheriff.

We plan on continuing to aggressively target and pursue offenders involved in motor vehicle theft and burglary and we plan on doing that the way we've been doing it: obtaining information, intelligence from case intake/arrests; information received from followup investigations; other law enforcement officers, agencies and task forces; analyzation of crime data to determine trends and patterns; information received from the public,

anonymous tips, informants and internet sources; and task force personnel will work to identify those offenders.

2.3

Once the intelligence is gathered, it will be acted upon using all tools available, surveillance, covert operations, internet stings, repair and salvage inspections, search warrants, trackers, LPRs to identify the offenders and further identify other offenders that are involved as well, with the goal of thorough investigation and presenting a case to the DAs — the best case possible to the DAs. We also work with our DAs in our coverage area and make sure that they understand motor vehicle theft and auto burglary, hopefully resulting in more convictions and longer sentences. But that part we have no say on.

We'll also work to educate the law enforcement in our area, giving the latest information on trends in auto crimes and methods to use to detect offenders and locate and recover stolen vehicles or property. Also conduct public awareness and education presentations to educate the public, both individual citizens and businesses, on methods to decrease the chance of becoming a victim of motor vehicle theft, burglary or theft of parts. Those presentations will include the most up-to-date information on crime trends in an effort to make the public aware of things to look for to protect

themselves and their property.

1.3

2.3

On the administrative side, the program director and program manager will be diligent in making sure that all MVCPA reports or requests which they're responsible for are submitted or responded to in a timely manner, and ensuring that they're accurately documented.

One of the things that we are most proud of are our efforts to collaborate with other agencies and task forces all over the state -- our coverage area especially, but all over the state and the nation, for that matter.

We'll make information, intelligence and resources available when called upon, if it's feasible to do so, and if it's not feasible, try to come up with a resolution for a favorable outcome for everyone involved, in the name of reducing motor vehicle crimes.

We've served our communities and our coverage area for over 30 years -- well, yes, over 30 years, and we've had a huge impact on our communities. Our investigators have the wherewithal -- we have great investigators, I guess is what I'm trying to say.

They have the ability to build rapport rapidly with our community, anybody in our community. We go to the rural areas, we get great information there; we go to the cities, we get great information there. That high standard that we have will continue.

In closing, I'd just like to say I appreciate 1 2 the work that went into funding MVCPA this time, to all of 3 y'all that were involved, everyone. And it's nice to have 4 these conferences back. I was only able to go to one or 5 two before they quit, and I think this is a great thing, 6 especially for the networking and it makes me feel like 7 part of the team again. So thank y'all. 8 That's all I've got. Any guestions? 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have some questions, Sarge. 10 There was a line item on your application for warehouse Is that a warehouse lease or an office lease? 11 MR. KARR: It's both. It's attached to our 12 13 office. That's where we pull all our stuff in for 14 inspection, storage of our seized vehicles till there's a That's what we use that for. 15 disposition from the court. MR. RODRIGUEZ: And your office is there? 16 17 MR. KARR: Our office is adjoining that 18 warehouse. 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Have some questions? 20 MS. JONES: Sharon Jones, for the record. You have about \$15,000 in uniforms. 21 Is it the 22 city PD uniform or your task uniforms? 2.3 MR. KARR: The \$15,000 is what we are paid to 24 maintain. That should have been listed as an in-kind

match, I think, or a cash match. It's our clothing

25

1 maintenance. 2 MR. GONZALEZ: Sarge, I'm looking at it and it shows the MVCPA funds requested for \$12,500, but in 3 addition to that I have a question that it says: Uniform 4 5 and clothing allowance provided by the City of Beaumont 6 \$12,500, in accordance with the collective bargaining 7 agreement for six Beaumont officers. So is the City of Beaumont obligated to provide 8 9 a clothing allowance? MR. KARR: Yes. 10 11 MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: That was a cash match? 13 MR. GONZALEZ: No. It was \$12,500 in MVCPA 14 funds. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: But that's a contract issue 16 with the City of Beaumont and the Beaumont PD? 17 MR. KARR: That's our collective bargaining 18 agreement. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can we fund that? Is that 19 acceptable? 20 21 MR. GONZALEZ: So Sarge, if it's required by 22 the City of Beaumont, why isn't this considered a fringe 2.3 benefit? 24 MR. KARR: I don't know that it couldn't be. I 25 did not handle that part of the budget, our finance people

They wanted to add that to the grant. 1 2 This is the first time we've done that. I 3 don't know that it was anything other than the finance 4 people looked at it and said we need to add that to it. 5 MS. JONES: I just need to know from those who 6 have been here a lot longer than me if it's allowable. 7 That's all I need to know. If it's allowable, then no 8 problem. 9 MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, members, it has been 10 allowed before on applications. Certainly this is an item if the Board wishes to go back and request that the city 11 12 include that as an in-kind item as well, that's certainly 1.3 up to the Board. 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I just think if it's a contract 15 between the city PD and the city, the city should make 16 that expense under that obligation that they have with 17 that collective bargaining agreement. It shouldn't be put on the MVCPA to fund that just because we're funding FTEs 18 19 or through your application. 20 I know, Sarge, you don't deal with any of that. I understand that. 21 22 MR. KARR: Yes, sir. 2.3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: But I think it's something that

has to be taken care of with the director and your finance

I just want to make sure that it's something

24

25

1	that's allowable from us to do an expense like that.
2	Even if we did it in the past, just because we
3	did it in the past doesn't mean that we need to continue
4	doing it. We have to make sure that it's allowable and
5	that it's legal to do from MVCPA.
6	MR. KARR: I understand.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any other questions for
8	Beaumont?
9	(No response.)
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: You have a truck at \$39,000,
11	Sarge.
12	MR. KARR: Forty-eight.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Forty-eight?
14	MR. KARR: I think it's what I put on.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Forty-eight thousand.
16	MR. KARR: That might be less our cash match.
17	That's less our cash match, yes, sir.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So \$48,000.
19	MR. KARR: That's what we asked, yes.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: What kind of vehicle is that?
21	MR. KARR: A four-wheel drive pickup.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: King Ranch?
23	MR. KARR: No.
24	(General laughter.)
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's a 4X4?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. KARR: Yes, sir.
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And you're outfitting it too?
3	MR. KARR: Yes, sir. We pretty much put lights
4	and siren on all of our vehicles.
5	I understand what you're saying. We also have
6	instances where we have to light things up, drive up on a
7	wreck, whatever it might be. We try not to but there are
8	times we have to. Not as far as pursuits, we don't try to
9	pursue in those.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you said you drive up into
11	a ranch, that's what you said?
12	MR. KARR: Drive up on a wreck.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Oh, on a wreck.
14	MR. KARR: Yes, on the freeway, try to direct
15	traffic, get things squared away, just for safety
16	purposes.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
18	MR. GONZALEZ: Sarge, if I'm looking at your
19	budget here, you are also requesting funds for leases. Am
20	I correct?
21	MR. KARR: We have two leases, yes.
22	MR. GONZALEZ: So this is already an acceptable
23	way, I mean, if you already have two.
24	MR. KARR: They prefer to buy, to purchase
25	through the City of Beaumont. Our fleet handles that. We

do occasionally have leases. Those leases came up when 1 2 there was a shortage of vehicles. 3 MR. GONZALEZ: Got it. 4 MR. KARR: One lease we've had for a long time 5 and that's basically a vehicle that we use as a pool car, 6 as a relief car. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any other questions of 8 Beaumont? 9 MS. JONES: No, sir. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Sarge. Appreciate 11 you. 12 MR. KARR: Thank y'all. 13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: City of Brownsville. 14 MR. DELGADO: Mr. Chairman, members, Sqt. 15 Humberto Delgado and Lt. John Jones. I am the program 16 manager and he is the program director for South Texas 17 Auto Theft Enforcement Task Force. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can you give us a little 18 19 background on your task force, what your coverage and your 20 daily operations look like down in the Valley? 21 MR. DELGADO: Of course. So in Cameron County, 22 we are the agency, Brownsville PD. That task force does 2.3 include Hidalgo County which we have McAllen, Pharr and 24 Edinburg at this time. We are hoping to incorporate Donna 25

PD, hopefully after this session goes by.

We do cover that project area which holds approximately eight bridges points of entry. Just in Brownsville, we have three of them. As we've all discussed, I think, throughout this whole conference, a lot of the traffic does move south so it does end up in our project area, whether it would be in Cameron County or Hidalgo County.

1.3

2.3

Brownsville PD, we have six investigators and myself as a sergeant. McAllen has five and a sergeant, Pharr PD has two investigators, and Edinburg PD has two investigators. For Donna we are hoping to incorporate one investigator for them.

MR. JONES: Good morning. Lt. Jones,
Brownsville PD.

If I could, just to circle back to the leased vehicle which the gentleman was speaking of. We currently lease five Nissan Frontiers at this point and we're paying roughly \$550 a month for those vehicles. Now, a Nissan Frontier, depending on the body type or whatever, is not the largest vehicle in the world, obviously, but we do not deck those vehicles out.

They're base models in most cases and our investigators do very well with them. Our policy does not allow us to pursue in unmarked vehicles either, so we did not see the need.

Now, before I start getting the bad looks from the people in the crowd who have requested other vehicles, I see the need for that as well. But to the gentleman's point, when these leases run out and the vehicles have to be turned back in, the "then what" scenario pops into place.

1.3

2.3

We ourselves have run into a situation where we don't have vehicles to replace those leased vehicles, so I see the benefits of purchasing a vehicle as well. So while the leased vehicle program has worked out well for us in recent years, we've also run into instances where -- not under the current contract that we have with our leased vehicles, but in years past -- we've had mileage restrictions on those vehicles as well, where those leased vehicles were sitting parked in our parking lot because we couldn't go over the mileage to have to pay for that at the end of it.

So there are pros and cons in both that I can see. At the current time it is working out for us based on our needs, but we do represent multiple agencies within the Valley. So whether that is feasible for them and their needs as far as their departments are concerned, that might be something completely different.

But I do see the pros and cons for both, just like I said, to circle back to what he was getting at

earlier.

1.3

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, LT.

Can we expand a little bit? As to daily ops, what you guys do?

MR. DELGADO: Sure. So we are now working bridge operations, where we try to schedule at least one every week where members from each agency does attend. That way we can go to the bridges, do inspections, if we have to do some sort of surveillance waiting for some sort of lead to cross through, and that way we also help other divisions from the department or their departments as well if there's some sort of BOLO that we need to keep an eye out.

Obviously we do work the auto theft cases, burglaries, UUMVs and such. Recently here in our area -- and I'm talking about Brownsville -- we have been hit, for lack of a better word, with GMC Denalis. So this has been ongoing for a good while now, I want to say at least the end of last year, 2022.

But however, with the hard work of the investigators, we have been able to take down at least three groups and we were able to identify who they were, and also they were working with people from the other side in Mexico. So the investigations and interviews have been productive. They have been giving good information in

reference to the operations that they are carrying on that 1 side as well. 3 MR. GONZALEZ: Sarge, LT, I know that I've 4 asked y'all's task force to look into the deployment of 5 license plate readers either on the bridge or going up to 6 the bridge. Can you give me a status update on where 7 you're at with that? 8 MR. DELGADO: Yes. Actually, we do have some 9 We have an LPR trailer that has been LPRs already. 10 deployed, as well as portable license plate readers. 11 MR. GONZALEZ: Outstanding. 12 MR. DELGADO: I know that recently there was a 13 compromise with the system that had been employed so we 14 did take them offline just to make sure that there was no vulnerabilities in reference to that, but now we're having 15 them back online. 16 17 I just want to say that it's MR. GONZALEZ: incredibly important that we deploy those license plate 18 19 readers. The area that you cover is of huge significance. 20 We know from these briefings that these cars are being ran into Mexico. 21 22 And so I can't stress the importance enough of 2.3 deploying those LPRs at every bridge and point of entry as 24 we can. Thank you.

MR. JONES:

If I could, just to expand on that

25

a little bit. We have -- through the grants provided through this Board, like the Sarge was saying, we have purchased LPRs. We've also used other grants at our disposal to purchase additional license plate readers, both mobile and fixed, to place within the City of Brownsville so that we can get that coverage.

2.3

Because as you state, Brownsville is a large city, as well as the Rio Grande Valley, and we've been working very well collaboratively with the different agencies throughout the Valley that are part of the task force to get a lot of these issues covered and taken care of. So we do have additional LPRs that we have ordered and that are coming in, both mobile and fixed. We do have some LPRs that are on patrol cars at the moment that we use through our STEP program and other means to run plates and things of that nature as well.

So we are getting that coverage where we need it, and it's always an ongoing process where we'll constantly reevaluate and adjust and go from there.

MR. GONZALEZ: Outstanding.

MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady.

Sergeant, we talked about on Monday during the committee meeting about possibly realigning some of the positions throughout or moving resources that might be better served. Just for example, you talked about McAllen

1	had five investigators and a sergeant.
2	MR. DELGADO: Yes, sir, it's very stretched.
3	MR. CANADY: And correct me if I'm wrong, but
4	Pharr PD and Edinburg have two investigators each?
5	MR. DELGADO: Yes.
6	MR. CANADY: But they have almost double, if
7	not triple, if you compare to Edinburg, the problem for
8	motor vehicle theft in those jurisdictions.
9	MR. DELGADO: That's correct.
10	MR. CANADY: Would it be better aligned to
11	or better to align some of the personnel where the
12	situation is the worst?
13	MR. DELGADO: Right. So in reference to that,
14	we had mentioned on Monday maybe reallocate one of the
15	investigators to the agency that way that could help them
16	out with the caseload as well.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: How is your structure there?
18	How is the command in that jurisdiction?
19	LT, you're the one that supervises the entire
20	task force?
21	MR. JONES: Correct.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do you have monthly meetings,
23	biweekly meetings? How are you handling the connection
24	with McAllen, Edinburg and Pharr PD?
25	MR. JONES: I am the overall commander of the

task force down there. We do have meetings. The sarge meets with the different agencies, what is it, weekly?

MR. DELGADO: Right.

1.3

2.3

MR. JONES: And they do get together. They do meet. And as he stated, we do do these bridge operations where everybody is required unless there's some kind of a circumstance where they can't attend.

We will travel up the Valley, they travel down to Brownsville so that we can have coverage at the bridges for outbound traffic. So there is constant communication within the different departments there in the Valley, if not weekly, then every couple of weeks or at least monthly we try to meet and discuss what's going on.

We have been working very well amongst ourselves to get this information disseminated, intelligence gathering and so forth. We've had instances where we've made arrests in Brownsville that were a direct result of information we received from agents up the Valley from us.

So these rings, they don't tend to stay in one place. They move around quite a bit. As soon as we take one ring down, it seems another one pops up.

So we work very well together and we share that information on a daily basis if needed, as the case dictates it, and we try to disseminate as much of that

information as possible.

1.3

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do you have MOUs, interlocal agreements to work specific jurisdictions? For example, can Brownsville go down to McAllen and help McAllen and Edinburg with a situation? You have all that in place?

MR. DELGADO: Yes. There is an interlocal agreement where that is steered.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So one of the things that I would like to see is reduce the numbers for Edinburg. I know everybody has their numbers, but when you're looking at 40 for McAllen and then you're looking at 100-plus for Edinburg, you know, I think that should get a reaction from you and say, hey, we need to tackle this area and see what's going on. Obviously there is something going on that's different from the other jurisdictions around.

So I would like to see more of that coming from you as the fiduciary agency and your command and kind of see where we can tackle that problem that way. And any hotspots that you get, whether it's Brownsville all the way to Hidalgo County, any of those jurisdictions, kind of react to it as fast as possible. Because I saw that their numbers are just way high compared to the rest, and they only have two assets there so we definitely have to work something out there.

Also, there's a big gap between Brownsville to

those other jurisdictions, and I see Weslaco is not in there. Is there any conversation happening there between you guys and Weslaco PD to kind of have that tightness in that area?

2.3

MR. JONES: That conversation has been had before. I can't tell you as to why Weslaco is hesitant to come onboard with it, that's a discussion that's had at a much higher level than mine. I know that overtures have been made to Weslaco to come onboard, it just hasn't happened up to this point for whatever reason.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Also, I've had some conversations with the regional director for DPS in that area, Victor Escalon -- you probably know him. He has offered any time that you guys need special agents from DPS or a DPS trooper to work any operation, you just need to raise your hand and say, hey, we need your help here.

I know that corridor right there with 83 from Brownsville all the way to that jurisdiction is about what, 30-40 minutes?

MR. JONES: Easily.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Easily, right. So we're missing that coverage, and if we can force multiply by bringing DPS in the picture, that would be something that would pay dividends for us. So just kind of putting it out there for you guys. But he has told me that they're

1	ready to roll if you ask, just need to raise your hand.
2	MR. JONES: Will do.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Number of arrests you guys had
4	for last year?
5	MR. DELGADO: I do not have the exact number
6	with me, but I know that there were several arrests made
7	again in conjunction with the vehicle thefts of the GMCs,
8	the GM product that were for groups that were coming down
9	from Houston. But there were several arrests made
LO	throughout the end of the year of 2022.
L1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do you have year-to-date?
L2	MR. DELGADO: Right now approximately there
L3	have been about 50. Some of those arrests, though, they
L 4	are multiple charges for the same person.
L 5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: For the same person.
L 6	MR. DELGADO: Correct.
L7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And this is on both auto theft
L 8	and burglary?
L 9	MR. DELGADO: Yes.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any issues with the Cártel del
21	Golfo? And you also have PRM in there, right? Any issues
22	with those that has a nexus or them having a nexus to auto
23	theft?
24	MR. DELGADO: We did get some information
25	through some of the interviews that they are requesting

the trucks. So with the situation that is going on right now, for example, in Matamoros, there's those confrontations with the military, and it has been explained throughout the conference that they lose a vehicle, they request a vehicle. So whenever those gunfights happen over there, if they lose a couple of vehicles, that's when we see the uprise here where they start taking the vehicles.

2.3

Investigators are proactive. Whenever the report is received, obviously most of the time it is sometimes hours later after it has been taken, but nevertheless, we look through those reports. If there's any type of lead, whether it be a witness or video, we do go out there and try to retrieve it as fast as we can. If there's any information that we can use, we will put out a BOLO to our patrol, our surrounding agencies, as well as to bridge operations which they have been helpful throughout this whole tenure.

There's some times when they see vehicles

trying to go outbound and they see something suspicious or

based on the conversations that we had with their

personnel, you know, look for damage on the door handle,

maybe they have a broken window, the sliding window,

things that pop out. They will give us a call, agents

will respond and we will take over the investigation in

reference to that.

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So I've seen some of the KNR reports -- you probably get them too through DEA -- and I know that some of those photos are there. Some of the things that are happening in your jurisdiction across the border. Some of those vehicles, a majority, have Texas license plates and you know they're stolen.

So some of the intel that I've received is that Corpus is getting hit with a lot of auto thefts and they're going somewhere and we need to identify where they're going. But I'm venturing to say that it's going your way.

So this is what we're here for, right. We said networking. Get together with Corpus, find out -- and this is the conversation that we're going to have also with Corpus -- try to find out, hey, what can we do to kind of bridge that gap that we have between Corpus and the cars going that way. Again, there's a substantial amount of auto thefts happening in Corpus and it's concerning, but again, this is something that we need to address just so it doesn't get out of hand.

But I know that a lot of those photos that I've seen on social media and those reports that I get, they're all Texas license plates. And you know very well they're going to be stolen.

So again, thank you for everything that you do.

That's all the questions that I have, unless
any other member has questions.

MR. CANADY: Can you talk about the supervisory

1.3

2.3

level of the sub-grantees, such as Pharr and Edinburg and McAllen and the rest of those? That's from the task force, your position, and Lieutenant, you too, how y'all supervise those agents assigned to the sub-grantees.

MR. DELGADO: So as we had mentioned, the lieutenant is the task force commander. I am the program manager. I'm the one that deals with the sub-grantees the most.

They do submit a progress report to myself at the end of each quarter which is reviewed, and anything that needs to be changed, I will let them know. The sergeant in McAllen, he oversees McAllen, obviously. But due to there being those three agencies in the Hidalgo County area, he is also there available for the other two agencies should there be some sort of issue that needs to be brought up so that he can also contact me more directly should there be a need to do so.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Sarge. Thank you, LT.

We're going to take a ten-minute break. It is 9:52; we'll be back here at 10:02.

(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) 1 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Everybody, please take your 2 seat. It is 10:10 a.m. and we're now back in session. 3 4 City of Burnet -- Burnet County. 5 So we're going to keep it to five minutes, 6 guys, just because some of us have flights right after the 7 meeting. And I know at the pace we're going we'll probably be here till 5:00 a.m. -- 5:00 p.m. 8 9 (General laughter.) 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So we'll keep it to five minutes. So Earl is going to have a clock over there and 11 12 he's going to say time, and then we're going to cease it 1.3 there. 14 MR. STINEHOUR: Mr. Chairman, Board, thank you 15 for having us here. And I want to give thanks to the 16 coordination of the conference. We're all excited, we're 17 glad this is incorporated into this deal, and the MVCPA staff for putting this on, and all the talk around is 18 19 really good news, and hopefully we can continue with this 20 in the future, like you're saying every year. So thank 21 you for that. 22 My name is Howard Stinehour. I'm the commander 2.3 of the Heart of Texas Auto Theft Task Force. We're housed 24 out of Burnet.

We cover an area from south of Hillsboro, I

25

guess you could say, all the way down to Austin, that I-35 gap, all the way into the Hill Country, back through Fort Hood. We assist Fort Hood CID; we have an agreement with them to work with their CID when things come off post, back through Coryell County, Lampasas County, all the way up to Hamilton-Mills County, back in that area. Mainly about 14 counties that we respond to requests for assistance.

2.3

We have MOUs for the majority of those. Of course those MOUs are going to grow substantially with the assistance in our coverage area.

What we're asking for, as y'all see, a investigator, which is -- our biggest need would be McLennan County Sheriff's Office, Waco, I-35. We've been trying to get that for years. Our task force originated up in the Waco area so we're in desperate need of that coverage. We have to commute two hours sometimes to meet up as a unit to investigate or work on cases in the Waco area.

We're also trying to get an investigator in the Williamson County area, which used to be part of SCATTF.

But they've kind of -- over the years, in transition of staffing and sheriffs, that kind of fell off the radar.

So they're asking to jump back on.

We already have an MOU with that county. We

have investigators that have been trained in auto theft already, they assist us with 68-As already, so they're in and they're excited and they're hoping they can join our task force with an investigator.

2.3

Basically, I'm trying to also ask for an admin back, I guess you could say. We had one before we had to cut due to funding in the past. I'm kind of the project manager, the admin, the commander.

And it's taxing for me to be an investigator also because I still go out on the street with the guys, I go to those locations, I do the 68-As, we do the multi-jurisdictional investigations together. But we do need some help, so I'm asking hopefully we can get that approved.

McLennan County has a population of 263,000 and they had 536 vehicles stolen in 2022. And a lot of those, when they're multi-vehicle thefts or multi suspects, Waco Police Department, McLennan County Sheriff's Office, Robinson, all those towns, they'll call on us to assist with our databases, our resources. Because, as everyone knows, those investigators are property crime investigators and those cases are not even assigned. The majority of them, they're just put in a file.

So when they can reach out to us -- we do nothing but auto theft, burglary, title fraud. They do

it, and we're grateful and we enjoy it, so we have a good rapport with McLennan County area.

2.3

The Williamson County for 2022 had 505 stolen vehicles, 1,742 BMVs in '22 and they're desperately asking for help. Once they got their new sheriff in, we had meetings and it was conveyed immediately how do we get on, so they're desperate. We already do all their search warrants for any repair shops, chop shops.

Any time they have anything auto-related, they call us for joint investigations. They have staffing problems, so we enjoy doing that. So that's another problem there.

Back to the analyst position, this analyst, if I could get that back -- or admin, I guess you could say, I'm trying to get this person -- if I could get one, would be the admin/analyst. We rely on Gina with Galveston; we call on her weekly for analyst information to help.

When we use NICB or Fusion Center or things like that, there's delays. If you're out on an active case and you need information, we need that source that can have all those databases available to help provide that to you live, so that's a plus for an analyst. We could send that person to training.

As we tried in the past with our previous admin, we were going to try to integrate all that as one.

It was in motion but then budgeting, of course, hurt that.

2.3

That admin would also cover compiling the quarterly reports, all the finance, all the things that comes with admin. So there's plenty of work to be done to help out to get me back out on the street to help out on some of these things.

MS. BENAVIDES: Sir, your time is up. (General laughter.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: What kind of car are you applying for for the \$25,000 that you requested?

MR. STINEHOUR: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. We asked for two vehicles, if this is approved, for McLennan and Williamson County.

As of right now, the last two purchases I've made are through the Texas Sheriffs Association, and if you click on procurements under there, there are vehicles, all makes and models, pickup trucks, SUVs, everything, \$34- to \$37,000 a vehicle. We're buying them at that cost.

We're trading in our older vehicles so I bought a '22 Tahoe, unmarked, for out the door \$24,000. I gave them a pickup which was an MVCPA pickup which had 160,000 miles on it but it had transmission issues. Took it to Tomball through that Sheriffs Association, they gave me

\$10,000 off the price of the \$34-, so we made out.

2.3

I bought Coryell County a Z71 crew cab pickup, couldn't pass it up, it was an offer for \$34,000. I mean, it's four-wheel drive, what we needed. They didn't have any of the standard pickups so they said we have Z71s, we'll give it to you at that price. That's how they're listed on the site today.

There's Chargers, Durangos, all of this in the Dallas area. I just quoted pricing, if this was to go through, just trying to get a feel for what we could get, there's Chargers and SUVs for the same price in the Dallas area.

So the Sheriffs Association goes through kind of regional, kind of where you're at. If you're in the Houston area, they have dealerships in that area. If you're in the Dallas area, they have dealerships there.

And that's another option if the lease thing doesn't work out, if it's too much.

We do have leased vehicles, not with the grant but the Sheriff's Office has a couple of leased vehicles that the jail used with Enterprise, and we haven't had any issues with those. So either way you go, it's just kind of the pricing. You've just got to negotiate, because Enterprise is a negotiating type, what you want in that vehicle.

1	If you want to drill a hole for a microphone or
2	put lights in it or put striping on it or whatever, they
3	allow that, but there's just different packages as to what
4	those come out to. So that's the vehicle issue.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So now it makes sense, it's a
6	trade-in.
7	MR. STINEHOUR: Yes, sir.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Anybody have any questions?
9	(No response.)
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
11	MR. STINEHOUR: Thank you.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Corpus Christi.
13	MR. LIAROMATIS: Good morning. Mr. Chairman, I
14	took your advice last night, went out and found a suit, a
15	little bit more appropriate today.
16	(General laughter.)
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you for that.
18	MR. LIAROMATIS: My name is Andrew Liaromatis
19	with the Corpus Christi Police Department. I am the
20	program manager.
21	MR. TULEY: Lt. Mark Tuley, the auto theft task
22	force commander, Corpus Christi, Texas.
23	MR. LIAROMATIS: So I know we're on the clock
24	here so I'm going to try and just go through some of the
25	information that y'all requested of us pretty quickly.

We're the border port city of approximately 318,000 residents, serve about 900 square miles. In fiscal year '22-23 we had six investigators, a crime analyst and a program manager. We all served under Lt. Tuley. We recovered 754 vehicles, had 220 arrests, and recovered approximately \$12.4 million of vehicle units and weapons.

2.3

We want to keep progressing and we're requesting the resources to do so. Thanks to the MVCPA and the hard work of all of you guys, we now have that ability.

The RRS grant last year that was awarded to us allowed us access to Vigilant ALPR for the first time in our agency's history, and that has been tremendous. And because of that, we now have a DPO working directly with us proactively, looking for vehicles and cars and addressing that vehicle crime problem that you mentioned earlier.

Pressing forward, we want to remain aggressive in attacking the motor vehicle crime problem along the Coastal Bend. And so with our application we are asking for an additional investigator and an intake specialist to kind of bring us out of that defense mode and become a lot more proactive. With those resources and that DPO that we're now able to provide to the program as an in-kind

service, we believe that we can do that.

1.3

2.3

And just some of the highlights based off of our application. It's going to include an increased strength of LEO and of course the training that goes along with it. It's going to increase the policing technology.

Now that we have the ALPR system, we're really looking to expand on that with that with the L6Qs and the LM5 that we have out in our direct patrol. And then we're also going to increase the citizen response time because as a larger city we do have a very large influx of calls, requests, things like that that really, really take our investigators that have to work the desk away from working these crimes.

We're also increasing our proactive strategies -- I know Lt. Tuley might touch on that a little bit -- and we're also going to increase our public awareness efforts to round out everything.

But we're excited and we look forward to fiscal year '24, and if you guys have any questions for us, you can beat us up now.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any questions from the Board?

MR. LIAROMATIS: I have all exits identified.

(General laughter.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So have you identified the problem that you're having, where are those vehicles are

being taken after they're stolen?

2.3

MR. TULEY: Lt. Mark Tuley, for the record.

We have identified something here recently that we think is problematic in our city. I don't want to get too much into it in this area because it's just beginning, but it has to do with regulated businesses. And one of the things that we're going to do with an extra investigator is dedicate one investigator -- obviously not the new one -- but one of our investigators full-time to regulatory inspections and compliance with salvage yards, mechanic shops and that kind of thing. And I think that that is going to have a huge impact on auto crime in Corpus.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And one of the things also that being a border city, before the general for Texas

Syndicate was in Laredo, now that person is in your jurisdiction.

MR. TULEY: Yes, sir.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: The one that is in command for Texas Syndicate is in your jurisdiction. And I know that they work very close with the cartel. So I think that's something that's alarming because they're always going to ask for cars.

And I know you have your violent crime unit and your gang unit, and that's something that I'm going to

1	propose to you that we can maybe talk to each other and
2	see. Because there's obviously something happening
3	because of the increasing numbers that you're having, and
4	I want to make sure that if you need anything from this
5	Authority, that you ask for it so that we can get it done.
6	Especially, as we said before, the LPRs, whether it's
7	Motorola or the Flock system whatever it is that we
8	need to capture the individuals driving those vehicles and
9	then we can spin off from there and having those debriefs
10	from him and see if we can get to the main guy. That's
11	the task here.
12	MR. TULEY: Yes, sir. I appreciate that,
13	Chief. Thank you.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any other questions?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you very much, Corpus.
17	MR. LIAROMATIS: Thank you.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: City of Dallas.
19	MR. RODEN: Good evening, Board. My name is
20	Bryan Roden with the City of Dallas Auto Theft task Force.
21	I won't talk for too, too long, throw out stats
22	or anything like that, because I want to make sure all
23	your questions are answered or anything like that.
24	Our task force has been trying to expand over
25	the last few years. Right now we have one office

assistant, nine detectives, me, and I'm trying to expand to two patrol officers.

2.3

Our day-to-day operations are pretty typical.

We're pretty much a proactive unit. We've built two bait cars over the last two years, Hellcats. We've got one GMC truck that we built into a bait car.

And I'm open for any questions you guys have about the program, the application I submitted.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Having the increasing numbers in your jurisdiction, what is something you're going to be doing differently this coming year that you think would be something that would be reducing the numbers, at least by one percent in that jurisdiction?

MR. RODEN: Well, we found out that the patrol officers that we had assigned to our task force has been a tremendous help. They've enabled us to alleviate some of the strain we put on patrol officers when we're asking them for help. Having those two uniformed officers with us allows us to kind of quicker access, quicker attack and target chop shops without asking for patrol help, make traffic stops without asking for patrol help, and just be a more cohesive proactive unit.

When we set out the bait cars, they're there to be able to take down offenders without asking for patrol, to have like a lot of uniformed presence. We're able to

conduct a lot of operations more quickly without having to take resources away from patrol.

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Within your agency, I know you're an Intellenet police agency. Do you get the reports on hotspots around your city and you respond to those? Or are you actively looking at being proactive and saying, hey, you know what, this is -- of course you're handling your investigations but on the reactive part of it, how is it that you're handling -- well, managing your people?

How many investigators do you have under you?

MR. RODEN: I have nine detectives.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So I believe you're stretching yourself too thin because of the fact that you do have those long-term organized crime investigations, plus you're also reacting to the hotspots in your city. City of Dallas is huge. It's a monster, and we all know this.

And I wanted to see back -- when we come back to the catalytic converter issue, you know, we can expand your program into kind of reacting to those with a lot more personnel than what you currently have. I know the City of Dallas -- how many is the City of Dallas doing as an in-kind?

MR. RODEN: Right now I think we moved three over to our in-kind position.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: There are three?

1.3

2.3

MR. RODEN: There's four people in our in-kind position.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: How many is MVCPA funding?

MR. RODEN: Seven right now. That's with the expansion with the patrol officers. I put one funded as a uniformed police officer in our program to help do that.

The expansion is what we've been trying to do.

It's always stretching ourselves thin. I don't know if

there's an agency here that can't say they're either

overwhelmed or overworked. I think that's just part of

the nature.

We do get updates on hotspots and things like that, and what we do is try to target those with specific actions. There's always a couple of detectives that are geared towards those long-term investigations and that's what they mainly handle, then there's detectives that kind of gear towards striking with the patrol officers to certain areas. The patrol officers allows us to be able to analyze hotspots and we're able to go to there and specifically target those areas.

We work with each unit, CRT teams, crime response teams, out of each division and they'll let us know what areas they're trying to target. If there's an operation they want us to conduct, then we'll take the

bait cars out there and help conduct those operations.

2.3

It's always a shell game of trying to manage the detectives' workloads to what's expected of the city.

And I don't know if there's ever going to be an answer to that, but it's very time-consuming and it's just something that we have to deal with.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's labor-intensive, we know that, and that's why it's just food for thought. But again, thank you for coming in today and presenting your grant application.

Board members, any questions for Dallas PD? (No response.)

MR. RODEN: I do want to say, Mr. Rodriguez, that right now I know there has been some talk about -- and it always seems to come up, because I remember coming before the Grants and Budget Committee and asking for the exemption for the truck where we went up from like \$600 to \$900. And I agree with you, we have two leased vehicles right now and my plan was to give one back once we completed the purchase from this current fiscal year's vehicle.

Right now I'm able to get a Ford F-150 for around \$1,000, which is a little bit cheaper than Mr.

Gonzalez, what he pays for his. That's what our contract rate is. I feel like it's very important to be able to

kind of have both in your task force.

1.3

2.3

I understand the pros and cons of each one, but there needs to be a distinction of leased vehicles and rental vehicles. You can do more with a rental vehicle, per se, than a leased vehicle, given the mileage restrictions and things like that. The City of Dallas has a contract to rent vehicles and we drove one rental vehicle up here and another vehicle that the MVCPA purchased for us a couple of years back, we drove it up here too.

All the vehicles that we currently have that are MVCPA-purchased, we have maintained those. And I know there's been some talk about maintenance and there's a line item category in my budget for maintenance.

So I do agree with you and I would support the rental vehicles, but I also think that there needs to be equal distribution of vehicles that are owned that a task force can manipulate, do things with to whatever is going on in their program, plus vehicles that are leased that they can rely on, go places, do things with.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you for that. And I think it's always good to have both, but given the situation that we have right now with budget, we're looking at something that has to be a win-win situation. We're here and we're looking at these applications and

some of them just do not make sense, and that's why I'm just being honest here.

2.3

What Burnet stated right now where he traded in a vehicle that was MVCPA and they got something out of it and the vehicle cost us \$25,000. That's something that's not going to give us heartburn. But at the end of the day, if you're bringing in an application that you're requesting \$85,000, \$87,000 for one vehicle, that will be very irresponsible for this Board to approve.

But I agree with you, there has to be some of them can be purchased, some of them can be leased. But I can tell you this, for Laredo PD, we had two purchased vehicles and they were 2008 Chevrolet pickups. They were sitting there because one of them the transmission gave out. After five years there was no more warranty, so it was sitting there until the city decided, okay, we're going to pour some money into it.

We poured some money into it and then something happened with the electrical part of it. Again, it's sitting there until the city decided to fix that, and then we got it back on and then we decided to trade it in. They gave us \$6,000 for it, and there we are.

And we have to offer it to MVCPA but we have to be honest and say you're going to have trouble with this vehicle. We cannot give it to another agency to make it

their problem. 1 2 So it's one of those that we have to bring 3 balance sometimes. And it's pros and cons, I want to say, when it comes to purchased vehicles as well. 4 5 Thank you, Dallas. 6 Dallas County. Good morning. 7 MS. KNIGHT: Good morning. 8 MR. JONES: Good morning. 9 MS. KNIGHT: I am Cpt. Shelley Knight. I'm the 10 program manager for the North Texas Auto Theft Task Force. MR. JONES: Sgt. Jerry Jones, Sheriff's Office, 11 task force commander. 12 13 MS. KNIGHT: I think the first thing I want to 14 do is kind of give you a little history of our task force. 15 We were formed in 1993. We've been here for a little bit 16 of time, and at one time we had eight full-time 17 investigators, a couple of sergeants, admin assistant and 18 some part-timers. 19 Now we're down to three investigators, one 20 sergeant, and two part-time people at 20 percent -- they 21 come once a week. The investigators spend most of their 22 time just recovering vehicles and performing 68-A

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

say this year we've made 747 recoveries, totaling \$14

Every Thursday we do our 68-As. I'd like to

2.3

24

25

inspections every week.

million, and we've made 12 arrests, and we've had 2,296 68-A inspections. We spend a lot of our time collaborating and assisting other agencies when we're not doing those recoveries. And I do want to say all of those were direct touches on recoveries, that is not our patrol, that is not anyone but my three investigators out there recovering those vehicles, and they're working themselves to death.

1.3

2.3

And in my grant application I've asked for six more people which included six vehicles, which I think there was a confusion because I am the one that asked for 400-something thousand dollars on vehicles, but it was for six vehicles and a bait vehicle in that. Because we have not been able to do covert operations or bait operations because we haven't had the manpower to do it, not enough to do it safely -- we'll put it that way -- and that's something that we do want to get involved in.

We are over a five-county area. We have Dallas County, Kaufman County, Rockwall, Denton and Collin County. In my grant application I already have confirmation that Kaufman County wants to join our task force, Rockwall County wants to join the task force, the City of DeSoto is wanting to come back and join the task force.

And I've been in talks with Collin County and

they're actually going to send two officers to kind of 1 train and look at what we do to see if they'd like to 3 join. So we are trying to expand it back to the way it 4 was, so that we can give the coverage that is needed for 5 all of the counties out there. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, any questions? MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. 7 8 Captain, in your application you have in there 9 request for \$60,000 for a bait car. Have you tried 10 working with the insurance companies to try to get the particular vehicle it is that you need? 11 12 MS. KNIGHT: No, sir, we have not. I didn't 13 know how to go about doing that. I put it in there 14 because y'all said put what you need, what you would like, 15 and that's why we did that, but I'm willing. 16 I've been learning -- I've loved this 17 conference because I've been talking to a lot of people. In fact, the one from Burnet County, he told me about the 18 19 Sheriffs Association to go and look on the procurement. 20 I'm all for doing however we need to do it to find the 21 vehicles. 22 But also, DeSoto has since offered a vehicle. 2.3 They have a bait truck that they have also said that we

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Smith, I believe that you

24

25

could use.

were discussing earlier wanting to be able to connect task forces with the insurance companies, and so maybe that would be a great opportunity to get started on that.

1.3

2.3

MR. SMITH: Member Smith, for the record.

I think that traditionally over the years bait vehicles have, as many of you know, sources related to property and casualty insurance companies that are out there. And as I was mentioning -- I might have been talking to Roland Luna last night at the table at the meal -- COVID really hurt the ability to get insurance companies engaged because of the market of vehicles and the financial implications and so forth, but I think we're behind that now.

And so it's my intention to try and get the interest of as many of my colleagues that are out there back into the thoughts of getting bait vehicles back in the field. It's something that's needed, and without them, your efforts -- I mean, you've got to have it.

You know, TAVTI may be a great place for us to have those conversations as we move forward. Insurance carriers have kind of shied away from TAVTI in the later years because of some perceived restrictions on confidential information and who's entitled to information at training and who isn't. But I think that's an easy hurdle, take a little time, but, yeah, it's a great place

to start.

2.3

And so maybe visit with Jamie Vignali or whoever you're doing business with within NICB and start having those conversations because they're really a great starting place.

But I will admit that recoveries from insurance carriers who know that you have something recovered that may or may not have some value as a bait vehicle, it's worth reaching out to the carrier and just asking is there any way that we can keep this vehicle for use as a bait vehicle. You can get some traction out of that for sure, but you've got to ask.

And so insurance carriers generally are not going to have bait vehicles in the lot and say, okay, today we're going to give out five of them and who all needs one. That's not the way that those things are going to materialize.

So, yeah, feel free to start looking into those options because that's really where you're going to get some traction in terms of getting a bait vehicle. It may not always be what you want. Everybody has their idea about the ideal bait vehicle, but sometimes you get it and sometimes you have to work with what you've got, and we understand that.

And again, it depends geographically about

where your problems are. So I understand the issues and 1 2 the problems that you run into with that. 3 MS. KNIGHT: Yes, sir. Thank you. 4 MR. GONZALEZ: And I also want to point out 5 it's my understanding, Sqt. Roden, didn't State Farm reach 6 out to you about donating some bait vehicles. Am I 7 correct? 8 MR. RODEN: (Inaudible response from audience.) 9 MR. GONZALEZ: And so I would encourage you to 10 work with, Captain, here since we're kind of in the same backyard and maybe we can set up some kind of sharing 11 12 agreement, I don't know. But regardless, at least 13 information sharing about contacts. 14 MS. KNIGHT: Yes, sir. Thank you. 15 MR. GONZALEZ: I do want to see a different 16 strategy coming from Dallas County. I know that the 17 recovery part is good, but I've always told my guys we're 18 not a recovering agency. 19 If patrol finds a vehicle, let them pick it up 20 through what we call the 75 Report, a recovered vehicle 21 report, and let them handle that. I want them to task 22 themselves to invest their time in investigations, because 2.3 here we are just recovering vehicles but all these people

that are stealing the vehicles are just there stealing the

24

25

vehicles.

So I want to see more from Dallas County in regards to investigations. I know that you requested for that to happen, but at the same time, we're looking at numbers of recovered vehicles. And I don't want Dallas County to be a recovering agency, I want Dallas County to be a very proactive agency with investigations and coming forward with more arrests than what you have.

2.3

And I'm not putting a quota, don't get me wrong, but I'm just saying we have agencies here with multiple arrests, multi-jurisdictional investigations, and here we are with Dallas County, a very big county, with just recovered vehicles.

MS. KNIGHT: Yes, sir. And I do want to say that we have been changing our focus to dealership fraud, more fraud case work. That's one of the things. In fact, we took the class that was offered at the car show in Dallas earlier this year and since then we've already made three arrests where the dealership has called and we were there and were waiting, and we're doing that and we're trying to build that up. And with more officers we'll be able to actually respond to more dealerships and get more of that going.

MR. GONZALEZ: Captain, I have a question for you. Does your task force seize vehicles?

MS. KNIGHT: We have not recently, but yes, in

the past we have. 1 2 MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. And what do y'all do with these seized vehicles? 3 MS. KNIGHT: I couldn't tell you. 4 I wasn't 5 there when they did it. It's been a long time ago when 6 they actually seized a vehicle. 7 MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. And I quess where I'm 8 going with this is if you were to seize a vehicle again, 9 am I correct that you would be able to modify it? 10 MS. KNIGHT: Yes, sir. MR. GONZALEZ: And so you would be able to put 11 an LPR on there, if you want to drill a hole. 12 13 MS. KNIGHT: Yes, sir. And we have LPRs, my 14 three detectives and the detective that's in Corsicana and 15 we also have one on a patrol car. 16 MR. GONZALEZ: It seems to be pretty common 17 practice, at least I see quite a few task forces that because of car shortages and because of funding, these 18 19 seized vehicles are used by investigators and for covert 20 operations and whatnot. And so I just want to point out 21 that drill a hole, put an LPR on there, whatever you need 22 to do, go out there and seize a car, do some cop work. 2.3 That's all. Thank you, Captain. 24 MS. KNIGHT: Yes, sir. Thank you. 25 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Anybody else have any more

1	questions?
2	MR. CANADY: Cpt. Knight, Joe Canady, for the
3	record.
4	In reference to the Kaufman County deputy
5	constable position, is that 100 percent?
6	MS. KNIGHT: Yes, sir.
7	MR. CANADY: Historically I'm under the
8	understanding that constable positions have statutory
9	functions that they have to do. So will this position be
10	able to be dedicated to the task force without having
11	other statutory functions to complete for their duty?
12	MS. KNIGHT: Yes, sir.
13	MR. CANADY: Okay.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And do you have a contingency
15	plans for your vehicles, if we go into the direction of
16	leasing, whether you have a contingency plan if this does
17	not get approved?
18	MS. KNIGHT: Yes, sir.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: You have vehicles right now?
20	MS. KNIGHT: Not for those six, but they're in
21	the budget talks now and my chiefs are working on that
22	currently. Yes, sir.
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you, Dallas
24	County.
25	MR. JONES: Thank you, Board.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Eagle Pass. 1 2 MR. CARDONA: Sqt. Rene Cardona with Eagle Pass Police Department, and this is --3 4 MR. FUENTES: Lt. Gerardo Fuentes, the program 5 manager. 6 MR. CARDONA: Eagle Pass, border grantee, we're 7 currently working with the staff of one sergeant, which is me, and I have two full-time detectives. We are applying 8 9 for an additional detective, along with two additional 10 vehicles. 11 Currently what we have accomplished and established as far as collaboration with other agencies, 12 1.3 we go from the Federal Government down to local entities. 14 We work very well with U.S. Customs and Border Protection 15 in our bridge port operations. That has somewhat shifted 16 since we acquired LPRs. 17 So that, in and of itself, has opened up a new trend and a new strategy that we have to steer to in the 18 19 sense that we are detecting vehicles coming into our area 20 of responsibility by different corridors that go into Eagle Pass. And the crooks themselves have shifted to 21 22 avoid those LPR detections, so we're constantly moving 2.3 forward to keep up with all these trends. 24 One particular story behind that is we have

been monitoring a series of license plates that have been

25

cloned, like every other task force has experienced. And that's how we've been able to detect through LPR readings vehicles that are with false registration or improper registration, rather. That, in and of itself, has led us to arrests from the San Antonio area, Houston area and stuff like that that is directly linked to human smuggling. So the acquiring of the LPRs has really increased our activity tremendously.

1.3

2.3

And as far as our participation with the outbound operations, we have moved personnel from actually being at the bridge to actually acting as a second tier during these outbound operations. So we do somewhat counter surveillance and the interdiction coming into our jurisdiction.

So we still tend to the bridge port operations, in and of itself, but directly touching the vehicles at the bridge while going out, we rely on our friendly forces which is U.S. Customs, sheriff's office and so on. And that's pretty much where we're at.

Because of those LPRs, we are requesting

Toughbooks, the laptops to assign to personnel to have a

quicker response, be able to look at the LPR readings and
so on and so forth, ISO claim search, and easier access.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And these are going to be attached to the units?

MR. CARDONA: Yes, sir.

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: How are we doing with recoveries in Eagle Pass?

MR. CARDONA: Our recoveries are pretty much steady, the same as we're going from last year. We're a little bit low, but what I attribute that to is the changing in trends, being that we have the LPRs and so on, so we have to adapt to all of that. We've been dealing with the paper tags that are not picked up by the LPRs, so we're shifting to more aggressive stops by our patrol guys and us being involved in fully identifying the vehicle to match whatever it's displaying.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any questions from the Board?
MS. JONES: Member Jones for the record.

On your 91K for the two trucks, can you give us your position on lease versus purchasing, what your thoughts are?

MR. CARDONA: We have never leased vehicles as a department. The HIDTA grant has done that. What I feel that hasn't been done with our department is because of the life expectancy that we have assigned to one investigator and so on -- so our oldest unit right now I believe is a 2013, and it barely went into the mechanic shop because of transmission problems and so on, and it's been there for over a month. As far as us looking into

that, we would need to study it. 1 2 MR. GONZALEZ: So I'm sorry. You said HIDTA. 3 Does Eagle Pass participate in HIDTA? MR. CARDONA: There is a couple of officers 4 5 assigned to the DEA task force. 6 MR. GONZALEZ: Can you speak a little bit about 7 what HIDTA is? The High Intensity Drug 8 MR. CARDONA: 9 Trafficking? He would be able to --10 MR. GONZALEZ: Yes, high level. MR. FUENTES: Yes, it's the High Intensity Drug 11 12 Trafficking Area. We have seen a decrease with our HIDTA 13 program. We went down from six -- we lost the lease 14 program and we went down from six assigned TFOs to three. 15 16 I know that the city in itself last year did a 17 study in trying to get the city as a whole to go to a 18 leasing program, but that hit a wall somewhere and they 19 kind of put it to sleep for now. They may release it next 20 year. 21 MR. GONZALEZ: But on a high level, what HIDTA 22 is, it's a grant program for law enforcement agencies to 2.3 be able to combat narcotics and drug trafficking. Am I 24 correct? 25

MR. FUENTES: That's correct.

1	MR. GONZALEZ: So it's a very similar program
2	to the MVCPA, whereby we fund fighting motor vehicle
3	theft. Am I correct?
4	MR. FUENTES: That's correct.
5	MR. GONZALEZ: And so what y'all mentioned is
6	that the HIDTA program does leases and it works out just
7	fine.
8	MR. CARDONA: If I may answer to that. As far
9	as us directly having control over the grant, we don't,
10	that's on the DEA side.
11	MR. GONZALEZ: Got it.
12	MR. CARDONA: So that would be more like a
13	finance question.
14	MR. GONZALEZ: Got it.
15	MR. CARDONA: I would need to really study it
16	be able to comfortably answer your question.
17	MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So HIDTA does have different
19	options: they have lease, they have purchases. I know
20	
	with DEA in Laredo because HIDTA does have different
21	with DEA in Laredo because HIDTA does have different representatives, you've either got HIDTA task force
21 22	
	representatives, you've either got HIDTA task force

And I can tell you that we've purchased

25

vehicles through HIDTA because it's allowable, but we also 1 do lease vehicles through HIDTA because it's allowable. 3 It just depends on the situation. 4 And with HIDTA there's a representative from 5 every agency and they justify their purchases, they 6 justify their personnel. It's a different system, 7 mechanism that they have for HIDTA versus MVCPA, but at 8 the end of the day, it's the same mission. For them it's 9 to reduce the narcotics part and for us it's to reduce the 10 auto theft. But the options are there, it's just options leasing versus purchasing, so it's something that's 11 doable. 12 13 I think you were missing the lieutenant's name 14 for the record? 15 MR. FUENTES: Gerardo Fuentes, G-E-R-A-R-D-O 16 F-U-E-N-T-E-S. 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Anybody else has any more 18 questions? 19 (No response.) 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Eagle Pass. El Paso. 21 22 MR. PORRAS: Good morning. I'm Ricardo Porras, 2.3 for the record. I'm the task force commander for the El Paso PD Auto Theft Task Force. 24 25 MS. COSTA: Good morning. I'm Officer Jessica

Costa, also with the El Paso Police Department Auto Theft
Task Force. I'm going to speak very quickly since we are
limited on our time.

2.3

Our task force is comprised of 24 sworn personnel. Of those personnel, we have two field teams. Each field team consists of six officers each.

Having those field teams enables us to provide more coverage throughout the city and in the county, which we also cover. We usually can provide coverage from 8:00 in the morning to midnight. That also allows us to assist multiple other agencies that we cover throughout El Paso County.

Having field teams lets us be more proactive.

We locate, identify trends, respond to such trends,
respond to LPR hits, identify and target prolific auto
theft offenders, we conduct surveillance, do take-downs,
any subsequent arrests, also deal with any subsequent
crimes stemming from those arrests. Any of those could be
anywhere from drugs, weapons, financial crimes, crimes
against children, and human smuggling.

Collaboration is really big for our area because we are a very large metropolitan area which actually has a population of about 2.5 million people. That's considering all of El Paso County, Fort Bliss, Juarez, Mexico, and Las Cruces, New Mexico.

We border actually two different counties in New Mexico to our north and there's no other task force within 400 miles. That makes us the go-to for any auto theft or motor vehicle crime related issues. We regularly collaborate with agencies such as Border Patrol, DPS, Las Cruces, New Mexico, and even Mexico.

1.3

2.3

These relationships that we've been able to make throughout this collaboration has really enabled us to further investigate even the out-of-town recovered vehicles. We don't just recover the vehicle that's been reported stolen in another jurisdiction, we contact that other agency, we locate the victim, and then we still pursue criminal charges against that individual who was driving that stolen vehicle now in our jurisdiction.

LPR is really big for us, not only in our investigations but in assisting other units within our department. Our department has also purchased Axon LPR cameras for all the marked units, which has multiplied our workload.

We also used mobile LPRs. Everyone in our unit has it on their cell phone and we're able to do LPRs throughout our entire workday.

But moving forward, we are looking at putting fixed LPR cameras at the bridges, as well as also in the future on the roadways coming into our jurisdiction from

New Mexico. Also, with Albuquerque not too far north, that is a very large auto crime area.

2.3

Also looking to the future, because we are so busy during our regular duty days, we would like to designate overtime operations through MVCPA funds where we can actually target some of the issues that we're having in our area. Some of these targeted operations, which we would create ops plans, would aim at obviously reducing auto theft and motor vehicle related crimes. We would focus on bridge operations of which we have four ports of entry within our city limits and one in the county.

We would do bait operations. We currently have one bait vehicle, one bait motorcycle, and are in the works with NICB to get another truck given to us. We'll do surveillance, warrant roundups, salvage yard and business inspections.

The amount of overtime rate for our unit is on average \$74 per hour, so with all 24 sworn personnel, if we could aim to do a six-hour operation twice a month, that puts us at about \$250,000.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, for the record.

How much money in overtime did y'all spend last
year?

MR. PORRAS: In just our task force, Member Gonzalez, we spent \$66,896 which comprised of late calls

and callout. So for late calls we had 341 late call hours and 563 callout hours.

MR. GONZALEZ: So Commander, you're saying we're going to go from \$66,000 a year in overtime to \$250,000.

2.3

MR. PORRAS: That's what we're asking for. And again, with the request comes additional responsibilities on our part. So one of the responsibilities is we're not just going to go out there and spend money, we're going to be accountable for that money.

Hopefully we'll see a decrease because of the overtime operations that are conducting in addition to our regular work. And some of the operations, like Officer Costa mentioned the bridge operations, for instance. Right now we're limited as to the port operations that we do during regular hours, so that would be in addition to our regular duties.

And it sounds like a lot of money, but what it comes down to for the unit, it's 24 operations over the fiscal year. So it's two operations per month.

MR. GONZALEZ: And I want to clarify, I mean, I'm not against giving you the money. I mean, that's a 400 percent increase in overtime. Would you be open to something like -- let's say that we gave you a reduced amount, and let's just throw a figure out there, like we

give you \$100,000 in overtime, and then if in the middle of the grant year you have hit that amount, we can come back and give you the rest. It's just one of these things, a 400 percent increase in overtime, I'm just wondering if that is going to happen, that's all.

MR. PORRAS: Absolutely.

2.3

MR. GONZALEZ: And I encourage you to do these operations, don't get me wrong. That's all.

MR. GONZALEZ: Yeah, absolutely we'll work with whatever allocation of money that we're given, and we're privy to whatever recommendations the Board makes. We'll definitely work with what we're allowed, and again, hopefully with those 100,000 we could show some sort progress and come back to the Board and show you that you were getting a good bang for your buck, so to speak.

MR. GONZALEZ: I mean, I think that would be great. And I want to throw that out to all the other task forces also, I mean, if you need overtime money, just come and ask.

But I also want to point out, Officer Jessica Costa, you mentioned that NICB is getting y'all a bait vehicle.

MS. COSTA: Yes, sir, that's correct. We've already been in the process of getting that other truck awarded to us through NICB.

1	MR. GONZALEZ: Outstanding. Would you mind
2	just kind of sharing that information with your fellow
3	task forces while you're here, or getting with Director
4	Canady and we can send out a blast on how you guys did it.
5	MS. COSTA: Absolutely.
6	MR. GONZALEZ: I think that's a great use of
7	resources. Good job, guys.
8	MR. PORRAS: Thank you.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: LT, what's your number of
10	arrests year-to-date?
11	MR. PORRAS: Year-to-date we have 97 theft of
12	vehicle arrests, which include theft of vehicle and UUVs.
13	We've had 687 recoveries with an approximate value of
14	\$9,646,000. We've had 12 recoveries from Mexico with a
15	value of \$412,137. And cases cleared using the UCR NIBRS
16	criteria, we've had 631 cleared cases.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All your units are outfitted
18	with Axon license plate readers?
19	MR. PORRAS: They're making the transition.
20	They started approximately three weeks ago, and I think
21	they're maybe three-quarters of the way.
22	And speaking to the Motorola people the day
23	before yesterday to the representative that was up front,
24	he was saying that there might be a way to incorporate
25	their data into Vigilant. So we're definitely going to

work with them, and of course, that's going to be a multiplier for us as opposed to going out and buying a bunch of LPRs right away.

2.3

But if we're allowed funding, by all means we'll add stationary LPRs at the bridges and do more than what we are allowed to do right now because of the lack of funding.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So that's one of the projects that we currently have on beta in Laredo. So we don't have Axon, we have Utility, so they also came up with a license plate reader for patrol calls.

And we have a real-time crime center and so what we're trying to do is implement a total of seven units with them as a pilot program. And as soon as it picks up a license plate, it will alert the officer, of course, that's driving the vehicle, but it will also alert the other officers within the vicinity that there's a stolen vehicle that a specific unit captured. It will zoom in to the location and it will alert the officers of that stolen vehicle, but everybody gets the alert within the vicinity, so they do a geofence around that.

So one of the things that I wanted to kind of put out there as pilot program was to maybe get a Kia, one of those small vehicles outfitted with those license plate readers, have the same access, but get students that are

in the criminal justice program to just drive around with that vehicle. Same thing as a Google concept, just drive around with that vehicle and whatever it's picking up, it will create a response from the units within the vicinity. They don't have to respond themselves because they're not cops but it will do something like that, it creates something like that, be innovative like that.

2.3

And that's something that I would like to test to see if it works, but at the same time pay a lot less because we're not funding a full-time officer, pay a lot of less for a student that's going through a criminal justice program, obviously vetted and do that background for them, but get a civilian to drive around, and as soon as it picks up those license plates. It's a very good model for us to follow if it works, because right now we're testing it, but if it's giving you the capability of creating that geofence within the vicinity of the officers that are around that area to respond to a license plate that comes back stolen, that's a win-win for everybody.

But I think if we move forward with something like this where we can inject civilians in driving around and not engaging, just driving around and wherever it picks it up, it will alert the officers and the officer that creates a response -- that's something that we can start doing and it will be a lot less costly than funding

a full-time officer and having an outfitted unit with all the license, siren and all that.

2.3

The other thing also is that for us to outfit a unit, a current unit that already has that Utility system, it's only costing us \$3,800. So imagine if we can fund a couple of units for \$3,800 apiece, that's great. Because LPRs are very expensive, but \$3,800 apiece and it's yours. It's not a lease, the maintenance is being paid by the agency, the maintenance fee for the software is being paid by the agency, so imagine if we can do something like that.

And that's what I mean about getting creative with what we have, with your current systems that you have in place, something like that would be great. And with Axon, with Motorola they already have an interface, I saw it working and it's great.

MR. PORRAS: And Chief, it I may. That's what we're hoping to do is integrate that system into our system, and once that happens, it's going to be outstanding for us. Because right now, unfortunately, the patrol division is the only one that has access to the Axon cameras. But if we get it integrated into the Vigilant burn system, we'll have access to it.

As of right now, we've seen a dramatic increase just with the number of units that are out there outfitted

with the Axon cameras because they do, like you were mentioning, get that immediate response, notification, and they have to take some sort of action. So we've been seeing positive and great results with that.

2.3

And just to change a note, we've been brainstorming maybe equipping our -- and again, it's just a thought at this moment that I mentioned to our chief's office -- maybe possibly doing garbage trucks because garbage trucks drive throughout the city and outfitting them maybe with these Axon cameras. Again, it's a city entity and it would just be some sort of MOU between the city and the different department. And again, it's just a thought at this time, so it's in its infancy, but we try to think outside the box whenever we can.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's a great idea. And that's what I'm talking about, thinking outside the box. Get creative with what we have, you already have that system in place.

I can tell you this that with Axon you can request from your MIS guys to give you administrative rights for those alerts through your phone, so you don't really have to be part of the whole interface system. But within the mobile phones they do have that capability, but the administrator has to give you those rights for your auto theft investigators to have. Just have to let them

know because sometimes administrators for the systems don't like to do that.

2.3

So just let them know, hey, we want to make sure that we get the alerts and that way they can do that through your mobile phones.

MR. PORRAS: We'll definitely go back and talk about that. Yes, sir. Thank you.

MS. JONES: The 250K is a huge jump but I do not believe in just saying no just because of appearances. It looks huge. Any task force, if you can justify it, and the need and you're responsible -- I do like the idea of incremental, but there's also an option to where for any task force whatever line item we have concern with, just make sure that we police that item. And at the end of the year if someone is asking for 80K and at the end of the year they have 30 less, instead of letting them spread it out or adjust it, just bring it back.

We have options. I just don't want to for any category just say absolutely not. We don't want to cripple any task force.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And again, I'm all for anything that's measurable. This is going to be measurable.

It's very simple, you ask for 250K, you come back with one arrest, you know what we're going to say next year. Very simple. It's not fuzzy math, it is what

1	it is.
2	But something that's measurable, I'm always
3	going to be for. So again, you're responsible, LT.
4	MR. PORRAS: All the time, sir.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Atta boy. Thank you, guys.
6	MR. GONZALEZ: Just to piggyback off of that,
7	again, like you said, \$250,000, we get one arrest for it,
8	well, next year we'll remember. My goal would be, hey, we
9	stop it at maybe \$100-, \$150,000 and we take a look at how
10	many arrests we're getting for our bang for our buck and
11	we're not out \$250,000, we might just be out \$150,000.
12	MR. PORRAS: Absolutely.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Not for one arrest, not
14	\$150,000 for one arrest.
15	MR. GONZALEZ: Exactly. We're on the same
16	page.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Absolutely. We are all on the
18	same page.
19	MR. PORRAS: We'll try to get more than that.
20	MS. COSTA: We will get more than that.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We're going to take another
22	ten-minute break and then we'll be back. It is 11:14 and
23	we'll be back at 11:25.
24	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's 11:40 and we're back in

session. So it looks like we're going to go through lunch, so in a little bit we're going to break for lunch and then we're going to resume back in session.

1.3

2.3

So for now I want to bring back Edinburg. I know that that they have their cases and recoveries. So is the Edinburg, South Texas Auto Theft Task Force, Brownsville.

MS. ZUNIGA: Hi. My name is Liza Zuniga. I work with Edinburg PD. I'm attached to the SIU, special investigations unit, of the Auto Theft Task Force.

I just wanted to take this opportunity to represent the Edinburg Police Department Auto Theft Task Force and share some success stories and what we're doing to address the motor vehicle crime in our city.

The City of Edinburg has a population of just over 100,000 and our city has recently implemented a new application and technology to identify the hotspots for burglaries and thefts in an effort to take a proactive approach to combat this issue. The application is live and it's constantly tracking motor vehicle crime as it's being reported, and that's very new for us.

We're also now using Evertel, which allows us to have better communication with the surrounding agencies and to be able to share intelligence quickly in reference to BOLOs and suspects when we have hot calls and stuff

like that for auto thefts.

1.3

2.3

And I just wanted to share with you that in 2022 the Edinburg Auto Theft investigators identified five males as prolific offenders involved in a string of burglaries of buildings that included theft of several vehicles and commercial construction equipment and components and tools. The Edinburg Auto Theft Task Force reached out to the local agencies to include DPS and Weslaco and they provided manpower for surveillance and shared intelligence which was vital to our cases. We executed multiple search warrants and arrest warrants throughout Hidalgo County which resulted in the arrests of five males with multiple felony charges and the recovery of \$2,645,823 of stolen equipment and vehicles.

In May of 2023, the Edinburg Auto Theft Task
Force investigators identified and arrested one male
subject who was responsible for over 50 burglaries of
vehicles this year, and this subject was apprehended and
arrested on 35 felony charges and three misdemeanor
charges. And my partner, Investigator Mata, secured a
search warrant which was executed and we recovered over
\$5,000 of stolen property.

We also face the issue, like most other departments here, that we're short on manpower and we currently have two units that are provided through the

grant, a 2009 and 2010 Ford F-150 with high mileage and 1 2 ongoing mechanical issues. Aside from my caseload, I am 3 responsible for the monthly and quarterly reports and the 68-A inspections. 4 5 And I did want to ask a question. If we are 6 granted an additional investigator, would we be able to 7 secure a unit for this position? 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So that would be, again,

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So that would be, again, something that we need to go back to each applicant and see where we're going to be meeting in the middle. It's not for sure that this is going to happen for every agency what they requested.

But I do want to say -- I want to ask when you have those issues in Edinburg, what does the task force do for you?

MS. ZUNIGA: I mean, we pretty much share information. Brownsville is kind of far from where we're at and they had their string of cases. I know they've been battling issues with the Denalis, the GMCs and stuff like that, so we're just short on manpower.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So what about McAllen that's right next to you?

MS. ZUNIGA: McAllen did actually have a couple of those cases with those guys that were identified last year, and we tried working together to share the

communication and jump on any cases that we had that matched the MOs and stuff like that. We held multiple meetings, briefings and stuff like that, and invited a large part of the surrounding agencies -- Hidalgo County Sheriff's Office, Weslaco, DPS was there. We try to include everybody. It seems to be the same issue, short on manpower or they have a lot of cases going on too.

1.3

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So if McAllen has 40-something cases of auto theft, right, and you guys have 100-something cases of auto theft, obviously you're the hotspot. And my question is — they can work their cases and there's some followup on it and it's something that gets hot on their table where there's a hot lead, I understand that they're going to track that. But if there's a hotspot situation in your jurisdiction, they're right next door, do they go and help you? No?

That's not the way it's working this task force? So it's really not a task force. I mean, be honest.

MS. ZUNIGA: We haven't done that yet, I guess you could say.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's what we're trying to get at. I think we believe that a task force should be working together. I know the jurisdiction is there, it's one town after another, but that's the whole mission of a

task force, to work together to combat auto crimes.

2.3

And if we're not doing that and we're just taking care of our jurisdiction, and this is where it creates an issue for me and for this Board where we're funding four investigators and a sergeant for McAllen, yet they have less auto theft than you do, how is it that we are not a task force working those cases together? How are we not communicating?

Because if it's affecting your area, it's affecting their area. Whatever affects their area is going to affect your area, because the suspects are going to go across Pharr, Edinburg, Alamo, Weslaco. They're going to go everywhere.

So if we're trying to create a task force and funding a task force, it should work like a task force. It shouldn't be like, oh, you take care of your own business and we take care of our own. It shouldn't be like that.

Because if it is, then we need to have a conversation about it because, again, we're funding four McAllen investigators and a sergeant versus the two in Edinburg. Who has the bigger number of auto thefts?

Edinburg. Same thing with Brownsville, same thing with Pharr.

So if you're not working as a task force there,

what's happening to Mission? Is anybody taking care of Mission? Have we offered them our help? Because we're there.

2.3

Hidalgo County SO, have they ever called us for help? That's a conversation that needs to happen because if we have other agencies here that are helping non-funded agencies that have no task force and they're going out there and they're going out of their way to help. I don't understand how in that jurisdiction you guys that are all funded are not helping each other, and that needs to be corrected because it needs to work like that.

I understand the chiefs are going to decide this is what we're going to do, but if we're MVCPA-funded investigators or sergeants, I strongly believe we have a say-so. They're fully funded FTEs and we have a say-so as to how things should happen there, and we look at the problem.

And that's why I keep on bringing back Odessa, bringing Corpus -- because it's a problem. You see those numbers going up and the statistics going up and it's concerning to us as a Board saying, hey, what are we doing to reduce the number of auto thefts here. Because we owe this to the Governor's Office, we owe this to the state, and we need to be very responsible about those hotspots.

So again, we need to have this conversation

about how we're going to handle the situation there with 1 the Brownsville task force because there needs to be more communication. There needs to be more collaboration. 3 4 That's a big ticket in our strategic plan with our goals 5 that we need to have that collaboration. And if everybody 6 is doing their own thing, I can tell you it's not going to 7 work out. 8 So thank you for coming here and stating your 9 recoveries, your cases, your caseload, and for being 10 honest about what's going on in the Brownsville task 11 force. I appreciate it. 12 MS. ZUNIGA: Thank you. 13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Anybody else have any 14 questions? Julio, Sharon? 15 MS. JONES: No, sir. 16 MR. GONZALEZ: No. 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 18 MR. GONZALEZ: Sqt. Roden, can you come back to 19 the floor, please? Just so all the task forces can kind of 20 21 replicate what you've been able to do, can you talk about 22 your partnership with the insurance companies as it 2.3 relates to the bait cars that you've been able to secure? 24 MR. RODEN: Yes. Right now we just entered

into kind of a negotiation with NICB and State Farm and

25

they're in the process of donating two bait cars specifically to be used in the City of Dallas. State Farm had requested -- there was a few cities that they reached out to NICB and stated that they wanted to put bait cars around the area and Dallas was specifically one of them. We're kind of in negotiations right now to be able to pick out which bait cars work best for us, but we should be able to secure them pretty soon. MR. GONZALEZ: And that was just with State

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Farm?

MR. RODEN: Right now this one is. We've had donations from other insurance agents. They usually come from NICB and we kind of negotiate with them through them. They've always been the middle man for us but they're a invaluable resource right now as we're working on it.

We also got into a contract with NICB to deploy them. They'll put one covert track system in one, I have a covert track system that's ready to be deployed in one, so at that time we'll have two bait cars that have covert track in them, in addition to the other three that we basically handmade ourselves at the department.

MR. GONZALEZ: And did NICB pay for the covert track system installation also?

MR. RODEN: The one I have, yes, and then the additional one that they're trying to put with the donated vehicle, they're purchasing that one too. The only thing that I have to pay for is the installation.

2.3

MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. And speaking for me, that's definitely something that if a task force needs funds for the installation of bait car equipment, please let us know whether we can try to do this on a Rapid Response Strikeforce Grant or if we've got to bring it up for the Board, but that's certainly an expenditure that I would certainly be in favor for for everyone.

So what you're saying is that if a task force wants to start some kind of partnership like you have with State Farm, the best place to start is with NICB. Am I right?

MR. RODEN: Oh, absolutely. I think I mentioned earlier that I'd be more than happy to help Dallas County kind of get into those partnerships and share in those resources to be able to help with them.

And one thing I do want to mention about the covert track system -- and probably everybody knows this; if you don't, it's good intel -- they're extremely backlogged. It took me a long time to kind of secure the other one that I have right now. But if that's something that that partnership needs to happen or something that they're thinking about purchasing, they just need to keep that in mind that they're backlogged and it's not an

overnight process. 1 2 MR. GONZALEZ: And then also the batteries 3 necessary for bait cars are a substantial cost, like a 4 couple thousand. Am I right? 5 What was it, \$4- to \$5,000 for MR. RODEN: 6 those batteries for the covert track system. And there 7 was one company that basically had those batteries. I 8 forgot where -- they're out around by Houston or something 9 like that. 10 MR. GONZALEZ: I did not know batteries get that expensive but for bait cars they do. And I mean, it 11 makes sense, you've got to keep cameras on all the time. 12 13 Thank you, Sarge. 14 Any other questions from the Board? 15 (No response.) 16 MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you. 17 MR. SMITH: Member Smith, for the record. just want to chime in about the relationship with NICB. 18 19 And for the audience here, our task force commanders and 20 folks, there's an agreement process through NICB that 21 insulates carriers to a large degree during the use of 22 bait vehicle operations. And so there's some indemnity 2.3 language and so forth that happens through the transition 24 of passing that vehicle off to law enforcement.

So it's not necessarily really that NICB holds

25

the keys to the kingdom, it's just that there's some 1 2 cooperative agreements and things that are in place that 3 facilitate that and make that happen. So I just want to 4 make sure that everybody understands that who's not aware 5 of how that works. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Dean. 7 I've got some registration forms from the 8 commander from Burnet. Howard, you already spoke. 9 Correct? We're fine with this? 10 And then we've got Commander Hal Barrow, but we're going to call up Galveston County. 11 12 MR. BARROW: Good morning. Lt. Hal Barrow, 13 Galveston County Auto Crimes Task Force. 14 Is this over the grant or the public speaking deal? 15 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: You've got five minutes. 17 MR. BARROW: Okay. Galveston County Auto Crimes Task Force, we're multi-agency and we're requesting 18 19 to be a multi-county task force, to bring in Brazoria 20 County Sheriff's office. The theft rate in Brazoria County is 485, Galveston County is 766. Burglary of motor 21 22 vehicles, we had 1,637 and 1,452 for Brazoria County. 2.3 For our stats year-to-date for FY23, we had 202 24 recoveries, 31 arrests from investigations, 475 68-A

inspections, recovered \$3,989,000 and that's at the end of

25

the third quarter for FY23.

2.3

We've been building on the LPR systems. We have Vigilant, we have commercial data through Vigilant, we have the Flock system. These are being bought through the sheriff's office which we have access to all of it. We have a marked unit with an LPR system for auto crimes, which doing that we respond to all the LPR hits.

That kind of brings me to the vehicles. I don't know if you want to get into that or not. We do respond -- we follow departmental policy on using emergency lights, pursuits.

When a marked unit gets there, the marked unit takes over the pursuit, we parallel. I know that was a policy issue that we were talking about.

We assist the tax office on frauds, we do training, crime prevention. We have a bait vehicle program, which -- Galveston Police Department, they had a seized vehicle they gave us. We've rigged it out with the covert system.

So we have six investigators and one analyst, which our analyst works for us and throughout the state and sometimes our country when people request an analyst. She's a motor vehicle theft analyst, not a crime analyst. There's a big difference between a motor vehicle theft analyst and a crime analyst.

A motor vehicle theft analyst helps us identify 1 2 trailers, trucks, equipment and stuff like that, where a 3 criminal analyst tells you where hotspots are and stuff 4 like that. It's a different variant. 5 That's all my justification. Do you have any questions? 6 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any questions for Galveston 8 County? 9 MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady. 10 Hal, you briefly started to and then stopped, but could you explain what the difference would be in a 11 leased vehicle versus a purchased vehicle for your agency? 12 13 MR. BARROW: What we do is purchase vehicles, 14 and I was kind of confused about all the conversations 15 everybody was given. When we go out we identify vehicles, 16 we have trucks that are rigged out with toolboxes. have total sets of tools in the vehicles, they're equipped 17 18 out with laptops, hopefully printers because when you go 19 out to a scene, you can't be running back and forth to the 20 office to get equipment or tools. 21 Sometimes you've got to take these cars apart 22 to identify them, you pull airbags, you pull fenders. All 2.3 these are confidential numbers, I don't want to get too

much in-depth on that. But you have to have this

24

25

equipment.

Another thing is we usually get dirty and greasy. You get dirty and greasy in these cars, so if you have rental cars and lease cars and you start getting them all messed up real bad, that's going to be a problem.

On owned vehicles there's intrinsic value.

During these hard times for the last 12 years we've been being cut. We've done everything we can from selling vehicles, to working on comp time, to cutting positions to fund people. We finally get to this point where there's more money.

And I understand financially it's a big
headache for the Board to try to figure out how to
distribute these funds. I think that by leasing vehicles,
if you can buy them, like Stinehour said, at the sheriffs'
rate, that's very good. Renting these vehicles, you're
just spending that money, you don't have anything for it.

In other words, MVCPA don't have any intrinsic value laying out there. You might have a million or two million dollars for vehicles that you could sell off to help keep people funded if you had to, where if you're leasing it, you're just giving that money away. It's like leasing a home or a house, you're just giving that money away every month. That's my perspective on it.

As in lights and sirens and stuff like that,

we're out on the side of the road on call-outs. We're available 24/7. For someone's life not to have lights on a car for an officer's safety, it ain't worth it.

2.3

It's not worth not spending the money equipping these cars correctly to get somebody run over. I've been on the scene where people have been run over. One of our majors got ran over. He survived, the girl was killed, and it was by a drunk.

So I just don't think cutting equipment and lights and stuff is the right thing to do. That's just my opinion.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So I completely respect your opinion, Commander. And I think that it's not about -- I'm not saying that this is about officer safety and I'm not concerned with officer safety. That's something that we preach very day to make sure that guys out there wear their vests, guys out there have the proper equipment.

But for us within the MVCPA, I know everybody has different scopes of work within their agency, but we're funding for investigative purposes. That's my response to that.

Yes, I do respect the officer safety part of it. I'm all for officer safety, but at the same time, we can outfit somebody with a bulletproof vest and give them a car outfitted with lights and siren and everything, they

can be on the side of the road and if a drunk driver is going to hit you, that drunk driver is going to hit you. Could he have avoided it if there was lights and siren?

Maybe yes, maybe no.

2.3

But for what we're trying to fund today, my idea of funding something is responsibly, and if for whatever reason there needs to be an outfitted vehicle within your agency, then I would push that responsibility to the agency to help us meet in the middle. That's pretty much my take on that, that it has to be a win-win situation.

It cannot just be all tasked into the MVCPA grant to do these things because then we're going to be leaving out some of these other agencies to try and get three or four agencies with their requests. And that's where I'm at. We just want to be frugal with this. And we know that everybody has their requests.

We told everybody put it in, and then we'll see where we start tweaking from there. But at the end of the day, I just want to make sure that everybody here understands that I'm all for officer safety, but at the end of the day, here we need to meet in the middle. If your agency can support the outfit, I'm all for it.

If your agency can come in the middle and meet in the middle with us, I'm all for it. But we cannot be

1	tasking the MVCPA and this Board to fund almost half a
2	million dollars in vehicles, because we don't have that
3	kind of money, not here. Because we're already in the red
4	if we start doing that.
5	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, for the record.
6	How much in trucks are you requesting to
7	purchase?
8	MR. BARROW: We've requested three.
9	MR. GONZALEZ: And what's the total cost of
10	that?
11	MR. BARROW: I think it was \$160
12	MR. GONZALEZ: I think it's \$180 And then so
13	on top of that, make ready for \$21,000. Is that correct?
14	MR. BARROW: Yeah, you're talking laptops,
15	computers, lights, the whole rig, tools.
16	MR. GONZALEZ: So a total of \$201,000 in trucks
17	for three trucks?
18	MR. BARROW: Yes, sir.
19	MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you.
20	MR. BARROW: And can I speak? If that's
21	something the Board wants to do is the agency start
22	providing the vehicles, that gives us something to go back
23	to our agencies and say, hey, they will pay the FTE but
24	they're not going to pay the vehicles. So we could go

back and say y'all are going to have to provide a vehicle

25

if you want this FTE. But over my years, I've been 20 years with the task force, that has never been conveyed.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I completely understand. I think that this is the situation that we got into today because of what was requested and the amount of funds that we have.

MR. BARROW: Yes, sir.

1.3

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I know that if you go back to your agency and you tell your chief or your sheriff, and say, hey, you're going to have to fund a vehicle, he's probably going to tell you go find another vehicle with 250,000 miles and you're going to use that one, just because of budgetary purposes. But I can tell you this, that from here till the next year that we grant awards, things can change.

MR. BARROW: Absolutely.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Things can change and we're going to have to adjust again. So for today for this grant year award, this is what we have right now as a task as a Board that we're going to have to make sure that we're going to have to reduce somewhere. And we looked at vehicles first because that was the easy way to look at this.

And by all means, we're not going to cut any personnel. We're in the red and we haven't even discussed

the whole educational part, preventive part, the promotional item part. We don't have any money, we give all this money and we're in the red.

2.3

We don't have any more money, and we haven't even discussed anything that has to do with doing the prevention part within the community, those social media platforms that we always talk about that we need to get out there to make sure that they lock their cars. We haven't even spoke about that.

So again, this is the situation this year. I know we're in a much better situation this year than last year, but I can tell you that we're going to try to work things out. We're not saying completely, okay, this is it, you're not getting anything as far as vehicles. We're not saying that, but we have to make sure that they get creative.

That's what I want to say. We're going to get creative with the vehicles and see where we can just meet in the middle and that way your agencies will support you on that decision.

MR. BARROW: Yes, sir. My biggest concern is that we have vehicles, we can make it work. If we add a task force, we need one more vehicle. But they need to have the tools to do their job.

We've got two ports. I mean, you go to

Freeport, there's a port we're having to deal with. We go to Galveston, there's a port we're having to deal with.

2.3

It just makes it a lot more complex if you've got a Toyota. Yeah, you can get there, but where's all the tools and stuff you're going to have to have. I'm trying to let y'all know what we're looking at from our side is that you have to go down the beach, needing a four-wheel drive to get on the beach. If not, you're buried up -- salt water comes in, you just lost that vehicle.

So there's a lot more dynamics and every jurisdiction is different in the state. The State of Texas is huge and it's got everything you can think of in it. So that is my point.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And this is why I will say we get creative. And this is the situation also with Laredo when you have to go down to the river banks -- we have Camrys, that's why I keep on saying Camrys -- we have Camrys, but then we do have an F-250 that's a 2011 that's a diesel and a 2500 Chevy that's a 2013, I want to say, that's a diesel. And we put all our tools in there, and those guys, whenever they need them, they task somebody, hey, go get the truck, we're going to have to go through here.

And they pick up the trucks and they go and

they do what they need to do. Especially if it's out by 1 2 the river banks where we know we need those 4X4 vehicles, 3 those half ton vehicles, we call them up. But we get creative in that sense because we feel and know that the 4 5 money can be put somewhere else for now. 6 Now I'm not saying I'm not for funding 4X4s and 7 things like that. I'm not saying that. But if next year 8 we have the funding to say, hey, you know what, Hal, we're 9 going to give you three 4X4s now because we have money 10 that we can spare and that's within the budget. 11 So that's where I'm at. But right now we are 12 having a situation with this because we are in the red if 1.3 we fund all the vehicles that were requested. 14 MR. BARROW: Yes, sir. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: But we'll figure it out. 16 Any other questions? 17 (No response.) 18 MR. BARROW: Thank you. 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Houston PD. 20 MR. HARDING: Good morning. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. 22 MR. HARDING: I'm Tolan Harding.

lieutenant with the Houston Police Department. I'm

currently the task force commander for the Houston Auto

2.3

24

25

Crimes Task Force.

On behalf of Chief Fenner, Mayor Sylvester

Turner, and the Houston City Council, the Houston Police

Department submitted a grant application requesting

approximately \$1.5 million for the Houston Auto Crimes

Task Force.

1.3

2.3

Of those funds, approximately \$1 million are personnel and fringe costs, and that covers one lieutenant, three sergeants, and five officers. The department's in-kind match is approximately \$1.7 million from personnel which includes one administrative aide, one lieutenant, three and a half full-time equivalent sergeants, and five and a half full-time equivalent officers. The department's cash match is approximately \$390,000 and equates to 25 percent.

The Houston Auto Crimes Task Force is to address approximately 17,000 auto thefts and approximately 37,000 burglaries of motor vehicles in the Greater Houston area. The City of Houston covers 665 square miles, is located in three different counties, and our city population is approximately 2.28 million people, with an additional 4 million citizens in the unincorporated county areas.

One of the specific things I requested in the grant was leased vehicles. For the Houston Auto Crimes

Task Force, we've discovered leased vehicles are more

appropriate than purchasing vehicles. It's a lower upfront cost, but they also have the benefit of most of the maintenance is included.

1.3

2.3

When a vehicle does go down for maintenance, the lease company provides us with another vehicle immediately, so we're never without a vehicle. They provide us with a great variety of vehicles, not just pickup trucks, but we have pickup trucks, SUVs, sedans and vans for whatever we need.

If a vehicle needs emergency lights, we use small dash lights. But we don't do enforcement with our leased vehicles, we call in a marked patrol vehicle with a marked officer to do that. The officers can use mobile computers in their cars. We provide them with laptops which function in there without having to have a permanent mount.

The leased vehicles work better for our primary job which is covert and proactive investigations. They don't look like police cars, they look like every other vehicle on the street. If our crooks tend to notice one of our vehicles, we can go back to the lease company and ask for another one.

We don't have mileage limits on our cars. We negotiated that, and typically anywhere between 30- and 60,000 miles before the vehicle becomes a maintenance

burden, we trade it out. So from my brief math, I discovered if you keep a purchased vehicle for about three years, then it's about a break-even, but then after that, you now have a three-year-old vehicle which is accumulating mileage, versus on the lease side, I've swapped that car out and now I've got another one with low mileage and it's ready to go.

1.3

2.3

One of the other items I asked for in the funding was additional overtime. I did ask for approximately four times as much as I received last year. I've asked for \$136,000 in overtime funds. This equates to about 100 hours per officer per year, or two hours per week.

The department has restrictions and limited use of flex time and compensatory time, so sometimes paid overtime is more appropriate. Officers — typically on a workday you only have eight hours to work but crime happens in the other 16 hours as well, so we'll be using some of that overtime for that.

One of my new thoughts on if I was given this additional overtime, it would allow us to revisit some of our old cases. Typically, once we've arrested and charged a suspect, with our caseload we have to move on to the next tip, the next theft, or the next investigation, but our old crook is still there. He's bonded out of jail and

1 he knows it's going to take probably two years for his case to be adjudicated in Harris County. If we have the additional overtime, we'd be able to go back and revisit 3 4 him because we know he's gone back to work, and we'll file 5 another charge on him and another charge and another 6 charge. 7 I did ask, again, for professional and contract services which would include one officer from the 8 9 Metropolitan Police Department, Officer Zamino [phonetic]. He's been with the Houston Auto Crimes Task Force for 10 approximately 12 years and has a huge amount of technical 11

and historical knowledge. There's also funds in there

County District Attorney's Office for the dedicated DA

that would continue our relationship with the Harris

I did ask for \$38,000 in travel budget; it's an increase from last year. It would allow me to send more officers to the TAVTI convention.

MR. PENCE: Time.

that we work with.

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. HARDING: I could continue.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ RODRIGUEZ: So we got to the good stuff already.

MR. HARDING: Okay.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just real quick, on the outfit for the computer, you said that they don't mount them in

their vehicles?

2.3

MR. HARDING: Typically, no, sir. On Ford pickups, a lot of them you have the large center console and you can just mount it there. We don't want officers driving and trying to operate a computer at the same time.

So if you're sitting in your car, you're going to have to put your laptop up on your lap while you're parked. We don't want a permanent mount in there because it makes it look like a police car and it's detrimental to our undercover proactive type operations and investigations.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Very good.

MR. GONZALEZ: Lieutenant, I just wanted to say I think you had an excellent presentation. When you're talking about your overtime and you're saying, look, this equates to two hours of overtime per person, that's exactly what I want to hear. So in my opinion, the six figures for overtime is completely justified. I can break it down by investigator.

So kudos to you on your breakdown on you budget and on your presentation. You have my full support.

If I could, you mentioned how many offenses are there in Houston, sir?

MR. HARDING: Approximately 17,000 auto thefts.
MR. GONZALEZ: And so your task force is

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

responsible for investigating 17,000 auto thefts. MR. HARDING: Yes, sir. MR. GONZALEZ: And I would imagine that you all file hundreds, if not thousands of cases of motor vehicle theft each year. Am I correct? MR. HARDING: I don't know the exact figures, but we file as many as we can, yes, sir. With 19 officers I can't investigate all 17,000, but the ones with leads that are workable, we try. We also try and focus on the long-term investigations where we're going after the criminals that have the most economic impact, that are committing these crimes daily, are stealing the newer high-end vehicles, and that this is their job. Because we know if we can get them, that has the greatest impact versus just one UUMV charge on a low level player who's been paid 50 bucks to move a stolen truck from one apartment complex to another holding spot. MR. GONZALEZ: And then just going back to the leased vehicles, it sounded, if anything, that your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. GONZALEZ: And then just going back to the leased vehicles, it sounded, if anything, that your officer safety is enhanced by the policies and procedures that you're following. Would you agree?

MR. HARDING: I would believe so, yes, sir.

They don't appear to be police vehicles. If we need

emergency equipment, we call in marked patrol cars with

uniformed officers in it. We do have available the dash 1 2 lights if the officer needs them, but we're not going to 3 conduct pursuits in unmarked leased vehicles. 4 MR. GONZALEZ: And then the last thing that I 5 have is I want thank your agency for the work that you 6 have done with respect to the fraud-related training. 7 That is a statutory requirement for us. We are mandated 8 to have that as a priority. 9 And so I just want to thank your agency for the 10 training program with respect to fraud-related motor vehicle crime. I know that you guys have gone around to 11 12 various other task forces and tried to replicate this 13 program that is preventing millions of dollars worth of 14 theft. 15 Thank you, LT. 16 MR. HARDING: Thank you. Sqt. Schlosser is 17 doing an excellent job, much easier to prevent than it is to solve. I spent five years working fraud cases and 18 19 totally understand. 20 MR. SMITH: Dean Smith, for the record, Mr. Chairman. 21 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Go ahead. 2.3 MR. SMITH: Lieutenant, you've got leased 24 vehicles listed and I understand your arguments. What are

the lease fees per vehicle?

25

MR. HARDING: It would be 19 vehicles, \$750 per month is what I requested from the MVCPA for 12 months, approximately \$171,000 on an annual cost. That provides me with 19 vehicles.

2.3

MR. SMITH: About \$750 per vehicle?

MR. HARDING: Yes, sir. That was what I asked for from MVCPA, and then the department has on top of that the 20 percent match.

MR. SMITH: Okay. The crime prevention outreach trailer, \$20,000 for that. Is that just for the trailer or what does that include?

MR. HARDING: That's for rehabbing an existing trailer that the MVCPA -- or actually the ABTPA from back in the day purchased. It's got a vinyl wrap and logos on it that are ABTPA and H.E.A.T., Help End Auto Theft. So it would be new graphics, new electronics, television screens, game consoles, a generator, rehabbing the air conditioning and the interior, adding some exterior lights, including police light bars to attract attention.

We'd be using a racing game on one of the game consoles called "Need for Speed: Most Wanted" where one player plays as a police officer driving a police super car. We'd use that to attract people's attention, get them to interact with us. And then at that point we'd share with them various crime prevention strategies.

We plan to have anti-theft devices mounted 1 2 inside the trailer that we can show them, the wheel locks, 3 OBD-2 locks, catalytic converter covers, trailer, tire and 4 hitch type locks. We could also talk to the people 5 outside saying: What's your vehicle. Okay, well, that's 6 one of the ones that's most stolen, so here's our tips for 7 how to not get your vehicle stolen. 8 MR. SMITH: And my last question -- thank you 9 for that response -- the last question, \$15,000 for a 10 four-post lift with 15,000-pound capacity. MR. HARDING: Yes, sir. 11 MR. SMITH: Is that a specific lift in mind or 12 13 is that just a guess? 14 There was a specific lift in MR. HARDING: 15 mind, yes, sir. It's a drive-on four-post lift with a 16 15,000-pound capacity to aid us on our weekly 68-A 17 inspections. The current lift we have is a two-post lift 18 19 with a limited capacity. Some of the larger trucks, it's 20 not safe to put it on there. The drive-on lift is much 21 easier to use, you drive straight on it. 22 MR. SMITH: I understand. 2.3 MR. HARDING: Raise the vehicle up. 24 MR. SMITH: Would there be interest in, and it 25 might help you out on your end-game, shopping around a

1	little bit for that lift? It seems a little excessive.
2	I'm familiar with lift costs and the benefits, so 15 grand
3	sounds a little high for a four-post, but just bringing
4	that up.
5	MR. HARDING: That included some other
6	equipment that was going to be used for the 68-A and
7	secondary VIN inspections, some cooling fans, and I think
8	about \$3,000 for the wheel attachments for our forklift.
9	MR. SMITH: Right, those are secondary expenses
10	to that.
11	MR. HARDING: Yes.
12	MR. SMITH: But those are included in separate
13	line items. Okay. Thank you.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Commander.
15	MR. HARDING: Thank you.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Harris County.
17	MR. JOHN GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, Director,
18	Board members, good afternoon.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: John, good morning.
20	MR. JOHN GONZALEZ: For the record, John
21	Gonzalez. I'm a lieutenant with the Harris County
22	Sheriff's Office Auto Theft Task Force. I recently
23	assumed the position of task force commander back in mid
24	April, so I've got a little over two months.
25	I was just going to go over a little bit of

geographic demographics of Harris County. As we know,
Harris County is the third largest county in the nation
and we have approximately 4.8 million residents. We're
1,777 square miles. There are more than 2 million people
living in the unincorporated areas of Harris County for
which the sheriff's office is the primary law enforcement
agency, along with eight other constable precinct
agencies.

1.3

2.3

Within Harris County and some of the unincorporated parts of Harris County, we do have the Port of Houston, which obviously continues to expand its facilities, some being a few hours sailing time from the Gulf of Mexico. The port also consists of a petrochemical complex that is one of the largest in the world. And just FYI, the majority of the Volkswagen vehicles sold in the U.S. come through the Port of Houston. Within Harris County there are 25 school districts and several colleges and universities, such as University of Houston, TSU and Rice.

Houston is the hub. We do have a HIDTA group within our city and within our department. It is a hub of commerce and culture, also provides an equal if not better opportunity for organized criminal activity, which also poses numerous threats to the community. This includes but not limited to human trafficking and smuggling,

narcotics, forced prostitution, and robbery; all, we can safety say, have a direct link with auto theft.

2.3

My task force falls underneath the Criminal Investigations Bureau under the Special Investigations Division. Under that division is auto theft, narcotics, human trafficking, vice, and I'm not sure if I said it already, narcotics. My task force consists of 17 investigators, three sergeants, one lieutenant — being myself — and we have four undercover investigators that act in a covert or proactive approach, and they have one sergeant so that's included in that total number.

As previously mentioned, our unit of 17 investigators serves a jurisdiction of just over 2 million residents and we are spread very thin already. These investigators not only investigate auto theft, BMV and motor vehicle fraud cases, but they also perform 68-A inspections once a week for the citizens of Harris County. As of this year we've already conducted 1,196 68-A inspections.

Touching base, we also lease our vehicles. And to piggyback on what City of Houston said, we've had instances, especially with the wide spread of community activists and social media, where several of our undercover officers have had their vehicles identified by criminals as being law enforcement, so it has been a

benefit for us rapidly exchange a vehicle and get another one. Our undercover investigators also do assist vice, narcotics in their operations, as they assist us with ours.

2.3

So again, not to beat a dead horse, but it is an officer safety issue. We do have them also with dash lights. We don't permanently affix any kind of emergency equipment.

We also have strict policies. Unfortunately, the City of Houston and Harris County, we do have a lot of officers that tend to crash vehicles, so the vehicle shortage hurts us a lot more. We have strict policies that they are not allowed to operate MDTs or pursue vehicles in unmarked vehicles. I don't want to be funny, but it's like they crash them faster than they can build them or buy them.

What I plan to do -- so we have a lot of transition with our department. We've just recently had a new bureau commander take over, as well as a division commander, and myself as the task force commander. I'm bringing in a new sergeant with a lot of auto theft and undercover experience. Our current proactive sergeant was very good at going and getting the stats, but I'd like to increase quality over quantity when it comes to cases to disrupt and dismantle these criminal organizations, bank

juggers, et cetera. 1 2 One thing I also intend to do is -- prior to my 3 assignment I was over the LESO Program to obtain excess 4 military vehicles and equipment from the Department of 5 Defense. I built our high water rescue unit after Harvey 6 with utilization of 2.5 and 5 ton military trucks for high 7 water rescue. MR. PENCE: That's time. 8 9 MR. JOHN GONZALEZ: Sorry. Five minutes goes 10 fast. MR. RODRIGUEZ: You said you have seven 11 12 investigators? 13 MR. JOHN GONZALEZ: Seventeen. 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Seventeen. 15 MR. JOHN GONZALEZ: Yes, sir. 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do you cover Deer Park, the Deer Park area? 17 MR. JOHN GONZALEZ: So Deer Park has a local 18 19 police force, Deer Park PD. We have had some cases and 20 we've collaborated with them before. But there are parts 21 of Deer Park that carry a Deer Park address, specifically 22 along Highway 225 which is where all the petrochemical 2.3 plants are at, and sometimes, depending on where the call 24 comes through, we end up taking the case.

We send an investigator out there. Even though

25

it's within the city, we don't refuse calls. We go out there and take care of that.

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And the reason I'm asking because usually the PONY World Series, the zone tournament always is at Deer Park. And this year the email that I received was that they're moving it from Deer Park because there was a major problem with auto burglaries and vehicle thefts, and I was kind of, well, what's going on there. So I'm trying to figure out, and I was just talking to Member Serrano that these are the situations that we don't know. It's just the public that gets sent that message and it's really bad for the city to get that from an organization that is actually worldwide and saying, hey, we're going to move it from there because of that.

And we're the ones taking care of that, and to me, it doesn't sit well. So it moved to La Porte -- didn't move from the Houston area, but it did move from Deer Park because of that.

So this is where I think we need to just get better at providing those statistics. And so one of the things that I would like to propose and recommend to the Board is start getting an interactive map where police agencies -- kind of like the OD map that's now being pushed -- an interactive map as to where the auto thefts are happening, the hotspots. Get agencies to put their

statistics in there so that we can have this ability as to in our area -- especially Harris County which is a huge county -- what cities are being hit.

2.3

Same thing with the Valley, you know, you get agencies putting in there and now you know that in Mission there's a hotspot there. And we can respond to that and we can have better visibility as to what's going on within our area.

So that's going to be one of my recommendations to the Board to start getting that digital platform for agencies to start putting those statistics in there and not just fall back into the NICB hotspot report, which is every year, and we're just counting on that to kind of have that visibility.

I know that DPS sends out that monthly report, but I can tell you [inaudible] doesn't read it. And if we get that interactive map and we start seeing it. And then we also offer it to the public where if I'm going to Houston and I'm going to go to a Hilton Inn or a La Quinta and I can check there, hey, this is a hotspot for motor vehicle theft, make sure I lock my car, or I go to another place and then stay there.

So this is what I'm thinking of thinking outside the box, guys. And I think that this is something that would be good also for us as the task forces to make

sure that if we have every jurisdiction pushing those 1 2 numbers in there, that you can have that visibility. 3 MR. JOHN GONZALEZ: I totally agree. 4 A few years back we got a new computer dispatch 5 system and there are several other smaller -- La Porte is 6 one of them. I believe the City of Pasadena utilizes it. 7 And there is an option for information sharing and also 8 where we can see calls for service from a patrol 9 perspective. 10 The decision to share information is made several ranks above me, but I do agree it would be 11 12 beneficial. We also do have crime analysts and they 13 provide us with heat maps and stuff like that. And that 14 can be something that they may already have the ability to 15 It's just familiarizing myself with that. 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Anybody else have any 17 questions? 18 (No response.) 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 20 MR. JOHN GONZALEZ: Thank you. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: We're going to break for lunch. 22 It is 12:34; be back at 1:30. 2.3 (Whereupon, the meeting was recessed, to 24 reconvene this same day, Thursday, June 15, 2023, 25 following the lunch recess.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's 1:42 and we're back in session.

City of Laredo.

2.3

MR. CANTU: Good afternoon, Chair, Board of Directors. My name is Investigator Greg Cantu with Laredo PD Auto Theft Unit. I'm representing the Auto Theft Unit there at PD.

So I'm going to start off with a little bit about Laredo before I start going into numbers. We're the eighth largest police department in Texas. We are located in the Rio Grande and are known as the number one inland port in the nation. In 2021 over \$250 billion in trade was conducted through our ports of entry. Historically in 2009 we were known as the car theft capital of the nation; in 2020 we became the least likely city in Texas to get a vehicle stolen.

So our task force is comprised of one lieutenant, one sergeant -- our lieutenant is our commander -- ten investigators, two of our TCOLE certified instructors, one public relation investigator, one analyst, and one ATF administrative assistant. The investigations that we conduct in Laredo are, of course, vehicle thefts, burglary of vehicles, fraudulent reports, cargo thefts, catalytic converters, and we also do 68-As.

So in the burglaries, from 2021 we were at 875

burglaries of vehicles. It went down in 2022 to 688. And on thefts of motor vehicles in 2021 we were at 214. In fiscal 2022 we went up to 446.

2.3

Year-to-date this year there's a 12 percent reduction in auto thefts; we brought it back down. In burglaries of vehicles, we also brought it down 25 percent for this year. So I don't have the numbers for 2023 yet on the vehicles recovered, but in 2022 we recovered 164 vehicles with a total amount of \$3.4 million.

Every time we have an incident or we have a burglary or we have a theft, we try to utilize our real-time center to put out lookouts on vehicle suspects as soon as possible for the officers and for the other agencies. We work closely with border patrol. They call us out if they have something suspicious or they can't identify a vehicle or a tractor or a trailer and we get called out to the ports of entry too. So they have our numbers, direct numbers, and usually they call us direct and we go ahead and respond to the ports.

Right now my commander created two proactive investigators. Myself and another investigator are doing proactive investigations. We've been doing it for a couple of months already. So we do not run on assigned, per se, cases but we try to start up cases.

And the cases that we're working on right now

are a little bit sensitive, but right now we're working on a VIN swap out of Chicago, so I'm working right now with DEA. They're bringing down those vehicles to Laredo and they're doing the VIN swaps, so we're working on that.

2.3

We've helped Zapata County with a couple of stolen vehicles also and they were VIN swaps. And we also helped out Cameron County with a suspect that they're trying to ID that we arrested and he's using false names, and we also recovered one of their vehicles in Laredo. So that's the proactive approach that we're taking in Laredo.

Anything that comes up, if patrol arrests a burglary of a vehicle suspect or a suspect that has been arrested for auto theft that they arrested, we try and interview that individual either to turn into a source to try to get as much information of what's going on. And that's myself and the other detective. The other detectives, they take all those cases — they take the burglaries of vehicles, auto thefts, anything to do with auto theft.

This year there's been 121 arrests.

Eighty-eight have been for burglary of vehicles, and for auto theft 22. Eleven have been miscellaneous and those miscellaneous might be credit card abuse. They go ahead and take a purse, they start using that credit card. So we might not be able to prove the burglary but we go ahead

and arrest them for credit card abuse because they're using them in stores and there's accounts out there.

MR. PENCE: Time. Sorry.

(General laughter.)

1.3

2.3

MR. CANTU: Real quick on the 68-A inspections, in 2022 we did 1,317 motor vehicles and 903 tractors. So that was last year. It was a 50 percent uptick between 2021 and 2022. I believe I have the numbers -- they sent the numbers earlier, and I think we're up to 1,300 this year on the 68-A inspections.

Real quick on our commander, we do a lot of public events also, public service. We talk to the kids, we do education with the kids. Myself and the other investigator, we go to one of the driving schools and we try to catch them young when they're about to get their driver's license and we talk to them about the safety of their vehicles, locking them up.

Our commander, our supervisors, what they want for the funding is one more sergeant, two investigators, one uniformed officer. We're working on LPRs, so we do not have LPRs. We usually work with other agencies.

Myself and the other investigators, we have a task force officer that is assigned to Laredo PD. He's border patrol, and usually we go with him. So we're working on that.

1	The Flock System, we also are working on the
2	Flock System. We have two towers right now, but they're
3	out of commission because the generators are not working.
4	So we're trying to get two new generators.
5	And the reason behind a second sergeant is we
6	want to cut the group in half, have one in the afternoon
7	and one in the morning. The two investigators are to
8	replace the proactive investigators.
9	So that's my presentation.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: You went over five more
11	minutes.
12	MR. CANTU: Sorry.
13	MR. PENCE: You're at seven minutes now.
14	(General laughter.)
15	MR. SMITH: Board Member Smith, for the record.
16	So you'll be setting this up kind of shift
17	work.
18	MR. CANTU: Yes.
19	MR. SMITH: That's awesome.
20	MR. CANTU: Thank you.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Greg.
22	Lubbock.
23	MR. GENTRY: For the record, my name is Andy
24	Gentry and I am the chief investigator for the South
25	Plains Auto Theft Force.

I know the Board members already know how the task force is set up, but for the members that don't know, they say our task force is a stand-alone task force, meaning we're commissioned through the district attorney's office in Lubbock. We're not attached to a sheriff's office or a PD.

1.3

2.3

We do have an agreement with the sheriff's office that they send us all their auto theft cases and auto theft related cases, and we work closely with the police department. And long story short, the crime prevention unit at the police department is an active unit that goes out, and we have two officers that are embedded with those guys because they're out and about. They're in the neighborhoods, they are familiar with the criminals in that neighborhood. So by placing two officers in with that unit there has greatly increased our arrests and penetration just by doing that.

Just a little bit more about our task force.

Including Lubbock County, we're 26 counties. The total mileage coverage is 24,500 square miles of coverage area, and we do that with seven personnel right now.

We do it with our commander -- which, by the way, I apologize, he wanted to be here but he had a death in the family so he had to leave, so you guys are blessed with me. So we have our commander, myself, and more

investigators and one civilian that she wears multiple hats, crime analyst, crime prevention and the administrative assistant.

2.3

One of the things that we're asking for is salary increases to bring us more competitive with some of the other agencies that are in our area, because, quite honestly, we're at the tipping point to where if we aren't able to supplement some of our salaries, then we're going to start losing some of our personnel to the sheriff's office or the police department or some of the other outlying police departments that are competitive with their salaries.

Along with that, we'd like to add one more investigator to go with the proactive unit. We also have a TAG unit, a Texas Anti-Gang task force, that's managed by the sheriff's office in Lubbock. So we'd like to get them embedded with the street crimes units and the TAG officers, because, obviously, a lot of the jugging and so forth is committed by these hybrid gangs. The thought process is if we can get them embedded with those people, then it would not only help with identification, arrests and clearance rates, and hopefully lower our crime stats at the same time.

Currently our crime analyst -- we're having the same problem everybody else is with 68-A inspections.

Right now currently in Lubbock we've done 1,171 inspections. We're catching all of the traffic from the Midland-Odessa area.

2.3

We're having people come up to our area from three or four hours away. And when we're not able to take care of them, then they go another two hours to Potter County. And so there's a huge gap. So we're estimating that we're going to have to do in the area of between 1,600 and 1,700 68-A inspections this year alone.

Along with that, our crime analyst, she's still on for every one of those calls. We don't have a large enough area to have a first-come, first-served. We have an area set up that we have to take appointments for, so they show up by appointment.

She sets these appointments up, and quite honestly -- and I'm sure everybody else that does by appointment -- there is a 20- to 25-percent no-show rate. So we'll call back, reschedule, another 20 percent no-show rate. So by the time it's said and done, those 1,700 inspections has translated into 2,000, 2,100 phone calls, thus keeping our crime prevention coordinator from going out and spreading the word and educating the public as far as keep your keys, don't leave them in the car, possessions that you're proud of that you don't want taken, take those out of the car, and so forth, to just

1	spread the message.
2	MR. PENCE: Time.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: You can go ahead and finish.
4	MR. GENTRY: I'm good.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any questions for Lubbock?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
8	MR. GENTRY: Thank you.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Mansfield PD.
10	MR. HARRELL: Good afternoon. Lt. Jim Harrell.
11	I'm with the Mansfield Police Department, but I am over
12	the Tri-County Auto Theft.
13	I'd like to tell you a little bit about our
14	unit. We began in 2011 and we're currently working with
15	the same staffing, which is myself and five investigators.
16	I am a working boss, which means I carry a caseload.
17	And my caseload right now is about 30 cases.
18	And for managing the task force and all the investigators
19	and the grant and everything else, that's a little bit
20	steep. So we have requested another position in our grant
21	request to help take some of that caseload, so I can do a
22	little more as far as directing the task force, where we
23	need to be and how we need to do things.
24	We cover three counties. Most of it is in
25	Johnson and Ellis, and between those two, that's about

1,600 square miles, 30 cities. Most of these cities have one officer or a chief and an officer or a sheriff's department.

2.3

In Ellis County, roughly they've got one officer for 100 square miles -- Johnson County, about the same thing. So when we're out there working, for us to get other officers to where we're at, it don't happen very often. There are six of us. We work together; we're out there working. If we do need backup, well, it's a little bit away.

So I'm going to try to take this time to go towards y'all's conversation on purchase of vehicles versus leasing vehicles. I think you could go both ways, and I say that to say for Houston it works because they're in the city. We're not in the city, we work in counties.

And when we start getting to salvage yards, junk yards and places like that, leased vehicles probably wouldn't work as well for us. We also recover a lot of RVs, big trailers. We can't get wreckers into where they are because they're down in the sticks, so we end up having to pull them out with our trucks.

We save the citizens a lot of tow fees just by pulling those out, getting them back to the citizens. We save the task force fees from having wreckers coming out there, bringing heavy duty wreckers out there to be able

to pull these things out. With the vehicles we have, we're able to get to them.

1.3

2.3

Also, working in the counties we do a lot of interdiction, which is a lot of stolen farm equipment, a lot of stolen skid steers. They're running up and down the back roads. With lights and sirens we're able to stop these cars, recover equipment that has been stolen, and they carry them on the back roads to keep from getting caught in the city with them.

So for us, it's kind of imperative to have vehicles that we can alter a little bit, put lights into them, also LoJack Systems where we can track LoJacks. And leased vehicles, that would be a lot of work moving it from one section to the other.

I do understand the cost, and for us it's okay, we'll offset what we can. Whatever you provide to us through program income for the department, we'll make it work for us.

To date we've had -- and this is just this year -- we've had 236 recoveries for a little over right around \$7 million, \$500,000 in equipment, filed 71 cases, 30 arrests, completed 1,500 68-As.

While I was here today I got a call from my guys. They recovered another three stolen vehicles in 68-As today. Not surprising, we got one from Houston with a

fake title.

1.3

2.3

We've completed MVT bait deployments, 60 BMV bait deployments, and 60 shop/salvage yard inspections. And that's a lot of what we do is hit the salvage yards out in the counties because that's where they're taking these vehicles to be smashed.

We have completed four education classes. Our task force, along with Tarrant Regional, do an interdiction/apprehension eight-hour class for officers, so we've completed four of those. We'd like to continue our proactive approach in our program area to also include more citizen education and officer education.

And that's about what I have.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

Any questions?

(No response.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: In your application you put \$21,000 for RMS. Is that a records management system?

MR. HARRELL: Yes, it is.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Your agency doesn't have an RMS system?

MR. HARRELL: They do, but they're having to upgrade the system. And since the system is being upgraded, the licenses -- since they provide 90 percent of everything, that we pay for our license for MDT for the

member agencies.

1.3

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So how much is each license? How many licenses are we getting?

MR. HARRELL: I know that the fees that they told us -- they provided us the fees. They said it's \$21,000 to cover what it costs to run our MDTs for the task force.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: The City of Mansfield is charging this?

MR. HARRELL: Mansfield is the host city. So we have Mansfield, Burleson, Kennedale, Midlothian and the Tarrant County Constable's Office, but they all work off of Mansfield's system. So each one of our task force investigators is housed out of Mansfield. They work out of our office with the equipment provided by Mansfield and it's all run through their system.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do you know what the system is called?

MR. HARRELL: It's the OSSI version. And the one that we work under now is sunsetting. They're not going to provide any updates on it anymore, so we're having to go to a new system which will provide more benefits for the officers, because it's also going to be global-based where they could run pretty much everything off of their phones if they happen to be somewhere they

But that's an upgrade -- they're upgrading the 1 need to. 2 system. 3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So where is this at, 4 CentralSquare? 5 MR. HARRELL: Yes. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So you're staying with 7 Central Square but an updated system? 8 MR. HARRELL: Yes. It's a hybrid system, 9 because what we have now has been there almost as long as 10 I have and I've been there 25 years. So they're not going to support it anymore, so they're having to upgrade. 11 12 I think they said 95 percent of the cost is 13 being taken by the city. They're just asking that we pay 14 for those licensing fees for the air time for those six 15 MDTs that we use. 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So just to be clear, CentralSquare -- I'm familiar with CentralSquare. 17 provide licenses based on your agency, so unless you host 18 it, it would be per user -- a subscription service, as 19 20 they call it. 21 At \$21,000, I would like to see how is it that 22 we're breaking that down per officer, because that \$21,000 2.3 for six officers on RMS software per year seems like a 24 lot. And the agency itself should be able to provide that 25 to you because that's a records management system for the

agency. I just don't want to get into a situation where 1 2 we're supplanting here. 3 MR. HARRELL: I totally understand. 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So I just want to make sure we 5 know exactly what we're paying for. 6 MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, Joe Canady, for the 7 record. If I may? 8 If we consider allowing the cost for the sub-9 grantees that are not part of the City of Mansfield, 10 having the City of Mansfield cover the portion for their personnel, that would be better to have the external 11 agencies that are in addition to what the City of 12 1.3 Mansfield has. 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: But I still want to see the 15 breakdown per officer, per investigator. Because if we're 16 also including the air card for the MDT and the wireless 17 bill to be able to access your NCIT TTIC, then we need to 18 break that down to make sure that we have that, not just 19 put it as part of this. Because that's allowable, we'll 20 be able to pay for that. But I want is the City of Mansfield is charging 21 22 the license to MVCPA and if the Mansfield PD, the 2.3 Mansfield officers are utilizing that RMS system that

[inaudible] agency would have, we don't want to get into

that. We're okay for the other officers that under the

24

25

command of the task force. 1 2 I think for the license, \$21,000 is a lot of So I just want to make sure that we break it down 3 money. 4 as to, okay, per officer this is how much the license is 5 costing. 6 How many officers does Mansfield have? 7 MR. HARRELL: How many officers does Mansfield 8 have? They're at 102. 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So if you divide \$21,000 by 10 six, imagine how much it would cost the city to run an RMS software system. That's a lot of money. There's no way 11 12 that they pay for that. 13 MR. HARRELL: I don't know exactly the 14 breakdown, but I do know that it covers the portions where 15 they have to have NCIT, they have to have the aircards, 16 like you said. I did not get that breakdown and I 17 apologize for that. MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I understand. I just want 18 19 to make sure that what we're funding is correct. 20 MR. HARRELL: Understood. 21 MR. CANADY: And Lt. Harrell -- Joe Canady, for 22 the record -- does this include for Burleson PD, and 2.3 Kennedale and Midlothian, do they also utilize reports in 24 their system or use the Mansfield system exclusively for

25

their reporting?

MR. HARRELL: Everything runs through our 1 2 system, everything. 3 MR. CANADY: And what about the ones that are 4 more in-kind, such as the Tarrant County Constables and 5 Alvarado PD? 6 MR. HARRELL: If they're working with us, the 7 day that they're there, they utilize our system. 8 Basically everything that's put into our cars -- when we 9 go out in the field, we're two men per car. So if a 10 constable is with one of us, he's using our computer system, he's writing a report. Same thing, it all goes 11 12 through our system. Everything they generate comes 1.3 through the task force system. 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any other questions? 15 (No response.) 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you very much. 17 Montgomery County. MR. SANCHEZ: Good afternoon. My name is John 18 19 I'm a lieutenant with the Montgomery County 20 Sheriff's Office, and I am the commander of the Montgomery 21 County Auto Theft Task Force. 22 First, I'd like to start by just saying thank 2.3 you for this conference. It's been a lot of fun and it's 24 been very educational, and y'all set the tone by having us 25 network and meet people and we have done that and made new

friends along the way. Thank you very much for putting this together.

1.3

2.3

We are a task force, we're 30 years old. We have six investigators, we cover nine counties. We're multi-agency: I have one from Walker County, one from Grimes County, and the rest are in-house from Montgomery County.

We do a little bit of everything: stolen vehicles, burglaries of motor vehicles, bait operations, license plates, paper tag operations -- we've done several of those undercover. Unfortunately, I'm the only Spanish-speaker in my group, so I get to do most of the undercover on those and get to see it firsthand and see how it goes.

For fiscal year '22 we made 561 recoveries with 80 arrests. For fiscal year '23 through February 28 we have 282 recoveries and 37 arrests.

I'm fortunate to have Sgt. Kelley Smith as my auto theft sergeant. Kelley is well known throughout all the task forces and probably across the country for his expertise, and that brings us a lot of contacts across the state and also across the nation. It also causes people to reach out to us through Kelley.

We get a lot of information. We do a lot of networking in that manner, and we get a lot of clues that come back to our county and we conduct investigations from

there. It also gives us the ability to reach out to other task forces; they know Kelley and have that personal relationship with him and get things done through other task forces.

2.3

I'm not going to beat up on HPD and Harris

County but we do a lot of work with them because since we border Harris County, their crooks come up to us. We have a very good working relationship with them which we're very grateful for.

As far as this grant application, what I'm looking forward to is we've asked for more personnel which is really our greatest need. We have tremendous caseload right now, and unfortunately, we can't go as deep into our investigations as I would like my detectives to go.

Because of that, because we have so many cases, I'm hoping that the added personnel will relieve the caseload and allow them to get more in-depth into their investigations, allowing us to recover more vehicles, hopefully take out some more organizations and a greater entirety of the organization and put more people in jail.

And it will also give us the ability to conduct more proactive activities than we do now. We partner with patrol a lot of times, and like I said, we do our paper plate initiatives.

Sometimes we get patrol out and we'll just

smother 45 and stop everything that's got a paper plate. We've been very successful at that, everything from recovering stolen vehicles to bad paper tags, to people with warrants, guns, dope, everything.

2.3

My agency, particularly, we have a lot of different divisions, our gang unit, our narcotics unit. All of those units reach out to us, even our homicide units.

They came to us, said we're looking for a suspect vehicle. We were able to help them recover that suspect vehicle, identify that suspect and put that person in jail. So we cut across the spectrum.

What's been said here this week that auto theft is really kind of part of every crime that's committed out there, we have that opportunity in Montgomery County to touch just about everything that's out there. And because of our expertise and because of the hard work that my people do, these groups are not reluctant at all to reach out to us and come to us for assistance. And they know we will be there and we'll be able to help them.

I've asked for overtime. Again, when I made the presentation in April, I explained that because of the work that we do, the callouts and everything else that we do, there are times where at the end of the pay period I don't have anybody in the office late on a Thursday or

maybe entirely on a Friday because we just don't have the 1 overtime money. I've asked for the overtime money so that 3 I can cover that. That puts them back in the office, that 4 helps us continue our investigative processes, and 5 hopefully that will help us reach our goals that I've set 6 for my team for the future. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Very good. 8 Any questions from the Board? 9 MS. JONES: The radar speed trailer for 15K. 10 MR. SANCHEZ: Yes, ma'am. So they're really LPR trailers and they're disguised as speed trailers. 11 12 have some in the agency right now that we can try to 1.3 reserve and see if we need them, but I feel that putting 14 those out gives us a better opportunity for people not to 15 make them as LPR trailers. But that's really what they 16 are, they're LPR trailers disguised as speed trailers. 17 One of our districts has some in the orange 18 barrels that you see at construction sites, and I know the 19 minute we put one out, some drunk is going to hit it and 20 there goes the whole LPR system down. So I'd rather go 21 with the speed trailers if possible. 22 MS. JONES: Okay. 2.3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

Thank you.

City of Paris.

MR. SANCHEZ:

MR. RODRIGUEZ:

24

25

MR. LOGSDEN: Good afternoon. Det. Cody

Logsden with the Paris Police Department, the commander

for the Northeast Texas Auto Theft Task Force.

1.3

2.3

Our task force is just a two-man task force.

We cover nine counties across northeast Texas, five of which border Oklahoma. We cover 7,400 square miles between our coverage areas; with just two of us, quite a bit of area to cover.

We're requesting funding for two additional investigators to help cover that. With that, we're requesting funding for three vehicles, one to replace a vehicle currently on loan to my partner who is with the Lamar County Sheriff's Office on loan through the City of Paris, and two for each additional investigator if they are funded.

For us, because we cover such a large area, it's mostly rural; we need trucks. Just like another person said, we don't have backup readily available most of the time. If we're within the City of Paris or one of the big cities, it's there, but a lot of our investigations take us out where backup could be 30 miles away, 100 miles away.

So we have our vehicles fully equipped, lights, sirens. We have to make traffic stops, we recover stolen vehicles. Our policy, we can pursue in an unmarked until

we get a marked unit.

1.3

2.3

We ran into the problem where we'll be off duty in our personal vehicle and locate a stolen vehicle because of an LPR hit and we'll have to follow it 10, 15, 20 miles before we can get an agency to come in and find it, help us get it stopped. So that's the reasoning we would prefer to purchase vehicles so we can have them fully outfitted. With that, we have the MDTs stationary in the units for our 68-A inspections and for all of our investigations.

The City of Paris, we currently have 21 Flock cameras. The task force requested \$5,000 to fund two of those. Those have been instrumental in recovering stolen vehicles, auto theft investigations. My partner was able to identify a homicide suspect for the Lamar County Sheriff's Office, thanks to those.

Like I said, we only have two detectives. I'm new, I've only been in auto theft three months. I am the commander.

My partner only has eight months experience, but we've hit the ground running. We're trying to be extremely proactive. We're wanting to get out and get into those chop shops out in the rural areas. We just discovered one with the assistance of another agency and we were able to recover six stolen vehicles, \$400,000

worth of property that belonged to a U.S. attorney, so that's made some contacts for us.

Just in the three quarters that I've been here -- well, I've only been here for the last quarter -- just some quick numbers. We increased our recovered stolen from 11 and 14 in quarter one and quarter two to just 31 in quarter there alone, increased our values from \$174,000 and \$222,000 to just under \$1.1 million last quarter.

Prior to this our stats are going to be really skewed because our commander was out with surgeries, we had changes. So we're just trying to come in, pick up what we can and be as proactive as we can, catch as much as we can.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Appreciate your time.

Pasadena.

2.3

MR. BUTLER: Good afternoon. Sgt. Doug Butler with the Pasadena PD Auto Crimes Task Force. I'll try to be quick here.

Our unit consists of five investigators and one sergeant. MVCPA funds one of our investigator positions, with the other five positions being listed as in-kind. We handle all the auto crime investigations for Pasadena.

We have about 60 auto thefts a month, about 65

1	BMVs and another 15 thefts of motor vehicle parts. Along
2	with criminal investigations, we do our 68-A inspections.
3	We also provide community outreach through our Citizens
4	Police Academy and other outreach programs through the PD.
5	Our unit has had quite the turnover recently.
6	Of our six positions, four of us are new to the task
7	force, so with that come the challenges of training new
8	personnel, creating new contacts with our surrounding
9	agencies. Despite those challenges, recently we put
10	together several significant investigations identifying
11	organized groups responsible for high-end vehicle thefts.
12	With MVCPA's continued support, I believe we
13	will have a positive impact on crimes in our area.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Questions from the Board?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We're good. You didn't even
17	use five minutes.
18	Potter County.
19	MR. McBROOM: Good afternoon.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon.
21	MR. McBROOM: Patrick McBroom, Potter County
22	Sheriff's Office. I'm the commander of the Panhandle Auto
23	Burglary and Theft Unit.
24	Lots of big changes coming for us. In
25	February, the Amarillo Police Department will no longer be

a part of the unit, so that's three of their investigators are pulling out, as well as over half of our cash match is going as well. So in this application -- which was almost not, really had to work through some numbers to make this happen -- in this application, 93 percent of that is for personnel salaries for the upcoming year, and the other seven percent will be for DOE, so we're not asking for any vehicles or any equipment.

2.3

So we cover the top 16 counties of the Texas

Panhandle which is 16,000 square miles -- that's a bunch.

We have a population of 370,000 people. We have two

participating agencies, which is Randall County and Potter

County Sheriff's Offices. We also have a half-time DPS

special agent that works with us.

We work all auto theft cases and all auto burglaries from the counties, and currently we work all auto theft for APD. So once the new cycle begins, we won't work the APD cases anymore, so that will be 1,400 cases less than what we worked, so we'll actually be working less than 200 assigned cases.

We'll go from a reactive unit, which we are now, to very much a proactive unit. We'll go from 260 per investigator a year to less than 25 cases per investigator. We'd like to change our crime prevention coordinator to an investigator spot, so once we lose the

three guys, we'll gain one investigator.

1.3

2.3

We've been using program income to buy new equipment. We bought two new LPRs this year, as well as a bait car. NICB has also assisted us with getting bait cars and we're in the process of getting them out in the bait car system as we speak.

Number-wise, in 2022 we recovered \$3.2 million in vehicles. There was 1,151 stolen in '22, that was a 6 percent increase from the previous year. Auto burglaries are down 10 percent. Fraud cases are up 84 percent, and I think the reason we see that increase is to us paying more attention to fraud cases so we can track those numbers a little better than we have in the past.

Of course we have New Mexico, Oklahoma -- we assist agencies from Oklahoma, we assist agencies in New Mexico and Colorado on cases. We've done over 600 68-As in the last year and that money has been used to purchase equipment. And we're in the process of buying two new trackers now, as well as another LPR, and that way I'll equip all our vehicles with LPRs.

Public awareness contacts, we've had almost 370 contacts which is our population over the course of the year and programs for prevention.

MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, if I may comment just really quickly.

We met with Potter County a couple of months 1 2 ago. Amarillo PD was looking at pulling out. Amarillo is 3 reorganizing and will handle things on their own within 4 their agency; it's not part of the task force. 5 At the time the sheriffs and district attorneys 6 that were assigned to their board of governors were 7 contemplating totally pulling out from the task force. 8 communicated with them in a meeting in Amarillo the value 9 of the program to their agency. They understand that it 10 was a valuable program to be participating in. MR. McBROOM: And I'd like to thank Joe for his 11 12 assistance. He's been a big help. 13 MR. CANADY: Thank you. 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you for staying. 15 Do we have anybody from River Oaks? 16 MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, if I may? two in here from River Oaks and from San Augustine. 17 18 are equipment only grants asking for LPRs -- equipment 19 only, no personnel to operate as a task force. 20 But now we can go to San Antonio. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: These are new applicants. 22 MR. CANADY: New applicants, yes, sir. 2.3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. San Antonio. 24 MR. JOHNSON: Good afternoon. I'm Lt. Nick 25 Johnson, San Antonio Police Department, and I'm the task

force commander for San Antonio ReACT.

1.3

2.3

I'm fairly new in the position, taking over in January, but I'm very proud of what my task force has been able to do. So I'd like to begin by recapping some of the recent operations we've been conducting.

In January we wrapped up the Holiday Auto Theft and Burglary of Motor Vehicle Task Force. This was an interagency operation that consisted of our property crimes unit, members of ReACT, our covert, fusion and our street crimes unit. It was 45 days over the holiday season and it targeted prolific offenders as well as area hotspots in auto theft and burglary of motor vehicles. This resulted in 58 arrests, 39 recovered stolen vehicles valued at approximately \$800,000, 18 firearms recovered and recovered stolen property valued at \$53,000.

More recently in May we concluded the operation with the FBI in Laredo, Operation Black Echo that was briefed by the FBI. Members of ReACT, as well as our SAPD SWAT, assisted in locating and executing arrest warrants at various targets within San Antonio. This was a culmination of work that's been ongoing since 2022.

Our bait program -- we had a lot of technical difficulties, I know, before I took over regarding the bait program. We were able to overcome those and now we have two vehicles that are operational and currently being

deployed.

1.3

2.3

Current trends -- like many other the task forces in the state, we've seen dramatic increases in our auto thefts. In San Antonio we're reporting over 7,000 auto thefts just through May. So we're averaging about 1,400 a month right now, comparing this to 2020 when we had around 6,900 for the entire year. So this kind of leads me to the current issues and stuff we're facing right now.

One of our biggest problems we're facing is staffing. Our manpower has not increased since 2020, so we're still operating off the same manpower and we have over double the cases. This made it difficult to implement prolonged proactive strategies.

Our current task force is staffed with one lieutenant, two sergeants, 18 detectives and three administrative personnel. The grant currently funds seven detectives and two administrative personnel. We also have attached an NICB agent, a DPS investigator, and we have one participating agency.

We had Bexar County attached with us, they pulled out in early 2022. We've reached out to Guadalupe County Sheriff's Office and we have an interlocal that's going to be pending approval by our city council and the county side.

Some of the struggles we're facing as a task force is we're pretty light with experience. We've had tremendous turnover within that unit, strictly from retirements and promotions. So I will only have three investigators with over five years of auto theft experience and one third of my unit will have under six months of auto theft experience.

1.3

2.3

Our followup responsibilities within SAPD auto theft are strictly the auto theft aspect and unauthorized use of motor vehicles. Our forgery unit will deal with the fraud-related motor vehicle thefts, and our property crimes unit is currently tasked with our burglary of motor vehicles and our theft of motor vehicles parts and accessories.

Where this comes into play, our property crimes unit is currently decentralized. So they have six different substations and they have six unit directors that report to them. So in July they're going to be centralized and they're going to come underneath the special investigations section so they'll report to the same captain that we're reporting to.

So what this is going to do is that we have the same captain that everybody is going to be reporting for the forgery, our property crimes and our auto theft. And we're going to be in the same building as the property

crimes unit, so this is going to make coordinating things much easier and make our department much more efficient in addressing all vehicle crimes within San Antonio.

1.3

2.3

And the goal that I'm seeing is trying to go more towards that burglary of motor vehicle and auto theft task force that we ran over the holidays as it was extremely effective and we weren't duplicating work. So that's what we're going for.

The grant application, like I mentioned, the main issue here is our manpower, so we're asking for funding for three additional positions, one sergeant and two detectives. I've also asked for additional positions within my department and that process is currently ongoing. My intent is to make the task force able to implement more long-term proactive strategies in addressing vehicles crimes in our area. And I know with the additional positions, it will help our task force reach that goal.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ RODRIGUEZ: Thank you for that presentation.

How do you think those three additional FTEs are going to make a dent in those 1,700 getting there?

MR. JOHNSON: We requested six additional positions from within the department. The ultimate goal is to have two teams with two sergeants working reactive

and then one team strictly proactive, placing them on a 1 flex schedule from 12:00 to 10:00 and with the expectation 3 and ability to flex to address the areas of hotspots that 4 we're seeing in the city. 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Ouestions? 6 (No response.) 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 8 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you. 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Smith County. MR. RICHBOURG: 10 Good evening -- it's still afternoon. Kenneth Richbourg with the Smith County East 11 Texas Auto Theft Task Force. 12 13 We are one of the original task forces, again, 14 in 1993. And just for purposes, the funding back then is 15 pretty much on par for what it is right now 30 years down 16 the line, so that says something. 17 We're comprised of four full-time investigators 18 and those are four participating agencies. Two of the 19 investigators have over 22 years experience combined, 20 another has more than eight years, and our newest one has 21 three years of service, so we've got pretty much a good 22 I, of course, work from DPS and I'm provided to the task force at no cost to them. 2.3 24 We have a district attorney that provides money

for our part-time administrative assistant. It's been a

25

priority for participating agencies to fully staff the auto theft task force, even though some of these agencies are experiencing shortages of manpower in other areas.

2.3

We serve 14 counties in the East Texas Council of Governments area, many of these are on a weekly basis. It's law enforcement, fire marshal offices, state and federal agencies. We attend intel meetings with other agencies and we share intel with all these agencies and industry.

We are housed with the Smith County tax office and tax assessor so that makes it handy for when we have cases come in there; we can just hop downstairs and handle it. We're the only specialized trained experts in the area. We do law enforcement, prevention, reduction of theft of parts, and we do education programs, TCOLE and DCOLE training.

Our ask for this new grant, it's not a whole lot. The first time that we've ever asked for overtime is included in this grant. We're asking for additional money for training for investigators, travel and training.

We are asking for a vehicle this time to replace a 2012 vehicle, and we do prefer to have a purchased vehicle for the purposes of mounting a toolbox in the back, computer inside, lights, that sort of thing. Also some additional money for fuel.

We plan to expand in the future. This time was 1 2 not the right time. We'd like to add one or two 3 investigators as we go forward. The main agency that has 4 the highest theft rate in our area was not able to do it 5 at this time and we'd rather wait and get them on rather 6 than somebody else. 7 LPRs, we're evaluating those. Some of our 8 counties has them, some of the other counties that are 9 participating have them. We're trying to weigh what's 10 best: mobile for the vehicles or stationary. So we kind of want to see how those pan out before we decide to ask 11 MVCPA for funding for those in the future. 12 13 I'd be happy to answer any questions if you 14 have any. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 16 Any questions? 17 (No response.) 18 MR. RICHBOURG: Thank you. 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 20 Tarrant County. Good afternoon. MR. PEDERSEN: Good afternoon. For the record, 21 22 Matt Pedersen, commander of the auto theft task force in 2.3 Tarrant County for the Tarrant Regional Auto Crimes Unit. 24 MS. PENA: Ann Pena, Auto Crimes Tarrant County 25 administrative assistant, prevention coordinator.

as the grant manager.

1.3

2.3

MR. PEDERSEN: Good afternoon. We appreciate the opportunity to come and talk a little bit about our program. In FY 2024 will be our 31st year of successful grant-funded operations with the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority.

Our task force consists of ten different agencies. We have seven investigators, two professional staff, a commander, a dedicated criminal prosecutor. We also are assigned a special agent and an investigative specialist with NICB, and we have an MOU currently with Texas Department of Motor Vehicles, so under the same roof we have a chief investigator, as well as three DMV investigators.

Our task force currently serves as the primary source of auto crime expertise for the agencies that fall within our unit's program area, as well as collaborative partner regional crime efforts with Dallas PD, North Texas and Tri-County. Serving as a force multiplier for agencies within the coverage area and beyond, team members participate in field operations, criminal investigations, law enforcement training, intelligence sharing, prevention programs, and citizen education efforts.

Operations concentrate on large dollar losses, multi-jurisdictional investigations, and organized

criminal rings. Investigators specialize in vehicle identification, assisting investigations involving complex criminal schemes, as well as conducting the state-mandated inspections for Texas consumers for title purposes.

2.3

The tax force routinely inspects businesses such as repair shops, dealerships and salvage yards, as well as recycling entities to identify and investigate illicit activities. Prevention education efforts include VIN etching, citizen presentations, participation in community events, and coordinating and dissemination of public information through both traditional and social media outlets.

The task force's FY24 grant application is requesting additional four investigators, as well as the equipment necessary to keep them functional, to help supplement the current team staffing levels of the operational blueprint I just outlined. Several jurisdictions were solicited for possible participation but did not currently possess the staffing capability to oblige. The sheriff and chiefs of Wise County,

Weatherford, Grapevine and White Settlement, however, demonstrated not only their ability through staffing to assign an investigator but a strong desire to participate is outlined in their letters of support that were attached to our application.

The program collectively experienced a small decrease in auto thefts between 2021 and 2022. When compared separately for the seven counties that we cover to the west experienced increases in auto thefts, vehicle burglaries and the part theft rates. The increase in these current auto theft trends appear to mirror the population growth being experienced by these counties. Parker County, for example, is one of the three fastest growing counties in North Texas, according to the most current U.S. Census Bureau figures.

1.3

2.3

The addition of the Weatherford Police

Department, as well as the Wise County Sheriff's Office,

will assist in creating a team of investigators who can

bring experience and expertise and resources to these

growing communities. The addition of the Grapevine Police

Department will provide a needed presence in the northeast

corner of Tarrant County, including the DFW Airport, where

theft and burglary rates have experienced significant

increases. The White Settlement Police Department, who

has already proven an active and willing participant in

collaborative efforts, will also provide additional

investigators to supplement the current team of

investigators who are serving 32 various municipalities in

Tarrant County alone.

An original goal for the grant application was

to attempt to secure a full-time fraud investigator. We weren't able to do that, but we do know that the addition of four investigators will help us to share the workload that we've experienced with our fraud investigations, which by far is what's taking up the majority of our resources at this time.

Additional funding will assist the task force in building upon prior successes highlighted --

MR. PENCE: One minute.

MR. PEDERSEN: Okay. I don't hear you.

(General laughter.)

2.3

MR. PEDERSEN: Additional funding will assist the task force with building upon these prior successes which we've reported in our quarterly and annual reports, as well as previous committee presentations. With these funds, the task force will continue to address auto theft, burglary and fraud concerns by adopting cases with multiple suspects, vehicles and jurisdictions. We'll continue to identify and target prolific offenders, to educate citizens, provide more presentations and attend community events.

With the funding we'll be able to continue to serve as an organizing force to gather and disseminate intelligence with our regional partners, building the strength of our investigator network while connecting

offenses, identifying suspects, and learning trends. 1 We'll continue to provide basic and advanced education to 3 officers, both in the academy and on the street. Finally, 4 we will strive to continue to be good stewards of the 5 citizens' money, working in full support of the MVCPA 6 vision to empower local law enforcement agencies and 7 communities to combat and prevent auto crimes. 8 MR. PENCE: Time. 9 MR. PEDERSEN: Right on time. 10 MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I am from Tarrant County so I do look at y'all closely, but as we've 11 looked through everything today, y'all are the only one --12 13 correct me if I'm wrong, but it looks like y'all have 14 asked for a district attorney. 15 MR. PEDERSEN: We already have a dedicated prosecutor. 16 17 MS. BROTHERTON: You have one, so this is not a 18 new one. 19 MR. PEDERSEN: That is correct. 20 MS. BROTHERTON: So can you tell me what you 21 see in improvement by having that so that we know how that 22 would affect the other agencies who are applying as well? 2.3 MR. PEDERSEN: Certainly. Obviously the 24 success rate or the efficiency with which we move our 25 cases through the DA's office is a lot easier and a lot

1	better when you have somebody that's a direct connect.
2	One of the big advantages with a dedicated prosecutor is
3	they get educated on all the technical aspects of auto
4	theft, it's not just breaking a steering column and
5	stealing a car. They understand what VIN switches are,
6	they understand what organized criminal activity is.
7	But the big thing with our dedicated prosecutor
8	too is because it's the only one in North Texas, and far
9	as I'm aware, full-time in the state. We make him
10	available also to all of our coverage agencies, but
11	specifically those who participate in our North Texas Auto
12	Crime Intel Network. And he becomes a resource and a
13	phone call for all of them, not just our participating
14	agencies.
15	Does that answer your question?
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any questions?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Tarrant.
19	Let's take a five-minute break. It's 2:44, be
20	back at 2:50, make it six minutes.
21	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: 2:53 and we're back in session.
23	Travis County.
24	MR. TARRANT: Hello, Board members. Neil
25	Tarrant, Travis County Sheriff's Office sergeant and task

force commander, program manager Sheriff's Combined Auto
Theft Task Force.

1.3

2.3

MR. MEYER: Lt. Chris Meyer, Travis County

Sheriff's Office. I currently don't have anything to do

with the task force, but I'm going to be introduced into

the task force, so that's why I'm here.

Just to tell you a little bit about our task force, we've been a recipient for almost 30 years from the grant. We have six participating counties, eight coverage counties, and our task force covers approximately 12,000 square miles and that's spread over 14 Central Texas counties. So we have the barbecue belt from Plano down to Caldwell County and Lockhart.

Our population is approximately 2.6 million people, and that's not counting the population for our high conversion rate, and we have approximately 2.2 million registered motor vehicles within our task force coverage area. We currently have one full-time sergeant, six full-time agents, two part-time agents, and one community liaison that's over our 12,000 square miles.

Just like everybody else, we have multi-faceted issues, anywhere from heavy equipment all the way to highend vehicles and the trailers that get stolen. Uniquely in Central Texas are main corridors: I-35, the toll road, 71 East-West. Wherever you want to go, if you want to go

to Houston, San Antonio and Dallas and all the way down to the border, you can get there very easily through the maze of roadways we have, and that's not counting the smaller county roadways that we handle.

1.3

2.3

The rural area of our coverage area makes it difficult to find vehicles and items stolen because they stash them off of county roads, a long way from eyeshot from the roadways. So it's usually tips that we get that we recover those. We have a large homeless population and so we end up finding RVs and trailers that are stolen in encampments, along with heavy equipment, F-250s, and everything along that end. Our estimated loss in 2022 for the whole entire task force was \$12 million, in auto parts \$3.5 million.

The fraud relateds have gone up with the altered VINs. I would like to say, though, that in the first half of fiscal year '23 the agents were able to recover 16 vehicles, some of those coming from 68-A inspections.

Our strategies for this is to reinvent how we look at this as a task force. For the record, nothing against our current task force commander and program manager, but he's retiring in November so we're having to replace him. We've already picked and identified someone that has task force operations in the Austin and in the

Houston area.

1.3

2.3

They've been working together to cross-train and learn as much as they can, so in November our task force commander can hit the ground running. I am going to take the program management administration role in hopes of taking the work off of our sergeant.

Other things that we've been able to do internally just within the sheriff's office is we've been able to rearrange some county assets to cover no-lead cases and the recovery cases. We've also been able to pick up the bill for the cell phones and the air cards for the MDTs. And we also supply their own vehicles, the county does. What that has led to is cost savings to the task force and pushed funds to our smaller agencies that may not have as much as we do in Travis County.

Our approach to this is going to be a multidisciplined approach going forward, which is education, training and community involvement. We are requesting funding for three more full-time field agents: one for Travis County, one for Hays County, and then to pay for the one in Bell County which Bell County currently covers. We're also asking for one law enforcement specialist for the task force to serve as admin/intel/analyst.

MR. PENCE: One minute.

MR. MEYER: Is that time, is that what you

1 said? 2 MR. PENCE: One minute. 3 MR. MEYER: Oh, one minute. Oh my goodness. 4 So where we want to go with this is, one, to 5 educate our public. We can't do anything without our 6 public's help, and we want to educate our troops, and our 7 troops is a force multiplier times however many in our task force. 8 9 The other things we want to do going forward 10 with our program income is outfit a bait vehicle or two and update the one currently. 3G is out so we've got to 11 12 update some antiquated technology. 13 We're also going to run LPR operations on our 14 main arteries. I've instructed Sqt. Tarrant that where we 15 come from our command is that we don't expect large scale 16 operations, we expect small scale operations involving our 17 troops along with the task force troops. That way it doesn't take away from everybody's assets, it distributes 18 19 the assets evenly. 20 MR. PENCE: Time. 21 MR. MEYER: One other thing we have to do is 22 open up a dialogue with our district attorney -- I'm going 2.3 to take lead from the other guy.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, we've lost signal on

(General laughter.)

24

25

the streaming, if we can pause briefly to get it hardwired 1 2 and we'll get it right back up. MR. RODRIGUEZ: We'll take a five-minute break. 3 It is 3:01, be back at 3:06. 4 5 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: It is 3:07 p.m. and we're back 7 in session. Just for the record, the live streaming is 8 They are working on it, but we need to continue so 9 10 we're going to continue. 11 You were on the attorney. 12 MR. MEYER: We have to open up a dialogue with 13 our district attorney's office. That's one thing that our 14 criminal investigations division commanders are trying to 15 do, and I believe it's something we've got to do in the auto theft task force. 16 17 I would like to say that at the SCATTF we don't 18 take vehicle crimes as property crimes, everything is 19 attached to a person. If you take a single vehicle from a 20 family that only has one vehicle, they're done. Most of our areas don't have bus service so there's no way to get 21 22 to the grocery store, there's no way to get the kids to 2.3 school, or anything like that. 24 If you steal a family's RV, that family is not 25

going on vacation. If you take a trailer full of

equipment that that person was just trying to make a 1 2 living, that person is stuck. We focus mainly on the 3 person as a victim and look toward to serving the victims 4 that we can to hopefully give them some sort of closure. 5 Thank you for your time. 6 MR. TARRANT: Thank you. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Victoria. 8 9 I'm Sqt. Rogers with the Victoria MR. ROGERS: 10 Police Department, the task force commander. We currently have two task force officers and 11 one detective in the unit. I'm fairly new, about eight 12 13 months ago in transferred from property; before I had 14 property and auto theft and for the last eight months I've 15 been just auto theft due to the increase in crime. We've had a 22 percent increase in the motor 16 17 vehicle crimes. We've recovered approximately \$800,000 in vehicles and about \$10,000 in property. And we service 18 19 Victoria and the seven surrounding cities doing 68-A 20 inspections. 21 We've been doing intensive investigations and 22 recovery of motor vehicles. We have a large number of

officers in the department and we're trying to educate

them on stolen vehicles and motor vehicle crimes. We're

on call 24/7 to them if they need to call us. We've been

2.3

24

25

doing the salvage and repair shop issues, being very proactive with our bait operations, property bait, not the vehicle bait.

2.3

We've had a lot of theft out on 59, trailer thefts, we've had truck tractor thefts. We don't have any LPRs in our personal vehicles.

MR. KELLY: Mark Kelly, Victoria PD, for the record.

Our patrol has a total of 18 cameras mounted on units. We also have four total on our auto theft, two that are ordered and on the way, two that are waiting to be installed, so that makes a total of 22.

We also had a speed trailer with three cameras. It was destroyed in an accident but that's being replaced where they're going to give us the partially restored trailer which the camera still works and we're going to have two speed trailers with a total of another six cameras for our deployment.

MR. ROGERS: We've been doing several community events trying to educate the public about lock it and take their possessions. We've done VIN etching events, a lot of social media. We're working on a door hangar to hang on the doors of neighborhoods to try to educate them. We have a lot of firearms stolen, and not totally unbelievable but sometimes we get it back to them and it

gets stolen again. 1 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: What is your request for this 3 year for Victoria? Are you increasing your FTEs, or what 4 is your request? 5 MR. ROGERS: The request we put in for is for a 6 sergeant position to be exclusively over auto theft. 7 Right now temporarily my division and property are being 8 covered and we're hoping to get a position for a sergeant 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is that sergeant just going to 10 provide supervision or is he also going to be active in investigation? 11 12 MR. ROGERS: Active. 13 MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I should point out 14 that that was the recommendation from me that they put in 15 there a sergeant because their entire task force has been 16 replaced. Am I correct? 17 MR. KELLY: Correct. I'm the longest tenured 18 and I've been there a year. 19 MR. GONZALEZ: And so there was no continuity 20 during the changeover from task forces, and so I felt it 21 was important that at least they have a supervisor that 22 may provide some more continuity, in the event they have 2.3 more personnel changes at the detective level. 24 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 25 MR. ROGERS: And the only additional we asked

for, we asked for \$25,000 for a bait vehicle, and the way 1 we came up with that, we looked at getting an old Charger 3 or Challenger. I expect that would be the best vehicle we 4 could use for a bait vehicle, and we just looked it up and 5 said we could get one for about \$20,000 and it would take 6 about \$5,000 to outfit it. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: And again, we highly recommend 8 that you go through the NICB route or State Farm route, 9 insurance route to try to obtain vehicles as bait 10 vehicles. On the amount that you would want funded to 11 outfit the vehicle as a bait vehicle --12 13 MR. KELLY: We have the covert track kit for 14 it. Our current bait vehicle is a 2007 Ford F-150 which, 15 as you can imagine, is not very enticing. So that's why 16 we're trying to get a vehicle so we can replace that and 17 there's not a waste of vehicle equipment to have it in a 2007 Ford. 18 19 MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, Joe Canady, for the 20 record. 21 If I may recommend that on the purchase of a 22 bait vehicle if you could identify a vehicle that you 2.3 currently have in the task force with high mileage and 24 possibly use that one as a bait vehicle.

MR. KELLY: That's the one we're using right,

25

1	the 2007 F-150.
2	MR. CANADY: So you're not looking to purchase
3	a new bait vehicle?
4	MR. ROGERS: We were asking for funds to obtain
5	like a used Charger or Challenger, something that would be
6	more enticing.
7	MR. CANADY: Is there a possibility of another
8	vehicle that a task force investigator is utilizing that
9	you could turn into a bait vehicle?
10	MR. ROGERS: Not at the moment, sir.
11	MR. KELLY: We have three vehicles and the
12	fourth being our bait vehicle, and as of right now, all
13	the vehicles are in use for ourselves.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I know it's a trick question,
15	but do you guys have a Dodge or a Challenger?
16	MR. KELLY: We do have a Dodge but it's one we
17	use.
18	(General laughter.)
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any other questions?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
22	Item 6 for discussion.
23	MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, today we've heard
24	quite a bit of testimony regarding the use of funds for
25	purchases versus lease.

One option that we have to consider is that a \$45,000 cap on MVCPA towards the purchase of a new vehicle would yield us a savings of \$301,667. Now, this would put the amount of money requested by the task forces at \$22,819,307. We have allocated \$22,879,922 in funds for the task forces, and this \$45,000 cap would put us \$60,000 under budget.

1.3

2.3

MR. GONZALEZ: Yes, Mr. Chairman. It would be

MR. RODRIGUEZ: \$45,000 cap, you said?

my recommendation that we adopt the \$45,000 cap on purchases of new vehicles, and the task forces would be free to include any remainder from their cash match or in-kind. I know various task forces have a significant amount of money in their program income and they could also access that.

But again, that puts us under budget and I don't think anyone could argue that \$45,000 on a new truck isn't reasonable. And in addition, you'd still be free to lease.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So we're talking about a \$45,000 cap for purchase or \$1,500 per month for leases. So you're going to have to go back to your financial people and ask them what's the best route to take for each particular agency, pretty much.

MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, if I may? Most of

1	them will have some money that they can move around from
2	the cash match for those vehicles and should be able to
3	make it work, or utilize a different portion for match.
4	And it still gives them the option to purchase or lease a
5	vehicle that benefits their program.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do we have any discussion with
7	that?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I move that the
10	Board adopt a cap of \$45,000 of MVCPA funds per vehicle on
11	the purchase of a new vehicle for the FY24 budget year,
12	and allow task forces to utilize cash match or in-kind for
13	any amount over \$45,000 towards the purchase of a new
14	vehicle.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
16	Gonzalez. Do I have a second?
17	MS. JONES: Sharon Jones, for the record. I
18	second.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, is there any further
20	discussion?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
23	for the vote. All those in favor of the motion say aye.
24	(A chorus of ayes.)
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All those opposed say nay.

(No response.)

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I, Mike Rodriguez, vote aye. The motion passes.

Thank you, members. We will now move to the next agenda item.

Agenda item 7, I will turn the discussion over to Director Joe Canady. Before I do that, there is a card for public comment.

Agenda item 7, Unallowable grant expenditure.

This is an action item. I'll turn the discussion over to

Director Joe Canady.

MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, for the record.

Mr. Chairman, members, a previous review of
Tarrant County's expenditure report discovered a line item
cost for rent that was less than an arm's length
transaction and determined to be an unallowable
expenditure. The MVCPA first identified the potentially
unallowable expenditure contained in the FY23 application
for Tarrant County. Discussions between Tarrant County
grant officials resulted in a determination made to allow
the rent item to be included in the FY23 grant
application.

During the close of FY22, the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles was notified of an audit from the State Auditor's Office to determine that the MVCPA had processes

in place to ensure that it awarded, administered and monitored grants in accordance with applicable requirements and ensure that grantees use funds properly and that reimbursements are supported, reasonable and allowable for fiscal years FY21 and FY22.

1.3

2.3

The final audit report from SAI identified that the Authority did not identify that rent expenses that one grantee reported were related to a less than arm's length transaction. As a result, the Authority may have reimbursed the grantee in excess of allowable rent expenses. Auditors were not able to determine the amount that was incorrectly reimbursed to the grantee due to a lack of supporting documentation.

This is an action item and it is the MVCPA's recommendation to determine the period of fiscal years to require that reimbursement for rent expenses be returned to the MVCPA.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: The chair recognizes Matt Pedersen. Please state your name and provide your comments.

MR. PEDERSEN: Good afternoon. For the record,
Matt Pedersen, commander, Tarrant Regional Auto Crimes
Task Force. I'll be making comments on behalf of Tarrant
County, specifically Tarrant County Auditor's Office.

Permission to speak to the Board?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Go ahead.

1.3

2.3

MR. PEDERSEN: In early August 2022, Tarrant
County was informed of an unallowable rent expense and was
requested to make adjustments to the grant application
prior to the approval of the FY23 continuation grant.
Tarrant County and MVCPA agreed on a resolution to use
program income as a cash match to cover rent and the FY23
grant award statement was issued and accepted on August
30, 2022.

In January '23, Tarrant County received a second notification from the MVCPA that after consultation with general counsel and the MVCPA Board, further review of the rent expense was conducted and determined unallowable and recommended additional grant adjustments, including removal of the rent expense altogether. Tarrant County complied by completely removing the rent expense from the grant and paying with county funds.

In March, Tarrant County received an email from MVCPA requesting that we agree in writing to return grant funds paid to us, should the Board vote that funds paid in prior years for rent be returned. Tarrant County inquired about the vote referenced in the email and learned that the Board would be considering this matter in an action item during today's meeting.

In researching the matter, Tarrant County

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 determined that the recent MVCPA audit conducted by the State it was noted that the MVCPA did not ensure that Tarrant County provided sufficient documentation regarding the rent transaction and that the amount incorrect reimbursed could not be determined due to lack of supporting documentation. As a result, Tarrant County auditors requested to meet with the MVCPA to share supporting documents showing actual costs and cost allocated to the office space utilized by Auto Theft.

1.3

2.3

The MVCPA requested to receive the documentation in writing for review before the meeting.

This information was provided via email on May 24 to ensure that the MVCPA, including the Board, had the adequate support documentation prior to the Board meeting for consideration.

Tarrant County's goal is to provide financial transparency to assist the Board in making an informed decision and to help mitigate the amount of potential recoupment based on actual data. Last Thursday, Tarrant County auditors were notified that anything that Tarrant County would like to provide would have to be done today in public comments.

Tarrant County's external auditors selected the

Auto Task Force as a major program for additional review.

The auditors reviewed the documentation prepared by the

auditors' office and were satisfied that Tarrant County 1 2 complied with state grant requirements. 3 It is Tarrant County's belief that the information shared with the MVCPA on the 24th and a 4 5 briefing by a member of Tarrant County Auditor's Office 6 would resolve this issue. We, therefore, respectfully 7 request that this will be postponed until Tarrant County 8 auditors have an opportunity to meet with the MVCPA Board 9 and/or present supporting documentation to you in a future 10 meeting. 11 Thank you. 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia, are there any other 13 requests to comment? 14 MS. BENAVIDES: Not for this item. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: We will go into closed session 16 pursuant to Government Code Section 551.071. I expect 17 that we will be in closed session for approximately 30 18 minutes. 19 We will now go into closed session. The time 20 is 3:25 p.m. 21 (Whereupon, at 3:25 p.m., the meeting was 22 recessed, to reconvene this same day, Thursday, June 15, 2.3 2023, following conclusion of the closed session.) 24 MR. RODRIGUEZ: We are back in open session.

25

It is now 4:17 p.m.

1	Members, are there any motions on agenda item
2	number 7?
3	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, for the record.
4	I move that the Board request Tarrant County
5	return rent expenses identified as unallowable for the
6	period of FY21 and FY22, for an amount to be determined by
7	the director after consulting with grant and Texas DMV
8	auditors and a review of Tarrant County documentation.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there a second?
10	MR. SMITH: Member Smith. I second.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, is there any further
12	discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
15	for the vote. All those in favor of the motion say aye.
16	(A chorus of ayes.)
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All opposed say nay.
18	(No response.)
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
20	Mike Rodriguez, vote aye. The motion passes. Thank you,
21	members.
22	We will now move on to the next agenda item.
23	Agenda item 8, Senate Bill 224. There's a briefing and
24	also an action item.
25	I will now turn this agenda item over to

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 Director Joe Canady.

2.3

MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, members, Joe Canady, MVCPA.

MVCPA is funded for a portion of the \$4 fee on the motor vehicle insurance policies collected. With passage of Senate Bill 224 in the 88th Legislative Session, the fee is proposed to increase to \$5, with the entire additional dollar rolling through MVCPA to local law enforcement. As discussed earlier by Keith Yawn and the Texas DMV Finance Division, this will be a determined amount as indicated by the Texas Legislature in the coming days.

The Texas Comptroller will update forms to reflect the increased fee, as well as the TDI, Texas

Department of Insurance, will send out a notice or bulletin to inform insurers of the new \$5 fee, the effective date requiring their compliance and the requirement that insurers notify their policyholders of the new fee. The new \$5 fee will apply to policies delivered, issued for delivery, or renewed on or after May 29, 2023.

Do you have any questions?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any questions, comments on agenda item 8.A?

(512) 450-0342

(No response.)

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

MR. RODRIGUEZ: We do have a registration form 1 2 request to speak on this agenda item, Steve Bresnen. 3 MR. BRESNEN: (Speaking from audience.) Just 4 for your information, all these items in 8 I'd like to be 5 able to comment on at your convenience. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, this is a briefing 7 item only so let's move on to the next agenda item. 8 Agenda item 8.B. Develop and implement a 9 plan -- there is an action item. Members, we will now 10 take up agenda item 8.B., Develop and implement a plan to 11 coordinate efforts with the Texas Department of Public 12 Safety, the Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation, 1.3 and the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles to review 14 records of persons regulated by each agency involving the 15 purchase, acquisition, sale or transfer of catalytic 16 converters removed from motor vehicles and respond to 17 suspicious activities that may be detected through the 18 analysis of records. 19 Mr. Canady will present this item. 20 MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. 21 Mr. Chairman, members, Senate Bill 224 requires 22 no later than January 1 of 2024 the Authority shall 2.3 develop and implement a plan to coordinate with other 24 state agencies to review catalytic converter records of

persons regulated by agencies and respond to suspicious

25

activities that may be directed in the review of the records.

1.3

2.3

The bill directed the MVCPA to coordinate efforts with the Texas Department of Public Safety, Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation, and the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles to review the records of persons regulated by each agency involving the purchase, acquisition, sales or transfer of catalytic converters removed from motor vehicles, respond to suspicious activities that may be detected through the analysis of records described above.

The plan shall focus on protecting each step in the legitimate stream of commerce that begins with the removal of a catalytic converter from a motor vehicle and includes recycling of those catalytic converters to ensure that persons regulated are not the means for inserting stolen catalytic converters into the stream of commerce, in addition, providing risk-based targeting and random auditing of the records of the persons regulated.

This is an action item. The recommendation is to allow the MVCPA directors and stakeholders sufficient time to develop and implement a plan to coordinate efforts with the Texas Department of Public Safety, Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation, and the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles to comply with Section 501 of

Senate Bill 224 and report back to the Board with a recommendation in an August Board meeting.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any questions for Mr. Canady?

(No response.)

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Bresnen.

MR. BRESNEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'm Steve Bresnen. I'm here representing PGM of Texas, North America's largest recyclers of catalytic converters, headquartered in San Marcos, Texas, and operating in 24 states.

As a lobbyist, it's not uncommon to take credit for things that you didn't have anything to do with, but in this particular instance, I'm going to take credit for PGM for what they absolutely had to do with.

In September of last year after about nine months worth of study, I delivered, along with my law partner, Amy Bresnen -- who is back over here -- to the Senate Criminal Justice Committee and the Senate Committee on Natural Resources and Economic Development what became Senate Bill 224. It is essentially and almost totally precisely what we delivered. To their great credit, Senator Alvarado and Chairman Leach allowed PGM to work with the private sector and law enforcement to propose solutions to the epidemic of catalytic converter theft,

and by God, we got a bill that's as stout as horseradish.

2.3

I'm going to put on the table here, for anybody who wants one later, a one-page summary of the bill. I'm also going to put my business card and J.R. Willis's, the chief operations officer of PGM of Texas on here, and I'll tell you why about that in just a minute.

I want to make several comments. I know it's been a long day, I feel like we've been in a foxhole together between the heat, the air conditioner going out, the streaming going out, and the tequila that was forced on me last night in the bar.

(General laughter.)

MR. BRESNEN: If I might, could I ask one of the staff to come and pass this out to the Board members while they're there, if that's okay? I'm not trying to own the place. Thank you.

This bill is effective now. There's only two little pieces that don't really come into play for us and those become effective on July 1, but otherwise, the bill is effective now.

For everybody sitting behind me in the room, I want to be really clear about one thing: House Bill 4110 that was passed in the prior session is still effective and applies to sales by individuals of catalytic converters that if they show up at a PGM, at an MRE, they

have to show that they have the documentation for that vehicle. That has not changed.

1.3

2.3

What has changed is business-to-business sales.

The bill defines those businesses that are considered to be in the legitimate ordinary course of business when they're making these sales.

The bill establishes some uniform standards. Each of those businesses has to maintain records that are carefully defined in the bill and we defined them in the bill so it wouldn't require additional rulemaking by the regulatory agencies. We put in the dollar fee for the get-go back in September and I was astounded that it was never questioned and I was astounded that it will produce over \$54 million for the coming biennium.

Now, I want to state what my position is about something that I've known was going to come up, and that is the use of the money from that fee. It clearly is intended for that revenue to flow through to local law enforcement agencies, and the broad language in the bill makes that clear in Section 1006.153(e) of the Transportation Code. But it's also intended that the additional cost of coordination between your agency, TDLR, DMV and DPS, that those funds can also flow through for those purposes. If staff or anybody who does the check writing and approving expenses has any question about

that, I'd appreciate them reaching out to me and we'll work with legislative offices and make sure that is clear.

1.3

2.3

Because you might be asking yourselves why does a regulated entity want to invite further regulation and fund that to the extent of tens of millions of dollars.

Because if we don't get the bad actors out of the process, then illicit catalytic converters will have a method for being marketed.

Right now they're primarily being marketed through organized crime aggregated and carried out of the state. There were two very large busts in the Harris County that make that absolutely clear. We don't want folks to turn it in now and be able to use a legitimate stream of commerce to be able to launder those items.

I'm here to make two offers today. One is I think it's fair to say that there's only one or two people who know as much about this legislation as Amy and I do, and we want to offer our full support to your agency and the regulatory agencies to be able to provide technical assistance at any time. We are fully committed to this, and as I said last night, with Deputy Armendariz's widow present, this got personal with us and so we're fully committed at a personal level to provide that technical assistance and PGM will too.

And the reason I've got Mr. Willis's cards up here, we would invite anybody in this room and anybody who was here earlier to contact PGM, contact Amy or me, and we will host you at a tour through the plant in San Marcos. In addition to being very interesting, it will show you the level of security that should be demonstrated by legitimate responsible actors in this environment.

1.3

2.3

The other assistance that I want to offer is to help organize private sector actors to be involved with the task force that's your next item. We individually, Amy and I, went and solicited the car dealers, the used auto parts recyclers, the NFIB, all of the people in that list. There wasn't a single entity that opposed the passage of this 31-page bill that got seriously into their business.

Those folks need to be involved, though. We made them a promise. We drafted this bill in a way to protect their industry, not to burden it and not to have state government go crazy about it.

And so we would respectfully request that you accept our offer to help identify the people within those industries who can come to you and provide the kind of assistance that's going to be needed to get this coordinated regulatory enforcement piece done. And that is our request.

As the task forces are formed, we would like to be involved. As you decide the process by which you want to go forward to develop this plan, we would like to be involved. We invented this structure, we talked to the legislature about it, we worked so close with Jason Hester at the DPS and with Jessica Anderson at HPD and various law enforcement too numerous to mention, and all these private sector actors. We beg you to get us involved early so we can make this work.

2.3

After 40-some-odd years, I know a little bit about how state government can and should work, and if you'll involve us with it, we'll make you a success. I'd appreciate that.

That said, I want to point out two things that should be part of your plan, and that is the legislation directs you, quite intentionally, for the plan to be focused on risk-based approaches and random record selection and review. That's so we don't go overboard and hamstring the regulated industry when it's come forward and put itself out there to solve this problem. And so having worked for Bob Bullock at the Comptroller's Office and in the Lieutenant Governor's Office, I know a little bit about how you can do risk-based selection and random auditing in order to make this work out.

I so much appreciate our time with you last

night. PGM was proud to host the event. The chicken cordon bleu was more than I expected to get in Kerrville, Texas, to be honest about it, last night, so I really appreciate it.

I'm happy to answer any questions. I think
I've hit my whole cheat sheet here, and I would invite
people to come up and ask questions, get my card, email
me.

Mr. Canady, I appreciated your accepting our outreach to you, and we look forward to working with you.

We didn't stay all day to make frivolous remarks, so take me at our word, we'll be your partners if you'll grasp our hand.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Bresnen, for your support. Thank you for being with us yesterday and last night. And again, we are at the beginning stages of this and we're going to take everything you said into consideration.

I know Director Canady has your information and contact information, and of course, we're open for suggestions. And like I've said it before, we're going to think outside the box to tackle this problem and making sure that we have a strategic plan in place so that everybody is on the same page as to how we're going to go about tackling the catalytic converter issue.

1	MR. BRESNEN: Thank you for your hospitality,
2	and I appreciate it.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia, are there any other
4	public comments on this item?
5	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any motions
7	on agenda item 8.B?
8	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I move to
9	allow the MVCPA to develop and implement a plan to
10	coordinate efforts with the Texas Department of Public
11	Safety, the Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation,
12	and the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles to comply with
13	Section 5.01 of Senate Bill 224 and report back to the
14	Board with its recommendation in our August 2023 Board
15	meeting.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there a second?
17	MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill seconds.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there any further
19	discussion?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
22	for the vote. All those in favor of the motion say aye.
23	(A chorus of ayes.)
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All those opposed say nay.
25	(No response.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I, Mike Rodriguez, vote aye. The motion passes.

1.3

2.3

Agenda item number 8.C., Establish a task force composed of persons regulated by the agencies involving the purchase, acquisition, sale, or transfer of catalytic converters removed from motor vehicles. This is an action item.

We'll now move on to this agenda item. Director Canady.

MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA.

Mr. Chairman, members, Senate Bill 244 provides that the Authority may establish a task force composed of persons and who have substantial business experience in catalytic converters and other members, as the Authority determines as appropriate. The Authority may establish this task force composed of persons regulated by the Department of Public Safety, Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation, and the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles who have substantial business experience and transactions involving catalytic converters and members the Authority determines as appropriate.

I will say the phrase "task force" is not what we look at as a traditional task force. This is going to be more of an advisory committee, but it's labeled as a task force.

This is an action item. The recommendation is 1 2 to allow the director and stakeholders sufficient time to 3 research the establishment of a task force composed of the 4 persons regulated by agencies who have a substantial 5 experience in transactions involving catalytic converters 6 and report back to the Board with a recommendation in an 7 August Board meeting. 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any 9 questions or comments for Mr. Canady? 10 (No response.) MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia, are there any public 11 comments on this item? 12 1.3 MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir. 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any motions 15 on agenda item 8.C? Dean Smith, for the record. 16 MR. SMITH: I move to allow the MVCPA director sufficient 17 time to research the establishment of a task force 18 19 composed of persons regulated by the agencies and who have 20 substantial business experience in transactions involving 21 catalytic converters and report back to the Board with a 22 recommendation in an August 2023 Board meeting. 2.3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there a second? 24 MS. JONES: Sharon Jones, for the record. 25 second.

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there any further
2	discussion?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
5	for the vote. All those in favor of the motion say aye.
6	(A chorus of ayes.)
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All those opposed say nay.
8	(No response.)
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
10	Mike Rodriguez, vote aye. The motion passes.
11	Moving on to agenda item 9, FY 2024 task force
12	grant award and allocations.
13	Members, we will now take up agenda item 9,
14	Task force grant award and allocations. Director Canady
15	will present this item.
16	MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA.
17	Mr. Chairman, members, this is a continuation
18	actually from agenda item 6, the review and recommendation
19	from the GBR Committee on fiscal year 2024 task force
2.0	grant applications and recommendations.
21	Can we get the information displayed on the
22	screen, please?
23	The review and analysis of fiscal year 2024
24	task force grant applications were reviewed for
25	reasonableness, allowable expenditures and proposed budget

amounts. And the need each jurisdiction has determined the application amount for fiscal year 2024 task force grant awards as recommended and approved by the Board from the committee.

2.3

There are two tables displayed on the screen.

Table 1 includes the City of Austin, City of Beaumont,
City of Brownsville, Burnet County, City of Corpus
Christi, Dallas County, City of Dallas, Eagle Pass, El
Paso, Galveston County, Harris County, and the City of
Houston, and a second table for the City of Laredo,
Lubbock County, City of Mansfield, Montgomery County, City
of Paris, City of Pasadena, Potter County, City of River
Oaks, City of San Antonio, San Augustine County, Smith
County, Tarrant County, Travis County, and City of
Victoria, with proposed amounts for each one.

This is an action item to determine the amounts for fiscal year FY 2024 task force grant awards from the Board.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any questions for Director Canady?

(No response.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, we're going to divide the task forces for voting purposes since Member Gonzalez and I will need to recuse ourselves when a particular task force is included in the vote. Table 2 includes Laredo

1	and Table 1 includes Dallas.
2	I will now recuse myself and turn the meeting
3	over to the vice chairman, Member Whitehill.
4	MS. WHITEHILL: Members, Chairman Rodriguez
5	recuses himself from this vote on Table 2.
6	Is there a motion on voting Table 2 which
7	includes Laredo?
8	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, for the record.
9	I move that the Board adopt the proposed grant
10	awards for fiscal year 2024 for the following cities and
11	counties as indicated in Table 2.
12	MS. JONES: Sharon Jones. I second.
13	MS. WHITEHILL: Thank you.
14	Member Gonzalez motioned to approve amounts for
15	voting group 2, and Member Jones seconded the motion. Is
16	there any further discussion?
17	(No response.)
18	MS. WHITEHILL: Hearing none, I now call for
19	the vote. All those in favor of the motion say aye.
20	(A chorus of ayes.)
21	MS. WHITEHILL: All those opposed say nay.
22	(No response.)
23	MS. WHITEHILL: Let the record reflect that I,
24	Kit Whitehill, also vote aye. The motion passes.
25	The Board chair will now resume as chair of the

1	meeting.
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, we will now take up
3	voting on Table 1 which includes Dallas. Member Gonzalez
4	recuses himself from this vote.
5	Members, are there any motions?
6	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I move the
7	Board adopt the proposed grant awards for fiscal year 2024
8	for the following cities and counties as indicated in
9	Table 1.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a second?
11	MS. CANTU SERRANO: I second. Cantu Serrano.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second from Member Cantu
13	Serrano. Is there any further discussion?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
16	for the vote. All those in favor of the motion say aye.
17	(A chorus of ayes.)
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All those opposed say nay.
19	(No response.)
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
21	Mike Rodriguez, vote aye. The motion passes.
22	Just for the record, I'm going to be calling
23	out the cities that were included in Tables 1 and 2.
24	So Table 1 includes the City of Austin, City of
25	Beaumont, City of Brownsville, Burnet County, Corpus

1	Christi, Dallas County, City of Dallas, City of Eagle
2	Pass, City of El Paso, Galveston County, Harris County,
3	and City of Houston.
4	Table 2 includes City of Laredo, Lubbock
5	County, City of Mansfield, Montgomery County, City of
6	Paris, City of Pasadena, Potter County, River Oaks, City
7	of San Antonio, San Augustine County, Smith County,
8	Tarrant County, Travis County, and City of Victoria.
9	Yessenia, is there any public comment?
10	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: You guys ready? Members,
12	unless there's any further business, I will entertain a
13	motion to adjourn the meeting.
14	MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill. I move the
15	meeting be adjourned.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill makes a motion
17	to adjourn. Do I have a second?
18	MS. JONES: Second. Member Jones, for the
19	record.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jones seconds.
21	The meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
22	(Applause.)
23	(Whereupon, at 4:45 p.m., the meeting was
24	adjourned.)

1 <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

MEETING OF: MVCPA board

LOCATION: Austin, Texas

DATE: June 15, 2023

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 224, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

DATE: June 29, 2023

/s/ Nancy H. King (Transcriber)

23 24

On the Record Reporting 7703 N. Lamar Blvd. #515 Austin, Texas 78752