TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

MOTOR VEHICLE CRIME PREVENTION AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

6000 Middle Fiskville Road Holiday Inn Midtown Austin, Texas 78752

Remotely via Telephone or Video Conference Call

9:01 a.m. Wednesday, March 2, 2022

BOARD MEMBERS:

Mike Rodriguez, Chair (in person)
Kit Whitehill (remote)
Gilberto Salinas (remote)
Charla Brotherton (remote)
Julio Gonzalez (remote)
Sharon Jones (remote)

STAFF:

Bryan Wilson, Director David Richards, General Counsel Yessenia Benavides, Program Specialist Joe Canady, Law Enforcement Specialist Dan Price, Grant Auditor

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM PAG			PAGE
1.	CALL a. b. c.	TO ORDER Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum Pledge of Allegiance (US and Texas Flags) Approval of Transcript from August 3, 2021 as Minutes	6 7 7
	d. e. f.	Comments from Chairman Comments from Board Members Commendations and Congratulations	8 11 13
BRIE	FING A	AND ACTION ITEMS	
2.	Discua. b.	uss Standing MVCPA Board Committees Clarify and modify charges of the three standing committees Accept volunteers and nominations to the	24
	С.	committees Announcement of Chairman Appointments to committees	
3.		ew the Rapid Response Strikeforce Grant	29
	Progra.	Consider FY2022 Rapid Response Strikeforce Grant Application from the City of Pasadena Police Department for new theft interdictionsystem.	
	b.	Consider Expansion of the Rapid Response Strikeforce Grant Program to include equipment and installation to outfit bait cars donated to Taskforces.	32
	С.	Consider Expansion of the Rapid Response Strikeforce Grant Program to provide specialized training programs to Tax Assessor-Collector Offices to combat title and registration fraud.	59
4.	Grant Autho	ider FY2022 Motor Vehicle Crime Auxiliary (MAG) Grant Program and Budget including prization for the MVPCA Director to publish Request for Applications	119
5.	and A	ider FY2022 Motor Vehicle Public Education Awareness (PEPA) Grant Program and Budget Iding Authorization for the MVPCA Director Iblish the Request for Applications	124
6.	allow	ider Taskforce grant policy related to wable and cash match limits for vehicle	134

68

- 7. Discuss FY24-25 Legislative Appropriations Request (LAR) Process and how recommendations to change statute to improve processes will be managed by TxDMV to include the following:
 - a. Presentation by Texas Department of Motor Vehicles (TxDMV) staff on the processes leading up to the 88th Legislative session;
 - b. Presentation about the LAR and exceptional items process
- 8. Consider directing staff to consider studying 86 and prioritization of LAR or process improvement requiring statutory change issues:
 - a. Dedicated law enforcement fund account General Revenue Fund Account 3206
 - b. Bait car coordination ownership license and coordination with TxDMV
 - c. Prosecutor funding training and coordination
 - d. Expansion and service to areas with not specialized law enforcement resources
 - i. Impact of funding reduction
 - ii. Increases in personal losses to the public and state
 - iii. Increases in crime
 - iv. Reductions in statutory performance
 measures
 - v. Need for better coordination and response to TxDMV fraud related crime
- 9. Consider establishing an advisory committee to 107 make recommendations to reduce theft, increase recoveries, and to prevent and detect fraud related motor vehicle crime under Texas Transportation Code Chapter 1006.103.
 - a. Consider advisory committee appointments be delegated to the MVCPA presiding officer after nomination and submission by the MVCPA Director;
 - b. Consider charging the committee to make recommendations to the MVCPA including but not limited to the following areas:
 - i. How to improve to the working relationship with the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles (TxDMV) related to rules and processes impacting or reliant on law enforcement operations;
 - ii. Improve processes and timeliness for law enforcement agencies / investigators to access TxDMV records for criminal investigations;

	iii. Improve coordination with TxDMV staff embedded with law enforcement agencies iv. Increase law enforcement coordination	s;
10.	to TxDMV red flag processes. Consider attorney general representation for future meeting and on-going legal counsel related to statutory purposes of MVCPA.	138
11.	Consider impact and changes to the Virtual Command Center and multi agency operations plans requirements.	142
12.	Report on FY2021 Activity and Funds Report and submission timeline.	149
MVCP	A DIRECTOR'S REPORTS	
13.	Reports on MVCPA activities identified by the Director as noteworthy, which may include reports on: a. Personnel b. Budget c. Grant Activities and Analysis d. Grant Adjustments e. Educational Programs and Marketing f. MVCPA Law Enforcement Training g. Assessment, Collection, Refund Activities h. Agency Operations I. Law Enforcement Operations and TxDMV Collaboration	151
14.	EXECUTIVE SESSION The Board may enter into closed session under one or more of the following provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Government Code, Chapter 551: Section 551.071 Section 551.074 Section 551.089	none
15.	Action Items from Executive Session	
16.	Public Comment	157
17.	Adjournment	159

PROCEEDINGS

2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. My name is Mike 3 Rodriguez, and I'm pleased to open the meeting of the 4 Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority.

It is 9:01 a.m., and I am now calling the Board meeting for March 2, 2022 to order. I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting, containing all items on the agenda, was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on February 22, 2022.

Before we begin today's meeting, please place all cell phones and any other electronic devices you may have in the silent mode.

If you wish to address the Authority at today's meeting, please complete a speaker's card. I believe that they are on the table outside of this meeting room. If you wish to speak during today's meeting to comment on a specific item, please fill out a yellow card and identify the agenda item on which you wish to speak. If it is not an agenda item, we will take your comments during the public comment portion of the meeting. When addressing the board, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

Before we begin today, I'd like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct at our board meetings. The board chair is given authority

to supervise the conduct of meetings. This includes the authority to determine when a speaker is being disruptive of the meeting or is otherwise violating the timing or presentation rules I just discussed.

Disruptive speakers will be given a warning

about disruptive behavior, then removed from the meeting for any continued disruption.

I want to note for the record that this meeting is being held in accordance with Government Code Section 551.127(c). This morning, I, Michael Rodriguez, the presiding officer, am in person at the posted board meeting site at the Holiday Inn Midtown, 6000 Middle Fiskville Road, Austin, Texas, which is open to the public. And the remaining board members are joining us via videoconference call or Webex from their respective locations or counties.

And now I'd like to have a roll call of the board members. Members, when I call your name, please respond that you are present.

Member Brotherton? Member Brotherton?
(No response.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Salinas?

MR. SALINAS: Present.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jones?

MS. JONES: Present.

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez?
2	MR. GONZALEZ: Present.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill?
4	MS. WHITEHILL: Present.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And let the record reflect that
6	I, Mike Rodriguez, am here too. We have a quorum.
7	Let the record reflect that Member Brotherton
8	is absent today.
9	We'll go to the Pledge of Allegiance, U.S. and
10	Texas flags.
11	(The Pledge of Allegiance for U.S. and Texas
12	Flags were recited.)
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, we will now move on to
14	agenda item number 1(c), Approval of the August 3, 2021
15	meeting transcript as minutes. Members, are there any
16	edits to the transcript? If not, do I have a motion to
17	approve the transcript as minutes?
18	MR. SALINAS: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion. I
19	move that the MVCPA Board adopt the transcript as minutes
20	from the August 3, 2021 meeting.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
22	Salinas. Is there a second?
23	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I second that
24	motion.
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, a motion has been made

1	and seconded to approve the August 3, 2021 meeting
2	transcript as minutes. Members, when I call your name,
3	signify your approval by saying I support the motion or I
4	don't support the motion.
5	Member Salinas?
6	MR. SALINAS: I support the motion.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jones?
8	MS. JONES: I support the motion.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez?
10	MR. GONZALEZ: I support the motion.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill?
12	MS. WHITEHILL: I support the motion.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I
14	support the motion. The motion carries, the transcript is
15	approved as minutes.
16	MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, I believe Ms.
17	Brotherton has joined us. Can we go back and reflect the
18	roll.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, Member
20	Brotherton.
21	MS. BROTHERTON: Good morning. Apologize. My
22	computer was not wanting to be with y'all today.
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that
24	Member Brotherton just joined us today.
25	Agenda item 1(d), Comments from the chairman.

First and foremost I want to welcome, give a warm welcome to Member Brotherton. She just joined us. Actually, we were able to fast track her joining us for this meeting today, and I want to thank her, and we're very glad that the Governor's Office was able to do this for us so that she can join us today.

So Member Brotherton, would you like to say a few words to us?

MS. BROTHERTON: Well, I appreciate the opportunity to get to serve. I've already learned so many things that I had no idea that went on, so I can't wait to see what this opportunity brings and how I can help the board and how we can make Texas a better place to live.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you and welcome.

I would like to address the grantees and the board members on the news from the issues that we're having with the paper tag situation, and again, I've got some comments here that I would like to read to everyone in light of the recent news concerning Texas paper temporary tags.

I would like to commend, first, the Montgomery County Sheriff's Office, Lt. John Sanchez and Sgt. Kelly Smith, for their collaboration in joint operation with the Texas Department of Public Safety and Texas Department of Motor Vehicles to help stop criminal activity related to

fraudulent temporary tags. I would also like to commend
Dallas Police Department, Lt. Julio Gonzalez and Sgt.
Kelly Smith for their efforts in a joint operation with
Homeland Security and Texas DMV to stop the use of
fraudulent temporary tags. Furthermore, I would like to
commend Lt. Ruben Inez and my staff for their undercover
operations to combat the fraudulent production and illegal
use of temporary tags in Texas.

It is evident from MVCPA progress reports and Texas Department of Public Safety Border Operations Sector assessments that there are numerous efforts occurring to address the use of fraudulent use of temporary tags in Texas.

Continued efforts and collaboration between MVCPA task forces and other local, state and federal law enforcement agencies will ensure that criminals have nowhere to hide and that resources are available to all law enforcement to curtail this criminal activity.

Recent communication with Texas DMV Chairman Charles Bacarisse have provided hope that increased communication and cooperation between the Texas DMV and MVCPA will result in positive outcomes that will benefit all Texas law enforcement agencies and the citizens of Texas.

As we all know, this is a current situation

that we're in and I know that when I get the phone calls from different commanders throughout the state, most of it is either catalytic converters or the temporary tag issue, and it's affecting everyone, every single jurisdiction that we cover.

what we're trying to do here at MVCPA to have a better communication with DMV and have some more input as to what is needed to stop this from happening. Not only that, but the fact that they're utilizing this tool to further their criminal activity not only with illegal aliens, weapons, cash and in relation to other violent crimes across the state, it is very important for us to address this issue.

So we'll talk more about that and we'll see how we can further this conversation with our commanders at a later date.

Members, would any of you like to make any comments?

MR. GONZALEZ: This is Member Gonzalez.

Are we talking about the paper tag issue now or is that going to be a conversation for later.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's comments right now. We are going to talk about it in a little while in an agenda item that we have for that, but you can make any comments in relation to that.

MR. GONZALEZ: Sure. So Mr. Chair, I want to say with my personal experience with combating the paper tag issue, I can say that the system for communication is certainly an area of improvement between law enforcement and between the Texas DMV.

You know, these VTR forms, when my detectives are requesting information to assist in their investigations, you know, these VTR forms are taking months to be returned, and to be honest, they're only getting returned when I intervene and start hollering. And so I think that there's plenty of room for improvement.

We need to be able to communicate far more efficiently with the DMV. It's important that they recognize that we are partners in this, we're all on the same team, but the filing of Open Records for law enforcement purposes to my knowledge is kind of unheard of, and part of me wonders if the subpoena process would be faster than the current VTR system that is in place.

And so it is my hope that this body recognizes that there is a problem with the communication with the DMV and we look for steps that we can do to improve it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Gonzalez.

Any of the other members would like to comment on this?

1	MS. JONES: Yes, sir. Sharon Jones.
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jones, good morning.
3	MS. JONES: Good morning. I see your smiles,
4	and you, David.
5	Texas DPS, we've had several meetings with
6	Texas DMV, we've discussed concerns, we've offered
7	suggestions for betterment in our discussions. We've
8	actually met with their executive leadership so they've
9	heard our concerns that were voiced, and in our last
10	executive leadership meeting, Bryan Wilson also attended
11	on behalf of state and local.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I know this is just a
13	conversation and board member comments, but I think that
14	we're in the right track as far as where we want to be.
15	There are several gaps that I've seen that we can surely
16	close and I think that certainly with the conversation
17	that I've heard that you've had with Texas DMV and
18	Director Wilson has had, I think that we're right there,
19	we're in the right track, and I think it's going to get
20	better, I know it's going to get better.
21	MS. JONES: Yes, sir, it has to get better.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any other member?
23	(No response.)
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Jones.
25	All right. Then we'll go to agenda item 1(f),

Commendations and congratulations.

The first honors will be Tommy Hansen, my friend.

So Tommy, you were appointed by the governor back in May 9, 2016 as a board member for law enforcement representative, and then October 31, 2018 you were appointed by the governor as presiding officer for MVCPA.

Before that, Tommy Hansen was instrumental in the creation and leadership of the MVCPA.

Tommy is a deputy sheriff and lieutenant in the criminal investigation division of the Galveston County

Sheriff's Office and has been assigned to assist the FBI's

Safe Street Task Force, with a focus on cold case

homicides and fugitives.

Additionally, he served as a project director of the Galveston County Auto Crimes Task Force since 1993.

He's a member and past president of the International Association of Auto Theft Investigators and the Texas Association of Vehicle Theft Investigators, member and current vice president of Beat the Heat, and International Youth Outreach Program with a focus on illegal street racing prevention.

He's also a member of the Texas Association of Private Investigators, coordinator for the Galveston County Citizen Sheriff's Academy and a volunteer for the

Children's Advocacy Center of Galveston County and Ronald McDonald House of Galveston.

Tommy loves working cold case seeking justice for those who cannot. He also loves racing and is a friend of the MVCPA.

Tommy, first and foremost, I want to make sure that everybody here knows that MVCPA, before that it was, ABTPA, and before that what was it called?

MR. HANSEN: ATPA.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: ATPA. And you were there for all those transitions and you were there to do all this, so I want to hear how this started and how you started having a passion for this.

MR. HANSEN: Well, first off, I've been told that I've been in law enforcement so long that when I started the Dead Sea was only sick. I hear that on a regular basis.

(General laughter.)

But I've been involved for 49 years, but most of my career has been dedicated to vehicle theft, and what was happening, and it began back in the '70s and '80s, Texas, for lack of a better term, we were getting our handed to us, thefts were increasing double digits every single year to a record high in 1991 of over 164,000 thefts.

In 1988 the IATI, International Auto Theft conference was actually held in Galveston and there was a lot of conversation concerning a program that was going on in Michigan that had just started to address their problem up there, because it was a nationwide thing, just like catalytic converters are and the paper tags are now, it's a nationwide thing, and so we got interested in that.

And fortunately, the very next year IATI was in Minneapolis-St. Paul and Val Vitols, who was the executive director of the auto theft authority in Michigan, was the guest speaker. And as a result of what he laid on the table, you know, everybody went bam, a light went off, we need to do this.

So we immediately came back and sat down with our TAVTI conference, which was still being held at DPS at the time, and we did some modifications of the bill processes because we've got 900 miles of Mexican border that they don't have to deal with and a few other things, the Gulf Coast which is shipping that they don't have to deal with, but the concept was there.

So we got with several legislators from around the state. In 1991 the bill passed unanimously, I believe in the House there was actually no nays at all, and so it passed, and it was a dollar a year assessment, we started with \$1 at that point in time per insurance policy. But

we had dedicated fund status and dedicated account, 100 percent of that dollar came to us, even the interest came to us.

Unfortunately, in 1997 we were so busy trying to recover stolen cars that we weren't paying attention to what was going on and there was a group going after a lot of dedicated fund accounts and we lost that, and of course, it's been the battle ever since.

And then around 2012, or whatever it was, another bill was passed to increase it to \$2 with us to get a dollar, state get a dollar. Well, as everyone knows, we never got the dollar. And then of course, it went to \$4 and we were supposed to get 20 percent and everyone knows we haven't really gotten all of that as of now, but I have faith.

So that's a little historical data.

But the reputation, I can tell you personally the reputation of what the Texas Authority has done and the people in this room is worldwide, because I've done presentations in Australia; Antwerp, Belgium; several Canadian provinces which we actually went up and spent time helping them set up similar programs because ours was such a big success overwhelmingly, and that's thanks to the guys and gals on the streets.

So that's just kind of a little CliffsNotes

version of the history of this program, and it's been a part of my life for 33 years.

And my mother tells me this story, this is how I got into this. Okay? I had a Schwinn Bicycle; it was called a Schwinn Corvette, that was the model name of it/
And it got stolen, and it was found not too far from our house stripped, and I was just a kid and I don't think I ever got over that, and they put it back together and it got stolen again. So that's what turned me on to this group here, I never got over that, and my mother reminds me of that all the time.

Anyway, that's a little bit of CliffsNotes history. I know we have a lot of new board members, new commanders, task force members, and to know where you need to go you kind of need to know where you've been, and that's a little bit of our history. And of course, we got down to -- what was the lowest number, 60-something thousand thefts a year? So 100,000 less cars per year than we were in 1991.

Oh, and one little back history. The bill passed in '91, but since we had our own funding source, all of that had to be set up, so there were some delays in getting the task forces online, but we didn't want to wait any longer to at least start generating some numbers, so in '93 we had like five or six task forces started that

were only funded for six months just to get the ball 1 2 rolling, and then we went to the first fill cycle the next 3 year, and then that's when we started taking on a lot more 4 programs throughout the state. 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: We thank you for your service, 6 Tommy. 7 MR. HANSEN: A little CliffsNotes version. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you for your service to 8 9 the Great State of Texas, and we have something to give 10 you. 11 MR. HANSEN: Thank you. 12 (Pause for presentation; applause.) 13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Now moving on to honor the 14 Honorable Mayor Armin Mizani. 15 Mayor Mizani was instrumental in several things 16 that we did here. When I first started as a board member 17 for MVCPA, I know that he was here and he was always the one that was asking questions, and we loved that about him 18 19 because he was always very meticulous into reading even 20 the minutes. 21 He would read the minutes and would question 22 the minutes, so we loved that about him and he was always, 23 you know, very, very in touch as to what we were doing. 24 He was always trying to figure out ways to how we can 25 improve MVCPA and make it better for the grantees.

He was appointed May 9, 2016. Member Mizani is the managing attorney for the Mizani Law Firm located in Dallas. He is a member of the State Bar of Texas, Tarrant County Bar Association, and the Texas Young Lawyers Association. Additionally, he is the mayor of the City of Keller.

Mizani received a bachelor of arts from Purdue
University and a juris doctorate from Michigan State
University College of Law. He was very active on the
MVCPA Board, he worked to improve the name recognition of
the MVCPA, and to honor their investigators that serve the
auto theft task forces. He's a dedicated servant to his
clients, his community and to the people of Texas.

Member Mizani -- are we going to ship his award? -- we're going to ship it to you. And I just want to thank you for everything you did for us, and also, I know that you're having fun being the mayor of Keller, so awesome, keep up the good work, and I'm going to pass the mic to you to address the grantees here and the new members that we have here now.

MAYOR MIZANI: Well, good morning, Mr.

Chairman, and I'll be real quick, and for the other board members as well, just want to thank you guys for the recognition. Thank you for the work that you all do on behalf of the entire State of Texas. It was an absolute

privilege for me to serve in that capacity. It was a learning experience as well to be able to work and see the amazing work that all of our law enforcement personnel do on a daily basis, and so just want to really appreciate what you all do.

If there's ever anything I can do in the future to assist the board, please let me know, and again, just thank you for having me this morning.

And my apologies that I was unable to make it this morning. We had a city council meeting last night and we've got a couple of meetings in the afternoon, otherwise, I would have been there.

And I also just want to say again what a privilege it was to also serve with you, Mr. Chair, but also with Tommy, I served with Tommy for several years, and the commitment and passion that you both have for what you do I think makes all the difference and makes everybody's jobs easier.

And for David and Bryan and staff, same thing for you all. A lot of the work that's being done couldn't be done without the work you all do behind the scenes and we know that takes a lot of effort.

So just thank you all for everything, and anything I can do, just let me know.

(Applause.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: We'll be sure to visit you at 1 2 the City of Keller just to say I know the mayor there. 3 MAYOR MIZANI: Please do. Thank you, Mr. 4 Chair. 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Now moving on to our retirees, 6 there's a lot of retirees, wow. 7 MR. WILSON: Some of them are transfers, Mr. 8 Chairman, but if you'd like me to just go over the list 9 real quick, I'd be glad to do so. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. Can you do that, please? MR. WILSON: Yes. So we have a lot of officers 11 come into our program, they dedicate their lives and their 12 13 service to helping their communities avoid the loss and 14 sometimes the violent crime associated with motor vehicle 15 theft, and so sometimes people promote and sometimes they 16 retire, so I'll just quickly go through some of those. 17 But nevertheless, Chairman, as I told you yesterday and in the past, that it's really important that 18 19 we recognize them on the record so it becomes a part of the state archives and their service is honored. 20 21 way that we honor them in their service to their community 22 and to the State of Texas. 23 So first we have Lt. Kendric Stringfellow 24 that's recently transferred within the Houston Police 25 Department. We just really appreciate, he's been an

officer for 16 years with the HPD. He served as the Auto Crimes Task Force lieutenant for a little over a year and we just are really grateful for his time with that department.

We have a huge loss in Bryan Sudan, who's not here today, from Tarrant County. He was our training coordinator for years, just took that responsibility in addition to his task force role, 34 years to the Fort Worth Police Department and then eight years as task force commander with the Tarrant County Sheriff's Office.

We're really grateful for his time and dedication to that whole region -- excuse me, Lieutenant, if I say something that's offensive, you might want to close your ears -- that wonderful city west of Dallas. How about that.

And Arthur Knox of San Antonio PD retired in January of '22.

Brian Rosson, San Antonio PD.

Carlos Gonzalez has always been instrumental of our training program in South Texas and we really are going to miss him. San Antonio PD, 31 years with San Antonio Police Department and most of those years were auto theft, so he was quite a guy and I'm sure going to miss him.

Sheila Carter-Bass, Dallas County Sheriff's

1 Office, she retired this month and 39 years in the Sheriff's Office, just an amazing legacy. She was the 3 first African American to gain the rank of captain. created the first ever Sheriff's Citizens Academy, like 4 5 what Tommy did in Galveston. You know, that's a really 6 important way to do some policing and reach their 7 community. And then served as program director for the 8 Dallas County North Texas Auto Theft team. So we're 9 really grateful for Sheila and her service. 10 And Thomas Broadus just received a promotion in another department. Is that what you call that? But he's 11 12 moving on. He's been really active in not only Victoria 13 PD but also serving the area around him, Hallettsville, 14 Goliad, so he's really quite a force to be reckoned with. 15 And then Brian Miller, a former lieutenant over 16 property crimes in APD. 17 So Chairman, thank you for letting me mention these individuals and read their name and their legacy 18 19 into the record of the State of Texas. 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Bryan. 21 (Applause.) 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, we will now move to 23 agenda item 2, Briefing and action items. 24 Mr. Wilson.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. WILSON:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

25

For the record, my name is Bryan Wilson. I know I forgot to do that. I'm sorry about that so I'll work on that. Hopefully you know who I am after all these years.

So this next item is really just a quick discussion, it's not supposed to be really lengthy. For years, since Chief Garcia was chairman, we set up three different committees. They are in your book on page 17. What we have provided today, as you can see at the top, is many vacant positions since we've had some turnover in our board members.

And so I'm just asking for the members to have some discussion along really two quick lines: with such a small board, do we need to continue with three committees, and if so, then we need volunteers for the committees that we have; or if you think that maybe we should collapse the committees a little differently, then y'all can give me some direction, I can work with the chairman and come back at a later date and recommend maybe a new format, one for law enforcement and one for administration. So we can change the format.

So that's really what this is is a brief discussion, it's not supposed to be a lengthy or heavy discussion on what needs to be provided, so any input, Mr. Chairman, from you or the members would. And like I said,

if you want to keep the current format then we need volunteers, if you want to consider making some changes in how we structure our committees, then we can come back at a later date.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think the current format is right, but I will open it up for the board members to see what do you think of keeping the same format, do you think we should change the format. I know that we're definitely going to get volunteers for this current format, but do you think we should direct staff to look at another format in creating these committees?

MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill.

Is there information on how active the committees have all been? I know I've been on the Education and really nothing happens on that committee so I didn't know about the other committees. Thank you.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Member Whitehill.

That's correct, because you came in right after we did the last PEPA grant so we didn't have any meetings to kind of flesh out some of those items and we haven't had a legislative session, you know, we're going into it at this point.

I will say the Grants, Budget, and Reports

Committee is the most active because there's two things

that happen. We'll talk later about the policy on the

Rapid Response Strikeforce and then we also have some policies in our grant administrative manual where that committee of the board is delegated certain activities. So either by phone call or email or meeting, the Grants, Budget, and Reports Committee will tend to communicate more often than the other two.

We've been really behind on staff and the capacity to manage the insurance collections for the last year, just some of the shortfalls on staff. Last session we asked for three staff members just to manage the collection thing, so we haven't had the capacity to work with the Insurance Committee to move forward on those items, and all the changes with the 5 percent budget cut and spending a lot of scrambling on how to keep from losing officers in the Budget Committee, we haven't had the capacity.

But all three of these are important areas within our organization, so thank you for that question. But no, these other two have not met probably in at least a year.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have any volunteers for the Grants, Budget, and Reports committee from the board members?

We're missing one. Correct?

MR. WILSON: This is Bryan Wilson, for the

1	record.
2	We're missing the chairman of that committee,
3	so we need a volunteer for chairman, if there's anybody
4	out there.
5	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I volunteer to
6	be the chair of the Grants Committee.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have volunteers for the
8	Insurance Collections and Refunds Committee?
9	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I would
10	like to serve.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
12	And do I have a volunteer to chair the
13	Education and Legislative Committee?
14	MR. SALINAS: Member Salinas. Mr. Chair, I
15	volunteer to chair the Education and Legislative
16	Committee.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So I will take this back to the
18	staff and director and then we'll figure out how we
19	implement these volunteers to these committees. Can we do
20	that?
21	MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, if I may?
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Sure.
23	MR. WILSON: This is Bryan Wilson, for the
24	record.
25	I think you can just go ahead and appoint these

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 three volunteers. We're missing another member and we can do something once the Governor's Office appoints the new member, then we'll have likelihood that it will continue 3 4 like this. So if you want to just go ahead and appoint 5 the three that just volunteered, then we can end this 6 matter today. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So we will appoint Member Julio 8 Gonzalez to chair the Grants, Budget, and Reports 9 Committee. 10 On the Insurance Collections can we move Member Brotherton to chair that committee? 11 12 MR. WILSON: I think at this point, Mr. 13 Chairman, my recommendation would be just go ahead and 14 appoint her to the committee and then you and I can work 15 out later the chairman maybe once we get the new member. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So we'll appoint as a 16 17 committee member for the Insurance Collections and Refund Committee, Member Charla Brotherton. 18 19 And then for the Education and Legislative Committee we will add Member Salinas. 20 21 MR. WILSON: For the chairman. 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 23 MR. WILSON: Thank you very much, members. 24 appreciate that. 25

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

Okay. We will now move to agenda item number 3. We're going to take things a little bit out of order with our review of the Rapid Response Strikeforce Grant Program and consider some policy changes.

Mr. Wilson.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'm going to direct the board's attention to page 19 of your board book. I'm going to ask Mr. Joe Canady to provide a brief overview of where you've been so far on the Rapid Response Strikeforce.

MR. CANADY: Good morning. Joe Canady, MVCPA.

For FY21 we issued seven Rapid Response

Strikeforce grants to grantees for various operations to

fund overtime, for border security overtime, for

surveillance, for license plate reader purchases and

operations, as well as interdiction, and catalytic

converter operations overtime. There was \$207,850 that

were funded for this.

Out of this, there were 74 vehicles recovered -- this is combined totals of all the operations -- 37 arrests, and you can read on of the other important successes of the program. This table indicates an overall success of the program with a 4.6 to 1 return on investment for the period of the operations.

Not all individual grants were successful, however, though, due to unforeseen events beyond their control. Some of it was related to COVID. COVID caused shortages of equipment and delayed shipping times, so some of the programs for the license plate readers, they did not get their license plate readers until the end of the grant, so they would have had a much greater time period to perform operations if they had received that early on whenever the equipment was ordered after the grant was approved. In one case it was surveillance operations that were planned where a generator was needed for a Skycam and there was no generator available in the U.S. that would have arrived here before the end of the grant.

We also learned from some of them that maybe the scope was a little too broad, might be better to concentrate on one or two operations to do within the program. But overall this was very successful and the grantees did a great job in this.

Thank you.

MR. WILSON: Are there any questions from the members about where we are today? We spent basically \$207,000 and in about four months we netted back to the citizens of Texas, using the DPS Crime in Texas average vehicle recovery rate, over \$950,000 worth of vehicles, and that's just in four months. Those license plate

readers and that technology that we purchased is going to continue providing dividends for at least three years, the average use of a license plate reader and the technology that's out there today. So it's a pretty good immediate ROI, and that was what the Rapid Response Strikeforce was set up.

Are there any questions for Joe about where we are today with the Rapid Response Strikeforce?

(No response.)

MR. WILSON: All right. With your permission -- this is Bryan Wilson, for the record -- I'll go ahead and move on to the presentation of issues for 3(b) and (c), Mr. Chairman. I'll direct you to page 20.

So on (b) and (c), operating for the last about year and a half for these Rapid Response Strikeforces, I want to remind the members that this was highly limited to two types of expenses to react to outbreaks, immediate and exigent circumstances of crime increases within communities. And so it's not a general grant program, it's directed only to the task forces that we have currently funded and it's a way when they have a spike in crime that they can respond with our assistance.

And so give that context, we set two things as the allowable cost for this program. Number one is the allowable cost was overtime of our officers to be able to

spend more time out on the street and be available to their community. Then the second thing was equipment that would be able to respond to these needs. And the number one piece of equipment in our world is the automated license plate reader, or ALPR. They can be both stationary and mobile on the vehicles. And so a lot of those recoveries, the 74 vehicles we recovered were direct responses to that ALPR system.

So what it showed, though, is that there's still some opportunities that we're not paying attention to, especially that's been driven home recently with some of the paper tag issues. The ALPRs cannot read paper tags, they cannot distinguish them, but we do have a technology out there that can read the paper tags and they can also do a much faster and more coordinated job -- they have technology to see the vehicle and recognize the vehicle and characteristics of the vehicle much, much faster, and that's called the Flock system. And unfortunately, that doesn't qualify for our grant because the Flock system is a leased system, it's a proprietary software and camera system that is not available for purchase, so therefore, our equipment authorization is not sufficient.

And that would be able to see the paper tag.

Matter of fact, there's a case -- I hope I'm not divulging

anything, I won't say what area -- but there was a replicated paper tag that was seen on two ECM thefts, electronic controlled module thefts in an urban area. Because of the Flock they recognized the plate and they were able to quickly start looking for that plate, that paper plate, that illegally created and purchased fraudulent paper plate in the technology called Flock.

And so that's where we are today and I'm asking you to consider changing the policy to allow for the lease of the technology instead of just purchasing like we do with Vigilant or ELSAG or some of those companies, to expand it to leases. The condition I'm asking the board to consider today in the policy change is that we will allow the lease as long as the governing jurisdiction agrees, at least in writing, to extend the lease at least one year beyond the funded period.

Now, I'm saying that cautiously members so I want you to understand that under the state constitution in Texas law, no current city council, no chief of police, no sheriff or commissioners court can obligate somebody off into the future. In other words, you can't obligate a city into the future, you can't obligate a county expenditure budget processes into the future. You can make agreements. But I want the members to be wide open and eyes open about what we're asking. The PD or sheriff

that asks for Flock will have to agree that they plan on extending it at least one year, but that can't violate state law and obligate future commissioners courts or city councils with that obligation.

So I just want you to understand what I'm asking in this policy, that we cannot go back and make them give money back if they didn't do the second year under our process, unless legal counsel has other ways to do it. But I'm trying to just say that we're acting in good faith and we expect our police and sheriff's offices to do the same.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Director Wilson.

MR. WILSON: Yes, sir.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do we know what the structure is for a Flock system actually in the lease agreement? Is it for one year, two years?

MR. WILSON: Usually it's year to year because our Texas law can only allow one year leases at a time with potential for renewal. So that's going to be the expectation that we understand. We'll have a presentation in a minute from a chief that's asking -- if the board agrees to this policy, then we'll have a presentation in a minute for that technology and maybe you could ask specific questions on that. But I'm just trying to talk generally about what our policy is in this case.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Because I think that one of the things that the members would also like to know is what kind of lease agreement are we looking at, and not only that but where all that information, that data is going to, is it something that can be shareable with other jurisdictions and things like that.

MR. WILSON: It actually is, Chief. Matter of fact, when Chief Bruegger gets up here in a minute he'll be able to give some context to how Flock works that we could divulge.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

MR. WILSON: I will say that the Flock system, what we've seen in urban areas, when we have them consistently -- when the jurisdictions and the homeowners associations, strangely enough, and organizations, businesses have been putting in their own, they are usually networked into real-time crime centers and have capacity to be used broader than just a single LPR. I was talking to Rene down in Eagle Pass the other day and they've found, you know, like there's limitations to the way the LPRs because they are single units but they're feeding into other systems and learning about how they interact with TCIC. You know, one of the disadvantages and advantages of having proprietary software and camera system that a lot the technology and the information sits

1 on business servers to serve the public need. MS. JONES: Mr. Chairman? 2 3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. MS. JONES: Sharon Jones, for the record. 4 5 Before I vote, I would like to have a system 6 demonstration pertaining to Flock so I know how it works, 7 if I have any questions because this is something that's 8 going to affect the entire state. 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I agree, and I think that we do 10 have a presentation from Pasadena and I think we would 11 want to hear it before we even vote on this policy change. 12 MS. JONES: Bryan, is it a presentation, like a 13 demo presentation, are we going to be able to actually see 14 how it works, the ins and outs of how it works? 15 MR. WILSON: No. We don't have the technology 16 here today to show you specifically how it works. 17 been being used in most of the urban areas now for, I want to say, at least three years that local law enforcement --18 19 I know Matt Peterson is here, he might be able to speak to 20 generally how it's working in the Metroplex, I'm not sure how much Houston is using it but we have Dana Hitzman here 21 22 today, so I don't know if they want to try to speak to 23 this. But no, we don't have a demonstration today. 24 What we're kind of leveraging is what 25 jurisdictions are already using across most of the urban

areas and just opening our Rapid Response Strikeforce to allow our task forces -- again, not to the whole state but just to our task forces that are already aware of this technology, they're either using it or they have neighbors and they're responding to high increases in crime and that's what they're trying to respond. Instead of buying an LPR, which they may or may not need, they're trying to rely on the technology that many jurisdictions have been using for several years.

MS. JONES: Sure.

MR. WILSON: Joe and I -- if you'll let me continue, Mr. Chairman.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Continue.

MR. WILSON: Joe and I went to Pasadena a few weeks ago and so in the technology, we went back to the auto theft unit and they could call up on the screen for the Flock system and they could show us across that area the different cities and also, again, homeowners associations that have agreed to tie into law enforcement databases through the Flock system. I want to be clear, they're not going through DPS, they're not going through some criminal justice network, what they're doing is using the Flock system -- which I know when I went to the Texas Chiefs of Police Association at least four years ago, this system was already present in many communities. But they

buy those and then they feed it into the Flock software and then police departments can see where the hits are and they can see in real time.

But I think maybe it might be helpful, Mr.

Chairman, since Pasadena is here, and I know I asked to
take this out of order because I really wanted the board
to think about the policy before we talked about the
grantee, but they might have some information or some of
our members might have information directly about the
Flock system and its usefulness if you'd like to hear some
public comment.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I think my question is on the lease agreement versus purchasing. It's pretty much doing the same as an LPR, the only thing is that, yeah, the LPR is only going to pick up those metal plates. But at the end of the day the question for me is on a lease agreement versus a purchase, and I would like to know also as far as the data sharing, who's keeping that data, is it the company that's keeping that data, is that data given to the jurisdiction that is leasing that equipment. So those are the questions that I have as opposed to the technology part of it because the technology, at the end of the day, it's always evolving and it's always getting better.

So I think, yes, I would want to hear Pasadena

and maybe he can answer some questions from Member Jones and the members that are here today.

So Josh.

MR. BRUEGGER: Good morning. My name is Josh Bruegger. I'm the police chief in Pasadena.

A little bit about Flock. It is a lease, that's the only way they do it. It's a proprietary system, it's \$2,500 a camera, the information is stored in the Cloud, and then anything goes wrong with the camera or anything like that, it's covered, and it's a year to year lease. Should we be awarded the grant, we would install the cameras that we're asking for now, and we're, like many entities, in the budget process for next years and we've already -- like I said, obviously at the end of the day it's up to the city council but we intend to move forward with financing to cover the lease cost for the next year, and as well as expand the program so we can cover all our ingress and egress routes.

One of the benefits of Flock is it will read paper plates but you also can search for if there's a bumper sticker or something unique about a vehicle you can search the system for that. And so just this morning, as I'm sitting here, our auto theft unit put out a catalytic converter theft that we had yesterday where there's a vehicle description, it's got some unique characteristics.

We can enter that in the system and then get an alert when they go to commit the next crime. And so that is really one of the huge benefits of this system.

And like other entities, since 2019 our auto thefts are up by 33 percent, our recoveries are down by about 37 percent, and really using technology is a force multiplier, because like other law enforcement entities we're clearly hurting for people and recruitment and retention, and so really trying to leverage technology. And so I think Flock, as far as an LPR system, is really the best system that's out there right now and trying to get this launched.

You know, we sit in Harris County which is one of the most populous counties, or the most populous county in the state, and all the other entities -- and we have a lot of the southeast Harris County, a lot of other entities would benefit from this program as well.

And so I'd be happy to answer anything that I can, and like I said, I would encourage any of the members, clearly I want to move forward sooner than later, but I also understand some of the reservations that you all have with a lease program, but I would encourage you to see what Flock has to offer. So I'm more than happy to answer anything anybody has.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, Chief.

One of the questions that I have is maybe on the data that it's picking up. Would that just be going towards your agency or the neighboring jurisdiction can be able to log in and see and have a use for that Flock system in a specific area? And what I'm thinking about is, I mean, are you going to use it in an area where in your city there's a hotspot for auto theft and auto burglaries, or are we looking at a major intersection where the majority of cars from your neighboring jurisdictions are coming in?

Because I had a brief conversation I think it was with one of your sergeants last night and he was talking about some of your vehicles ending up in the Zapata County area, and to us it's a concern -- and I can assure you that Member Jones with DPS can assure that that area is hot right now for cartel activity and illegal immigration movement. So it is a concern to us that vehicles are going that way but the way I'm trying to think here, are we going to put that Flock system in a specific hotspot in your city, or are we going to put that system in a location where you identify that vehicles are going through that are being stolen, not only from Pasadena but from other areas around you.

MR. BRUEGGER: So we would put it in our jurisdiction, since we're funding it, it would go in our

jurisdiction, but other agencies would have access to the information that's in that system. Currently we already have access to, as was mentioned before, homeowner groups have it, businesses can purchase the system, so we already have access to it.

I can tell you a quick story. We actually solved a homicide in a neighborhood with an HOA that has it, and it was the key piece of evidence that solved that case. It's very beneficial, again, not just because law enforcement but because of all these other entities that have it as well that we're all tying together and other law enforcement agencies would get it, so it would be a force multiplier there as well.

MR. GONZALEZ: This is Member Gonzalez, if I can chime in on this one.

So the City of Dallas just purchased -- or just entered into a five-year agreement with Flock Safety to have 80 LPRs installed throughout the city. Everything that I've heard about this system and that I've seen actually used out in the field appears to be nothing short of amazing. Some of our sister cities here in the Metroplex already have Flock, and my understanding, Fort Worth even had to create its own unit to respond to all the hits that the LPRs are getting.

But I think the most valuable function in this,

you know, our officers in the squad cars are able to access the web-based system in their cars, so if they're around the corner and they have a Flock LPR hit, they can quickly respond to that.

Chief, on your grant, what I would say, it's my suggestion, is that, you know, Flock can be this public-private partnership similar to Ring, and so I would ask as a board member that you incorporate your community policing efforts -- when you're having these community meetings with your constituents to let them know that, hey, look, \$2,500 and your homeowners association can put up one of these LPRs in their neighborhood.

I know that me personally, I live in the City of Dallas and I've already had those discussions with my homeowners association governance boards and whatnot because I do think that they're valuable. Especially for auto theft interdiction, it's going to pay dividends in the long run.

Chief, I think you were talking about the data that is stored. One thing that's important to know about Flock is that it only takes a rear photo of the vehicle, and so for the privacy concerns — because folks do have privacy concerns and whatnot — it's not taking a photo of the front end of the vehicle which is going to have — you know, be able to recognize some facial features, so it's

going to be on the rear side of the vehicle, and I mean, there's no expectation of privacy for a license plate out in public.

record.

So Flock fully has my support. I think that this body would be providing a great service by allowing accessibility through the Rapid Response Strikeforce Grant. Like I said, Chief, I just would encourage you to incorporate your community policing with your Flock endeavor.

MR. BRUEGGER: I appreciate the feedback.

MS. JONES: Chief, Sharon Jones, for the

I have a lot of questions, one of which pertains to the sharing agreement. You said it belongs basically to the City of Pasadena and other agencies will be able to utilize it, so will there be sharing agreements? Like who decides who has access? Is there a vetting process? Will there be policy usage, is it realtime information that will be retrieved? Who gets the information? How does it get back to law enforcement from others?

MR. BRUEGGER: So there are sharing agreements and the information is real-time, and that's one of the great things about the system is, you know, our vision is to the ingress and egress routes throughout the city. And

so as was mentioned just a minute ago, one of the things that you can do with it is set alerts, and so units that work a certain district, if the cameras in that area gets an alert, instead of the entire city getting the alert, the units in that area get the alert and so that obviously is beneficial.

I understand information sharing is a big part

of it, and yes, there are agreements. And like I said,
Flock has the information, they're the ones that store the
information, so the agreement is with them.

MS. JONES: How long is the information stored for?

MR. BRUEGGER: I'm sorry?

MS. JONES: How long is the information -- the data, how long is it stored for, six months, 90 days?

MR. BRUEGGER: I believe it is 90 days.

MS. JONES: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BRUEGGER: Yes, ma'am.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any other questions, members?

MS. JONES: Not a question but I'm still of the belief that I would like to see how it works as opposed to being told how it works, and so it's not that I'm not supportive of it, from what I'm hearing it sounds like it's another tool for law enforcement to use, but I'm not comfortable voting for something that I have no idea how

it works.

MR. BRUEGGER: Understood.

MR. GONZALEZ: This is Member Gonzalez.

I think that what we're discussing here is not necessarily so much as to Flock -- yes, that's what the chief is requesting here -- but I think from the policy perspective, we're talking about equipment here, and so every city is going to have to go through their procurement process for LPR technology and they may not end up choosing Flock. So I think the heart of this discussion here is really can leased interdiction equipment be a Rapid Response Strikeforce item, not necessarily just specifically Flock.

Director Wilson, you want to comment on that?

MR. WILSON: Yes, that's quite true. What we identified here for this meeting and the policy was, you know, I didn't know the entire universe of what could be out there but we ran into and have been hearing -- again, there a few months ago in the Metroplex we found about the Flock use on the ECM thefts, had two or three ECM thefts with the same paper plate being covered and we could quickly get the dissemination out to the entire police network in that area.

But yes, this was a change to say if Flock was proposed by a jurisdiction -- and that's what we have with

Chief Bruegger in the City of Pasadena -- that we would allow it as long as they made a commitment to lease it for one year. So this discussion, you're right, was about the policy, proposing two amendments to the policy. I didn't get to the second one yet, but that's what this was actually about was can we consider lease of technology as part of a Rapid Response Strikeforce.

I mean, what the Chiefs of Police and the Sheriffs Association has said in their survey for years is there are no more police officers available out there, we have to figure out a way -- I remember San Antonio a few years ago they used to have 150-160, they could just get 23 for one academy when we were down there about two years ago. It's a statewide problem, we can't pay enough overtime and we can't find enough officers to fill the gaps, and the chiefs of police and the sheriffs keep saying we need technology, we need smarter ways to do our business to help us out.

So really changing the policy to allow leases.

Right now we just have overtime and the purchase of equipment, we're asking to add leases. We can strike Flock out of our policy and just add leases if you want to in the motions or in the board book and just say allow leases that provide technological solutions to the police and sheriff departments in our state.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. 1 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: You want to go ahead, Julio? Member Gonzalez, you want to go ahead? 3 4 MR. GONZALEZ: Go ahead, Chief. I'll go after 5 you. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: On these 20 cameras, is it just 7 in a specific area, or are you talking about putting five 8 here, five there, two here, two there, or is it just a 9 whole area of 20 fixed cameras faced at a certain location? 10 11 MR. BRUEGGER: These are at certain locations, really the major ingress and egress routes which is really 12 13 kind of throughout the city. This would get us halfway 14 there and my plan is to budget next fiscal year to add 20 15 more to a total of 40 which would cover all the major 16 ingress and egress routes throughout the city, and our 17 hotspots, for the most part, are the major ingress and egress points in the city. 18 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Member Gonzalez. 20 Thank you, Chief. 21 MR. GONZALEZ: So I just wanted to say that I 22 think with a lot of law enforcement technology everything 23 is moving towards a subscription or a lease model, I mean, 24 everything from Windows to the office, and that's going to

include LPR equipment. I mean, there's data that is being

25

sent, has to be sent from all these readers to the hub and so I can see where there are ongoing costs associated with that service. And so I think that given that this is kind of the model that most technologies and software that they're following, we need to be able to adapt to that, we have to be able to allow for mechanisms for leases and not just purchasing because I think it's going to get harder and harder to be able to find a one-and-done system with LPRs and whatnot.

That's all I have to say.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I completely agree on that. I think subscription of service is the go-to now. I know for my agency, you know, we're in debt from here on just, you know, with our software system, CAD and RMS, everything is on a SAS program, now everything is in the Cloud. So it's a cost, I know it's going to be a cost, and this is what the future of policing is now, technology, and I know that this something that is very interesting.

I just want to make sure that, like we said, the ROI is going to be there, and I'm moving to change the policy in this lease agreements but I'm also inclined to approve this as a pilot program and then come back and give us data as to how this worked for Pasadena before every other commander in our task forces starts applying

for this. So I don't know if we can make that sort of motion that we do this as a pilot?

MS. JONES: Sharon Jones.

Let me say this real quick, I understand the importance of leasing as opposed to purchasing. If this is the route that we're going, then we need to remove Flock, we need to strike that, it needs to be just generic and basic instead of having a company already in there. And in addition to that, the last statement that you said, we need to be prepared that when we give it to one agency, what are our priorities to other agencies when they start asking for it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: What was the last part, Member Jones?

MS. JONES: I said we need to be prepared that once -- and I understand the pilot program -- but we also need to be prepared as a board that -- and I do believe that with the pilot program it will be successful, but we need to be prepared that when we give the grant to Pasadena PD that other agencies are going to request and how do we determine who is going to get it and who is not going to get the grant for Flock or any other.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Correct. And I think the application does state a current problem, a current issue with Pasadena. Correct?

MR. BRUEGGER: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And again, you know, can we do that, can we approve this as a pilot program instead of approving it because it's something requested, Director Wilson?

MR. WILSON: This is Bryan Wilson, for the record.

I mean, the Rapid Response Strikeforce is Yes. just in its infancy. When Joe laid out where we are so far, I mean, we've had this program for about two years, I think, and we've only done one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight of them -- I quess there's two going right now for FY22 -- so I mean, it's not a big lift. And the jurisdictions that apply still have to demonstrate that they had an immediate -- remember, the policy that this board has adopted is that it has to be in response to an exigent or emergency situation that's affecting their local community related to motor vehicle theft, burglary and motor vehicle crimes. So it's not just like, okay, everybody -- you know, Pasadena gets it so now everybody gets one. That's not how we're operating. We're holding folks accountable, they have to demonstrate.

Chairman, you were involved some time back when we recognized with DPS and yourself that we have major issues along the border, we made that border security

The board directed is to identify anybody that 1 didn't have an LPR. Eagle Pass came in and got two LPRs. 3 They've already more than recovered the value of those 4 LPRs as they were being inundated with border crossings 5 and illegal smuggling operations and cartels. 6 And so I mean, just to say it's okay for one 7 doesn't mean everybody gets it, they have to demonstrate. 8 They had a 37 percent increase in motor vehicle thefts, I 9 mean, that's pretty exigent and pretty emergency in my 10 book, and they demonstrated that when we were down there. 11 MS. JONES: And I agree that there's criteria 12 but there are other agencies who will meet that criteria, 13 whether on the border, whether they're in East Texas, 14 North Texas, Central Texas, there are going to be several 15 agencies, multiple who qualify based on the criteria. So 16 that's all I'm saying is we just need to be prepared for 17 those who will come after. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Correct. 18 19 MR. WILSON: Thank you. 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Chief. 21 MR. BRUEGGER: Thank you. 22 MS. HITZMAN: Hi. I'm Dana Hitzman. 23 commander of the Auto Theft Division for the Houston

I really just wanted to speak a little bit

24

25

Police Department.

about Flock and LPR systems and speak in support of Pasadena's application.

We use several LPR systems, Flock being one of them. I can give you a recent success story from last week. Our officer was monitoring the Flock system, had a TCI stolen hit, notified the Northwest Crime Suppression Team, they went out to that stolen vehicle, they actually found some other stolen vehicles with that one. They called out our auto theft task force who went out to the scene to help them. There were nine stolen vehicles, six of them were VIN switched, two weapons recovered, they did a search warrant and found evidence inside the apartment -- that's where the two weapons came from -- and 46 pounds of marijuana, just from last week.

And then more importantly, Flock helped solve the case when Constable Galloway was killed in the line of duty January 23. There was dash cam on that video, there was a sticker on the back of that car, Flock specifically helped the detectives know the route that the suspect took from that scene and helped them narrow in on an area.

So I mean, these systems are without a doubt -you know, they just change the game for us and help
leverage our resources when resources are tight. So I
would really, you know, just implore the board to consider
their grant, this is a fantastic system.

I will say just about sharing of information, 1 2 information is not just shared automatically. When 3 Pasadena gets that system, they're going to have to flip a 4 switch to say Houston PD, we want to share information 5 with you, and we have to accept that. So it's both ways, 6 so it's not just information going everywhere, you do give 7 permissions for that. 8 Within HPD we actually require training for all 9 of our officers who have access to it. Flock created 10 videos for us, they're short training videos, we put them into our training system, that's documented when an 11 12 officer receives that training, and they don't get access 13 to the system until we can verify that they received the 14 training. These systems also have an auditing feature to 15 them so if there were ever any issues with being used for 16 purposes, non-law enforcement purposes, there is an 17 auditing tool within these systems. So really all the way around it's a great 18 19 system and I just wanted to speak in support of it. 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. We also have Matt Peterson to comment. 21 22 MR. PETERSON: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. 23 Good morning, members. 24 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning.

MR. PETERSON: Matt Peterson, for the record,

25

commander of the Tarrant Regional Auto Crimes Task Force as of two days ago, so I'm speaking more on the last 12 years as the enforcement team leader and the sergeant for Tarrant County's Auto Theft Task Force.

The Tarrant County Sheriff's Department is actually in negotiation now and considering the Flock camera program, but in the interim over the last couple of years we partnered with our neighboring cities, specifically Grand Prairie and Fort Worth, combined probably, I would estimate, over 150 of these Flock cameras.

I'm speaking in support of the system. We could speak all day about the different successes, as the commander of Houston pointed out. We've specifically been able to link the same temporary eTAGs to the same suspect vehicles and were able to push out real-time information. We manage the North Texas Auto Crimes Intel Network and probably push out about 10 to 15 intel bulletins a week, and one of the big, I guess, positive thing about the Flock cameras is that it allows us to be able to pull up these suspect vehicles, get a very good real-time description of them, include them in bulletins, and that way everybody knows exactly what they're looking for.

We also have used this system, we received suspect vehicle information, again with a specific sticker

on the back window. We were able to look at the past 30 days with the year, make, model and the sticker and locate a suspect vehicle, which tied us to a different county which led to multiple recoveries of stolen cars and trucks and also a mobile meth lab, and as we all know, stolen vehicles and that sort of thing tie into other offenses.

So it's been extremely beneficial for us, we're certainly in support of it. I believe it was Member Gonzalez who specifically talked about bringing it into the crime prevention efforts for the community policing, and we have permission from several homeowners associations around the Metroplex who share the information with us, so it's not just with law enforcement, so we may have suspect vehicles who are traveling these neighborhoods.

One of the things just from a educational standpoint, a difference between the Flock and the LPRs is the Flock system is more of a static system versus license plate readers are typically mobile, they are mounted, and so it does require to be tied in to a dispatch or a fusion center or patrol car so there can be an immediate response. But the advantages and really just the successes that we've had, again, we can't speak more highly of it.

I know this is a success story and this is us

talking specifically not to oppose but to be in support of the Flock system and the agenda item is talking about leases and that sort of thing, but I just wanted to put on the record from the Tarrant Regional Auto Crimes Task Force how beneficial it's been to North Texas.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you very much.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Wilson.

MR. WILSON: So I guess I'll go back to the item that I have laid before you today, and I understand, notwithstanding Major Jones's concern about moving forward on this item, it's up to you, we can table this to the next meeting or you can go ahead and adopt the policy changes that I've proposed in the book and then consider Pasadena, you can set the policy changes off and just consider Pasadena. I'm trying to give y'all options. I know Ms. Hunter is off the board now but one of the things she said, We want options, Bryan, we want options. I know she's not on the board anymore but I'm going to still give y'all options unless y'all tell me, Quit giving me options.

But it's really up to this board. If you want to move forward -- and you've got general counsel there, legal counsel -- to say can we adopt this as a board and just agree that it's inconsistent with our policy to move

forward with Pasadena and have more -- I'd like to get
Major Jones in some of the real-time crime centers like
Houston that is doing that, and we can talk about the
policy after we've seen it, or you can just table
everything into a future meeting. So it's really up to
the board about how you want to move forward at this time,
either have to take a motion on the policy, take a motion
on Pasadena, or take a motion to table to the next
meeting.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I tell you what, I think that 37 percent increase, it's a concern to me in that area. I do not want to wait to give them a tool that they're asking, they're telling us it's going to help them, so I would like for one of the members to make a motion. But I know that there's another part of that policy change that you want to make here. If you want to elaborate first on that and then we can come back and see if we have a motion and a second to move forward with this policy change, and then we can vote on the Flock system.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Bryan Wilson, for the record.

The second part of this policy that I was asking for the board to consider was last -- I think it was April, it could have been early May, Chairman Rodriguez and I were asked to come to the Texas Tax

Assessor-Collectors Association meeting and we began the process and discussions of looking for venues and methods in operations. The 2019 Legislative Session expanded our role to include fraud related motor vehicle crimes, and so one of the frontline places that fraud related motor vehicle crime takes place is in the 254-plus tax assessor-collector offices, but in a lot of jurisdictions we don't have or we've never made allowance for ways for our task forces to better complement and interact with our tax assessor-collectors.

So what I was asking for this first round is to expand the opportunity for our task forces to receive reimbursement for travel expenses -- training -- excuse me, I said travel, I meant training expenses related to providing training at the regional level, at the individual city level to the tax assessor-collectors. So a task force can say we'd like to do a training, we need to rent a room or we need some facilities. Now, under state law -- we've got the CFO somewhere in here -- we can't buy food and water, so I want to be clear about that, no food and water, but still we could provide and support our task forces for not being out grant money to do the training. If they want to do it with their existing grant money then they have the flexibility to do so, but they could set up a regional training in a

training academy and provide the rental space, technology equipment to do it on a stream or whatever. We don't know what all those costs are but I'm just opening up the policy to be able to train tax assessor-collectors in an individual or regional basis.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ RODRIGUEZ: I can expand on that, Director Wilson.

I know that we attended that conference and in speaking to some of these assessor-collectors, the majority of them did not know anything about MVCPA, the majority of them did not know there was a task force in their jurisdiction that can provide the information that they need. Some of them, I know that in conversations with them, did not know anything about a fraudulent title. You know, they tell them look out for fraudulent titles, but what am I looking for? So that training that they should get should come -- you know, there was a conversation and we said, you know what, maybe this is something that we should try and do and make it easy for them and have like a regional training, for example, in the big cities where they can bring several jurisdictions into that one training to train them.

The other thing also is on 68-As. You know, I know, like for example, Laredo right now we're swamped with 68-As till the end of the year, and I think if we can

train some of their investigators that are assigned to them to do 68-A inspections and to look at 68-A inspections that are real, not the fraudulent ones that they take, I believe it's going to help us in what we do every day.

So this is part of the policy change, board members, and I know that this is something that me and Director Wilson spoke about and I think that this would benefit, again, have these trainings in bigger jurisdictions where we can bring the tax assessor-collectors to make sure that they get the training on fraudulent titles and that we also can expand that training to make those investigators that are assigned to those jurisdictions, embedded in them, to be able to make those 68-As and help us with those 68-As that are constantly just coming. Like I said, Laredo is from here to the end of the year, we're booked, we have no more room for 68-As and we have to make special circumstances where we have tractor-trailers that are by the hundreds that they want us to inspect.

So this is something that I know will help and that will help us have better communication with the tax assessor-collectors in our jurisdictions. Thank you.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, for the record.

Mr. Chair, I think it's a great idea to

1	incorporate the tax assessors into our strategy, and
2	should the board approve it, I would love to work with you
3	to have Dallas PD host at our academy because we have
4	the space the joint training for the region.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Gonzalez.
6	Members, would anyone like to make a motion?
7	MS. WHITEHILL: Mr. Chairman, Member Whitehill,
8	for the record.
9	I move that the MVCPA expand the MVCPA Rapid
10	Response Strikeforce Grant process for approval and
11	funding to include, one, leases of interdiction equipment
12	and technology when grantees agree to provide for at least
13	one subsequent year of full funding and reporting MVCPA's
14	statutory measure beyond the grant budget initial lease,
15	and two, allow MVCPA funded task forces to request a Rapid
16	Response Strikeforce Grant to provide training to Texas
17	county tax assessor-collector offices and area law
18	enforcement agencies to combat fraudulent motor vehicle
19	crime.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there a second?
21	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I second.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any further discussion on this
23	item?
24	(No response.)
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call

1	for the vote. Members, when I call your name, please
2	state your name for the record and if you support the
3	motion or you do not support the motion.
4	Member Brotherton?
5	MS. BROTHERTON: Support.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Salinas?
7	MR. SALINAS: Support the motion.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez?
9	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I support the
10	motion.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jones?
12	MS. JONES: Support.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill.
14	MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill. I support.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
16	Mike Rodriguez, vote for the motion. The motion passes.
17	We will now move on to agenda item 3(a),
18	Consider application for Pasadena Rapid Response
19	Strikeforce Grant Program.
20	Mr. Wilson.
21	MR. WILSON: Well, as I said, what they're
22	asking for in this and I know Chief Bruegger has
23	already spoken, but basically what they're asking for is a
24	Rapid Response Strikeforce Grant in the amount of \$55,000.

They're going to provide \$11,000 cash match out of their

25

current year budget, and they have committed to extend the lease of these cameras for at least one year beyond their -- and Chief Bruegger, I want to be clear, we cannot expect somebody to put it down in blood or some sort of formal commitment on the future city council's obligation, but they are committed at the time that they're making this application, and then we'll go back and follow up whether they did it or not, and the action is not to return money if they fail to do the second year of commitment, what we do is say we'll remember that the next time you come and ask for a grant. Right? I mean, that's the deal. Now, things change without good cause or whatever, but we can hold people accountable even if we can't claw back money.

But I think it's a good deal or I wouldn't have brought it to you. I just want you to know Joe and I personally went down there, looked at the system, we looked at how they were connected to other jurisdictions around their area, and we think it's a good deal and we think it will more than --just like a while ago I showed you we got, you know, almost a million dollars worth of cars back from a \$200,000 investment in four months. I mean, the Rapid Response Strikeforce was not a year or two years, that data that's on that page we showed you a minute ago, so we really believe we're going to get our

money back for the State of Texas and the citizens of Texas very quickly.

So that's my recommendation is to authorize this grant and then you can label it a pilot program and if you want us to stop and see how this works out for a while and come back to the next board meeting, that's fine if you're interested in just doing this as a pilot one time, but either way I think we should fund this. Thank you.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think that 37 percent increase, again, it's a concern for us. We already have a problem in the Houston area, a bunch of cars are being stolen, I mean, we cannot deny that, and the fact that now we have Pasadena, a neighboring jurisdiction, having that issue with a 37 percent increase, as a board we cannot allow this to continue. We already have the issue in the Odessa-Midland area that we need to address.

MR. WILSON: I'm working on it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. And we have great commanders in our Harris County jurisdiction, we have great commanders in that area that are trying to do things and that are trying to be innovators in this aspect of policing. You know, using technology is going to be the thing that we're going to do every time. Again, hiring officers is becoming very, very difficult, but at the end

of the day, I'm a strong believer in technology, I'm a strong believer in giving the tools to these commanders to make their work not easier but being able to capture the suspects before they get to another jurisdiction, before they get to the border, before they go do something else that's going to affect not only the property crime that they have on hand but the public itself. That's our biggest worry, that they use the stolen car to go do something else that's going affect a person, and we're not going to allow that to happen.

So again, I'm a strong believer in giving them the tools to do what they need to do and this is the reason why I want to move forward and try to see if we can make this available to them. So at this moment, members, would anyone like to make a motion?

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I move that the MVCPA award an FY22 Rapid Response Grant to the City of Pasadena in the amount of \$44,000 for the lease and implementation of a Flock camera system, with the condition that they maintain the lease for one year beyond the end of the grant period at the city's expense and report to the MVCPA at least the total number of vehicles covered, arrests made and cases cleared as a result of using that system for each year.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a second?

1	MR. SALINAS: Mr. Chairman, Member Salinas. I
2	second.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any further discussion on this
4	item?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
7	for the vote. Members, when I call your name, please
8	state your name for the record and if you are in support
9	of the motion or do not support the motion.
10	Member Brotherton?
11	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. Support.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Salinas?
13	MR. SALINAS: Member Salinas. Support.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez?
15	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. Support.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jones?
17	MS. JONES: I abstain.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill?
19	MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill. Support.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
21	Mike Rodriguez, vote for the motion. Motion passes.
22	It's 10:38, we're going to take a quick
23	10-minute break and then we'll be back to continue with
24	the next agenda item.
25	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record show it's 10:57 a.m. and we'll now be reconvening.

Members, I would like to move to item number 7,
Discuss fiscal year '24-25 legislative appropriations
request process and how recommendations to change that to
improve processes will be managed by Texas DMV.

This item has two subparts. First we will hear from Texas DMV staff regarding the process leading up to the 88th Legislative Session, and second, we will have a presentation concerning the LAR and exceptional item processes. Texas DMV staff member, Bryan Wilson, will present this item.

Mr. Wilson.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. What we wanted to do, and I just really want to compliment DMV, the director of Governmental and Strategic Communications, as well as the new chief financial officer for DMV. They volunteered of their own free will, with no cajoling or whining on my part -- maybe with the CFO a little whining -- no, I'm kidding. They've really stepped up because what we've always had is this joint process of we're an administratively subsumed agency within another agency and we have our statutory requirements, but we depend on the DMV and the DMV Board to present, and they've just really stepped up immediately to offer their

ability to explain the process directly so that, number one, you know who they are, that you as chairman or board members can reach directly, if you don't trust me or don't like me anymore, whatever, you can reach out and touch them and get information. And of course, I'll always be available to you but it's okay to say what is the process for DMV or whatever.

You are governor-appointed board members, and I can't tell you how grateful I am to both Keith Yawn, who is going to speak first, and then Glenna Rhea Bowman, who is going to speak second, to talk about the process. I'm going to say in seven years this is the first time we've had the process presented to the board directly by DMV and lay out this is what's coming for the next six months, the legislative session starts in January, and they wanted to be able to explain the process directly and then take any questions. And we will come back and talk about some of the ideas that might want to discuss later, but I felt it was really important to give them the opportunity early in the meeting to present this.

So first I'd like to introduce the director of Governmental and Strategic Communication at the Texas

Department of Motor Vehicles, Keith Yawn. He's fairly new to our agency but he's got a wealth of experience that I know we can rely on in both state government and other

processes.

2

1

Keith.

3

Thank you, Bryan. MR. YAWN:

4

Mr. Chairman, members of the board, good

5

morning.

6

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning.

7

MR. YAWN: Thank you for allowing me some time

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

on your agenda this morning to discuss the legislative process that the Department of Motor Vehicles is planning for the remainder of this year. I know that it can seem a little daunting to start thinking about the commencement of the 88th Legislative Session in January of 2023 this early in the

game, but as many of you know, there is a lot of work that goes into preparing appropriately for a legislative session. The legislature will convene in January of 2023

to take up new statutory changes and new budgets and

that's what we're here to discuss this morning.

Last month in February, staff of the Department of Motor Vehicles began a process of identifying and considering new statutory changes to operations, to budget structures, to the needs of the agency legally and operationally that may exist, and this is really a multistage process. We're looking at ideas and considerations from past legislative sessions that may not have yet been

implemented, we're seeking input from all of our internal operational divisions to identify new ideas that my have arisen in recent years or may not have been identified in the past, and we're also reaching out to a very broad array of our stakeholder groups, both in industry, law enforcement representatives and others to see what ideas are out there and what ways we can continue to improve our operations to work better with the partners that we have in the areas that we regulate and administer for the State of Texas.

This will be an ongoing process over the next several months. It is a very intense process that once an idea is identified for consideration then we do have quite a bit of work to do in reviewing and vetting the implications of that idea, what it means statutorily, what it would mean for operations, what resources may be needed, so that when we take ideas to our board for consideration and their approval and what we would be recommending to the legislature as part of a larger package, they have a full sense of the size and scope and scale of what we are potentially recommending as an agency and what that discussion will be.

That process will take several months, as I mentioned, and our hope is to be able to take an initial slate of ideas to the Legislative and Public Affairs

Committee of the Texas DMV Board, as well as the full board, for their first kind of stab at reviewing and considering them during the June 2022 meetings.

Following that and input that we receive from them, as well as additional input that we receive from other groups, this board, of course, our stakeholder groups, following those presentations that would occur in June, we would further refine those ideas and then seek final approval on a slate of legislative recommendations to be considered by the 88th Legislative Session by the end of the summer in August of this year.

That schedule would then allow us to begin a process in the fall of this year of educating and briefing legislative offices on the needs of the agency, our operational visions and what our priorities are moving into the next legislative session so that they are fully aware and educated on those needs and can consider possible authoring of legislation related to those ideas or what their positions may be on those as they enter the debate stages during the legislative session.

And of course, you'll hear in just a minute from our chief financial officer about the budget process, and to the extent that we can, we will be attempting in every way to coordinate these processes with the budget considerations as well, because while there are many items

that may need statutory changes to provide improvement, there is also a lot that can be done within the budget itself, and I know that that is a consideration of this board specifically.

I have been working with and trying to keep Director Wilson apprised of what our processes are and how we're moving forward and make sure that he is able to work with y'all to contribute and provide input on those processes at every step along the way and I want to make sure that you know this morning that we are committed to working with y'all and doing what we can to make sure that the needs of this group are considered appropriately within that full process.

So with that, I'd be happy to take any questions you have or pass it on to my colleague.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, do you have any questions?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I've got a question.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Go ahead, Member Gonzalez.

MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Yawn, so if I'm understanding this process correctly, if this body, if this board of the MVCPA is in need of something from the legislature -- I think you used the word "filtered" a few times, so are you guys kind of the decision-makers as to

what it is that is presented to the legislature? Am I understanding that correct?

MR. YAWN: We're absolutely one audience for the legislature as far as how ideas get presented but we're clearly not the only one, but what we're trying to put together is a package that the Texas DMV Board has approved as far as what the agency's priorities are moving forward.

I think one important thing that I didn't mention that is part of this year-long process that we're undertaking is that not every idea that comes up -- and I've been talking with our internal division directors about this -- necessarily ends up on the list because we do have, as everyone has in state government, resource and capacity constraints that we have to consider as far as what we're able to support during a legislative session, and so there is a part of this that is a prioritization of ideas, and so that will be part of the process. But that's an open discussion and one we want to make sure all our stakeholders are involved with so that we end up with a list of ideas and considerations that the board is comfortable represents the agency well and the needs of the agency to improve moving forward.

MR. GONZALEZ: So Mr. Yawn, if I'm understanding you correctly, so if this board has a

legislative need, it would then go to the committees for filtering and then from there it would go to the DMV Board for consideration, and then if everything passes, it could go to the legislature?

MR. YAWN: That's correct, yes. So we'll be presenting to initially the Legislative and Public Affairs Committee of the DMV Board to begin that vetting process, and ultimately the board will put their stamp of approval on a final list of items.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Go ahead.

MR. WILSON: For the record, Bryan Wilson.

question because what this really is, so you're a governor-appointed board and you have some legislative access and that's through the plan of operations, so that's not through DMV, that has nothing to do with DMV -- I mean, I always send it out to GSC to look for spelling errors and content, you know, advisories and things like that, David looks at it. So we have a plan of operation that's statutorily required of this board prior to the session, it's due on December 1, and those are things where we are to explain what we are doing to combat auto theft, what we need to do a better job of combating auto theft, and then also the financial needs of this agency.

That goes straight to the Lieutenant Governor and the House and the Senate.

But DMV has a different statutory charge and they're authorized in the Transportation Code to provide to the legislature things about process improvement -- I'm going to screw up the words here and Matt is back there -- process improvement, is that right?

MR. YAWN: Improvements in efficiencies.

MR. WILSON: Improvements in efficiencies. So that's about the operation. For instance, House Bill 3514 that passed last session, we realized that the Comptroller was collecting a penalty and fee on all of our taxes and I went, Wait, we don't have the statutory authority. We talked to David, and it was like, Whoa, time out. And so we went to the legislature through DMV that it was not right to have the only fee in the state of Texas not have a penalty for late filing or whatever, so we got a penalty included and interest for non-paying. That's an efficiency issue because that went through DMV.

Now, you're right, there are times when we proposed something to DMV and it didn't get through, but again, they have a statutory charge that's different than ours, and so like when it comes to how we get our deposits or a lot of those kind of things about how we organize our funds or how we're allowed to organize our funds, that's

really -- and David, you're the attorney so I don't want 1 to step out of line here -- but we don't really have a 3 statutory charge in our board about making recommendations 4 to the legislature about how to organize the funding or 5 what accounts get deposited, or you know, those kind of 6 things. 7 Does that make sense or does that help, 8 Lieutenant? 9 MR. GONZALEZ: I get it. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez, did you want to say something? Because it didn't come through. 11 12 MR. GONZALEZ: Sorry about that, I guess there 13 was a delay. 14 I understand that. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So the legislative committee 16 that we have, that takes a different route on what our 17 needs are and all that? MR. WILSON: The committee we talked about 18 19 earlier would be the group that talks about what do we 20 need operationally to present to DMV. Thank you. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 22 MR. YAWN: And Mr. Chairman, if I may? One 23 thing as part of that, because as I said, we are committed 24 to working with you and cooperating to make sure DMV very 25 clearly understands the needs that this board identifies

and prioritizes them appropriately within the full needs of the agency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I laid out a process that within DMV recognizes kind of an ideal and to some extent aggressive timeline on these. It is not to say and exclude the idea that there may be ideas that will come forward later in the year just because that's when they're identified or when they're developed more fully, and there is a process where we can continue to consider those and take them to the legislature. These are not deadlines where once we hit them there's no further action that we can take and we can continue to have those discussions and make sure as things evolve throughout the year those are considered in the process that the legislature considers and any bills the legislature considers during the next session take into account fully up-to-date information on that and any changes in the needs that MVCPA or Texas DMV has changed in that interim.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

MR. YAWN: Thank you.

MR. WILSON: Glenna Rhea Bowman, the chief financial officer for the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning and welcome.

MS. BOWMAN: Good morning, Chairman Rodriguez

and board members. For the record, my name is Glenna Bowman. I am the chief financial officer for the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles, and I'm very pleased to be here this morning for my first meeting with the MVCPA Board. I've been with TxDMV for about six months so I feel really experienced, but I have been with the state for over 30 years in a financial capacity.

I've known Bryan Wilson for a really long time, probably, gosh, 15 or 20 years, because I worked with him in a similar capacity when I was at the Office of Court Administration as their CFO and Bryan was a staff member with the Indigent Defense Commission and OCA supported them administratively, so we've had lots of back and forth over the years trying to make sure we're working together how that works.

And so I recognize that there's an element of independence for this board and I want you to know that we respect that and understand your role as policymakers in this important work that you're doing, and so to the degree that we can, we really just want to support you all and make sure that we're coordinating because the more organized we are between DMV and MVCPA and our other stakeholders, the more effective we'll be and all winning, you know, in the process. So thank you very much for having me here this morning.

I have a very, very brief and high level overview of our timeline for two items. One is the 2023-27 strategic plan -- we have to do a five-year plan -- and the other is the 2024-25 legislative appropriations request, and I'm going to talk about those processes. The timeline is in your board materials on page 49. Again, it's a very high level.

So the strategic plan instructions were just issued by the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor's Office last week. Our schedule says March because we didn't know when we went to print when those instructions would actually be issued, so we just got them last week, and this is a document that all state agencies have to complete that lays out specific things about customer service and our workforce and where we're headed in the future, our goals and objectives and so on.

There were no substantive changes in the instructions from last session, that's pretty much what we expected, so we're working through that process. And as a part of that process, the MVCPA has the opportunity to make updates, request changes to the authority's performance measures and related definitions, and I'm just talking about two right now that are specifically related to the General Appropriations Act. We're currently working with Bryan on this -- I know y'all have other

measures and things that you look at, so it's pretty specific as far as what we're looking at, but we are working with Bryan on that and I'm sure he'll keep you updated.

In April the LBB and the Governor's Office will release the base reconciliation instructions -- I'll talk about that in just a minute -- and DMV staff will present an update on the strategic plan to the TxDMV Board, so just in terms of time frame.

The base reconciliation that I just mentioned, that's going to be completed in May of 2022. That document sets out the baseline budget for the MVCPA and for TxDMV for FY 2024-25, so this amount -- and we do it every two years, it's a routine process for all state agencies -- so the LBB goes in and they look at the budget for MVCPA for 2022-23, the biennium we're in right now, and we'll go ahead and assume for right now that they're going to say 100 percent of that, that's your baseline for the next biennium, for 2024-25. Sometimes they make it 95 percent, sometimes 90, it depends. I think our economy is in pretty good shape right now so for now we're anticipating to start at 100 percent.

And then that sets the baseline for our budget request for your budget request for 2024-25, and anything that is above that baseline would be an exceptional item,

and I would love to work with y'all in terms of how we package that, put it together, prioritize it because some ways are more effective than others, and of course, it depends on what the legislature is feeling like prioritizing, but we can help in that process so that we can put together the best package possible so that you can get the things that you need to fulfill your duties.

The strategic plan, back to that, is due to the LBB and the Governor's Office on June 1. Later in June of this year the TxDMV staff will present a preliminary legislative appropriations request to the TxDMV Board that would include information about the MVCPA. We will be working with Bryan and this board throughout the process to make sure we incorporate the MVCPA into the LAR.

Around September of this year, the LBB and the Governor's Office staff will conduct joint budget hearings on the agency budget. There's an opportunity for y'all to comment in that process, that's at the staff level. And then LBB and the Governor's Office kind of goes away for a few months and they go play with numbers and they look at revenue estimates and do all of that, so that in January the LBB and Governor's Office will present recommended budgets to begin at the beginning of the legislative session and in January of 2023 the State Comptroller will issue the biennial revenue estimate, which also is

obviously a key driver in the state budget.

I do want to reiterate that we, Keith and I and all of TxDMV, will be working with Bryan and you all throughout the process, as well as during legislative session, so we can do everything we can to make sure that MVCPA is well represented and we put our best foot forward so that you can get the resources to do your job.

And with that, thank you again for having me this morning. I'm happy to answer any questions.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

Members, any questions?

(No response.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: We don't have any questions.

MS. BOWMAN: All right. Thank you very much.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you very much for coming today.

Director Wilson.

MR. WILSON: So thank you, Chairman. For the record, Bryan Wilson.

So I want the members to understand when she was talking about the baseline reconciliation process.

This year we were appropriated \$14.9 million but we have a statutory provision in Transportation Code 1006.153 that says that we're supposed to get 20 percent of the funds we collected, so this last year in 2021 we collected roughly

\$108 million, but when the legislature starts the reconciliation process that she's talking about in May, she will not be turning in the \$22 million or \$24 million we're supposed to have allocated to us, she has to turn in, and it's by rule of the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor's Policy Office that they would turn in not what the statute requires but what we were appropriated.

So just want to make sure everybody understands there is a number that's in statute that we're supposed to receive a percentage of what we collect. As Mr. Hansen indicated, it hasn't been done in a very long time. But it won't be Glenna's fault that she doesn't turn in the \$24 million.

Go ahead.

MS. BOWMAN: Thanks, Bryan, I appreciate that.

I just want to clarify also that that's what exceptional items are for and the other legislative processes that we have available in our toolkit. So for example, in the legislative appropriations request, if we want to say we need the rest of the money to get to this little 20 percent, we can present that information in the LAR. And so those are some of the things we need to have discussions about to make sure, again, that we're putting those requests in as appropriate.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I think it's going to be

much needed for us to have those conversations so that that can be pushed to the budget committee, just because I know that a lot of the areas that we currently don't cover is because we don't have the access to that money. So we'll come back and have those conversations but I think it's very important that we do push that note that we are in need of those funds.

MS. BOWMAN: Happy to help in any way we can. Thank you.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

MR. WILSON: Thank you for the indulgence, Mr. Chairman. I know that everybody has busy schedules and we've got a lot to do, but I think a lot of this other stuff will go fairly quickly, some of it is discussion or presentation. But thank you for allowing us to move this agenda item number 7. We can either return back to number 4 or move on to the discussion in 8 that's kind of tied to this, either way, but there is no action item for agenda item 8, so however you want to move, Mr. Chairman.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think we move up also item 8 and discuss this. There's no action on item number 7 for the members, so we'll discuss item number 8. So agenda item number 8, Consider directing MVCPA staff to consider studying and prioritizing the LAR process improvement requiring statutory change issues, including dedicated law

enforcement fund account, General Revenue Fund Account 3206, bait car coordination ownership license in coordination with Texas DMV, prosecutor funding training and coordination, and expansion and service to areas with no specialized law enforcement resources, with a consideration of such sub-issues as impact of funding reduction, increases in personnel losses to the public and state, increases in crime, reductions in statutory performance measures, and need for better coordination in response to Texas DMV fraud related crime.

Mr. Wilson.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

For the record, this is Bryan Wilson.

Starting on the board packet on page 51, again, this is not an action item unless the board decides to take some formal vote on directing staff. It won't be needed, we'll do whatever the heck you say, but if you feel like the need to order us to do something or get it on the record, we'll be glad to do it. I don't want the board to feel like that I'm telling you you can't do a motion when you think that there's something that you might want to do a motion.

But what this was is just the first draft vetting -- and I really do need to set up a legislative committee and start talking about some of these issues

with that committee, and then we can start getting -- but I wanted to show you kind of where we've been on some of these issues and where we're trying to go.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the first item is a dedicated law enforcement account. So for two or three sessions, maybe back further before I got here, but certainly we have been asking for a dedicated account. Now, I talked to Ruth McClellan before she passed away and she said she was really sorry that she put in the statutory language that said the \$2 fee -- when she said 50 percent may only be appropriated to the ABTPA, she really meant "shall" but put "may only" thinking everybody would know what that meant and it didn't work out for her, and she was always really sorry about that. But then later, Tommy Hansen and I, when they did update the law in Transportation Code 1006, we and NICB State Director Lohmann and quite a few others met with Chairman Zerwas said, "Shall means shall, gentlemen." Well, here we are four years later and shall still isn't \$24 million or \$20 million.

So what the solution has been for years proposed by this board, but to no success whatsoever and against some strong headwinds -- I'll use Keith Yawn's terminology about the purpose of dedicated accounts -- there's been, you know, I don't know, 15-20 years ago the legislature realized by having so many dedicated accounts

they had reduced their ability to appropriate for things that were more immediate at that time, so dedicated accounts are totally out.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I wanted to remind the board we've tried numerous times to go to the legislature and ask for some sort of dedicated account and we had bills filed -- I appreciate Matthew Miller, our gentleman that helps us a lot with legislative issues, I don't even know if he's still here -- he's standing in the back there, and he's always been real helpful to identify the bills that have been filed in the past about 50 percent dedication, you know, different kinds of tries where whatever is deposited gets deposited into a general account. Even Representative McClellan tried to file a bill for an account outside. In most cases these have never gotten hearings, so what I don't want to do is present this and say, Hey, guys, I think we should ask for this again. I'm saying is unless there's some compelling reason that the board wants to consider asking for this, then we can either do that.

The last time we tried, I think there was a bill being submitted by DMV to at least have the appropriations deposited into the Fund 10 -- thank you, Glenna -- where we would just have the amount appropriated deposited into the DMV or Fund 10 so at least that money

would stay in the pot inside of a dedicated account. Okay?

So again, I'm not asking you to decide anything but we have to start thinking about as a board and working with DMV about what is the strategy about the money that gets appropriated, either dedicated from the collections side that's being deposited into our 3206, the \$108 million, or we get an appropriation and whatever exceptional items and that gets deposited into the DMV Fund, but we need to start thinking about that, and what our history has been, fairly unsuccessful history of making any progress.

So are there any questions? I think I'll stop there because that's about money, and so are there any questions about that issue before I move on to some of the other issues?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any questions from the board?

(No response.)

MR. WILSON: All right. A couple of years ago we did a study at A&M, we identified some of the key factors in successful bait car programs, and you know, a lot of it -- I know y'all already know this but what really makes a successful bait car program is a lot of dedicated officers out in the field like at the Houston PD and Officer Ballard -- and I forget all their names --

Travis County had a really good program, Tarrant County and Fort Worth have had an amazing program, but at the end of the day they all keep running into the same thing, and I showed you how likely is our inventory reflecting our top targets being stolen. And if you really want a successful program, you've got to have the kind of inventory that's being stolen on a regular basis.

And Lieutenant Gonzalez and I have spent so many headaches in the last, I don't know, three or four months, five months, Lieutenant, banging our head against the wall trying to change our inventory, and I know Houston sits back there silent all the time but we have an obligation to all of our task forces to figure out ways to do a better job of keeping our task forces with stuff that they can make arrests and recoveries. Because all of these arrests, they tend to show once we have a perpetrator under arrest and we find out where they are and we find more stolen vehicles and we drop the crime rate in that area while they're under arrest.

So this has been a frustration. A lot of states have done single where the state operates the bait car ownership and transfers the alias titles. There's a lot of complicated things that go with this. You can't have a bait car out there registered to Mike Rodriguez, you have to have the alias title and alias registrations

because we do have criminal enterprises that have access to some records, so we have to be careful about how we operate these covert operations and they often come with high risk.

So because of that we want to start thinking about how do we own the vehicles, how do we receive -Lieutenant Gonzalez and I have been working with GM to get five vehicles donated but then now all of a sudden we have technology problems, how are we going to get them outfitted, how are we going to pay for that. You see what I'm saying, there's just lots of things.

So what I'd like to do is for us to consider -and I don't know that it requires a statutory change or a
process change or appropriations, but we already own
dozens of bait cars, right, in the MVCPA, everything from
four-wheelers to cars to trucks, and it's just not a good
use because when the vehicles get burned, the process
where they get recognized as a bait vehicle, it would be
better rather than to have that put back in the warehouse
for a year is to move it to Dallas, to move it to Lubbock
and to move it to El Paso and continue operating that
vehicle without the loss of having it in a warehouse.

I mean, I went out to El Paso a couple of years ago Dan and I went out there, and they had a motorcycle hidden in a storage unit. Didn't you, Rick? And it was

hidden there because they didn't want it spotted for a long time until they could get it back out as a bait vehicle. Right?

Anyway, so this is something I'd like the board to consider that you provide some direction to staff. So any questions about the bait car coordination or ownership? We don't know what all the statutory and financial obligations are but we think we should start studying it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do you have a list of the agencies that are still using bait cars?

MR. WILSON: Well, we can ascertain it from our inventory list. I don't have it right here in front of me, sir, but we do know that Houston, Fort Worth, the Tarrant County group, El Paso, Travis, and I know Lubbock and City of Dallas, certainly, and Dallas County, both have had some sort of bait cars, sometimes it's a trailer, sometimes it's a motorcycle. So we have an inventory list so we can figure that out really quick. But as Chief Garcia used to say, a lot of our border communities don't have bait car operations because, as Chief Garcia used to sit in the meetings and say, Well, there it goes on the other side of the bridge, about the time it gets stolen.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But also --

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I've got a

comment.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Go ahead, Member Gonzalez.

MR. GONZALEZ: I mean, the bait car program is a tried and true mechanism to reduce auto theft. We have a 100 percent conviction rate with our bait cars because you have a bad guy, I mean, on camera, whatnot, breaking in. Our prosecutors feel very confident taking these auto thieves to jail with respect to the bait cars. Now, that is assuming that all the equipment works and that an appropriate signal is transmitted, you know, and whatnot. And so again, it goes back to technology, we have to move with the times.

So Director Wilson, I know that you've said you don't know if the necessary mechanism is a statutory change or something, but I do think that a statewide bait car program, similar to Colorado because we're not trying to reinvent the wheel here. The Colorado MVCPA has this exact system that we're trying to implement, almost kind of like a ride sharing, a bait car sharing program. And so I don't know, Director Wilson, if this is a statutory change or something, but I do think that that is something that we need to push.

I understand DMV needs to, I guess, kind of be the filter, you know, whatnot. I would appreciate being able to go directly to the decision-makers, if it's the

legislature, the governor, or whoever, I would like to go and speak to them directly about the importance of such a program. So I ask whatever mechanism is necessary for us to move the ball, I would ask your staff to look into that.

Correct?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So what we're trying to do is implement a policy where if a jurisdiction has a bait car and it's bought with MVCPA funds that that jurisdiction, whenever that bait car is burned that they would advise us and we would ask other jurisdiction who wants it.

MR. WILSON: But the Colorado model is where all the bait cars are owned by the CATPA is what it's called up there, the Auto Theft Prevention Authority, so their public safety department or any jurisdiction that's running a bait car program wants to come check it out, it would be basically a car library -- how about that better than a ride share -- a car library. You would go check it out for the two or three weeks that you need to run it, and then you would bring it back, because some of the burn rates are as short as two months.

What was it, I think Matt Peterson was showing me, or Brian Sudan, a thing up in Fort Worth where somebody set up a webcam for "Isthisabaitcar.com" or something like this, and people would try to guess which

car on the side of the road was a bait car.

But as soon as they get burned, they would just come back to the warehouse and then Houston could check it out and we would keep the inventory that was reflective. You know, Major Jones and her unit puts out an auto theft report every month and we need vehicles to look like that auto theft report every month, and so if we could trade or move them out or push them back into out of service and in service as it comes up. So that's what we're talking about is identifying what all that requires, what does that look like, is it 35 cars in a warehouse hidden out in a factory district of East Dallas or is it maybe two locations in Houston and then we just move trucks and motorcycles and trailers back and forth.

But the other part of it, as I said, was the technology. You know, I can't remember, it was Baytown a few months ago, one of the police departments were helping them build their own system. What we need is a single system that every group can rely on and be able to switch on. For instance, one company has the technology the cameras, the trackers, the kill switches, the batteries in a modular unit that we can just install and do a good job, and then when that vehicle goes to another jurisdiction, they already have that software to do the kill switch, to do the tracking, the geo fences and all that.

So that's what we're talking about is we need to look into this, what all do we need to have either one or two warehouses around the state so we can move vehicles in and out, and then check them out to Dallas for six weeks and then they go to Houston, then they go to Lubbock, El Paso, wherever, but it's all on kind of a check out basis and the state is then not having -because every time we do like an NICB donation, we have to buy the car for a dollar so it transfers, well, the state can have a different role in that. And instead of all these jurisdictions, San Antonio, trying to figure out, okay, what's the title transaction that we have to do. And if we were to move it, now we have to change the title to a new transaction, but if it was an alias title at the state, we'd cut down a lot of that really frustrating activity when insurance companies want to donate a car or GM wants to donate five trucks, we don't have to keep going through those transactions every time we need to move them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RODRIGUEZ: We also need to take into consideration the -- like for example, I believe it's Tarrant County that has that shop there and has those technicians that know how to install and test all the equipment before they're pushed out. So going into another jurisdiction, we're going to have to have

something like that before we push it out for them to have that operation. But it does take some manpower to have that operation going and an operational plan that works, and I know the Dallas-Fort Worth area do a great job of doing that.

But the bait car, I know it does work and it's a great tool to have but it does take manpower and a lot of assets too to make sure that that gets done the correct way and that it works, whenever they're trying to evade that thing gets shut off right away before it goes and does some more damage.

Again, so I think we can direct staff -- so

Member Gonzalez, do you want to make a motion directing

staff to look more into this? Or we can just direct staff
with this agenda item?

MR. WILSON: We just wanted to give the board an opportunity to provide any input and interest in this. I mean, in other words, if the interest was we really don't want to touch this, we don't want any discussion whatsoever about this in the future, then that's enough direction, we don't need a motion on any of these items necessarily.

So we'll present this in the near future. We might work with Keith's office to think about how to move forward, as well as Glenna, and see what is needed to do

something like this.

The next idea was the prosecutors that we've submitted several times to the legislature, it's been killed almost every time in the House Appropriations

Committee, but at the end of the day, you know, 10-12 years ago we used to have prosecutors embedded in most of our offices, we now have a part-time prosecutor in Houston, working with Harris County and Houston, and then also the Tarrant County this last year we funded a full-time prosecutor in that, so just with a little bit of money we've been able to claw back the position.

But again -- and I know Lieutenant Gonzalez is particularly interested in this issue, and maybe others -- but you know, to have a really effective task force, this model relies on -- especially when you have like regional areas, you need prosecution from the very get-go, you need it up front in designing your operation plans and effecting the rest, especially -- and I know Major Jones knows a lot more about this than I do so I'm not trying to be an expert here compared to her -- but a prosecutor on the front end dealing with a very complex set of criminal organizations like the ones who have perpetrated many of the eTAGs, both the fraudulent production as well as the DMV production, those issues are complicated cases and they need prosecution involvement at the beginning when

you're doing the organized crime layout.

Tarrant County has seen massive -- I think over 2- or 300 cars on an odometer rollback and some of the VIN switches, the paper trail is overwhelming and you need that attorney representation early, not at the end of the case when their eyes glaze over and say I'm not touching that. And that's happened to us numerous times on our task forces not having a prosecutor understanding odometer rollbacks and VIN switches and things like that.

So I'd recommend that we continue to look at this and work with Keith and Glenna about how to present this in a better way, but I need your input as well.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, I'll speak on this.

I think there is an incredible value with being able to add the prosecution aspect to our task forces. As Director Wilson was saying, it can be kind of difficult to explain the process of stealing cars and then getting salvage VINs on to the stolen VINs and then registering vehicles through the Texas DMV -- essentially vehicle laundering is what I call it -- and so it can be kind of difficult when we're trying to file these Chapter 71 cases if you don't have your prosecutor onboard from the beginning giving that feedback. And so we want to make sure that not only are we arresting these folks and we're

filing our cases but that they are adjudicated in the criminal justice system.

And you know, DA offices, just like law enforcement agencies, they're strapped for personnel and for resources and so there is a huge benefit in having prosecutors that are assigned to our auto theft task forces to be addressing these organized crime, and not only the organized crime folks but also the habitual auto thieves. And so our habitual auto thieves represent a very large portion of our total stolen vehicles, and so when you have that prosecutor who can say, look, 20 to life or you better give us some really good information regarding how is switching out these ECMs or where this chop shop is, that has a lot of value and can directly impact the goals of this body to reduce auto theft.

So again, Director Wilson, I strongly encourage you to explore this item.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I agree with you 100 percent,
Member Gonzalez, and I know that there's got to be buy-in
from the district attorney. I know that in Laredo when we
were number one in the nation for auto theft, it was a
back and forth with the district attorney in trying to
figure out ways to have a better understanding as to what
was happening, and so the one thing that we continued
giving to them and we were just pressuring is the fact

that we had numbers, that number don't lie. Hey, we have 2,500 vehicles stolen a year in a community that's 265,000 population, you know, what are we going to do about this. And then here comes NICB with its reports and then here we go.

And then again, burglary of vehicles is going up. Why? Because thieves know that if there's something that's going to be inside a vehicle, it's going to be, of course, goods like iPhones and iPads, but at the same time they know that people sometimes leave their keys in their cars, so that's a crime of opportunity that we kept on telling our district attorney that even though we're trying to tell people lock your cars, take your keys, they're not doing it, so it's something that we need to do.

But again, you know, it's all being reactive, and some of the things moving forward that I would like to see is how can we be proactive in reducing this crime.

Going back to Pasadena, 37 percent, we need to find out what the factor is that's creating that increase, we need to move immediately before it goes up to a 37 percent. I think we need to do more in that aspect because, again, it's the absence of crime, not the number of arrests, it's the absence of crime that makes a community safe and we want every community in the State of Texas, you know, to

feel safe, not that we're here and we're addressing your problem after it already occurred, we don't want that, we want them to feel safe. So how are we going to do that? By being proactive. Absence of crime, that is the number one thing that we need to push to where every grantee instead of trying to say, okay, we have a problem, let's work on it, no, we need to prevent, prevent, prevent.

So again, 100 percent agree on the district attorney but we also need every task force to make sure that they have that collaboration with the district attorney and make sure that if they have a problem that they detect that problem right away before it gets out of hand.

MS. JONES: Chairman, Sharon Jones, for the record.

With any investigation, whether auto theft, drugs, gangs, fraud, having a DA onboard before you even start an investigation is extremely important because they're the ones who are going to provide guidance and direction. Every prosecutor is different, they're all looking for something different, so on our team having someone from the very beginning who can direct us and give us the guidance as opposed to working the investigation and then you present it to the DA and then you're told no, you've done a lot of work for nothing. So it's extremely

important to make sure that we have all our ducks in a row before beginning. It also helps in not getting cases dismissed.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Agree 100 percent, Member Jones.

Any other member would want to comment?
(No response.)

MR. WILSON: Okay. Thank you. We'll continue to work on this one as a priority and work with, again, the legislative process that Keith and Glenna talked about earlier.

So I know this next one is real near and dear to your heart, because I've heard it 50 times, Chief. You know, when you look at a map -- and I sent the board a few months ago, it was one of the distributions, but I found an old map of the ABTPA coverage where we had Midland and we had Odessa in a task force, we had a lot of other areas that were covering big swaths, and you know, when you look at our map today we've covered the large urban areas and then we have, of course, Lubbock and Amarillo covering big swaths of the Panhandle and South Plains.

But you know, the reality is we're missing a lot of places. I mean, Kenneth back there with DPS, the commander for Smith County, is working Longview cases. I don't see Paris and Lamar County here today -- sorry if I

missed you -- but you know, they're covering counties way outside of their jurisdiction, including Texarkana that's a much larger city than Paris, Texas -- that doesn't have an Eiffel Tower -- but you know, they're working their tails off covering all the way to Grayson County and Montague. So it's really important that we understand that we need to fill in and we need at least 70 officers to fill that in for the Midland-Odessa. We've got Alice down there in South Texas, you know, so I've put the list here.

Again, this is a potential legislative request that we need. Again, I don't need a motion but I need your input in moving forward on these, trying to figure out a way to get more officers or at least re-dedicate some officers through overtime. Again, I said earlier we're short on officers statewide, but maybe we can create ways to allow task forces or overtime or something through the rest of the state to get the rest of the state covered on motor vehicle theft.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, of course.

MR. WILSON: Chief, can I say one thing just for the record?

Chapter 71 that has been referred to a lot, for all the board member and audience, is reference to the Chapter 71 of the Texas Penal Code which is organized

crime and generally considered a serious felony at several degrees, depending on the level of offense associated with it.

Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Chairman.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, I agree. That would be the number one conversation we should be having as to how are we going to be adding more task forces around the state and wherever we need the increase in personnel in certain jurisdictions that we get them that. So I think, yes, we move to forward on this and task our committee, our Legislative Committee to make sure that they work together with staff in getting this through.

MR. WILSON: So Mr. Chairman, Bryan Wilson, for the record.

That's the issues that I wanted to present to you about things that I think we should be working on, the fund, the bait cars, the officers, the prosecution, so now it's just kind of open, what else should we be either assigning to the committee or to the staff to start research and working on from the board's perspective, things that I'm missing, things that you would like to at least consider or put on the table.

Again, we don't have to make a motion today to decide, I just don't want to miss something that is a concern of this board.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members? 1 2 (No response.) 3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So what we'll do, we'll 4 direct staff to work with the Legislative Committee on 5 these items that we just spoke again, but more 6 importantly, again prioritizing the number of officers and 7 detectives that are needed out there and increasing the 8 task forces around our state. 9 MR. WILSON: Thank you. We will get busy. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Okay. We'll move on to talking about Texas DMV 11 12 and the collaborative efforts that we're doing after 13 talking to Chairman Bacarisse and what we emailed about. 14 I would like to bring up agenda item number 9, Consider 15 establishing and advisory committee to make 16 recommendations to reduce theft, increase recoveries and 17 to prevent and detect fraud-related motor vehicle crime under Transportation Code Chapter 1006. 18 19 Mr. Wilson. 20 MR. WILSON: Thank you. 21 So this is an issue that you had brought up 22 after your conversation with Chairman Bacarisse, and so 23 what we have is under Transportation Code 1006.103, the 24 MVCPA has the authority to appoint advisory committees.

want to be clear that this advisory committee is to this

25

board, it is not to Texas DMV, but this board can then turn and make recommendations or opportunities for improvement to the Texas DMV. But a couple of people in the audience asked me earlier is this a board for DMV; no, it's not. Only this board has the authority to ask for an advisory board to this board.

But what I've laid out here is some specific -you know, I think there's ways to strengthen the
relationship with DMV and be more involved up front in
some of the rulemaking discussions, some of the processes,
and even some of the IT developments that take place with
an eye on what people have been doing and what they could
be doing in the future.

Now, we try within our staff, limited staff, we try to participate in all the IT and governance projects we can. Joe has been sitting in on the Texas by Texas launch that just recently happened, for several months we've been watching, trying to identify what potential for fraud. So again, we're not deaf or blind to what we need to do but we're looking for opportunities to strengthen that relationship.

Recently DMV issued a set of rules that will greatly affect -- you were talking about the 68-A process and how those records that law enforcement, the number of confidential VIN inspections that they'll have to do and

how that information gets to the TAC or the DMV, but unfortunately, those rules were not discussed or notified -- at no point can we identify any law enforcement discussion prior to that.

So this is about this board getting an advisory committee for this board but hopefully the fruit of it is a better relation and a clearer working relation with DMV and where our role is with speaking to the law enforcement community on behalf of DMV, and vice versa, being able to speak to DMV back to the thing. So that's what we're trying to do is identify those issues.

MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, David Richards, for the record, MVCPA general counsel and also associate general counsel for the Texas DMV.

I just wanted to say, for the members' benefit and everyone here in law enforcement, that the DMV is working post haste very diligently to streamline our processes as it relates to our interrelationship with law enforcement. It's a high priority within the agency. We're already having discussions, we are taking into consideration multiple options that we might consider to help better serve the needs of law enforcement agencies across the state, whether it be with eTAGs or motor vehicle related fraud.

We welcome the partnership, to dovetail with

Mr. Wilson's commentary, and we will work hard and post haste to go forward and streamline those processes to make your jobs easier and more beneficial. So I just wanted to put that on the record that DMV is actively looking into that right now. Every department head within DMV, as well as our executive leadership, are engaging in those conversations and there have been several thus far. So we are committed to moving forward and working with law enforcement to streamline the processes, the interrelationships that we have with you going forward to make it a better working process and hopefully address, primarily address the concerns that y'all have had and brought forward thus far.

So thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, David.

MS. JONES: Mr. Chairman. Sharon Jones, for the record.

I want to speak to what David just said. Our last meeting with DMV, I was very impressed with the level of participation that was involved in our meeting from DMV, inclusive of, as I mentioned before, the executive team, but felt that it was -- they actually want to get it right and they're listening to what law enforcement has to say, so I was impressed by that and I'm looking forward to what becomes of the meeting.

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Jones.
2	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Go ahead, Member Gonzalez.
4	MR. GONZALEZ: I'll piggyback on what Major
5	Jones was saying.
6	So to me the DMV has been receptive to law
7	enforcement and we've had those, you know, conversations,
8	but there's conversations and there's action. So I can
9	tell you right now, again, it shouldn't take my detectives
10	months to get responses back on, you know, items that are
11	of evidentiary value, it shouldn't take me having to ask
12	and get involved for that.
13	So agreed, yes, they have come to the table. I
14	have personally met with the former director of the DMV
15	and they've provided this but there's still room for
16	significant improvement if we are going to effectively
17	address the fraudulent tags and the other issues that we
18	are working on.
19	MS. JONES: Absolutely 100 percent agree.
20	MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, David Richards
21	again. May I speak?
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Go ahead, David.
23	MR. RICHARDS: Member Gonzalez and the other
24	members of the board, we are committed to making
25	improvements. We realize and recognize that there are

improvements to be made, we realize the importance of the function that each of you play in law enforcement as it interfaces with what we do at the DMV. So we are committed to making room for improvement and appreciate your input. We'll continue our conversations within the department, like I said, whether it's Vehicle Titles and Registration or Enforcement, Motor Vehicle Division or IT Department, our Executive Department, and of course, OGC as well. So we see much improvement coming forward and we look forward to letting y'all know about those improvements when they become available.

Thank you.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, David.

And I think that most of the items that I was looking at for this committee to look at was mainly policy change, rule changes, any changes in their processes before they are implemented so that we can have the investigators, the detectives that are doing the work out in the field understand what's coming down and if they have any input as to how it's going to affect our way of policing that they can push that to the committee and the committee can come back and say, hey, can we talk to DMV about these issues.

Because I understand Member Gonzalez, what he's trying to say. It took a lieutenant from the Dallas

Police Department to make a phone call, it took a major from the Department of Public Safety to make that phone call, and it shouldn't be like that. You know, things shouldn't be happening that is affecting the way police departments and sheriff departments operate, it shouldn't.

I think that we can go back and have someone directly involved in these changes and before they make them that we have some input so that they don't happen and then we're trying to figure out ways how to enforce it or how to attack the problem that wasn't even there before they made these rules. So with this in mind, this is the reason why I spoke to Director Wilson and I told him, I said, We've got to get better at this, we can't have this and just every single grantee and every police department and sheriff department out there just this is the way it is because Texas DMV said it was going to be like this. If we could have some input because sometimes they do need that input.

I strongly believe that some of the rules that were done by them, it wasn't on purpose, it was because there was no input from the community, from the policing community to them as to how it was going to affect us operationally. So this is the reason why I would like to have a more in-depth connection with DMV whenever they're changing policy rules and things like that because it's

affecting us and it shouldn't take a lieutenant, a major to be calling, making those phone calls to get things done, it shouldn't happen from the very get-go.

MS. JONES: Chairman, once again Sharon Jones.

customers and we're the ones that we have to work these cases, these guys don't. You pass the rules but at the end of the day, we're struggling trying to make the cases. We have to be able to get records in a timely manner. If we do not get records in a timely manner, we cannot execute search warrants. The staleness of search warrants is what ends up with the result of we're hitting the wrong house, we're executing a warrant at the wrong house, and we all see from the news when you execute a warrant at the wrong house, people die, people are losing their lives. So we have to have well timed information, and also, it pertains to when you get the search warrant signed you only have a certain amount of days to get information to execute a search warrant.

We understand and we respect DMV's position that you guys are DMV, we re not a part of DMV, you can certainly make the rules and the laws as you see fit, but at the end of the day, you have to think about the people who have to carry out these rules, you have to think about the people of the State of Texas, and not just Texas,

1 other states that are affected by rules and policies. 2 So I would certainly hope -- and again, we recognize that DMV can make whatever decisions but I'm 3 4 hoping that in these discussions that you guys have that 5 you include us and just at least to what we have to say 6 and try to understand and maybe have some additional law 7 enforcement input and influence on DMV, within DMV to 8 totally understand the effect of decisions that you're 9 making. 10 MR. SALINAS: Very well said, Major. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Very well said. 11 MR. SALINAS: Mr. Chairman, Member Gilberto 12 Salinas. 13 14 So just now I've been hearing about room for 15 improvement, you know, the fact that a major and a 16 lieutenant have to call in order to get something done, it 17 just sounds like there's some kind of breakdown in the process from an operations standpoint. I mean, it just 18 19 does not seem very efficient, and you know, frankly, that 20 concerns me from an operations standpoint. 21 So in forming this committee will it help us 22 move in that direction as far as, you know, that room for 23 improvement? And that could be for some of those law 24 enforcement members on the board.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Salinas.

25

I think what we're going to direct staff --1 2 Director Wilson. 3 MR. WILSON: Yes. Thank you. Bryan Wilson, 4 for the record. 5 Member Salinas, was that a question? Are you 6 wanting to clarify what the role is -- will this advisory 7 committee help strengthen that? Was that a question? 8 MR. SALINAS: Yes. Basically, will it get us 9 moving in that direction. 10 MR. WILSON: Okay. I just wanted to make sure I heard you correctly. 11 12 So you know, Chairman Rodriguez said a few 13 minutes ago -- and I just want to remind everybody today 14 is March 2, Happy Texas Independence Day -- but he said a 15 few minutes ago -- and Chief, please correct me if I'm 16 wrong -- that today is March 2 and the City of Laredo is booked out for the 68-A inspections until the end of the 17 year. Did I hear that correctly, sir? 18 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's correct. 20 MR. WILSON: Okay. So for this board's information, there was 23,500 68-A inspections. You're 21 22 What the heck is that? A 68-A inspection is what 23 you as a citizen would be required today if you brought a 24 car from another country, if you had a car from another

state that you didn't have clear title, if you had an old

25

trailer your grandpa gave you, you would have to have a 68-A inspection -- a confidential VIN is the legal term in the statute in Chapter 500 of the Transportation Code -- a confidential VIN inspection conducted by a certified law enforcement agent or a National Insurance Crime Bureau agent, and of course, DPS can do that as well and a few others.

But the reality is we have 237 officers who recovered over 11,000 vehicles, they arrested 3,800 auto thefts and they still had time to do 23,000 68-As just so their citizens in their communities could go to the title office and get title to their car. And so I don't feel like I can overstate how important that our officers are on a daily basis trying to help DMV conduct their business because these citizens -- and I've been on the end of a call -- are furious when they're in Abilene and Sweetwater and places that they have to drive 200 miles to go get one of our officers to do this inspection.

So it is a serious problem, it's bogging us up, but I want to remind you in this motion that's before you today or the motion you can make is only about what MVCPA can do to establish a committee, if you so desire, an advisory committee to you on how to move forward and strengthen the relationship with DMV.

Now, the chairman can appoint anyone and

everyone that obviously volunteers to be on the committee, but at the end of the day, we hope that he might extend it out to a DMV Board member, it could be to the NICB, certainly our law enforcement community, so that they can come back with clear recommendations to this board about specific actions that this board can take to strengthen the relationship with DMV and to move forward on all of these issues, what Major Jones and Lieutenant Gonzalez are talking about.

And I appreciate you bringing that word up,

Member Salinas, "efficiency". We should be effective, we
should be efficient between ourselves. We're

administratively attached to this agency, it shouldn't be
a constant battle to do whatever processes, whether we're

doing the processes for them on the 68-A inspections or

trying to obtain records for a quick search warrant. So

thank you for that, I'm glad you brought that perspective
to it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any motions?

Any motions from the members?

MR. GONZALEZ: I'll go ahead and make a motion that we create a committee to advise this body and make recommendations to reduce theft, increase recoveries and to prevent and detect fraud related to the TxDMV.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a second?

1	MS. JONES: Sharon Jones. Second.
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have motion from Member
3	Gonzalez, I have a second from Member Jones. Any further
4	discussion, members, on this item?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
7	for a vote. Members, when I call your name, please state
8	your name for the record and whether you support the
9	motion or do not support the motion.
10	Member Brotherton?
11	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. Support.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Salinas?
13	MR. SALINAS: Member Salinas. I support the
14	motion.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez?
16	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I support.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jones?
18	MS. JONES: Sharon Jones. I support.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill?
20	MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill. I support.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
22	Mike Rodriguez, support the motion. The motion passes.
23	We will now go back to agenda item number 4,
24	Consider fiscal year 2022 Motor Vehicle Crime Auxiliary
25	Grants Program and budget, including authorization for the

MVCPA director to publish the request for applications. 1 2 Director Wilson. 3 MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 Wilson, for the record. 5 I know this has been a long time coming. The 6 board first directed me to start developing the MAG grant, 7 and it stands for MVCPA Auxiliary Grant or Accessory This grant is intended for non-task force 8 9 entities. We jokingly call it the Grant in a Box, it's a 10 one-and-done grant for jurisdictions that decide to provide help. We put some limitations on it, so one 11 limitation is the grant cannot be over \$20,000. 12 13 Dan, what was the amount budgeted for that MAG 14 grant? It's already budgeted, \$300,000? 15 MR. PRICE: I think it's 250 --16 MR. WILSON: Two fifty. So in June the board 17 budgeted \$250,000 for this grant, but the most that any one of these grants can do is \$20,000, and the value of 18 19 loss in that community that applies has to be at least 20 three times more than what you ask for, so no jurisdiction 21 can ask for any money unless they have at least three 22 times that value of the \$20,000, so \$60,000 in 23 demonstrated losses. 24 In conversation with Major Jones yesterday, I 25 do need to make an on-the-fly change -- but remember,

you're not voting on this document that's in your board book, you're authorizing me to publish the request for applications -- but one of the things that Major Jones -- and she's here to correct me if I mess it up -- but we want to clarify that this is only for county sheriff departments and municipal or city police departments, it's not open necessarily at this point to all law enforcement

So it's mostly directed at people that are hopefully around our area, our coverage area. Like Laredo has Zapata and it has Webb County as part of their coverage area so that task force would benefit from this program. So we want to complement our task forces anywhere they can, but the grant recipient cannot be a task force. It can be one of their coverage areas but not their participating area. So I don't want anybody to be confused and if you find any language that doesn't lend itself to that.

But at the end of the day, what this will do, for instance, the City of Dallas recently I got a call -- I'm sorry -- Dallas County, I got a call a few weeks ago from Kaufman. Well, Kaufman is in the coverage area of the Dallas County grant but the citizen was complaining that they needed help and I referred it to Dallas. So Dallas County could ask Kaufman to get an LPR or Flock system or whatever is approved under this grant, and under

that policy then under this grant we could give Kaufman an LPR to help fight motor vehicle crime. Does that make sense?

Now, it could be Odessa, it could be Sweetwater, it could be anybody that is not part of a task force but it's kind of intended, hopefully, to focus to augment our outside areas or coverage areas, but we'll certain look at all comers, and then we'll rank it based on the need and the motor vehicle thefts. So if you have somebody who comes in with a motor vehicle theft economic loss value of \$60,000 and somebody comes in -- if we get more applications than we have money, if somebody comes in with a \$60,000 and somebody with a \$210,000, we'll go with the one that highest need. Does that make sense to the members?

All right. Any questions about the MAG grant?

Again, it was ordered in February of '21, it was budgeted in June of '21, and then now it's being presented to you as authorization to publish, and then, of course, the board would have to issue grants at a future meeting. I don't have the authority to issue these grants; I would give you a slate in the future to vote on.

Are there any questions?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any questions for Mr. Wilson?

1	(No response.)
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, I will now entertain
3	any motions, but before doing so, remember to clearly
4	state your name for the record and your motion.
5	MR. SALINAS: Member Gilberto Salinas.
6	Mr. Chairman, I move that the MVCPA Board
7	authorize the MVCPA director to finalize and publish the
8	request for applications for fiscal year 2022 Motor
9	Vehicle Crime Auxiliary or Accessory Grant Program,
10	otherwise known as MAG.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion by Member
12	Salinas. Do I have a second?
13	MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill. I second.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I've got a second from Member
15	Whitehill.
16	Members, when I call your name, please state
17	your name for ht3e record and whether you support the
18	motion or you're against the motion.
19	Member Brotherton?
20	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I support.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Salinas?
22	MR. SALINAS: Member Salinas. I support the
23	motion.
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez?
25	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I support the

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 motion. 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jones? 3 MS. JONES: Sharon Jones. I support. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill? 4 5 MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill. I support. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I, 7 Mike Rodriguez, support the motion. Motion passes. 8 We will now move on to agenda item number 5, 9 Consider fiscal year 2022 Motor Vehicle Public Education 10 and Awareness Grants Program and budget, including authorization for the MVCPA director to publish the 11 12 request for applications. 13 Mr. Wilson. 14 MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is 15 Bryan Wilson, for the record. 16 I don't know why I like to say PEPA, this is 17 We did this last time in 2019. We sent our PEPA grant. it out so the board authorized the RFA, we sent it out to 18 19 the Insurance Council of Texas, we sent it out to the 20 Chiefs of Police Association, TMPA, any law enforcement 21 group, Texas Crime Prevention Association, anybody that's 22 actively engaged. 23 Now, I do want to be clear that any recipient

organized and operational prior to the issuance of this

has to be a nonprofit and they have to already be

24

25

RFA, so somebody can't see the grant opportunity and then go quickly to the Secretary of State, create a nonprofit and apply. We are only interested in people who are already doing this and engaged in crime prevention activity, such as the agencies that I named. And there might be others that we don't know about that are welcome to apply, but we will send this out, once it's published, to those organizations, so every nonprofit organization that we can identify will receive an email with this request for application, that's actively engaged in crime prevention in Texas.

And so as a result, what I will do is if the board authorizes me to publish it, we're asking you to budget not to exceed \$300,000, and this grant will have two components, and possibly three -- I'm sorry -- there's three components on page 39. It shows that, number one, it's public education or prevention, so what we're trying to do is for them to propose ideas that would actually prevent crime from the public perspective. Remember, in Transportation Code 1006, one of our statutory duties is to educate the public on how to avoid motor vehicle crime or prevent motor vehicle crime, so this is consistent with our statutory duties.

The second thing that they would provide is public information or awareness, developing and

communicating factual information about what they can do, and that is often seen as marketing items, a keychain that says "Lock Your Car" so you remember to lock your car because you're holding a keychain that tells you to lock your car, so a lot of that is marketing material and things that you would have in your car to remind you. And we give those out, police departments and sheriff's offices throughout the state at different events that are planned. We have print material as well, but that's not included here.

And then the last thing is law enforcement collaboration and it may include technology and service to law enforcement agencies, so depending on who the grant recipient is, if they have the capacity to help our law enforcement agencies provide technology. One example that Lieutenant Gonzalez and I were talking about is through this grant we'll have some money that the proposal could set aside to implement a bait car, what do you call it, installation, that is collaboration that is needed throughout the state, to standardize that. But that may not necessarily be because, remember, this is a request for applications and within these three items, the person who applies will tell us what they propose to do and then this will come back to the board to vote and decide if that's a good deal or not.

So again, this is the request for applications, the applicant writes the application of what they're willing to do, and then I present it to the board.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So when they lay out what they're going to be doing for us. Correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILSON: Right. We've put the three priority funding, and this is where, as a board, before I issue this you can comment on that and provide me input before we go to press, but basically, we're saying we want public education, public awareness, and then law enforcement collaboration in this grant. Then the applicants -- I guess is what they're called at that point -- they would propose to us what programs that they would be willing to do in those three areas, they would write a grant. I have a form and it would say what are you going to do in this area, what are you going to do in this area, what are you going to do in this area. I will review that. If there's multiple ones, I will do a comparative analysis and then report back to the board saying I recommend this one but here are the applications that we received.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can we add to those requests, for example, on public awareness and public education, that we want a -- because we have to be very strong on digital advertising, and what I mean by that is I know

that last time we were doing radio, but we have to do radio not only FM/AM, we have to do radio on Pandora, Spotify, those digital platforms that are now being used versus radio. We have to do advertisement on YouTube, Instagram, Snapchat, wherever we can plug in those advertisements for public awareness and public education we have to do that, Google ads, Facebook ads. We have to look at that because that's where the advertising is happening, and if we don't put that for them to put in that application, they're just going to come back and give us keychains and radio ads, and I think we're past that at this point. We need to be very loud in those social media platforms to get the message out to lock their doors and take their keys.

MR. WILSON: And I have that included under number one, again, coordinating media outreach, earned media, public service announcements, online messaging and social media. So those are the areas that I was anticipating that we would get, I'm directing the proposal to focus on those areas.

Like what you sent me a few weeks ago, Mr.

Chairman, about what Laredo is doing on social media and things like that, I mean, one of the problems we have is we don't have a good mechanism or staff to coordinate.

We've got 24 grantees and another 129 agencies -- 140

agencies that are tied to our network -- I'm not even talking about the coverage, I'm just talking about the people that have an officer or part-time officer within our network, and yet we don't have a strategy, a clear strategy for reaching out. That could be part of this contract for the outside vendor to develop a message, a social media message, send it out, get all the local police departments that are associated with our program to leverage that message, but we just haven't had the capacity as staff to manage that level of intense social media efforts.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I think this is great as long as it's shareable. You know, for example, if they come up with YouTube video that Houston can do it on their platform and El Paso can do it on their platform, in their main PD platform, not only auto theft, Facebook or auto theft platform. So that's where I'm going that it's something that can be shareable and that everybody can use it, not only through their social media campaign but through everybody else's Facebook and Instagram platform and Twitter platform, that they can share that or they can use that for prevention.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I've got a question for you, Director Wilson.

MR. WILSON: Yes, go ahead.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 MR. GONZALEZ: So this is already budgeted. Right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILSON: What happened recently, I think we have money in the budget in the grants allocation to be able to cover this, but Yessenia and I went out to do a purchase and then it got shot down through the Comptroller, so the reason I'm asking you to also include the \$300,000 in the motion is we have the money available but I didn't have that much money budgeted. I think we had \$200,000 budgeted here. Does that sound right, Dan? So we have the money in the grants budget line item, and what I'm asking you as part of this money is to budget \$300,000 for this program, and we'll get with budget to move the money to the right line in grants, because since it's too late now to start a new state purchase, we're going to lose that \$100,000 that the Comptroller stopped a few weeks ago, and that's why I added this other thing about the marketing materials in here so we could make it \$300,000 and then do the social media and the other items that we're asking you to do.

MR. GONZALEZ: And the reason why I was asking is it brings up a larger issue of it creates quite a, I guess, conundrum when we are allocated X amount of money and we don't spend it all, and I think we might get into that in a bit here with the other task forces and whatnot.

And so to me, it lends credence to our argument of, you know, we need additional funds to add more task forces and to add more task force officers, but we've got to use the money that we're given right now. And so I can see the argument from the legislature of, well, why are we going to give you more money if you're not using the money you've got now, and so I just want to make sure that this \$300,000, Director Wilson, is enough for what we're trying to accomplish. I'm of the opinion, hey, if we have the money, you know, let's put it to good use.

MR. WILSON: We do have the money this year. You know, we've been holding some for more Rapid Response Strikeforce programs that might come through, but yes, we do want to budget it. But grants are different than a direct operational agency in the state budget. There's not a lot we can do because, I mean, what happens to a grantee commander who overspends his grant budget by over \$40,000, he's usually not the grant commander anymore after that.

So you've got to understand that a lot of times when the Legislative Budget Board is looking at our numbers, they're looking at tens of thousands of dollars that are not spent by the second or third quarter because the cities spend the money, then they turn in a reimbursement, then we pay. Right? So we're always

lagging behind.

Now, the one thing I will say is in this

year -- and I'm talking about FY22 -- in this year when we

have money left over we have what's called unexpended

balance authority and UB authority -- and you'll hear that

term over the year so I want to get all my board members

used to hearing UB, that means unexpended balance

authority -- and what that means is that that money,

whatever we don't spend this year, goes into next year's

budget for us to spend. And that's why we wrote this

contract where we could come back and extend the period

and we could extend the funds available at any time

consistent with the RFA.

So that's a good point, Lieutenant, but I think we're covered on the RFA because if later on -- and I'm just going to make up an example -- they do \$200,000 worth of social media and they find out, man, we could do another year, extend the contract and give them another \$200,000 or whatever, so you could do that under this RFA the way it's being published.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And again, we are asked by statute to do the public awareness and public education for the state. Is that correct?

MR. WILSON: That is a core requirement of the use of funds established in Transportation Code 1006, to

educate the public to prevent motor vehicle crimes.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And again, I agree 100 percent with you, Member Gonzalez, that it's something that we need to look at but I think we can justify why we're doing this because, one, we're bound by statute.

And also, I do want to say when we're talking about adding more task forces, you know, when we're talking about a full blown salary, you know, it takes a lot because we're looking at the salary only of somebody and then we're not taking into account the cash match, we're not taking into account what comes after that with, okay, this detective needs a car, needs a vehicle. So I don't think a chief right now is going to take away a detective, a body, from a violent crime task force to put them into the auto theft task force with a car and a full salary, they're not going to do that, they're going to leave them where they're at.

So I think when we're talking about telling the legislature that we need bodies to attack this problem that leads to other crime, I think that's the route to go to be able to prevent other crimes from happening. But again, I think when we're talking about salaries, and you know, everybody is different but I can tell you that it does take a lot in benefits and everything that comes into play.

1	So I think we can really justify the need for
2	us to have this grant and make sure that we have that
3	public awareness and that the governor and everybody sees
4	that we are doing our due diligence in trying to prevent
5	this type of crime. That's what this board is for.
6	Any other questions, members?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Would anyone like to make a
9	motion?
10	MS. BROTHERTON: I'd like to make a motion that
11	we accept the Motor Vehicle Public Education Awareness
12	Grant program and budget, including authorization for the
13	MVCPA director to publish the request for applications.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
15	Brotherton. Is there a second?
16	MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill. I second.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a second from Member
18	Whitehill. Is there any further discussion?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will call for
21	the question. Members, when I call your name, please
22	state your name for the record and whether you support the
23	motion or you're against the motion.
24	Member Brotherton?
25	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I support.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Salinas?
2	MR. SALINAS: Member Salinas. I support.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez?
4	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I support.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jones?
6	MS. JONES: Sharon Jones. I support.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill?
8	MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill. I support.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
10	Mike Rodriguez, support the motion. The motion passes.
11	We will now take up item number 6, Consider
12	task force grant policy related to allowable and cash
13	match limits for vehicle purchases or vehicle rental or
14	lease rates.
15	Mr. Wilson.
16	MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is
17	Bryan Wilson, for the record.
18	So this next item is one that you wanted on the
19	agenda and I've kind of gone over with many of the board
20	members about the history of this, so I'm not going to
21	take a long time in my presentation. But on page 44 I put
22	the current policy about the limitations and what's called
23	the expedited vehicle purchase policy that was adopted by
24	the MVCPA Board four years ago, maybe six, something like

that. When we had a \$2.5 million cut and we were added

25

the responsibility for border and port security, at that time we were trying to scramble and not lose additional officers, we'd already lost about a dozen from the year before that, and the board put in some cost-saving measures limiting the vehicle lease amounts as well as the -
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hold on real quick.

MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, it appears that we've lost Member Gonzalez -- okay, he's back.

Member Salinas, are you still with us?

MR. WILSON: He's muted.

MR. RICHARDS: We still have a quorum so we still can continue.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: We can continue.

MR. RICHARDS: Can we get in touch with him?

MR. WILSON: So anyway, the current policy is we haven't bought a vehicle in the last four years that is \$25,000 or less. I guess the last one was \$26,000. So that means every time we go to get a request for a grant adjustment to buy a vehicle, we have to go to the Grants, Budget, and Reporting Committee to have approval provided, or the director has to provide approval under this policy when it's allowed. So at some point it's kind of like, okay, you've got a rule that you can't follow and nobody can follow, so should we continue that rule.

And again, this isn't an action item but it can be if you want it to be, but Dan went ahead and put in here as well, the lease amounts were also included on page 48, the average lease amount. Now, the problem with some of these is we don't necessarily know if that is really the lease amount or if that's just what they're putting on the grant. So like Beaumont is at \$521 and somebody else, looks like Brownsville is at \$450, they might be carrying the rest of the expenses in their own city, so we don't really know if they're really getting a better deal than Laredo or they're just not reflecting it on the grant. I mean, they're just saying give me \$450, I'll take of the rest of it out of city finance.

So again, it really is just input that the board can provide to us and say, you know, we really want you to look at this policy and if you want to provide some direction, like starting immediately. But quite honestly, all of our budgets are set already for this year so it would be a little difficult if you wanted to retroactively go back, but we could start it certainly in the new fiscal year. We could revise the policy and then have it back to you with some proposals, and of course, we're going to talk to our grantees and get their ideas as well. So it's kind of up to you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I would like to direct staff to

make some recommendations at the next meeting. I don't know if it's going to affect the applications that are going to be coming in this year as far as the way they structure it.

MR. WILSON: It would affect the budget, right, but not necessarily the application, because the way we've been doing it the last two biennia, at least -- I guess, David, is it three, I don't know, whenever we started that -- but we basically say unless you mess up your grant, we're going to continue the grant you have, but each year they're allowed to move money where they need it, so it wouldn't affect the dollar amount but it would affect the budget. If they did a \$450 budget and now they want to do a \$700 for car rental, so they'd have to move money from somewhere to do that.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I'm going to direct staff to look into it and come back with some recommendations, just because there's no way they're going to be able to purchase a vehicle at \$25,000 that we currently have in our policy, and then to be going back to the Grants Committee for approval it just doesn't make any sense.

MR. WILSON: I agree. It's not very efficient.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Exactly.

MR. WILSON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We will do that and come back with clear recommendations 1 2 prior to the next fiscal year. 3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm going to go ahead and take 4 another break, ten-minute break, and then we'll come back 5 and resume. It is 12:47 p.m. 6 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: We will now reconvene the 8 meeting. It's 1:01 p.m. 9 Members, we will now move on to agenda item 10 number 10, Consider attorney general representation for future meetings and ongoing legal counsel related to 11 statutory purposes of MVCPA. 12 13 Mr. Wilson. 14 MR. WILSON: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 15 members. 16 On page 58 -- I'm sorry, my eyes are having 17 trouble distinguishing between 8s and 9s today on the tiny print; obviously I have a younger assistant that has 18 19 smaller letters in her page numbers, so we'll work on 20 that. So this is to consider attorney general representation under certain circumstances related to 21 22 statutory purposes of MVCPA. 23 I know the chairman and I had some discussion 24 after the DMV Board meeting a few weeks ago where DMV was 25 indicating that they were short staffed and understaffed

and not able to respond to several of the DMV Board's issues. Additionally, there's been times when certain negotiations take place under Transportation Code 1006.060 where the chairman could have benefitted from additional counsel. There's times when we have specific needs that might benefit from the attorney general representation that General Counsel's Office at DMV might be conflicted out or maybe not have the expertise that it would be nice to know when and under what circumstances the MVCPA Board or chairman could reach out to the AG's office.

Prior to my being here, the AG's office provided all the representation and since that time the DMV general counsel has assigned Mr. Richards during this whole time and even, like I said, before I got here to be the general counsel, but I think at times there's been what appears to be potential conflicts and I just think that some hybrid system would be better off serving this board and knowing up front from the Attorney General's Office when is the best time to rely on those services.

So that's what I'm recommending for the board to consider. At this point just directing Mr. Richards and I to have a discussion with the Attorney General's Office that's responsible for providing this kind of representation. They have a civil litigation unit -- that's not what I'm talking about -- they have an

administrative unit as well, and so we would just reach 1 out to them and report back to you, Mr. Chairman on what 3 they say and see if it needs to be scheduled for another 4 board meeting or just rely on what the attorney general 5 says at the time. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Wilson. 7 Members, any questions for Mr. Wilson? 8 (No response.) 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Members, would anyone 10 like to make a motion? MS. WHITEHILL: Mr. Chairman, Member Whitehill. 11 I move to direct that the MVCPA director and the MVCPA 12 13 general counsel contact the Office of Attorney General, or 14 OAG, about attorney general representation to identify the 15 potential role OAG could best serve for future meetings 16 and ongoing legal counsel related to statutory purposes of 17 MVCPA. I further move that the MVCPA presiding officer is to be included in the discussions to develop 18 19 recommendations for future MVCPA Board meetings and actions. 20 21 And I hope y'all can't hear my dog barking 22 downstairs. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member 23 24 Whitehill. Do I have a second? 25 MS. JONES: I second and I can hear the dog.

1	(General laughter.)
2	MS. WHITEHILL: The alarm just went off for
3	some reason, you know, whatever the state alarms are.
4	Sorry.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, a motion has been made
6	and seconded. Is there any further discussion?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
9	for the question. Members, when I call your name, please
10	state your name for the record and whether you support the
11	motion or do not support the motion.
12	Member Brotherton?
13	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I support.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Salinas?
15	MR. SALINAS: Member Salinas. I support.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez?
17	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I support.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Jones?
19	MS. JONES: Sharon Jones. I support.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill?
21	MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill. I support.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
23	Mike Rodriguez, support the motion. Motion passes.
24	Members, we will now take up agenda item number
25	11, Consider impact and changes to the Virtual Command

Center and multi-agency operations plan requirements. 1 2 Mr. Wilson. MR. WILSON: Thank you. For the record, Bryan 3 Wilson. 4 5 I'm going to ask Joe Canady to present on this 6 item, Mr. Chairman. 7 MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady. 8 In the August board meeting of 2021, August 3, 9 the board adopted two special conditions for FY 2022, one 10 being the requirement of a multi-jurisdiction operational plan for grantees that have participating agencies where 11 12 funds are transferred between the two or provided for both, and the other being intelligence sharing, that 13 14 grantees will participate in the MVCPA law enforcement 15 webinars as well as the Virtual Command Center that's 16 through the FBI's Law Enforcement Enterprise Portal. 17 First, the multi-agency operational plans have provided MVCPA with details of how the grantees operate as 18 19 a group, and during one of those items we learned that six 20 task forces are co-located all the time, so they're housed in one central location from multiple jurisdictions. 21 22 Furthermore, while only multi-agency grants are 23 required to report the information as it relates to their 24 plan in progress reports, we've noticed that several other

grantees that are not multi-agency jurisdictions, you

25

know, do actually report on how they're communicating with and meeting with their coverage agencies that is not a requirement, so this has been useful information to us as well.

Intelligence sharing has increased tremendously over the past few months in the grantee webinars and within the Virtual Command Center. We were going before with a post here and there to the VCC, and in general, now there's posts every day or at least every other day, and as a result, we're able to compile a weekly law enforcement update that we send out to all the grantees, as well as members of the VCC which includes other jurisdictions that are not part of MVCPA. Currently there's 65 active members within the VCC, 19 task forces are represented. One grantee has a commendable 18 members of their task force as a Virtual Command Center user.

Part of the concern of some is the access to LEEP, the Law Enforcement Enterprise Portal through the FBI seems to be slowing access as far as for everyone to gain membership into LEEP. They changed the process. Now they want the individual officers to go through their agency, through their TEDEX administrator contact. Some agencies don't have a TEDEX administrator contact.

We do believe that it is important -- and Bryan, do you have some input on that too?

MR. WILSON: The main thing, this isn't an action item but the board specifically directed us to implement the grant program or add to our grant program these special conditions for these grants, and we want to tell you it's moving the ball forward, it's overall successful, but there's still a difference between how people operate and how often they post or the kind of material. I mean, Chief Rodriguez, when you first brought this, when we first started discussing this over two years ago, you know, one of the things is you were seeing certain kinds of vehicles recovered in Laredo, coming out of very specific San Antonio and parts of Houston, and you were wanting some way to better coordinate that. Well, we're not there yet, partly it's because not all border counties are reporting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Look, it's not just about like posting a trailer, you know, a trailer got stolen off a lot today. Well, that's interesting but that's not what you use in a Virtual Command Center or intelligence. Right? It's like I just recovered two stolen vehicles from Houston, Houston needs to know that, Harris County needs to know that if you recovered them in Laredo or San Antonio. So we're getting there but we're not quite there.

Because it's a board condition, we wanted to let you know we're progressing, we're continuing on this

path unless you tell us to stop, but on page 61 and 62 you see that there's actually some -- and remember, these are just examples of text responses, we didn't put anything confidential or inappropriate, but on 61, 62 and 63 we wanted you to see, wow, we know a lot more about multiagency task forces than we ever did before we started this process.

So this is an update to a board condition and it's something that before we get to the next grant cycle y'all need to decide do you want to continue this, if there's a difference between implementation, if there's a difference between the kinds of postings, what do want to do about it. Are we going to apply sanctions, are we going to apply rewards, you know, we give an extra \$100 for every posting on the VCC. Right? I don't know. But you required it, we got different people applying and using it, so now what do you want to do about it.

Again, this is a report item and we just want to tell you about it, and then you can decide later what to do or provide any input now, you don't have to do a motion.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, I mean, I strongly believe that this would be a tool that would help the next Grants Committee to understand how active each task force is. You know, if there's a task force that never posts

anything in there or interacts with intelligence that they have in a big case that they have and collaborate with other task forces, I think it's a tool for the Grants Committee to use and see, okay, you know what, Joe, give me every Virtual Command Center posting that Houston did, give me every VCC that Laredo did, El Paso, and this could be a mechanism used for them to understand how active those task forces are.

Now, this is not a command center for us to post be on the lookouts, be on the lookout for a John Deere. That gets automatically pushed through different venues, for example, the JOIC or other fusion centers. This is more when there's a trend and there's other jurisdictions involved that you know that there's a task force there, put it in there, call the jurisdiction, let them know this is where it's at, this is what we've been finding, there's a trend, and we can work it together. If you all need to go down to that jurisdiction or that jurisdiction needs to go up there to kind of figure out things, then let it happen. But that is what the VCC is for.

And I think this will be a tool for the Grants

Committee to use and for them to understand what those

task forces are doing and how active they are. Of course,

you know, they're going to be having other problems within

their jurisdiction but when you're talking about the big collaborations, the big investigations, this is where it's going to be at.

So I wouldn't want to change, I would want to keep it, and this board advised the staff to direct every grantee to let them know that, hey, you need to use this in order for this work and you need to use this to be able to abide by the grant rules. So I say we keep it but I leave it up to the members here to give me some suggestions.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, if I may?

So I oversee Dallas Police Department's partnership with the Secret Service with a memorandum of understanding for our task force officers, and so one of the things that they do -- and I think Director Wilson kind of touched on it -- so for the success stories of our task force officers with the Secret Service they reward kind of those stories and the information sharing with equipment and money. And so I think you briefly touched on it, I think it's a brilliant idea, you know, to explore the possibility of some kind of reward for intelligence sharing utilizing the VCC. So if that intelligence sharing leads to a great case, you know, that we partner with or something like that, we consider some kind of incentive for that. So I think it's a great idea,

1	Director.
2	MR. WILSON: Thank you.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
4	Are there any further questions, members?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are there any motions? Any
7	motions from any of the members?
8	MR. WILSON: We don't have any prepared motions
9	on this item.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No?
11	MR. WILSON: No. We can just go to 12.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We can just go to 12 and just
13	direct staff to continue. Okay.
14	MR. WILSON: But I did like your idea of
15	bringing this back to the Grants Reporting Committee, and
16	Joe has made note of that, I'm sure. And we'll bring
17	these results and talk about what Lieutenant Gonzalez just
18	talked about at the next Grants, Budget, and Reports
19	meeting. Okay? So thank you for that.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We will now move to agenda item
21	number 12, Report on fiscal year 2021 activity and funds
22	report and submission timeline.
23	MR. WILSON: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
24	Bryan Wilson, for the record.
25	This is what you see starting on page 71, so

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

the legislature requires ever April 1 a report of the activities we performed with the funds from the last year, and so what we did a few years ago, with the board's approval, is we also have another legislative report but it doesn't have a deadline or due date on it that is a financial report, so what we've done a few years ago is we created what was called the Activity and Funds Report.

One is the statutory activities and then we have statutory performance measures, and then we want to report about other things that we accomplished during the year, and then the second, to meet the other obligation for the financial report.

So what's in front of you today is the funds report -- let's see, here it is -- so page 71 through 77 is the funds report and that's all the money that we collected, the history of the money we collected, how much we paid in salaries, consumable supplies, those kind of things, the awards that we made to grants, so it's just about money, so how much money did we collect from the insurance companies and all that.

It also gives a good to make sure that you understand, you see that over 62 percent of the money in our program, on page 76, 62 percent of the money in our program is local sources, so our cities and counties are our partners and they're actually the lion's share or the

Big Kahuna in the room when it comes to being the ones responsible for carrying out this program. So we don't ever want to forget that when we look at the real numbers on this, and this goes to the legislature, the cities really care about our program and counties care about our program.

So anyway, the first part of it is just the numbers, the activities themselves, and so there's a middle part that I haven't finished writing yet but I'll be sending out to the members that's about the text responses, the stories about our grantees and the things that they do.

So what I need you to do is look over this, if you see any errors, if you don't like the way it's presented, then be sure and let us know, hopefully well before April 1, and then beyond that we'll be finishing the text part and sending it out to you.

So are there any questions about the Funds and Activity Report that's due April 1? This is not an action item but you can provide input.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any questions or input from the members?

(No response.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Wilson. Thank you, members.

We're moving on to agenda item number 13, MVCPA director's reports.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Bryan Wilson, for the record.

I'm going to try to shorten these the best I can. The funds start on page 79.

Dan, do you want to just do a quick review of the budget, please?

MR. PRICE: We have two budgets here, we have FY22 and FY21. We're really just beginning on FY22. We have a budget for grants of \$14,119,000. There is a credit that was received back actually from an overpayment in a prior year that was posted. We have so far encumbered or given grants for \$13.3 million of that, so there's \$823,000 available.

For '21, wrapping up the year, once again, we've got about \$368,000 that was not spent. The majority of that, \$326- was from grantees, and it's up a little bit from the prior year, and most of that has to do with the fact that folks tend to wait till late in the year to order equipment and vehicles and a couple of them got caught because of the vehicle shortage and constraints this year, so they were not able to get delivery in time to be able to make the cutoff, so it has gone up in those instances.

Other than that, everything is running pretty smoothly.

Any questions?

Wilson.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

Members, any questions?

(No response.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Dan.

MR. WILSON: For the record, my name is Bryan

The grant activities and analysis, I like to keep you up on. So FY21, of course, these numbers on page 80 will go into the activity and funds report, on page 81 it's just to give you an idea of where we are year-to-date for the increase, the stolen vehicles just in the first quarter. People can report the first and part of the second quarter by the time we cut it off, so just to let you know, but this is where we are. Again, our officers are just doing a tremendous job of recovering these vehicles, arresting suspects, and doing the required work of the MVCPA.

On page 82, so when the board issues an award it's a contract, basically, between the jurisdiction and the MVCPA. Remember, under statute the awards are made in the MVCPA's own name, and so that's one of the things, but during that time and under the current policy there is

authority for the director to make adjustments, grant budget adjustments, and then what I do is make sure that the board knows what adjustments I made since the time that they issued the award, and I do that at every board meeting.

So in this case you're going to see a grant adjustments that cut across two fiscal years because obviously we were still doing grant adjustments in August. So you see a couple that we did in FY21 at the bottom and then FY22 most recent. So just to let you know these are things that we authorized the grantees to do since the last board meeting.

Moving on to the law enforcement training. Joe and Major Jones and NICB have been working on coordinating all of our law enforcement training and so we'll be publishing the schedule out fairly soon, but just wanted to let you know the MVCPA Board adopted the ability and DMV has accepted the training that we do through what's called the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement Advisory Board to qualify that for officer qualified training hours, and so because of that we work closely with our partners. And this is the training that's required for officers to receive access to conduct confidential VIN inspections that I talked about earlier today. So that training schedule will go out and we train anywhere from

35 to 50 officers under this agreement.

We haven't had any refunds coming back to the board lately. When we pushed back and asked for demonstrated records in FY21, they've either been withdrawn or they wouldn't follow up providing us the records. So we only present a refund that people can prove and if they don't want to prove it, then we don't ask the board to issue a refund.

I will say that Mr. Richards and I and the Comptroller's Office worked together. There were a lot of little transactions that were occurring and some them, recently a \$6 million transaction, where people were making typos in the Comptroller's website and they were making big errors, and so we've created a process under the contract that if it's not really a refund but it's just moving from one account to the correct account, some people will chose our code. We have an online code in the Comptroller's system for making payments, and so they choose our code when they're trying to pay the firefighters fund or they choose our fund when they're trying to pay their insurance tax.

And so we've worked out a process with the Comptroller. We used to bring those to the board every single time as a refund, and we worked out a process with the Comptroller, it's not really a refund, they're just

trying to correct an error, a typo or an error in the system. And so the Comptroller has worked with us on that, it's been beautiful, so that's cut a lot of our refunds down, so now it's just refunds that people want to ask for because they actually paid more than they were supposed to, and that's the statutory authority of the board.

Any questions about refunds?
(No response.)

MR. WILSON: So this next item, I was looking up some stuff at TDI's website a few months ago and I ran across that they have been executing orders on our behalf for people who haven't filed or haven't paid, and so since a few years when we started reaching out to TDI and talking to them about improving processes and taking action against non-payers and non-filers, they've apparently taken it on themselves because all three of the cases that are in your board book we weren't involved in at all but they discovered that somebody had not filed or had not paid the MVCPA fee. So we're happy to find this online and we'll start monitoring and watching for the actions that TDI takes on their own now that we know they're out there.

And Mr. Chairman, that concludes my report, and I'll take any questions or any additional direction that

1	you might want to provide.
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any further
3	questions for Mr. Wilson or staff after their
4	presentation?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. The next agenda item is
7	number 14, Executive Session.
8	David, is there any items for executive
9	session?
10	MR. RICHARDS: David Richards, for the record.
11	No, Mr. Chairman, there are none.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We're going to move on past
13	agenda item number 15 as we are not conducting any
14	executive session.
15	Members, we will now move on to agenda item
16	number 16, Public comment. We have Mr. Tommy Hansen.
17	MR. HANSEN: I know everybody wants to go home,
18	it will be short and sweet.
19	Tommy Hansen, for the record, with Galveston
20	County Sheriff's Office, Texas Association of Vehicle
21	Theft Investigators.
22	Just real quickly as an FYI, we're not the only
23	ones deeply concerned about the matters concerning paper
24	tags. As you well know, there was even a story of the New
25	York City Police Department recently complaining about

their crime rate going up and how many cars are running Texas paper tags. Rather embarrassing, I think.

I was contacted recently by Vicki Truitt -many of y'all from the Beaumont area probably know her,
she was a former legislator, very supportive of this
organization and law enforcement -- but she does
consulting work and she's working with the Texas Tax
Assessor-Collector Association concerning their issues
with this and even considering is there some legislation
that needs to be written or something on their part to
address it, so it's reached that level.

She also informed me that their group has also had contact with the Texas Association of Counties, and as we all know, all the tax offices are in county facilities, so I'm going to be in touch with her.

Asa a result to that, though, we have learned that Tennessee was going through a very similar situation and recently passed legislation to tighten up on their rules and guidelines on paper tags. I just received that legislation yesterday, I forwarded it to Mr. Canady over here, and I'm going to forward it to her, and I'll get with Mr. Richards to see if there's something in their bill that might be something that DMV could look at and consider.

And last but not least, just an observation.

1	History is repeating itself but I think we're in a little
2	bit better situation than in the past, but listening to
3	all the discussions that y'all had on items number 3,
4	number 7, number 8 and number 9, everything involving
5	68-As, everything you talked about today, as an
6	observation looking in, that if you received 20 percent of
7	the \$108 million that you should bed getting as per a bill
8	that's already passed, most, if not all of that could be
9	easily addressed.
10	I just want you to know that TAVTI and us will
11	be standing beside you come the session and start reaching
12	to legislators before the session to try to get this
13	fixed, because if we could get the 20 percent, I think
14	everyone would be in a much better condition.
15	Thank you very much for your time. Good luck.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Tommy.
17	Yessenia, are there any other comments?
18	MS. BENAVIDES: No, Mr. Chairman.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Agenda item number 17,
20	Adjournment. Since there's any further business, I would
21	like to entertain a motion to adjourn. Anybody wants to
22	make that motion?
23	MR. SALINAS: Member Salinas. I make a motion

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. JONES: Second. Sharon Jones.

to adjourn.

24

25

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion by Member
2	Salinas and second by Member Jones. All in favor?
3	(A chorus of ayes.)
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that the
5	vote is unanimous. It is now 1:34 p.m. We are adjourned.
6	(Whereupon, at 1:34 p.m., the meeting was
7	adjourned.)

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

MEETING OF: Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority

4 LOCATION: Austin, Texas

DATE: March 2, 2022

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 161, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

DATE: March 14, 2022

 (Transcriber)

On the Record Reporting 7703 N. Lamar Blvd. #515 Austin, Texas 78752