#### TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

# MOTOR VEHICLE CRIME PREVENTION AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

Embassy Suites by Hilton 1001 E. McCarty Lane San Marcos, Texas

> 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, August 23, 2022

### BOARD MEMBERS:

Mike Rodriguez, Chair Charla Brotherton Rebecca Cantu-Serrano (absent) Julio C. Gonzalez Sharon Jones Patrick D. "Dean" Smith Katherine "Kit" Whitehill

#### STAFF:

Bryan Wilson, Director David Richards, General Counsel

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

# I N D E X

AGENI	DA ITE	<u>EM</u>	PAGE
1.	CALL a. b. c. d. e. f. g.	Approval of Transcript from June 8, 2022 as Minutes Comments from Chairman Comments from Board Members	7 8 8 9 12 12 16
BRIE	FING A	AND ACTION ITEMS	
2.	Commaa.	A Grants Budget and Reporting (GBR) ittee Report FY23 Budget I. Consider GBR Committee recommendations to award Texas A&M University Grant for the MVCPA Grant Management and Tracking System (GMTS) ii. Obligation for Comptroller of Public Accounts Interagency Contract iii. Obligation for Rapid Response Strikeforce	
	b. C.	Report on Grants  I. Consider recommendations for any neede changes to the FY23 Continued Taskford Grant awards  ii. Consider recommendations for any neede changes to FY22 MAG applications or awards  iii. Consider recommendations for any needed changes to the FY22 Public Education and Public Awareness Grants application and award  Consider GBR Committee Report on FY24-25	е
		grant scoring methodology and award determination process and provide staff direction	
	d.	Receive summary of selected grantee reports Dallas PD, Houston PD, and San Antonio PD taskforces	: 50
	e. f.	Report on MVCPA FY24-25 Plan of Operation Report on grant violation documentation and notification policy	68 
3.	Updat Issue	te and Actions on State and Legislative	
	a.	State Auditor Engagement and Designation	71

	of TxDMV Internal Auditor as Coordinator b. Report TxDMV Actions LAR and Legislative issues	81
4.	Consider a process for future development of MVCPA policies required under Texas Transportation Code Section 1006.060 in the following areas: a. Grant Related Policies I. Law Enforcement Training and Communication ii. Develop a Grantee Violation Documentation and Notification Policy b. Insurance Collection and Refund Policies	90
	<ul> <li>I. Notification of failure to file or pay</li> <li>ii. Use of administrative adjustment policy</li> <li>iii. Insurance Refund Policies</li> <li>iv. Application and Refund of Penalty Policies</li> </ul>	
	c. Activities and Personnel assigned to MVCPA <ol> <li>Director Selection and Designation Policy</li> <li>MVCPA Classification and Compensation Policy for Assigned TxDMV Employees</li> <li>MVCPA Travel Designation Policy for Assigned TxDMV Employees</li> </ol>	
MVCP 5.	1	117 123
6.	EXECUTIVE SESSION  The Board may enter into closed session under one or more of the following provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Government Code,	122

7.	Chapter 551: Section 551.071 Section 551.074 Section 551.090 Action Items from Executive Session	122
8.	Public Comment	132
9	Adiournment	1 4 4

2.3

## PROCEEDINGS

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. My name is Michael Rodriguez, and I am pleased to open the Board meeting of the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority. It is 9:02 a.m., and I am now calling the Board meeting for August 23, 2022, to order.

I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting, containing all items on the agenda, was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on August 15, 2022. I want to welcome those who are with us for today's committee meeting -- today's Board meeting.

Correct, today's Board meeting.

Face coverings and social distancing are not required at this meeting, and each individual attending the meeting has the right and responsibility to decide for themselves based on their personal circumstances. It is your choice. And you are welcome here at this meeting of the MVCPA.

Before we begin today's meeting, please place all cell phones and other communication devices in silent mode. And please, as a courtesy to others, do not carry on side conversations or other activities in the meeting room.

If you wish to address the Committee or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please complete

a speaker sheet at the registration table. Please identify the specific item you are interested in commenting on, your name and address, and whether you are representing anyone, or speaking for yourself. If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting.

Today, we have set up a table to open this process for discussion. We are recording audio and broadcasting audio and video on publicly available links.

1.3

2.3

Generally, comments to the Committee are limited to three minutes. However, today we set up this meeting rule around tables to offer greater participation. Anyone who comments must fill out a comment sheet that are here around the table.

Comments must be pertinent to the issue listed on the comment sheet. Everyone who wants to address the committee -- everyone who wants to address the Board must be recognized, and always state your name and affiliation for the record.

There are a few things that will assist in making the meeting run smoother and assist the court reporter in getting an accurate record. Please ask the Chairman to proceed, and be sure to get recognized before

speaking. Please identify yourself before speaking. 1 2 Speak clearly, and do not speak over others. 3 I would also like to thank our court reporter 4 who is transcribing this meeting to make sure we have an 5 accurate recording of this meeting. 6 It is very important that Board members and anyone presenting today identify themselves before 7 8 speaking, and speak clearly and slowly. 9 Before we begin today, I would like to remind 10 all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of 11 conduct at our Board meetings. The Board Chair has the 12 authority to supervise the conduct of meetings. 1.3 includes the authority to determine when a speaker is 14 being disruptive of the meeting, or is otherwise violating 15 the timing or presentation rules I just discussed. And now I would like to have a roll call of the 16 17 Board members. Please respond verbally when I call your 18 name. 19 Member Jones. 20 MS. JONES: Present. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Cantu-Serrano. 21 22 (No response.) 2.3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill. 24 MS. WHITEHILL: Present. 25 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Brotherton.

1	MS. BROTHERTON: Present.
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez.
3	MR. GONZALEZ: Present.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Smith.
5	MR. SMITH: Present.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And let the record reflect that
7	I, Mike Rodriguez, am here too. We have a quorum.
8	Please all stand in honor of country and state
9	the Pledge of Allegiance. I will now turn it over to
10	Member Smith to lead us in the Pledge.
11	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
12	recited.)
13	(Whereupon, a pledge to the Texas flag was
14	recited.)
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Smith, for
16	leading us in the pledges.
17	Agenda Item 1.c., approval of transcript from
18	June 8, 2022, meeting as minutes. We will now move on to
19	Item 1.c., approval of transcript for June 8, 2022,
20	meeting as minutes.
21	Members, are there any edits to the transcript?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will entertain
24	a motion to approve the June 8, 2022, meeting transcript
25	as minutes.

1	MS. WHITEHILL: Mr. Chairman, Member Whitehill.
2	I move that the MVCPA Board adopt the transcript as
3	minutes from the June 8, 2022, meeting.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
5	Whitehill. Do I have a second?
6	MS. JONES: Member Jones, second.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All those in favor of the
8	motion, say aye.
9	(A chorus of ayes.)
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All opposed, say nay.
11	(No response.)
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
13	Mike Rodriguez, support the motion. The motion passes.
14	The next item on the agenda is comments from
15	the Board Chair. At this time, I would like to take a
16	quick five minutes, maybe a little bit more, to kind of
17	bring I know he has brought everybody up to date, or
18	mostly everybody is up to date with the announcement from
19	our Director, Bryan Wilson. He announced that he will be
20	retiring.
21	And I want to take this moment, Bryan, to first
22	of all congratulate you. I know that we had some
23	conversations in regards to your retiring, and doing what
24	you want to do. You always loved to do what you know,

be at home with your family.

25

But I want to take this moment to really thank you for what you have done with this Authority. I know when you first came on board, you know, I was already here as a Commander.

2.3

And there is a lot of good things that occurred during the time you were here. There is a lot of changes, a lot of situations that you encountered that you were able to maneuver around them, and fix them. And you know, it is great to have a Director that has great knowledge of the law, knowledge of policy, knowledge of how to manage grants. And you know, it makes all our jobs easier when you have a person like you.

So I just want to thank you for the great job that you did while you were our Director, and we are going to miss you. I am pretty sure some of the Task Force Commanders are going to miss you.

But at this point, I know, and I respect that you do want to do this. And it is not because you just, you know, felt that it was just time to go. You not only had this conversation over and over again about you being at the ranch, and doing the fun stuff that we always talk about.

So you know again, thank you, and congratulations. And I am hoping to see you soon -- back here soon at the next Board meeting to give you a little

something, a token of appreciation from this Authority. 1 2 (Applause.) 3 MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any other members have any 5 comments for Mr. Wilson? 6 MS. WHITEHILL: Well, I just echo that. And 7 thank you for the leadership that you have given. And you 8 have been wonderful to work with, and always available for 9 questions and here for us, and for the Task Force and, I 10 know, for your staff. So we are going to miss you. I am pretty 11 12 jealous of you spending time at your ranch. But I am 13 excited for you. And so, thank you so much for everything 14 you have done. MR. RODRIGUEZ: All right. I would also like 15 16 to take this time to acknowledge one of our Executive 17 Directors from Texas DMV, who was overseeing our MVCPA: 18 Mr. Roland Luna. If you can stand up, so our commanders 19 can see you. He is our go-to guy for Texas DMV. 20 He serves as the conduit through our Director for the Executive Director for Texas DMV. I just want to 21 22 acknowledge that he is here. 2.3 I know, in the past, that you know, we have not 24 seen another Executive Director from DMV, you know, being 25 our rep here. I know that in talking to Mr. Roland Luna,

he is very hands on. And I am very excited to now see 1 MVCPA involved in what we do, very interested in what we 3 do, and engaged in our operations. This is something that 4 did not happen in the past. 5 You know, I have been here as a Chairman for a 6 while now. And I was also a Board member, and I didn't 7 see that before. And I can see that now that is 8 happening. 9 And so, it is exciting to see the engagement 10 from DMV. So thank you, Mr. Luna, for being here. We will now move on to Agenda Item 1.e., 11 comments from Board members. Members, do any of you have 12 13 any comments you would like to make? 14 (No response.) 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. No comments. 16 Agenda Item 1.f., commendations and 17 congratulations. Mr. Wilson. MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 19 Wilson, for the record. I just wanted to go ahead --20 because you know, we lost a member recently with Gilberto Salinas. We will try to do it -- you know, we order a 21 22 plaque, and it takes a while for them to come in. 2.3 But I just wanted to acknowledge in the 24 meeting, right after we lost him, that we really

appreciated his service. And hopefully, you all will

25

come. He will have the ability to come back at a later meeting and receive a certificate, or whatever.

2.3

But I did want to get a record -- as many of you know that I like to put it in the Board book when we want to honor people. Because this is the -- the Board books actually become part of the -- adopted into the State Library Commission Permanent Archives. And so it is a good place to keep a record and make sure that there is a future record that somebody -- you know, the footprint in the sand maybe kind of thing.

I don't know. But it is always a thing that I have always tried to promote within having part of this Board book be a record of the people who served and really helped us out, and helped local law enforcement.

And Gil was -- he was a really cool member,
because he was also a stuntman. So that always impressed
me. I tried to see some of his work on different
television shows, but I don't have a television out where
I live, so it is kind of hard.

And then, I am going to skip over ten. Thank you for your comments, Mr. Chairman.

And we had two submissions from Task Forces of people who are set to retire. Jay Truelock, he worked for the Lubbock District Attorneys Office in the Auto Theft Task Force in the Lubbock area, South Plains Regional Auto

Theft. So we appreciate -- he retired in August of this year, and 31 years of service to the Texas Department of Public Safety.

2.3

Hoorah, right, Major Jones. Is that what you all say? I don't even know what your sound is. Not hoorah. Okay, somebody else.

All right. Four years in the Enforcement

Section, and then an additional eight years with the Auto

Theft Task Force. We appreciate Jay. He was one of our

instructors in the Auto Theft Task Force school, had a

great wealth of knowledge in teaching our newer

investigators. So we are going to miss him double in that

regard.

And then, Delia Dyer from El Paso, Detective, retired after 21 years of El Paso Police Department. She was a hostage negotiator and a very fine detective. And I am sure El Paso is going to miss her, as well as our Auto Theft Unit out there.

I will go ahead and go on to the next item on page 12 of your Board book. It is kind of funny, you know, the waterfall of events that happen sometimes. For a long time, this Board has been looking at working to expand.

Of course, our statutory requirement is to expand vehicles recovered, people arrested for auto theft,

and the cases that we clear. But we have been, and the Task Forces do a great job. And we have opened opportunities to the Rapid Response Strike Force and other opportunities.

2.3

But the MAG Grants, a few months ago, that you all started working on over two years ago, that finally came to fruition in June, caused a lot more work than just on the MVCPA staff. We went from 24 grants to 67 grants.

And we are very proud of that work.

And it is just an amazing thing to bring in other jurisdictions to start working with us more directly through the grant funding process. And when you did that, though, there was a lot more POs to be issued, a lot more financial requirements.

I know many of you have filled out those TENS forms for the Comptroller, so you can get paid. Well, we had to do that with many of the cities and counties.

But what is really cool, when you look at the map, the expansion across the state about places that we didn't have any coverage -- in Gregg County, and all kinds of cities and counties that we didn't have any presence at all. You now look at the map, and you see we began to fill in. What Chairman Rodriguez and I have been working is looking at the map and trying to figure how do we fill those holes. And I know Lieutenant Gonzalez, too.

But so, this was a -- but, anyway, there is a bunch of people at DMV that just stepped up and processed the POs and did a lot of extra work. And Yessenia and I were talking the other day, and we just wanted to, you know, kind of put into the book again, to honor them for the work that they did. Nhi Ge and Diana Herrera, John Ralston, the Director of Budget, he just figured out -- you know, they just stepped up and figured out how to make all this stuff work.

2.3

Lisa Selvera, the lady that does our payment processing. Jimmy Smart is one in the Purchasing

Department that helped set up the payment processes.

Nagwa Tadros is our Budget Manager for MVCPA. And then,

Matthew Windham.

So we just really appreciate those. And I wanted to call that out to the Board. So thank you for the time to do that, Mr. Chairman.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: All right. We'll move to Agenda Item 1.g., consider committee appointments.

Director Wilson, where are we on the committees? Are we missing one with the Grants and Budgets? Where else are we missing?

MR. WILSON: Yes. So on the Grants and Budget, we are missing one member. And then, on the Education and Legislative Committee, we are missing the chairman.

And then, what is the other one? The Insurance Committee, I don't know. Chairman Gonzalez, double Chairman Gonzalez, are you okay with continuing on both of those committees as Chairman? Or do you --

2.3

MR. GONZALEZ: I think we should probably have someone with the specialty of insurance and more knowledgeable regarding insurance being on the Insurance board. And I think I am better of service to the Board as Chairman of the Grants and Budget Reporting Committee.

MR. WILSON: So it is really up to the membership. I mean, what we have tried to do is have three members on each committee. So there can be a vote.

Like, yesterday we did the Grants, Budget
Reporting. We decided, we talked it over, and just
decided not to vote on any of the items -- where they just
talked through a consensus, I guess you would call it.

You know, the Insurance Committee has been limited by staff. And so, it hasn't been meeting very regularly. I certainly contact the Committee members and let them know, you know, this is what I am doing. And inform you about letters and things like that.

But they haven't really had a meeting, because there has been a lack of ability to dedicate enough staff to do that role as fully as we should. And then the other issue is because some of the records are at the

Comptrollers Office, they have had tremendous turnover over the last two years. So they haven't been able to generate the reports that we would need to go after insurers, in the timing to be able to notify Texas Department of Insurance for what do you call it -- an enforcement action against insurers.

1.3

2.3

So that has been a challenge. I don't want to say it is a back-burner issue. We have been doing all of our parts that we are supposed to do, but we have been really hampered sometimes.

What used to take -- three years ago, we could get done in about two months -- where we would send out the letter, the certified letter if they didn't respond, and then, submit it to TDI. Sometimes, it has taken 10, 15 weeks to get the Comptroller to respond back to the ones that -- because we have to have records, hard records, to prove that somebody didn't pay or didn't file.

So that is that Insurance Committee. It has kind of been on -- again, I hate to use the term, but it has been on the back burner. Because we have been footshot on a lot of things, going outside of our control.

So we have got two members on the Insurance

Committee right now. It's Chairman Gonzalez, Committee

Chairman Gonzalez, and then, is it -- I guess I don't have that in front of me.

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Who is the other member of the
2	Insurance Committee?
3	MR. WILSON: Charla Brotherton.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And then, who was the Chairman
5	for the Education and Legislative Committee?
6	MR. WILSON: That was Salinas. And so the two
7	members that I have on that committee are Whitehill and
8	Smith.
9	MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. So I would like to
10	appoint Kit Whitehill as the Education and Legislative
11	Chairman.
12	MR. WILSON: Surprise.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
14	MR. GONZALEZ: I second that motion.
15	MS. WHITEHILL: That's not on the list of
16	motions.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So I appoint Charla Brotherton,
18	Member Charla Brotherton as the Chairman for the Insurance
19	Committee.
20	MR. WILSON: Okay.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And then
22	MR. WILSON: I guess you should go on record.
23	Do you accept? Or, I don't want to
24	MS. BROTHERTON: Yes. I accept.
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do you?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill, I accept. 1 2 MR. WILSON: Okay. Thank you. 3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: And then I would also like to 4 get Member Dean, if he accepts, on the Grants and Budget 5 Committee. 6 MR. SMITH: I'd be happy to. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Dean. 8 then, we are missing, in the Insurance Committee, we are 9 missing, how many? 10 MR. WILSON: May I make a suggestion? Because we have a lot of work to do in that area. We have been in 11 12 discussion with DMV about maybe having additional staff 13 assigned. 14 Could we just set that aside for right now, and 15 let the next Director work through some options on there? 16 We might want to reconsider the organization. 17 having a small Board like this, with seven members, and then three committees, maybe we can figure out a better 18 19 way to put the committee, not have a separate committee. 20 Just --21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Combine them? 22 MR. WILSON: Yes. Figure out -- I don't know. 2.3 Maybe it will be called something else, but it will be a 24 little different. But let the next Director work with

that, and see if there is a better way to do that.

25

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So where are we with, I guess, the work that needs to be done by the Insurance Committee right now?

1.3

2.3

MR. WILSON: We're doing the staff work, subject to being able to get the records. But having a Committee to report to is going to continue to be a problem, with a seven member board and three separate committees. So why don't we just set this.

In short, we are doing what we are supposed to do. We sent certified letters the other day. We generated -- just on the letters themselves, without no follow-up, that we have generated over \$72,000. I know that is not a huge amount, but we did make some progress.

And so, I think if you would just give the new Director some time to get with you and think about the process, and maybe see if we can actually add another staff person that would help, be dedicated to that process. Then I think you can figure out the committee stuff behind it easier.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. So because I guess my -well, it is not an issue. But I would like for the staff
to be reporting what is happening to the Committee, to the
members. Especially the Chairman of that committee to be
in the know as to what is going on, instead of just stop
doing something and then reporting back here every time we

1 meet. 2 MR. WILSON: And we are. I mean, I think 3 copying you and the Committee members on any action that I 4 have taken. 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 6 MR. WILSON: We have not failed to notify, 7 whatever the action is, whether it is grants or education, 8 or whatever. We have been notifying the Committee each 9 time. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So for now, I think, it will be the go-to person for the Education and Legislative would 11 be Member Whitehill. And then, Insurance would be Member 12 13 Brotherton. Okay. 14 MR. WILSON: Okay. I think that is correct. 15 sent out an email. I was trying to remember who else was 16 on that email, Ms. Brotherton. But it was -- do you 17 remember? Anyway. But I did notify that committee when 18 19 we sent -- we had an issue come up with some letters. 20 so, I just let them know. But I will look that up. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And then, we wait for 22 the --2.3 MR. WILSON: Yes. The next Board meeting, I

think there will be a plan in place to say, this is

either -- move this under the Education, Insurance, and

24

25

Legislative Committee.

1.3

2.3

I mean, because the Legislative only meets -- I mean, on that side, it is only every two years. So maybe that can be the interim or something. But I think, if you could just give a little time to think through that.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And the Education? What is that?

MR. WILSON: That is our public education component. And that is -- you know, that is one of those things where -- and this is why I was saying, maybe the new Director would have a different idea. Because right now, on the education -- the public education and awareness piece, we have a committee that does that.

But then, where does the funding come from? It comes through the Grants Committee. Because yesterday, I was reporting to the committee, the Grants Committee about the contract. But the origin of the content is supposed to come from the committee.

So I think there is some -- now that we have done this for about three or four years, I think there is some time to -- this is probably at a next Board meeting, where the Board can have some options to say, you know what? Maybe it makes more sense to move this over here to Insurance and Legislative, since they will be on off cycles from each other.

So maybe you won't even call it that. It will be called something else. But maybe that is some discussion the new Director needs to have with you, Mr. Chairman, and move forward with a little different model that does -- not so many committees for a seven member board.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So the only thing is, again, the Education Committee, which is the Education and

again, the Education Committee, which is the Education and Legislative Committee. I think the Education part is very important to this Board.

MR. WILSON: It is.

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I think you need somebody that is always going to be thinking outside the box into how we can reach the public. And not leaving, for example, firearms in their cars now that everybody carries a firearm.

MR. WILSON: Right.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Not to leave them there. To prevent the burglary of a motor vehicle. And then they can -- that is something that we need to start pushing a lot.

MR. WILSON: Sure.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And we need a strong committee to be able to bring back ideas and say, hey, we need to do this. This is important.

MR. WILSON: Absolutely.

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I just heard yesterday, we can get more of those signs that says Lock Your Car. It would be great. So that is something that we can discuss fairly quick. You know, we can always allocate some funding for things like that.

So I think it is important that we push that.

Any questions, comments?

MR. WILSON: And actually, I am in perfect agreement. And one of the things that we did with this PEPA grant that I worked with legal counsel on was, if they start delivering, you know, the commercials and social media, and efforts like that, they are designing a whole campaign.

And the Board -- the way we wrote the contract is, the Board can identify particular features like parking lot signs or things that you might want to see in future. So when you are doing a review of that contract, at a future meeting, you can say, hey, you know what, we would like to see as part of this campaign, now that we have a new logo, or design or outreach through social media, television, whatever broadcast media, but we want to have our police departments being able to distribute.

And not just ours, but maybe the whole state, across the state. And you can add money into the contract

for additional work. We were very careful to write that contract in a way that you can use this contract to expand the scope of the work for additional resources, additional funds. So yes, that will support that.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. All right. Any questions? Comments?

(No response.)

1.3

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Members, we will now take up briefing and action items.

Members, we will now hear from Chairman

Gonzalez and Director Bryan Wilson, who will discuss three

items concerning our fiscal year 2023 budget. Grants and

Budgets Committee Chairman Gonzalez will now present

Agenda Item 2.a.I., consider GBR recommendations to award

Texas A&M University grant for the MVCPA Grant Management

and Tracking System.

Chairman Gonzalez.

MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The Grants, Budget, and Reporting Committee met yesterday and heard a presentation from Director Wilson regarding the recommendations for payments to the Texas A&M University system for the continuous operation and maintaining of the GMTS system, which is where all of our grantees input their key performance indicators and markers.

And it is the recommendation of the Grants,

Budget, and Reporting Committee that we authorize the \$35,000 payment to continue operations of the GMTS system.

Do you want me to go over --

1.3

2.3

MR. WILSON: I have a little disconnect between -- Bryan Wilson for the record, Mr. Chairman. I have a little disconnect between the script and the recommended motion. So I apologize for that.

I would call your attention to page 14.

Everything that Committee Chairman Gonzalez said was correct, but I just want to kind of lay out a little different structure. Because in our little bit of difference here, it is just a process issue that, first, we usually budget the money. And then, we issue a grant award on that fund.

And so what you have with your recommended motions is the consideration of -- in June, we adopted a budget, an FY '23 budget for the funds appropriated to the MVCPA, the \$14.9 million. We allocated all or most of that to the Task Forces and a few other things. So there is some money to the PEPA grant and things; the Public Education, Public Awareness grant.

So what we did is at that meeting, there is a little over \$300,000 that was left on the table, that was unallocated, that we said that we would consider other kinds of grants, Rapid Response Strike Force, or maybe

another round of MAG grants later in the year. So at that time, what we are doing now is coming back and realizing that there is three things that we didn't take into consideration.

2.3

The first one is the -- what Lieutenant

Gonzalez just aptly laid out was the \$35,000 to continue

the operation of our Grant Management and Tracking System,

which is a grant to Texas A&M. It could just as easily be

an interagency contract. We developed it under an

interagency contract, but we switched to a grant a few

years ago.

The second thing is that for the insurers to file electronically in the state system, directly to the State Treasury, we pay the Comptroller about a \$10,000 obligation or use fee for our fees being -- in other words available -- their system to be available for the collection of our fees.

So the insurers have to pay firemen's fees.

They have to pay maintenance and retaliatory tax. They
have to pay other fees and penalties, or whatever.

So they just go in and do it electronically. So we pay them \$10,000 for specialized program, in their feature for our program, and the maintenance of that.

So then the last thing is that we did not budget, for the next FY '23, the Rapid Response Strike

Force grant budgeted amount for the Task Forces. So we have already done several out of '22. But we haven't let the grantees know, you have \$50,000 available for exigent and emergency situations that occur in your jurisdictions that you can augment your current funding.

2.3

So that is what I am asking first, is for the budget adoption of the three items at the bottom of page 15. There are three items listed there, and I am asking you to add those to the budget for FY '23 funds.

MR. GONZALEZ: So I think Director Wilson stole my thunder. Yes.

It is the recommendation of the Board that we continue to pay for the Comptroller services they provide in the amount of \$10,000, as well as obligate \$50,000 for Rapid Response Strike Force grant applications, with the understanding that this budget item can be adjusted if necessary, if we have more agencies that are asking for this money.

MR. WILSON: Bryan Wilson, for the record. So my recommendation is for you, for the motion on Agenda

Item 2.a. is to obligate the FY '23 appropriation shown on page 15 in the meeting book. And that is those three I just mentioned: the Comptroller, Texas A & M, and the Rapid Response Strike Force.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. Are there any questions

1	for Chairman Gonzalez or Mr. Wilson, Members?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia, are there any public
4	comments on this?
5	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, at this time I would
7	entertain a motion on Agenda Item 2.a.
8	MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, Member Gonzalez.
9	I move that the MVCPA Board obligate the FY '23
10	appropriations as shown on page 15 of the meeting book.
11	MS. JONES: Second.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion a motion from
13	Member Gonzalez and a second from Member Jones. Members,
14	all those in favor of the motion, say aye.
15	(A chorus of ayes.)
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All opposed, nay.
17	(No response.)
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
19	Mike Rodriguez, support the motion. The motion passes.
20	MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, David Richards,
21	General Counsel for the record.
22	Mr. Wilson, you have got two motions. The
23	first one they just voted on incorporated the Texas A & M
24	University grant. Correct?
25	MR. WILSON: That was the obligation of the

1 funds into the budget. 2 MR. RICHARDS: Okay. 3 MR. WILSON: And then now, we will take up the issue that Chairman Gonzalez laid out a second ago, which 4 5 is the grant award to Texas A&M University. 6 MR. RICHARDS: Okay. Thank you. 7 MR. WILSON: Bryan Wilson, for the record. 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So we are moving on to Agenda 9 Item 2.a.I., correct? 10 MR. WILSON: Correct. Let me confirm that. Yes, Mr. Chairman. 11 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So Member Gonzales. Would you 1.3 like, Director --14 MR. WILSON: He has already laid out the grant. 15 We are asking that the Committee agree that we provide 16 another year of funding to Texas A&M University to 17 continue operation and maintenance of the MVCPA Grant 18 Management and Tracking System. 19 And that system, that contract, the award has 20 built in \$30,000 of ongoing maintenance and operations 21 with slight enhancements. And then, it has built in 22 \$5,000, that if the MVCPA staff or Board -- it is already 2.3 built in for the Director to identify specific features or 24 enhancements. 25

So say the grantees, they want a different way

to report. We have been thinking about having an app, so 1 that when they recover a vehicle, they can put the VIN 3 number in, or something like that. So if we want to ask 4 A&M, develop us an app for \$5,000, we could do that 5 without coming back to the Board and saying, give us 6 another \$5,000. 7 So if we don't do anything, like what we did in '20 and '21, it is just \$30,000, nothing more. But if --8 9 like last year, you added MAG grant, so we paid them an 10 extra \$5,000 in the June meeting. 11 So I want to be clear. It is a \$35,000 grant; 12 \$30,000 is obligated in just keeping the system 13 operational, and \$5,000 is for improved enhancements to 14 create a better system. And the staff recommend that you 15 adopt or issue -- award Texas A&M University the grant for 16 the Grant Management and Tracking System. 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's also an item that went to 18 committee. Correct? It did, sir. 19 MR. WILSON: 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Any other questions on this item, Members? 21 22 (No response.) 2.3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: No. Anybody else wishes to 24 speak on this item? Is there any public? 25 MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, at this time, I will
2	entertain a motion on Agenda Item 2.a.I., consider GBR
3	recommendations to award the Texas A&M University grant
4	for the MVCPA Grant Management and Tracking System.
5	MS. JONES: Member Jones, for the record. I
6	move that the MVCPA Board award a continued grant in the
7	amount of \$35,000 to Texas A&M University for an operation
8	of the Grant Management and Tracking System in fiscal year
9	'23.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a second?
11	MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill, second.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a second from Member
13	Whitehill.
14	Members, a motion has been made and seconded.
15	Is there any further discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
18	for the vote on Agenda Item 2.a.I. Members, all those in
19	favor of the motion, say aye.
20	(A chorus of ayes.)
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All opposed, say nay.
22	(No response.)
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
24	Mike Rodriguez, support the motion. The motion passes.
25	MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank

you, Board. 1 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there another. Okay. We 3 are now moving to Agenda Item 2.b., reports on grants. 4 Members, we are now going to move to Agenda Item 2.b., 5 reports on grants. 6 Committee Chairman Gonzalez will begin with 7 Agenda Item 2.b.I., consider recommendations for any needed changes to the FY '23 Continued Taskforce Grant 8 9 awards. Chairman Gonzalez, Director Wilson. 10 MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, I think we missed one more. I am not paying attention to the agenda, I 11 12 quess. One more on there. 13 No, I -- wait, it was under the Rapid Response 14 Strike Force. I apologize. There is one more motion 15 under the Rapid Response Strike Force for Pasadena 16 Extension, that we talked about yesterday, at the meeting. 17 It is two --MR. RODRIGUEZ: It is 2.b.? 18 19 MR. RICHARDS: It's listed under 2.b., Mr. Wilson. 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 21 22 MR. WILSON: I think it was 2.a.iii. or 23 whatever, 3. It is the Rapid Response Strike Force on the 24 bottom of page 21.

## ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, if I may, Mr.

25

Chairman. Can we please simplify the agendas for the future meetings. I think that we have, you know, we have 2.a.I. And I think that it makes it incredibly confusing to be able to keep up where we are at.

And so, I would ask staff, please simplify it.

Just A through Z or one through 30 or whatever. But this format is just a little too difficult to keep up with.

MR. WILSON: Well taken.

2.3

MR. GONZALEZ: But if we are going to discuss Pasadena, the Grants, Budget, and Reporting Committee received a briefing from staff yesterday with respect to the Rapid Response Strike Force grant that they applied for. They are trying to put Flock license plate readers within their jurisdiction, and have made a good faith attempt, good faith effort to do so.

However, they are coming into -- they are being faced with significant challenges to get those up. And so, while they are making a good faith effort, the time deadline is quickly approaching at the end of August.

And so, it is the recommendation of the Grants, Budget, and Reporting Committee that we give them a bit more time and extend their Rapid Response Strike Force grant to conclude on August 31, 2023, simply because they are encountering some roadblocks that are out of their control.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So for the record, we 1 2 are discussing Agenda Item 2.a.iii., not 2.b. So what 3 Member Gonzalez just briefed us on was in Agenda Item 4 2.a.iii., not 2.b., which was originally brought to as an 5 agenda item. Okay. 6 (Pause.) 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Obligation for Rapid Response Strike Force on the book. So the motion on this list is 8 9 supposed to be 2.a.iii., instead of 2.b. MS. JONES: But 2.b.I. is about Pasadena. 10 MR. RICHARDS: Members, David Richards for the 11 12 record, General Counsel. This particular item was mis-13 lettered. And the motion that you are voting on, on your 14 motion page, that says 2.b. is actually 2.a.iii., little 15 three, three Is. 16 So that is the motion that needs to be made. 17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill. Sorry, I 18 19 still have a question. Okay. But what we voted on -- we 20 did vote on Rapid Response Strike Force in our previous one. Is that different? 21 22 MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. Yes, ma'am. 2.3 So in the previous one, we did a budget allocation of 24 \$50,000 for future Strike Force grants.

So if Houston wants a Strike Force grant, we at

25

least have the budget allocation. Now, with this motion, 1 2 what we are specifically discussing is a previous 3 application for a grant from Pasadena, and they are asking 4 for an extension. 5 MS. WHITEHILL: Okay. 6 MR. GONZALEZ: So one was a budget allocation. 7 The other one is a specific extension request. 8 MS. WHITEHILL: Okay. Thank you. 9 MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. It sounds 10 like to me that that really is 2.b., 2.b.I., right? 11 Consider recommendations for any needed changes to the FY '23 Continued Taskforce Grants. Is that what we are doing 12 1.3 with Pasadena? 14 MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, David Richard, 15 General Counsel. Members, the motion that we are making 16 is 2.a.iii., three I, and that relates to Pasadena. That 17 is how it was introduced by Mr. Wilson. So where it says 18 2.b. on your motion page, it should be 2.a.iii. 19 you. 20 MR. WILSON: Bryan Wilson, for the record. Brotherton, the reason is, is the one under 2.b. is 21 22 actually about '23 grants. It is about grants going 2.3 forward. The items listed under 2.a. are both future and

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So are there any

current grants that are being dealt with.

24

25

1	questions for Chairman Gonzalez or Director Wilson on
2	Agenda Item 2.a.iii.?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia, do we have any public
5	comments on this agenda item?
6	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, do I have a motion on
8	Agenda Item 2.a.iii.?
9	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I move
10	that the MVCPA Board extend the Pasadena Police
11	Department's RRS grants to August 31, 2023.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
13	Brotherton. Do I have a second?
14	MS. JONES: Second.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a second from Member
16	Jones. Is there any further discussion on Agenda Item
17	2.a.iii.?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
20	for the vote. All those in favor of the motion, say aye.
21	(A chorus of ayes.)
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All those opposed, nay.
23	(No response.)
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
25	Mike Rodriguez, vote in support the motion. The motion

passes. Okay.

2.3

We are now moving to Agenda Item 2.b., reports on grants. Members, we are now going to move to Agenda Item 2.b., reports on grants.

Committee Chairman Gonzalez will begin with Agenda Item 2.b.I., consider recommendations for any needed changes to the fiscal year '23 Continued Taskforce Grant awards. Chairman Gonzalez, Director Wilson.

MR. GONZALEZ: Director Wilson, you want to comment on this one?

MR. WILSON: Bryan Wilson, for the record. I was going to ask Joe Canady to go ahead and lay out these next three pages, if that is okay --

MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady. This is -- 2.b. is a non-action item, just a briefing for this section.

In reference to the FY '23 Continued Task Force Grant awards on page 22 of your Board book, out of the 24 awards issued by the Board, 19 of the awards have been certified as complete and been accepted by the grantee. All of the awards have been issued a Statement of Grant Award. We are still waiting on five to be accepted and then certified by the MVCPA as complete.

On the MAG grant awards, located on page 23 of your Board materials, 26 of the 43 awards have been

1	accepted and certified as complete. We are still waiting
2	on additional items for 17 of the awards to be returned,
3	to be able to certify the amounts complete.
4	Any questions on this, so far?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. CANADY: Thank you.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So on these grantees that are
8	pending signature, is it just that? It needs signature?
9	MR. CANADY: It's just that. I mean, and you
10	have to remember, this is a new process for many of these
11	MAG grantees. Some of them are very small agencies and
12	they are not used to going through this process,
13	especially with the MVCPA on the grants awarded.
14	So they are having to go through their city
15	council or their Commissioners Court on things that they
16	don't normally do. So it is taking just a little bit
17	longer for them to accept this and get the requirements
18	back to us.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
20	MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, were you referencing
21	page 22?
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Uh-huh.
23	MR. WILSON: Just go ahead and tell him what
24	is
25	MR. CANADY: My apologies. I thought you were

speaking to the MAG grants. On page 22, on the Task Force grants awards.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.

1.3

2.3

MR. CANADY: Yes. We are just still waiting on signatures for them to go through their Commissioners

Court or city council and get it returned back to us.

MR. WILSON: Just as a brief explanation.

Bryan Wilson, for the record, Mr. Chairman. As you well know, we introduced a new standard last year called TxGMS.

And so that has placed a different review and scrutiny on certain aspects of the grant.

We have diligently worked with the grantees to make sure that they are meeting all the requirements under the new state law. And so sometimes these have taken a little bit longer as they have turned in an old budget. And we realized that that old budget had some things that might have been okay under the uniform grant management standards, but may not be okay now. And so, we have done some negotiation.

Now, most jurisdictions will get -- you know, we have a 30-day window to return signed Statement of Grant Award. So what you are also seeing in that, that we are not telling you, but we are telling you. We are not being dishonest, we just didn't mention.

Like, Tarrant County, we just issued last week.

So it is because we were working through our issues with TxGMS to make sure we were in full compliance with the law. That is our priority before we -- even though, you know, you award the grant, right, back in June or whatever, and you say, well, that is a done deal. Well, no.

2.3

You know, it is the paperwork, right. So we make sure we comb through the budget. We make sure, and make sure that everything is compliance before we send out.

Because when I am -- as Director, I am sending out a Statement of Grant Award. I am saying that everything in that application is compliant with state law, and the Texas Grant Management Standards adopted by the Comptroller.

So we don't take that responsibility lightly.

It takes a careful review by multiple staff people. And if we have any questions, then it sometimes it will take weeks to go back and ask their accounting department, their financial manager, their budget manager to make sure we are covering compliance with state law.

So thank you for that. And that is a good point. And we think they will all be back by September 1st, but one or two might be after September 1st.

The award and the obligation on behalf of the

State of Texas was done on June 8th when you issued the 1 grant, but we have time to make sure that we follow the 3 rules, and also the rules in the Texas Administrative Code 4 that you have adopted, or past Boards have adopted. 5 thank you. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So now, going to the MAG 7 grant awards. I see 17 are incomplete. And this is the 8 ones that you were referring to, that is just small 9 agencies, that do not know the process? 10 MR. CANADY: Yes, sir. It is not that they 11 didn't -- maybe not have known the process. It is a new 12 process for them, new things that they are having to go 1.3 through. And maybe take a little extra time to present to 14 their city or county to make sure that they get everything 15 right, and meet all the requirements, you know, stated in 16 the RFA, in the Statement of Grant Award. 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: How much was each MAG award? 18 MR. CANADY: \$50,000. 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: \$50,000. 20 MR. CANADY: I'm sorry, \$20,000. I am sorry. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: \$20,000. Okay. And we awarded 22 them these grants in July? This is when we awarded the 2.3 grants. 24 MR. CANADY: June. June.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: June?

25

MR. CANADY: Uh-huh. 1 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Have we reached out to each one of them? 3 4 MR. CANADY: Yes, sir. 5 MR. WILSON: Yes, sir. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: And it is all the same? 7 just the process? MR. WILSON: There is different things for 8 9 different ones. So I can't remember. 10 Yessenia, about ten or twelve of them didn't even have a registration. 11 12 So part of the grant process is, I have to be 13 able to pay them. So for them to complete their process, 14 we have to have what is called a TINS number assigned by 15 the Comptroller, so that when we are ready to make a 16 payment -- I realize the payment is at the back end. 17 you have to go into the obligation knowing who you are paying, because otherwise it could be somebody's name, 18 19 right, that is going in to deposit into their account. 20 We don't do that. We work through the 21 Comptroller system. So Yessenia and many of those people 22 that I mentioned at the beginning of the meeting today, 2.3 they went through a lot of effort and work to try to go 24 into the Comptroller's system, the Uniform State

Accounting System, and find the city or the county or

25

whatever.

1.3

2.3

And to our surprise, many of them, the small grants, didn't already have it set up. So we worked with them setting it up. Then we issued, I think on the 14th, was that about right?

On the 14th, I issued the Statement of Grant

Award in a mail merge, believe it or not, like I used to

do in the Criminal Courts, for all 254 counties. And so

we issued the Grant Award Statement on a mail merge. Some

of them went into their junk box. We followed up with

everybody to make sure that we have reached out.

Some cities have just struggled. You know, a lot of small towns, I mean, it was the summer, and they went on vacation for 60 days, and didn't meet. I mean, not every -- you know, many of you all live in big cities, where it seems like you wish they wouldn't meet so often. But in the reality, some of these cities and counties just meet once a month, and they have to get on the agenda and figure out what all they needed to do.

So we are close. And we will be wrapping this up. And I have been -- Joe and I and Gresham have been calling or emailing personally to make sure that they are in compliance.

But what is the flip side of that -- Mr. Chairman, and for this Board, what I need you to

understand is, we are not letting any money out the door or making an obligation to something we don't know is the correct entity, is somebody who had the authority to enter into an obligation with the state. And we are not going to compromise any of our state grant requirements to fulfill that.

1.3

2.3

So if we come back at the end, and say, well, five of them couldn't work out the paperwork. That is okay. I mean, we will have those funds available to do Rapid Response, or other things. We will do everything we can do, but we won't violate any of our rules or standards.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I just want to make sure that we are reaching out to them and making sure that they fulfill their obligation, what they applied for. I think the rules were pretty much set forth at the very beginning when they applied, and I just want to make sure they come through.

And if they don't, then there needs to be a mechanism to allocate that money to other cities, or within our Task Forces.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, we will take that to heart. And just -- one other thing is that, you know, this will be closed out. You know, we will either finalize these or come back to the Board.

To just -- but any of these obligations that 1 2 don't come to fruition in this cycle -- because we are in the middle of a biennium. Remember, these funds all will 3 carry forward. These were '22 funds, and they will roll 4 5 in what is called unexpended balance authority back to the 6 agency for FY '23 applications. 7 So if some of these don't come through, you will be able to reissue it to other jurisdictions between 8 9 now and August 31st of next year. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. Members, are there any questions for Chairman 11 Gonzalez or Director Wilson? 12 13 (No response.) 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: If none, we will move on. 15 Yessenia, do we have any public comments on this agenda item? 16 17 MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, I will entertain a 18 19 motion at this time. 20 MR. WILSON: We have one more item on the 21 public education and awareness grant, but this was not an 22 action item, Mr. Chairman. 2.3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. WILSON: And so, if you don't mind, I will 24 25 turn it over to Gresham about the Public Education, Public

Awareness, which is 2.b.iii. 1 2 MR. KAY: Mr. Chairman, this is on page 24 of 3 the Board book. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. Go ahead. 4 5 MR. KAY: Mr. Chairman, Board members. I just 6 would like to provide an update on the process for the 7 Public Education and Awareness grant. We refer to it as 8 PEPA, the PEPA grant. 9 The Board approved the grant in June. 10 terms of the Statement of Grant Award process, we worked 11 with Mr. Richards for legal review. And we have been 12 working with the vendor, the Insurance Council of Texas, 13 to provide input on the final SGA. 14 SGA includes a delivery table. And that is on 15 page 27 of your Board book. And that provides a project 16 timeline for this grant and the deliverables. 17 Director Wilson has notified the Insurance 18 Council of Texas that they may begin. We received their 19 signed SGA, and they may begin work on this grant. We do 20 need some additional paperwork that the SGA mentions. We need a copy of their Board resolution. And 21 22 we will obtain that in short order. So yes. They have 2.3 been notified of the signed SGA, and they will begin

And if there are any questions, I would be

following this project timeline.

24

25

happy to assist. Sorry, Gresham Kay, for the record, grant specialist with MVCPA.

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: This is already in motion, this marketing?

MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, this was not an action item. We are just reporting back to the Board. We sent the deliverable table out to the Grants Committee a week or so ago. And then we went ahead and issued the grant award statement.

But this is not an action item. The award was made in the meeting. It was mentioned that they wanted a better milestone and deliverable table. We think we have delivered that in a way that also requires approval before any state payment can be considered.

And so this was just -- we are just wrapping up telling you, just like we did on the previous two, on the MAG grant and the Task Force. This is where we are.

We are completing the paperwork, and starting the work right away. So they will be getting with police departments all over the state, providing resources, and talking about the messaging, and developing a new ad campaign. The one in the application, the proposal was Lock It Up, Texas. And so -- or something, lock it up or lock it down.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: That was my question.

MR. WILSON: Yes. 1 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: This campaign is already in motion. 3 MR. WILSON: It is going to start this week. 4 5 So they returned the signed grant award statement. I just 6 wanted to show you what the final grant award statement 7 looks like in the book package. MR. RODRIGUEZ: It says here that August 22, 8 9 there is some media paid in value ad. 10 MR. WILSON: Yes. We are going to be behind schedule, but -- because we took a little longer to make 11 12 sure the contract was correct. But yes, they will be 13 reaching out. 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So this is going to change. 15 MR. WILSON: The dates will change, but not the 16 They will be trying to catch up on their dates 17 and things like that, as they launch. They will be getting with staff and then, 18 19 again, trying to reach out to some of our stakeholders. 20 am sure you and Lieutenant Gonzalez and Major Jones will 21 be asked directly for content and ideas for content ideas, 22 things like that. 2.3 And then they will be reaching out to our Task 24 Forces for like, we have some people. You know, like 25 Tracy Hicks has a YouTube channel in Houston. And then, I

1 know Irene, and Lieutenant Lint in San Antonio have a real active presence. 3 Laredo has a very strong presence in both 4 social media. And so, they will be reaching out to see 5 what ideas that they have is what I am --6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So when did we award 7 this? MR. WILSON: June 8th was the date. 8 June 8th. 9 The award was made. 10 But just like I said with the Task Force grants, it doesn't matter when you make the award. It is 11 12 not going out of our hands. It is not being released by 1.3 our hands until we finished all the requirements of TxGMS 14 and got certifications and proper governance. 15 And in this case, the Board specifically in the 16 meeting said that you wanted milestones and deliverables. 17 And that is what we worked with the vendor to make sure we understood. 18 19 Now, the dates are not as important as what the 20 milestones are. I mean, in our -- when you are doing project planning, well, you can get behind schedule. But 21 22 it doesn't change what you deliver, and what resources 2.3 that are being provided. 24 Even if the dates are a little off, we will let

them figure out the date. If we sign. I think the first

25

date was like August 8th or something like that, or something. Obviously, we didn't issue the Statement of Grant Award until last week.

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So where I'm going with this is, have they contacted MVCPA, as far as you know -- the content, production. Because I don't think they have contacted any of the Members.

So if it is already in production, where in production is it? Because I see right now, the agency fee is \$100,000. The production is \$50,000. The media is \$190,000. And the hard costs are \$10,000, total budget of \$350,000.

And I just want to make sure that we are -where we are at with production. And as far as somebody
getting content, they should be reaching out to the MVCPA
staff and the Board to define what the content we want in
this media campaign.

MR. WILSON: Correct. We just signed the contract this week. So the dates are blown out of the water, Mr. Chairman.

There is the -- even though they planned it out in the early stages, we are not anywhere near production.

They have to do a project plan. They have to do storyboards that has to go out to the Members for review.

It has to get input from the Task Force grantees.

So they are just at the beginning, the very beginning. All we have done so far is sign the contract.

No activity has taken place, as of today.

Now, I realize -- so please don't think we are delusional in giving you that tiny print. What page was it, 27. That little -- we will be glad to send an electronic copy. I think it is a Vizio or Excel document.

Page 28, 27, it is a tiny, tiny document because what happened to get it to fit onto this book.

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Moving on to Agenda Item 2.c., consider GBR Committee Report on fiscal year '24-'25 grant scoring methodology and award determination process, and provide staff with direction. Members, we will now move to Agenda Item 2.c., consider GBR Committee Report on fiscal year '24-'25 grant scoring methodology and award determination process, and provide staff with direction.

Chairman Gonzalez, Director Wilson.

MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Yesterday we met with staff to discuss how we allocate and how we score our grants. And what the Committee has recommended is that we incorporate a previous practice that was done pre-COVID, whereby the Task Force agencies come to the MVCPA Committee and present their grant application and outline exactly what it is that they are

going to do with their money.

2.3

And I think that will be a great process for us to better understand the nuances and the specific duties that each Task Force is going to carry out. In addition to that, we expressed some concern regarding the subjective category of extra credit from the scoring committee.

Now, it is my understanding that various entities such as the MVCPA across different states, they do have subjective category. But it needed to be defined a little better -- the extra credit. We suggested also a past performance, accountability measure be added for the scoring, because we want to be able to hold Task Forces accountable, and ensure that, you know, they are meeting the objectives of the MVCPA.

Member Jones, am I forgetting anything? What else did we discuss?

So to sum up, the two things that we requested was that the staff create a timeline and insertion of the review in front of the Grants, Budget Committee for the applications, once after the RFA is out, and the due date that we insert, a two-day review process where we discuss one-on-one with each Task Force Commander their grant application.

Oh, and the last thing that we discussed was

the scoring itself. Previously, the only individuals that scored the grants were staff. And we asked that the Grants, Budget, and Committee be included in the scoring process, and not just as commenters.

1.3

2.3

And that concludes my report, unless you have anything, Member Jones.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, any questions?
(No response.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So Member Gonzalez, this is the mechanism we are going to be using -- the methodology we are going to be using for the next grant awards? Is that what we are talking? That is the way this is -- this is what the Committee is recommending?

MR. GONZALEZ: Yes, sir. So, and I did forget one thing. We did suggest to staff that we include NICB Hot Spots for data. Because there is a bit of a time delay with respect to agencies reporting the number of stolen vehicles in their jurisdiction to UCR.

And then, there is also -- we can't just go off the self-reported numbers that agencies are using for motor vehicles. And so, we think NICB is a third party and so to rely on their data would be a wise idea.

So we are trying to make tweaks to the scoring.

And the allocation is something that we want to be heavily involved in this go round.

MS. JONES: Sharon Jones, for the record. We are not basically stating, get rid of the entire scoring system. We just want to make sure that we can implement some changes.

2.3

We definitely want input from the grantees, because they are the ones who have to complete the form, fill out the packets, and make the request. So we are not here basically stating, oh, let's just get rid of the scoring system and start over.

We are here to say that there are some tweaks that need to be made. We want our grantees to be successful. And making sure that they are successful, we need make some changes so that when they present it to their leadership, city council, the mayor, the DAs, that it is something that they want to sign off on, and not necessarily, oh, let's talk about it. And you see where you have like, pending signature, because there is a lot of discussion.

We just want it to be where it is bearable to the grantees. They are held accountable. And also as a Board, we are transparent with what we are asking for, and what we are doing behind the scenes.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And again, now that the -- I know, Dean, you're going to be in this Committee. You know, this is a system that, you know, for the past I want

to say years, that there has been already a matrix in 1 place -- a system in place where there is a point system 3 for each application that comes our way. 4 But there has been times where, you know, if 5 you go by the current methodology, you know, then there is 6 a lot of agencies that will be defunded, just because it 7 doesn't meet certain criteria. And the Committee wants to 8 make sure that, you know, when this methodology is already 9 ready to be set by this Board -- as this is going to be 10 the methodology that we are going to be using -- that they get the input from the grantees, and to see what else that 11 12 needs to be implemented to better the system. So you will 13 hear more on that, once you get on board with that 14 committee. 15 Members, are there any questions for Chairman 16 Gonzalez, or Director Wilson? 17 (No response.) 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia, do we have any 19 comments on this agenda item? 20 MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, at this time, I would 22 like to call for a break. It is 10:16. We will be back 2.3 in ten minutes, 10:26. 24 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ:

Okay. It is 10:37, and we're

25

back in session.

2.3

We will now go to now Agenda Item 2.d.

Members, we will now move on to Agenda Item 2.d., selected

grantee reports: Dallas PD, Houston PD, and San Antonio

PD.

Mr. Wilson, would you like to introduce this agenda item?

MR. WILSON: It's a committee item. I will defer to Lieutenant Gonzalez.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez.

MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So yesterday, the Grants, Budget, and Reporting Committee continued its work, by meeting with -- we are trying to meet with all of the grantees to determine what it is exactly that they need from the MVCPA, from this body.

And so, as part of that, we invite a select member of agencies to come and kind of present what it is that they are doing, what it is that they are doing well. You know, where are the areas of improvement. And you know, what additional -- what is it that they need from us.

And so, yesterday, we had Houston, Dallas, and San Antonio present. And I have to say, you know, I am incredibly impressed with the work and the continued efforts from our grantees. It is just amazing, the amount

of work that they are doing.

I do think that they are being pulled in multiple directions, but they are certainly holding the line when it comes to motor vehicle theft, and our other statutory calls or requirements. Just some great things.

1.3

2.3

You know, Houston PD remarked about -- they identified a new trend with Kias and Hyundais. They are doing some fantastic work in the areas of fraud, UUV. And thankful, thankful that they are agreeing to train quite a bit, a large number of agencies. And I know that is a huge task for you all, and so thank you, you know, for that.

San Antonio is doing some amazing work with -they just have great detective work, relentless follow-up.
And following their leads, and collaborating with other
agencies.

And certainly, Dallas PD is doing some exceptional items with their large scale investigations and organized crime aspect. And so I think that all three agencies were excellent representatives of what the mission of the MVCPA should be, which is a supplement to law enforcement agencies, so that they can have the resources to pursue these larger criminal enterprises, these in-depth investigations, you know, and other

priorities of the state legislature and this body -- catalytic converters, you know, fraud-related UUV.

1.3

2.3

So overall, Mr. Chairman, I think that just an excellent amount of work is coming out from those three agencies. As far as what it is that they need, I think one of the consensus; they could all utilize the help of some non-sworn staff on their Task Forces. And the reason being is because the Richard Miles Act has created a significant amount of work added to each detective, in terms of, you know, case file preparation and ensuring that all evidence is logged and submitted over to the District Attorney's office.

In addition, we discussed having intelligence analysts provide mission support to our Task Forces. And so, that was one of the suggestions. In addition to that, we received some great stories about how Flock is working out for these agencies. And so, continued investment in technology would be a recommendation for this Board to consider, as far as needs of these Task Force agencies.

So that concludes my report. I would be glad to take any questions.

Member Jones, do you have anything to add to that, ma'am?

MS. JONES: Yes, sir. I think it is important to note that as far as using non-law enforcement in

support roles, the administrative staff, they are extremely helpful. We can't do our jobs without them.

They are an extension, our right hand and our left hand.

2.3

That will also free up the law enforcement who are doing those types of duties, that will free them up to address what is lacking as far as investigative wise.

That frees them up to do that.

And then, in addition, what is another challenge for the Task Force are threat assessments for their cities. If their city's threat assessment is to focus on violent crime, crimes against children, that is a huge priority. If there are a lot of homicide, those priorities are going to take -- they come, they are first.

Like, for my agency, Texas DPS, as I said yesterday, we focus on crimes against children, violence in certain cities. We are assigned to the border. But that doesn't mean that we cannot address other crimes, such as auto theft, property crimes.

So it is important that if a Task Force

Commander comes to us, and he is asking for two additional officers, or she is asking for administrative assistance that we give them the tools to do their job. And in addition, knowing that in law enforcement, any agency, it is difficult to literally hire qualified candidates and have the recruit schools and have the force.

So once we approve the additional personnel, we also need to be mindful that they may only be able to hire one of the two. Or if they are analysts, maybe able to only get two of the three, but know in the future, that at some point in time, they are going to be able to pick up on additional personnel.

1.3

2.3

So I think it is important that when they are asking for personnel assistance, that we actually do our best to try to accommodate them.

MR. GONZALEZ: Well said, ma'am. And then, the last thing that I forgot about, two of the agencies that reported, they have expressed some concerns regarding the vehicle amounts on leases and rentals that we have.

And they expressed that that was a challenge with them, because the cost of car rentals is going up significantly. And so that may be something that this Board wants to consider for a future date.

MS. JONES: And another discussion was the type of vehicles. Dependent on the -- apparently, the money that is there now is basically for, you can afford to buy a car, but with all the equipment, they may need an SUV or a truck.

And so, we may want to consider -- there is a threshold at the moment. The threshold currently allows for a smaller car. Maybe increase the threshold to allow

for perhaps trucks or SUVs, but not make it to where they just have to come back to us to ask for additional money.

2.3

Because that is more time where they could be working in the field, as opposed to coming back to us. If we know that giving them \$20,000 is not going to be enough for a vehicle, then we need to increase it, to stop them from having to come back to us to ask for an additional \$5,000.

It just makes more sense to be proactive. We know they are going to come back.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, I agree on that. On those issues, with the purchases of vehicles and things like that. Especially rents, renting a vehicle versus leasing a vehicle.

I mean, with the purchases, I know that now you can't get a truck, you know, lower than \$65,000. And you know, that is just how it is now.

So yes. We do need to bring that back, and see if we can set a threshold or a certain cap -- increase the cap, I am sorry -- to them not coming in all the time and requesting those caps to be raised by us.

I was here for the entire presentation. And I want to commend Houston PD, San Antonio PD, and Dallas PD on their presentations. They did outstanding

presentations. All three agencies did really good.

1.3

2.3

And the one thing that I saw and I heard -- and I want to say that the reason that they do very well is because their agency and their leadership sees burglary, motor vehicle, and auto theft as an agency, as a city problem as a whole.

I have seen where some Task Forces, their command sees it as, well, it is your division problem. You need to fix this. You need to catch this person by whatever means. You know, we don't have -- MVCPA is not giving us funding, or we don't have the personnel to attack this problem, or to reduce the incidence of burglary of motor vehicles, or to reduce the incidence of auto theft. But it just becomes a division problem.

And that is something that I saw yesterday, that it is not the case with these bigger agencies. So in the conversation that I had with Member Jones yesterday, I think it is very important that we, when we summon other agencies that we also bring back these three agencies to give a presentation as to how is it that their agency runs their operations.

I am not saying that one is better than the other. It is just, maybe you can get some ideas from those agencies.

One of the things that I really like about the

San Antonio PD is the fact that their reach to other divisions within their department is there. And nobody says, no, we can't. You know, reaching out to property crime, reaching out to narcs, reaching out to their antigang unit.

2.3

You know, it is allowed. And their commanders will not say no, because, oh, it just auto theft. Well, they have several cases that they have proved that it is just not only auto theft. It is other things.

So with that in mind, I do want to kind of -whenever we have to -- whenever the Grants and Budget

Committee meet again, and other agencies come in, it might
be a good idea. And this is an idea that was brought up
by Member Jones, that we bring back either of these
agencies to just give a presentation as to how they run
their Task Forces.

And how we see that they are doing it without, with minimum staffing, with the amount of software that they have right now, which is very minimal. And we need to get better at that and the technology that they currently have in place.

So with that in mind, I think it is important that we bring them back. And of course, following the idea that you shared yesterday, Member Jones, that they need to come back and give a presentation.

So the other thing also that I wanted to talk about is the networking. I heard from Houston PD -- from Dallas PD, that they reached out to Houston PD, you know, and vice versa with San Antonio PD reaching out to other agencies. That is key for success, you know.

2.3

The networking part is key for success. We have seen similar Task Forces that, you know, in my years with MVCPA and MVTPA, that some Task Forces don't even know each other. And I think it is important that we do know and network with each other, because this is how we get better.

So in talking about that -- and I know that you are now leaving, Director Wilson, but I am -- I do want to entertain the idea of bringing back a conference for MVCPA. I want to entertain the idea of bringing that conference back, and bringing trainings within that conference and bring back that network that we used to have, because I think it is very important.

This is a very important component to this, to this organization, to have that network. So I am talking to Member Jones and Member Gonzalez. You know, they like the idea. And I want to come back to that conversation, and see how we can make it happen.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, for the record. I do want to piggyback off of Chairman Rodriguez' comments. I certainly agree. The networking aspect is incredibly important.

1.3

2.3

When I was a Task Force Commander, I found myself calling other Task Force commanders regularly, and asking, how do you do this? And can I plagiarize your policies on, you know, whatever, you know, case filing procedure it is that they have.

Because, you know, these Task Forces are facing the same issues. We are all subject to the Richard Miles Act. You know, we are all filing the same Penal Code charges, and whatnot.

And so, having that network and being able to call Lieutenant Harrell, have him send me over a prosecution report on how to engage in a organized crime case on a ring of car theft is done, it can be incredibly valuable. And so just -- I would ask that, you know, we can please take a look at that conference and be able to give that networking opportunity or Task Force something that could be incredibly valuable.

MS. JONES: Member Jones, for the record.

Something else that we also discussed and we feel strongly about is getting input from our non-law enforcement members on the Board. We value their input. We have a lot of ideas and suggestions that we are going to present as a complete Board for input because they are valued as

well.

And so we have a lot of plans, a lot of great ideas. And it will be inclusive. It will be inclusive of the entire Board. And it will be inclusive of the entire membership, and beneficial.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Jones. Thank you, Member Gonzalez.

Any other Member would like to comment?
(No response.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: We will now move on to Agenda Item 2.e., report on MVCPA fiscal year '24-'25 Plan of Operation.

Chairman Gonzalez and Mr. Wilson will present this item.

MR. WILSON: Bryan Wilson, for the record.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I call your attention to page

49.

So the next major statutory duty that is going to appear on the horizon is on December 1st, a report called the Plan of Operation. It is in Transportation Code 1006.

And what that is -- it is due to the

Legislature -- that contains four different items from

this Board. You have to provide an assessment of the

current status of motor vehicle theft, burglary from the

motor vehicle, and fraud-related motor vehicle crime. The second thing you will have to do is provide a synopsis of what actions that you have taken that have been relevant to both the funding that you have received, as well as the operations that you have run in response to that assessment.

Then to have a plan of operation for the next two years on what you will do to stop motor vehicle theft and burglary and fraud-related crime. And then finally, a financial analysis of what funds and resources it would take to do that.

So what I have laid out here on page 49, or Yessenia has put together, is the plan over the next several months to get that done. Now as many of you know, the fiscal year ends in September. We have already collected the '21 data. We have organized it into a way.

2.3

I talked to our new intern, Iris. And I have been working with Gresham on the method to -- when you have large quantities of data in text, one of the difficulties is converting that into information you can use.

So we all have what is called the Year End

Report. The Task Force commanders -- what are the trends

for motor vehicle theft, what are the trends for motor

vehicle burglary, and they tell us in text. And so what we did is code that out.

1.3

2.3

So we have done '21. And then, '22 is not complete until, you know, September -- obviously, September 1st, August 31st. And so Gresham and staff are ready to code out the newest data.

So we have what trends -- and it covers everything from insurance fraud to other kinds of fraud. So they will be coding that out.

In the meantime -- so that is why you see this schedule pushing pretty close to December. It is because we either have to use '19 and '20 and '21 data, or we have to use relevant data from the current year that is just closing. And we have always used -- even though it is a lot more work, we use the most current data, so we can identify the trends. We have seen in the past the changes between guns and narcotics or organized.

You know, a few years ago, a big thing throughout the whole state was a much more detected presence in the Task Forces of organized crime. It is not that it went away. It just -- it wasn't the main thing that they pointed out. It became guns and narcotics in other years.

So we try to use that real-time data that is being reported to us. And then we will do surveys from

the Chiefs of Police, the Task Forces.

And so this is the schedule that we'll be unveiling in front of the next director to try and stay on schedule. But kind of give you a plan of operation — because your operation will be to review it, to critique it, to add content, as you get early drafts, or get data.

2.3

Hopefully they will be delivering you data. In the past, when I got the surveys, I showed the Board, this is what the data says. Are there any comments, and things like that.

So anyway, page 49. And that is the plan. And hopefully, it will work out.

There will be other people to make sure that gets carried out. But at least, you know, you can stick it on your wall and say, you know, call every few weeks to see how that is coming.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Director Wilson.

MR. WILSON: And Mr. Chairman, I would -because of some changes that we did at the last minute, I
would ask that 2.f. just be discarded at this time, and
not taken up, because it actually became part of another
agenda item later in the meeting.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, do you have any questions on the Plan of Operation?

(No response.)

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Skipping 2.f. Members, we are now reconvened.

We will now take up Agenda Item 3.a., State auditor engagement and designation of Texas DMV Internal Auditor as coordinator. Mr. Wilson.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As I sent out on the email a few weeks ago, the State Auditors Office has entered into an engagement. The State Auditor is appointed by the state legislature, and all state agencies and commissions, and boards, and authorities, and anything else out there are subject to their authority.

They announced an engagement of two aspects of the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority. Like I said, I forwarded that to you. And hopefully, you have taken time to review that. This will be an action item if you follow the recommendation, but just to give you a heads up.

So but when -- a State Auditors engagement is a routine course of business. They look at all state agencies. Sometimes they look at a state agency because of something in the news. Sometimes it is something a legislator or committee chairman in the Legislature says, I would like to know how this organization is doing.

And sometimes -- but the bulk of their work is

actually based on what they call risk assessments. What are the risks to the state for certain transactions or interactions with state government? And that risk scale -- I am not going to try to go into it, because I don't know what their details are.

2.3

But it is a risk-based audit. So at this time, we don't know where we fall. If somebody, if a committee or a legislator asked for it, or if it was a risk, it doesn't really matter. They are the State Auditor, and we will comply.

And so, as I said, I provided that notice to you. And it is in my 26 years in state government, I have probably been through three or four of these that I can remember. And it is just a matter of providing records, information, providing access to databases, or information.

But part of the thing -- as I said in my email, they may want to interview or question all of you, or some of you, or any of you. So what I will tell you, at the beginning of any audit, is they will have findings. Those findings will be at various levels, depending on what they see.

They are going to follow the evidence, as good auditors. And they will. But I will tell you, in all my years of what I know from other people and myself, they

are not worth spit if they don't find something. 1 2 So they always find something. And you should 3 just make up your mind that there is going to be something 4 that they are going to find and have specific findings. 5 Sometimes it comes to you as system enhancements. 6 Sometimes it is, you know, possible violations, or just 7 inconsistencies with what they think the rules are. 8 And some of those, you may disagree with. 9 you will be having an opportunity at a later date, once 10 they have their findings, to be able to respond, and to make note of any disagreement on what you have regarding 11 12 to their findings. 13 So that is the big picture of the State 14 Auditors Office, and how they come to determine, and what 15 the process is. Are there any questions before I go to 16 the next section that is going to be an action item? 17 MS. BENAVIDES: There is a public comment. 18 MR. WILSON: Okay. I think I should lay out 19 the action item, and then take the public comment, if that 20 is okay with General Counsel. MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, David Richards, 21 22 General Counsel. The commenter is on 3.b. which is 2.3 legislative. Is there a commenter on 3.a. as well? 24 MS. BENAVIDES: I turned in the form.

MR. RICHARDS: We have it. It is 3.b.

Hansen is on 3.b. So we are dealing with 3.a. right now.

MR. WILSON: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Mr.

Richards. Okay.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: You can proceed.

2.3

MR. WILSON: So any questions about the State Auditor, the process, the findings, anything like that?

(No response.)

MR. WILSON: Okay. All right.

So when they sent the engagement, they said in the engagement that they were going to utilize the Texas DMV auditor, internal auditor as the point of coordination and contact. And so what I wanted to do, to make sure after I met with Dan as a CPA, a certified internal public manager, just to make sure we didn't cross any ethical lines, what I developed was a recommendation to this Board to appoint the DMV Internal Auditor who works directly for the Texas DMV Board.

And you are a separate board, not an advisory board for that agency — to go ahead and appoint Salem Chuah who is the Internal Auditor for DMV to be your point of contact for the State Auditors Office. So this is kind of just a routine, and making sure that we don't have any ethical concerns or violations, because you are private citizen Board members. And if they have multiple questions or are seeking different aspects or interviews,

that you have a point of contact.

2.3

You are not delegating the authority to speak on your behalf as a Board, or anything like that. Only the Board can do that by vote. So this is just a coordination issue.

So if they need to talk to Ms. Whitehill about Subject A, and then they need to reach out to Smith about Subject B, that there is just not a lot of people contacting you individually. They can contact the internal auditor. They can reach out to you, and find your best schedule, and whether it is going to be an interview, in person, or Webex or Teams or whatever.

So that is what I am recommending. That is the information on page 51, the engagement on 52 and 53. And then I have got an order on page 54 for the designation.

That is staff's recommendation to this Board to go ahead and make that delegation and keep the ethics and responsibilities in line. Are there any questions?

MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I have a question. So Director Wilson, does the MVCPA Board have the authority to task someone that works at DMV to do this? I mean, I almost kind of feel like we are overstepping our bounds telling DMV that, you know, what someone is going to do.

MR. WILSON: They had agreed to do this. And

so I did reach out to Salem prior to that, and make sure that they were okay. It is not like you were saying, you have to do this. What you are saying is, we would like you do this.

MR. GONZALEZ: Okay.

2.3

MR. WILSON: We would prefer you to be our point of contact, instead of placing on the State Auditor the entire burden of reaching out to a board that -- like I said, you are in PD. And you are in Fort Worth. And you are hither and yon. Now, I can -- Mr. Chuah is here, if you would like to hear from him.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.

MR. CHUAH: Good morning. Good morning, Chief Rodriguez and Board members. My name is Salem Chuah. I am with the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. I am the Internal Audit Director.

My role as the internal auditor would be to act as that liaison between the State Auditors Office and MVCPA. And as part of those duties, whatever findings that they go about through their field work process and through their reporting process, whenever they have to have a management response, I will be that point of contact between the SAO, the State Auditors Office, and you all to obtain management responses on what we are going to do in regards to those findings.

Now, the State Auditors Office, the objectives of the audit, I believe, has been laid out with you all, communicated to you all through email. And it is to look at the grant process to determine whether the MVCPA awards, administers, and monitors its grants in accordance with applicable requirements, and whether it complies with selected statutory requirements, including limitations on administrative expenses.

1.3

2.3

And so they are going through several phases of the audit. They will go through the planning phase first, where they will be interviewing several folks from the MVCPA, as well as the Board -- some of you all here as Board members.

And then they will move into field work, where they will be conducting some testing on aspects that they want to look at. And then they will move into the reporting phase, where they will generate that final report where it will require management responses.

And so the timeline for this audit is going to be -- the field work is expected to start in October through January, with the report issued in March. And so, throughout this whole process, they will be working really closely with MVCPA staff to obtain information, conducting interviews, and getting the information that they need to answer these objectives that I have laid out.

And again, my role here is to act as that 1 2 liaison between the SAO and the MVCPA. 3 MR. GONZALEZ: Great. I just didn't want for, 4 you know, us to be telling DMV what to do, if you guys 5 haven't already volunteered for it. Because I thought 6 that would be a little out of our place. 7 But it sounds like you are already, you know, 8 helping out with that. And so, I am good. 9 MR. CHUAH: And Member Gonzalez, there is a 10 second objective in here, which is why. The second objective is unrelated to the MVCPA. 11 12 It is in regards to the Department of Motor 1.3 Vehicles temporary tags. And so the engagement letter 14 that we received includes both of these objectives in the 15 same engagement. And it will be in the same audit report, 16 to my understanding. 17 But we will, of course, work with the Texas DMV management team in regards to those findings and those 18 19 management responses. 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you very much. I think that most of us greatly appreciate you coming on in here, 21 22 and explaining to us what it is. So like Member Sharon 2.3 Jones said, thank you for volunteering. 24 MR. CHUAH: Thank you.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Any other questions,

1	Members?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, this is an action
4	item. I will now entertain a motion on Agenda Item 3.a.
5	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I move that
6	the Texas DMV Internal Auditor be designated to serve as
7	point of contact and coordinator for the State Auditors
8	Office engagement of the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention
9	Authority.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion by Member
11	Gonzalez. Do I have a second?
12	MR. SMITH: Member Smith. I second.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, a motion has been made
14	and seconded. Is there any further discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I'll call for the
17	vote. All those in favor of the motion, signify by saying
18	aye.
19	(A chorus of ayes.)
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All those opposed, nay.
21	(No response.)
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
23	Mike Rodriguez, vote aye. The motion passes.
24	MR. WILSON: So Mr. Chairman, I would just ask
25	that you just sign that copy and then let us forward it on

1	so we will have a record of it in the book.
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Sign?
3	MR. WILSON: Yes. I will take care of it right
4	after the meeting. Thank you, David.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I trust him. I had read it.
6	We will now take up Agenda Item 3.b., Report on
7	Texas DMV actions concerning the LAR and legislative
8	issues. Mr. Wilson, present this agenda item.
9	MR. WILSON: Well, there's official record
10	of me being a plagiarizer. So just for the so, if you
11	notice on page 56, I have plagiarized Glenna Bowman's
12	material.
13	And if you don't mind, Mr. Chairman, I will ask
14	Texas DMV Chief Financial Officer Glenna Ray Bowman to
15	speak on this item for legislative appropriations.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, Glenna.
17	MS. BOWMAN: Good morning. Everybody else is
18	obviously taller than I am.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
20	MS. BOWMAN: We just have an update on the LAR.
21	The DMV Board did adopt the Legislative Appropriations
22	Request, and we had a couple of updates since then.
23	As you know, we have an exceptional item in the
24	LAR for MVCPA to try and get full statutory funding for
25	this Board. When we originally presented that item, we

had six FTEs associated with it, which we still intend to ask for. But we separated those from the exceptional item, so that the MVCPA exceptional item in our LAR is strictly for the full funding, and 100 percent of that funding would be used for grants.

2.3

And the reason is that the six FTEs -- as the large organization DMV, we have an exceptional item that is a zero dollar amount, because we just need authorization to increase our number of employee FTEs, full time equivalents. And the MVCPA FTEs fall in that same category.

And as Bryan and I have spoken, there is adequate funding within the current MVCPA budget to support the additional FTEs, the three administrative and the three collections, but we don't have FTE authority. The Legislature limits the number of FTEs that any agency can have.

So we just moved those six FTEs into that zero dollar amount exceptional item, and then clarified that the MVCPA full funding exceptional item is just for grants. It is 100 percent for grants.

Let's see. We submitted the LAR on August

12th. And we actually have a tentative date for a hearing
with the Governor's Office and the Legislative Budget

Board in September. So we are finalizing that date right

1 now. 2 That is all I have on the budget, on the LAR. 3 If you have any questions, I will be happy to answer them. 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any questions from the Board? 5 (No response.) 6 MS. BOWMAN: Okay. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: We are good. 8 MS. BOWMAN: Thank you. 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 10 MR. WILSON: Do you want to talk about the -excuse me, Mr. Chairman. The sub-account? 11 MS. BOWMAN: I can talk about that. 12 13 MR. WILSON: All right. Or I can do it. That 14 is fine. 15 MS. BOWMAN: Go ahead. And then, if there is 16 any questions, I can help answer those. 17 MR. WILSON: So that was the Legislative Appropriations Request under 3.b., but there is another 18 19 part that I wanted to make sure that you had an 20 opportunity to ask questions. It is also found on page 59 21 of your MVCPA recommendation packet. 22 Our Legislature -- as you know, and as many of 2.3 you know that in the past, different legislators have 24 filed to have either a dedicated account, say either, with

all the money going into that account, or some of that

money. So but they have all -- I don't think any of them made it to committee. I am not going to rehash all that.

1.3

2.3

But one of the things that came up several years ago is, if we are associated with DMV, that the funds -- and DMV has what is called a dedicated fund, that our funds, at least the appropriations, even if it is not the whole insurance thing, that the amount appropriated to MVCPA would be a sub-account inside of the dedicated DMV fund. So it would still be General Revenue dollars, as far as I know. And it would still be used for MVCPA.

And so, on page 59, we have provided a sample of that statute that will be considered for proposal at a later date, but prior to legislative session to be considered for filing. Now, there is a whole another complexity that goes with that, finding sponsors, but I am not going to deal with that.

I just want to make sure that you are aware that is the other financial-related issue that the MVCPA has adopted a few months ago. And we are moving forward on that.

MS. BOWMAN: Right. Rather than those funds that go to the MVCPA being deposited into the General Revenue Fund, they would be deposited, if this bill were -- if it goes through the process, it would be

deposited to this sub-account within DMV Fund 10. 1 just directing it to a different place, so that it is an 3 extra layer. If you get full funding, then that money would 4 5 stay with DMV, versus now where it goes to General 6 Revenue. And if it is not appropriated or expended, then 7 it goes back to General Revenue. So that is part of the 8 advantage of creating a sub-account. 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So it would come to DMV. 10 to a sub-account. 11 MS. BOWMAN: But to a sub-account, so that it 12 is specifically for MVCPA. So we wouldn't be dipping into 13 the MVCPA money for DMV. 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So it would pretty much be like 15 a dedicated account. 16 MS. BOWMAN: Correct. 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. WILSON: All right. Mr. Chairman. Bryan 18 19 Wilson for the record. 20 That is the key. What you just said is, it is a sub-account inside of a dedicated account. So rather 21 22 than trying to press the Legislature for our own 2.3 separate -- you know, different bills have been filed for 24 an account outside the State Treasury, a dedicated

25

account.

1	It is like this. We are inside of this network
2	already. We have the CFO that manages the payment
3	processes, the POs that I talked about earlier, the fiscal
4	payments, the TIN numbers you know, the Uniform
5	Statewide Accounting System. So all that money is being
6	managed.
7	So if it was managed outside the State
8	Treasury, that lady over there would still be managing it.
9	Do you know what I am saying? So it might as well just
10	be one fund in a sub-account within that fund.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Awesome.
12	MR. WILSON: Thank you Glenna.
13	MS. BOWMAN: Thank you.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
15	(Pause.)
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Yessenia, have you got
17	it?
18	MS. BENAVIDES: I do.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Tommy Hansen.
20	MR. HANSEN: Lieutenant Tommy Hansen. I am
21	here speaking on behalf of the Texas Association of
22	Vehicle Theft Investigators, commonly known as TAVTI.
23	I wanted to update you on some legislative
24	stuff that we are looking at, with a focus on your
25	funding. However, before I do that, I would like to have

a golden opportunity to also thank Mr. Wilson for his dedication to this program.

1.3

2.3

Having served on this Board, and serving the Board Chair, I can tell you that no one knows anything more about grant management, rules, and guidelines in the State of Texas than he does. If you don't believe that, just ask him, and he will tell you on a very regular basis. I think there was times I spoke with him more than I did my wife. So she probably enjoyed that.

Also, I would like to thank Mr. Smith here, the new Board member, for starting the conversation today, of reminding me how old I was and how long I have been doing this.

Legislatively, number one, before I get into the main thing, what was just discussed about the semi quasi dedicated fund, I think, is something that is going to be extraordinarily important, if we can get that.

Because in the back past, our money always goes into the big black hole, and we get lost in there. And I think this would at least earmark the money. And somebody, before they take and spend it, they know who it belongs to, and where it came from, and I think that is extraordinarily important for the future.

As far as the legislative and funding stuff, what we are focusing on, versus some catalytic converter

things being -- bills and stuff floating around what our whole thing is, the funding. And everything that we have talked about today, tomorrow, and later today, it is all centered around the problem of funding.

2.3

And the beauty part about this is, we are not asking for new legislation. We don't have to go through the whole process of all the hearings and all of the things like that, that are connected on getting a new bill passed. We are just asking them to adhere to the bill that they already passed.

We have already done one meeting. And I wanted to tell everybody how it went, and encourage the TF, Task Forces, to do the same. We will provide them with whatever information that they need.

But we had a meeting in our area. And we had Houston PD, Harris County, Pasadena PD, Galveston County, Montgomery County, and Beaumont Task Forces all there.

We met with Representative Middleton, who will soon be Senator Middleton, because he is running for Senate, and he is unopposed. So he is going to go with that. He wanted to meet with us. He is extremely interested in this.

And the meeting went exceptionally well. We had everybody there. It was what -- Bryan and them were there. Several hours, we met. And his whole goal is to

follow up on this.

1.3

2.3

We have also reached out to him in considering doing a very similar thing with Senator Huffman. The State Representative who sponsored House Bill 2048 is retired, but Senator Huffman was the sponsor on the Senate side, and she is still very, very active.

Although she is -- we kind of might have to wait until after November 8th, as everybody knows. But if we can meet before that with her, we will, to seek that. Because she is also a very, very key player in the Senate Finance Committee which can have a major input into this dilemma that we are dealing with on our funding.

So we would highly encourage that all of the Task Forces, and I know some of them -- I have known you for years. And they are tired of hearing me say this. But these legislators want to talk to us. But we have to approach them.

And we constantly hear, oh, our Sheriff is good buddies with Senator Billy Bob, or our Chief knows Senator Mary Jane. But they don't follow up on that. And it is a very easy process. These people can't help us if they don't know we exist.

And that comment came up by one of the Board members earlier of how many legislators don't know we exist. We are the best kept secret in Texas. And we have

got a good product to sell them. We need to do that. 1 2 But anyway, that is what we did so far. And it 3 went very, very well with Mr. Middleton. And he is 4 pursuing this. And we do know that he has had 5 conversations with several other senators. 6 One of them, we are real interested in, is 7 Senator Creighton. He is the only Senator in the State of 8 Texas, because he has got the most bizarre district, where 9 he actually has five of our Task Forces in his district. 10 Montgomery County, Houston, Harris County, part of 11 Galveston County, and Beaumont. So he has got the most to 12 lose and the most to gain. 13 So we have talked to him in the past. 14 are going to hit him up. But we do know that Mr. 15 Middleton has already addressed some of this with him. 16 So I just wanted to kind of give you some ideas 17 of what we are -- our whole focus was through Tab D and things that we are going to do with legislators, that we 18 19 are going to meet with has to do with funding for MVCPA. 20 Any questions? 21 (No response.) 22 MR. HANSEN: Thank you for your time. 2.3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Tommy. 24 Members, we will now take up Agenda Item 4,

Consider a process for future development of MVCPA

policies required under Texas Transportation Code Section 1006.060. Mr. Wilson will present on this agenda item.

1.3

2.3

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to call your attention, excuse me, page 62 and 63 of the Board book. Just especially as I am getting ready to retire, that the Board understands that the MVCPA Director is authorized to perform certain duties under the MOU between the Texas DMV and Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority.

This authority has been, I found, you know, contracts when it was with TxDOT back to the early 2000s, in the very early years. This agency was inside the Governor's Office and then came over by interlocal agreement to TxDOT. And eventually when DMV came out in 2009, it was moved to DMV instead staying with TxDOT. And so that law moved it over there.

And so there has been an agreement on file that kind of ensures that the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority has a director. There has been -- in the past, there's been discussion about having a statutory designated director, and that has been laid aside recently. Past boards have adopted that as a legislative goal.

But one of the things that came clear over the last few months is that this Board has the statutory duty

to develop policy. And it has taken on that role at different levels through the time that I have been here. And there's some policies that I think were in place, but there has never been a formal documentation of what those policies are.

2.3

And what I mean by that, and please, Lieutenant Gonzalez, close your eyes for the next part of the presentation, I think it is 73. Oh, there it is. It is just an example of a policy adopted by the Texas

Department of Motor Vehicles, and I know the numbering system is going to drive some of you crazy.

And I am not advocating the numbering system.

May I clearly say that. But I just wanted to show you that we probably should move towards a more formal development of policy.

And I am sorry I am doing this on my way out the door, because certainly, the Board has adopted policies about insurance collection, about refunds, about the review of refunds, but we haven't placed them in a codified example of this. And so, today, the only thing we are talking about is adoption of a process for developing clear policies for this Board going forward.

You have a statutory duty in the Transportation Code to develop policies, and then the DMV is to manage the operation physically. Just like we talked about with

Glenna a minute ago. She is the Chief Financial Officer.

1.3

2.3

I don't cut checks. I don't physically cut checks. I submit them through the DMV processes to get grantees paid. She is responsible for making sure on the process end that they meet the state accountability standards to allow payment.

But the policy and the grants are awarded in the MVCPA's own name, and by its own authority. So we are going to have to work together, no matter what. But it should be in a more clear fashion with expectations up front.

And so, the Board has adopted in the past -so, let me just get to the point on page 65. And I have
asked, I have called most of you, all of you -- I guess I
missed one or two -- to talk about, you know, reading page
65, making sure that that process makes sense. So in the
future that there -- you can, again, we are not adopting
any policies that I know of today, although they are on
the agenda.

But my point in today's discussion was to adopt a process to begin formalizing how does a policy for MVCPA get adopted in future Board meetings. And how does this Board conduct itself in the future. So that is what staff recommends on page 65, that I recommend, that you have a

clear responsibility or process.

1.3

2.3

A few months ago, Lieutenant Gonzalez sent me a policy on one issue. And then we have -- I know that Ms. Brotherton and I have talked about a situation where a large insurer had kind of made two or three mistakes in a row and was asking for administrative processes to be put in place at the Comptroller's Office.

And it was just like, gosh, if you screw up, okay. Anybody can make a mistake. But if you screw up two or three times, maybe the Board should have a policy saying no.

You need to come back. That insurer needs to come back to the Board and discuss that formally, before we continue to allow the administrative process to go forward.

So there is a point at which -- so, all of these things that are listed in the book are areas that are ripe for policies, and need to either take past Board actions and bring them together in a formal written policy, or to look at some of these issues that have undermined the effectiveness of MVCPA. So that you can develop policies to reduce that effect in future times.

So on page 65 is my recommendation for you to move forward on developing policy. And I hope you have had time to review it and think about it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, any questions?

(No response.)

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So Director Wilson, what policies do we have right now that this Board has implemented?

MR. WILSON: On page 67, there's been some votes taken about the notification of insurers. That is a policy/process that we still follow today. You know, again, sometimes the bleed-over between policy and process, as many of us know in our operation, gets a little wonky.

And that is why I wanted to put it back into the Board, because I think what you need is a clear policy. The policy will be that the insurers who fail to file, especially now that there is penalties associated, after the last law passed. That the penalties, I mean — the insurers should be promptly notified of failure to pay or failure to file.

And that it is the expectation of this board that that happen with policy within 30 days after the period, or 60 days, or whatever. I mean, we need to have a clear policy about when you expect it. The Board has reviewed this, has talked about it. They have directed staff to do certain things, but never a clear policy.

The policy is within 60 days after the insurer

fails to pay, there is a written notice going out of this office at DMV to say, you failed to pay, or failed to file.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So this would also, I want to say, address whenever there is a grantee that is not abiding by the grant rules and MVCPA guidelines. This would serve for the Board mechanism to address those issues. Correct?

MR. WILSON: Right. And what I have done here is for future development, because many of you have come to me, or provided me, or we have discussed specific policies already. I wanted to kind of codify -- and I am not being cheeky.

But on my way out the door, I just wanted a record of the things that you as individual members have brought to me into this book. We are not adopting these policies today, but we are kind of cataloguing things that members have brought to me, or discussed with me, that need to be addressed going forward, in relationship to how money is spent for staff, how grant violations are managed, how insurers are managed.

We just -- you know, it has been part of, where I have not quite got to in my eight years here, of getting to address formally some of these issues that should have been done a long time ago. But I didn't realize the

importance of them until more recently.

2.3

So go to your example, Mr. Chairman, the grant violation process. If somebody -- we have in Chapter 57 of the Texas Administrative Code a process for revoking a grant. And the rules are well in place to stop a grant, stop a grant payment, and to revoke a grant.

You can issue a grant. Somebody violates it seriously. And then, you can say, you know what, that grant is over. As a Board, you take a vote, and say that is over.

What we don't have is a policy from this Board before that goes into place saying, when did that grantee get notified? What was the evidence that was documented for that grant violation, if that is what we are talking about?

So all of these things need a policy, where the Board has actually made a deliberate choice to say, this was our expectation. So that when we get to Chapter 57 -- I don't know what is it, 47, David? I don't know.

That says, okay. Well, now we can implement this process. Because staff followed our policy, or they didn't follow our policy, so we are not revoking the grant.

That is just one example that is right on target with what you were asking, Mr. Chairman.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Any questions? 1 2 MS. JONES: Mr. Chair, I was not included in 3 the discussions on 4. I am not prepared to vote. And 4 perhaps we should table this for the incoming Director, so 5 that person can have input. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 7 MR. GONZALEZ: Director Wilson, on page 65, how 8 similar is this process for policy proposal? How similar 9 is this to DMV's policy creation process? 10 MR. WILSON: Bryan Wilson, for the record. do not know. 11 12 MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. So we just kind of made 13 this process on our own? 14 MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, David Richards, 15 General Counsel for the Board. 16 Member Gonzalez, it is not exactly the same. But many of the concepts follow DMV, and other policy type 17 processes that I have been associated with, with other 18 19 boards, in the past. 20 MR. GONZALEZ: And so, I quess my real question 21 is, so essentially, step one is for a member to go to the 22 director. Is that kind of what DMV does, if a DMV Board 2.3 member would like a policy? They work with their 24 Executive Director first? 25 MR. RICHARDS: It's my understanding that the

1 individual programs will work amongst themselves. 2 then, we will work through the Executive Office to come up with that. It will also have the involvement of the 3 Office of General Counsel, and then those draft proposals 4 5 will be presented to the Board. 6 If there is any interaction that is needed from 7 a Board member, say with a particular subject matter expertise, then that Board member would be contacted. 8 9 DMV Board member would be contacted. Sure. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Because this is just the process, correct? This is not to implement any policies. 11 MR. WILSON: That is correct, Mr. Chairman. 12 13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So right now we don't have a 14 process to implement policy. And this will serve as a 15 mechanism for this Board to follow this process to 16 implement policies from here in the future. 17 MR. WILSON: Correct. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any questions for Director 18 19 Wilson? 20 MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, David Richards 21 General Counsel for the Board. again. 22 I want to suggest also, I spoke just briefly 2.3 with Member Gonzalez before we reconvened. Some of these, 24 they were listed on the agenda, such as a.2., a.2.I. and

b.3. and b.4., I would recommend that we look at

rulemaking, simply because the statute calls for rulemaking, and not a policy.

2.3

But clearly, some of these others like law enforcement training and communication, clearly well suited for a policy. And some of the other items listed under c., activities, might be well suited for policy.

But in my opinion, because the statute itself under 1006 requires a rule such as for penalties, or under refunds, under 1006.152, and fee imposed on the insurer, which deals with the penalty and interest for failure to pay or late payment, the Legislature has required the Board to establish rules. That way we have teeth to enforce what we are doing as a Board, or you do.

So those three items, I just throw out for consideration. And we can deal with that with the new director, going forward.

We will prepare rules for those three items, and anything else we need. Some of the others might be better suited for a policy. So thank you.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But if there's no policy right now, there is still no mechanism. So we cannot even implement policy until we address this, a process.

MR. RICHARDS: Correct. And I think what Director Wilson is wanting to do is just establish a framework. You clearly can add to the process, as far as

who gets to look at what, when, or you can wait, as Member 1 2 Jones said, and look at it a little bit further. 3 And submit it to staff, if you have some tweaks 4 to it, since you didn't get to have any input. You may 5 have some ideas you may want to add to the process. 6 think Director Wilson's agenda item is well meaning in 7 that there needs to be a process at some point, whether it 8 is today or whether it is later. 9 MS. JONES: Correct. And it's not something 10 that needs to be rushed, because apparently, it hasn't been done in forever. So I don't see how delaying it a 11 little bit longer until we all discuss and have input. 12 13 Like, I don't want to sit here, and I can't 14 make a decision based on these pages that are in front of 15 I need to have discussion, understanding. Discussion 16 through the staff. Discussions with the new director, 17 when it clearly involves DMV, discussions with DMV. So that is my position on this agenda item. 18 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez. 20 MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Richards, so the statutes 21 require us to create policy and rules. Am I correct? 22 MR. RICHARDS: That's correct. They clearly 2.3 separate the policymaking function of the MVCPA with the 24 management responsibilities of Texas DMV.

MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. So and you're saying that

we would be able to edit and modify this process. Because 1 2 I mean, I am just looking at it from a whole, and I am not 3 sure I like the exact steps of it. 4 But I do support the concept, the spirit, that 5 we need a process for policy and for rules. So if I 6 wanted -- so if theoretically, this Board were to move 7 forward with it, and implement this, would we then have to 8 follow said process in order to modify the process? 9 MR. RICHARDS: You would come back. Excuse me. 10 David Richards, General Counsel. You would come back at another meeting, and if 11 you want to modify the steps, you would make that decision 12 13 at the next meeting. Or as Member Jones said, you may 14 want to wait and go back and look at the steps, and submit 15 it to staff, the new Director, on what you are looking at, 16 and then take a formal vote on the policy process. 17 If you are happy with it today, you can clearly 18 take a vote, if the Chairman wants to allow for a vote. 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. So this is up to the 20 board, correct. This is not up to the Director to have --21 okay. 22 So for example, any policy proposal in consideration, it can be brought up by any member. 23 And

The MVCPA Director with the MVCPA presiding

then, we go to step two on development.

24

1	officer shall determine whether to develop a draft written
2	policy based on the proposal in step one. So this can be
3	changed, correct?
4	MR. RICHARDS: Correct.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
6	MR. RICHARDS: Sure.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So it can be, for development,
8	it can be sent to a committee for policy. It could be
9	sent to the Grant and Budget Committee.
10	MR. RICHARDS: That is correct.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Correct?
12	MR. RICHARDS: That is correct.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
14	MR. WILSON: And that is in step 2. It says it
15	either goes to the Chairman or Committee, as appropriate.
16	So if it is a subject matter for Insurance, then it would
17	go to Insurance.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Oh, yes. I see.
19	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, for the record.
20	You know, in looking at it, I mean, I think it is one of
21	these we can try it. And if it doesn't work out, then
22	perhaps we can change it.
23	I guess, just my concern is, this is a
24	statutory requirement. And so we are obligated to at
25	least have some kind of process in place. But also with

respect to that, you know, if we need to make changes to 1 2 it, then we can make changes to it. 3 But we have never had a process in place 4 So we try it, and see how we like it. before. 5 MS. JONES: [inaudible] 6 MR. WILSON: Mic, please. Thank you. 7 MS. JONES: I apologize. Member Jones. 8 yes, and then have to come back to have a meeting about 9 this, when if we just delay a little bit, have a 10 discussion, and make an intelligent decision based on what we need to do moving forward. 11 12 And I get it. We need to follow the statute. 13 But we have not been doing it. And it is not like very 14 crucial, apparently, because we haven't been doing it. 15 So why rush to have this done, when we could 16 just have a discussion as a Board and decide what is what 17 we need to do. It includes DMV, have discussions with DMV, and those that it affects. 18 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think, you know, the 20 one thing that I am always looking for is inclusiveness of everybody. And reading this, it is inclusive of Committee 21 22 members, Board members. Anybody can bring a policy. 2.3 And the other thing, that I know we haven't had 24 it. But if we don't push for something to happen, as far

as a process, then when are we going to bring it?

And this is, to me, one thing like I said, is 1 2 the inclusiveness of everybody in the Board to be able to 3 draft some policy. It is important. But if we wouldn't 4 push for this now, when are we going to bring it back? 5 MS. JONES: Well, you as the Chair can give us 6 a deadline. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Uh-huh. But is this something 8 that we can discuss outside of a public meeting and all 9 right? 10 MR. RICHARDS: He's asking for a formal vote, sir. 11 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, Dean Smith for the 12 13 I don't see a problem moving forward with it, if 14 we had an opportunity to amend it from time to time as we 15 see fit and that it works. 16 But I don't -- I tend to agree with Member 17 Gonzalez, that being out of compliance is not a badge of honor. And we should probably move forward and try to 18 19 establish a process sooner rather than later, so that we 20 just don't push this further back. 21 And I understand Major Jones position. 22 it. But maybe if we move forward, we can still have those 23 conversations and change it, if we have the authority to 24 do that. And maybe that is my take in how we would move

25

forward with this.

But I am a little -- you know, when we use the term "out of compliance," it just makes me nervous. But knowing that we haven't had a problem before, you know, I don't know how serious that is. And maybe Mr. Richards can elaborate on that.

2.3

MR. RICHARDS: David Richards, General Counsel, for the Board. Let me clarify.

The work, the concepts behind the policies of this agenda item has been done, is being done. What we are out of compliance is not doing our job; it is just formalizing the policy. So putting it in a formal policy.

Mr. Wilson and I have collaborated, and staff.

If you look on pages 66 and 67, these things have been ongoing. We have been doing the work. So we are not out of compliance with respect to actually doing the work.

The issue is the formalization of a policy on paper, similar to what Mr. Wilson showed us that DMV does, with the multi subject sections and whatnot. So, I mean, don't think you are not in compliance with what work needs to be done. Because staff, Mr. Wilson, and I, we have been doing the work. It is just putting it in a formal policy with MVCPA letterhead at the top.

MR. SMITH: Member Smith. Essentially putting it in writing, and formalizing the process. I understand now. I understand that.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, for the record. 1 2 What are the implications on this item with respect to 3 the audit that we previously discussed? Because I know 4 that the memo says that the auditor is going to ensure 5 that the MVCPA has processes in place to ensure that we 6 monitor, award grants -- what are the implications of 7 us -- if you are going forward with this policy, or 8 holding off on it. But with respect to the audit? 9 MR. RICHARDS: Again, this is more of a formal 10 memorialization of the work that is being done right now. I don't -- I mean, Salem may contradict me, but it is not 11 12 a major hickey. 13 Because they will say, okay. Well, let's look at that Item A. Well, the program can say, we have been 14 15 doing this. It is just not on a formal document, like you 16 saw with the DMV. 17 So they may say, hurry up and formalize it, put it on a document. But it is not a major implication to 18 19 the Board, a major negative. It should not be, since the 20 work, in fact, is being done. 21 So he is just saying formalize it, and he is 22 giving you a process whereby you can go about that. 2.3 MS. JONES: So we are already doing what we are 24 supposed to do. There is no need to rush to judgment to 25

vote for it. We are already doing what we are supposed to

be doing.

2.3

MR. RICHARDS: I guess my question is to

Director Wilson, when he anticipates the next meeting of
the Board occurring? I thought you said, maybe November.

Is there anything sooner?

MR. WILSON: Not -- I mean, I was talking to the Grants Committee just generally. That at some point, we have a target date of issue of the RFA, no later than March. So I was just backing up from those dates.

The reality is, I mean, I will just lay out what is going on here. Several of you members wanted to consider and adopt specific policies that are included in this package.

And I asked the Chairman for the opportunity to, instead of trying to adopt policies on my way out the door, I just felt that it was much better to just adopt a process and try to deal with these over time instead of rushing to, as some of the members wanted to bring these policies for now, to vote on today.

And so, I felt like it was in the best interest of this Board, as well as myself, to just delay this consideration of any of these policies, and rather just agree that if somebody has an idea, they can submit it to the MVCPA Director. That can be sent over to the Board. It can be sent to DMV. And that is all I was trying to

do, was to try to make sure that in my last meeting before this Board, that we weren't going to be in a controversial situation.

2.3

So as far as delaying the adoption, it is totally up to you. We have had various conversations with myself and others, of ideas that needed to be brought forth, that felt immediacy of a policy. And I just felt like it was bad form to adopt policies at this time.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: My concern was more of, every time that staff brings a policy, we don't have a mechanism to establish that policy. And this is the reason why I asked for a process.

And like I said, I asked you, it has to be a process that is all inclusive, because we want the Board members to have some say so in what policy we are implementing. So before, whatever policy that we have implemented, which is, I think, how many do we have? One? That is all we have.

MR. WILSON: Bryan Wilson for the record. We don't have a formal policy system. And this was only to start the conversation about future policies.

So if somebody has an idea -- some of you Board members where I have discussed independently, wow. This administrative process that we have with the Comptroller might be a big problem. We need a clear policy about how

to handle that, when the Comptroller decides that \$6,000,000 got deposited in this fund. Now, refunds are supposed to come to the Board.

2.3

But the Comptroller has the authority under their own elected capacity. So they had written into the contract for future, that we manage that through accidental considerations for wrong deposits. Part of that is driven by, when I first started eight years ago, there was a \$780,000 refund, because somebody hit the wrong button and deposited money for a very small insurance company.

It was supposed to be \$67,000, and they deposited \$670,000. So I guess it was a \$500,000 something refund. It was just a mistake. It was a typo in the online system.

We didn't have a policy in place. The Comptroller has since put in a fix for that. But if somebody does the same mistake multiple times, we need to have a policy to direct staff how to move.

So we do have some policies that you have adopted in the past. But we didn't formalize them.

Like the insurance notification I have been sending out to the insurance. Within 30 days of the filing period, we are supposed to notify the insurers in writing. I can't get the information within 30 days.

What am I supposed to do? We need a policy to be clear how staff is supposed to behave.

2.3

Again, the process is good. What David said, what Mr. Richards was perfectly correct. We have RFAs. We have grant rules. We have grant processes in place.

We have -- we know when we have written documentation of when progress reports -- those are processes. But the Board hasn't done that.

So I am okay with delaying it to be November,

December, whenever the next meeting is set. Mostly driven

by grants. Because you do have a deadline coming up in

early spring.

But again, my point was just trying to give you a framework to start future discussions. It was not to bind you or do anything beyond just providing a framework, that from here on out, you will have policies. And they will be developed in this manner. The starting of the discussion will be in this manner.

MS. BROTHERTON: Chairman, Member Brotherton.

My concern is, is if we don't adopt something now, then we are delaying policy, being able to make decisions on policies until two or more meetings from now.

And some of these are -- the things that we need to do to get our money is important to me. So I just wondered if that is -- if we delay this, does that also

Τ	delay the policies?
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
3	MS. WHITEHILL: Member Whitehill. To me this
4	framework flows well, the development coordination
5	adoption. I mean, that is a good process.
6	And if we are not locked into this and I
7	think implementing a process is also a process, right.
8	Because you start with your basic framework, and you
9	might as you actually use it, there might be tweaks you
10	need to make along the way.
11	MS. JONES: I have a question. Some of you
12	mentioned that there were some Board members Member
13	Jones for the record. Can you hear me? Way in the back.
14	
15	So it has been mentioned several times that
16	there were some Board members who this was discussed with
17	prior. Was it like who were those Board members? Was
18	it a committee that it was addressed to?
19	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I know
20	that a conversation that I had with Mr. Wilson was over
21	insurance compliance. So it would have been something
22	that would have been handled in the Insurance Committee.
23	MS. JONES: Makes sense. And then, you said
24	some Board members. So who were the others?
25	MR. GONZALEZ: So I wasn't this is the first

1	time I'm seeing this process, and that is why I was
2	asking. I don't want to reinvent the wheel, so to speak.
3	
4	And so, that is why I was asking if this mimics
5	kind of what DMV does. Obviously, it works for them. And
6	so, I just didn't want for us to be kind of creating our
7	own process. If it works for one, it should work for
8	another.
9	So I am not sure I agree with all of the steps
10	and the nuances, but I do agree with the overall idea.
11	This is the first time I have seen this.
12	MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, David Richards.
13	General Counsel.
14	Members, if you see issues with the policies,
15	some edits or changes that you want to make, you can do
16	that here, right now, and then vote on the modified
17	process.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I want to say for the
19	record, as presiding officer, I did discuss this as a
20	process for policy making. My concern has always been
21	where is our policy on, for example, grant violations.
22	Where is our policy on certain issues that come
23	up in regards to Task Forces? In regards to insurance?
24	We don't even have a mechanism.

So one of the agenda items that was going to be

25

placed was to adopt the policy that was here. And what I want is, again, inclusiveness. Before we adopt any policy, that we first discuss it, and that there is a mechanism to bring a draft so that we can implement that policy. Not that we bring this policy and we just have to go on it.

This is the reason that this came about, as far as process. Not for adopting any policy. But I did discuss, as I discussed other issues with the Director in regards to MVCPA.

You know, there has got to be mechanism in place, so that we can get better at doing our job here.

So this is part of it. And this is why we brought it up.

Again, inclusiveness. Make sure there is a process before we adopt any policies, before this Board adopts any policies. And there has got to be something.

This mechanism is good, because as anybody that comes to be a presiding officer or any position, there is a start date, and an end date when we all leave. We have to make this better. And if this hasn't been done, we have to get it done.

MS. JONES: I agree with you. Just, we are using the word "inclusiveness." We just need to make sure the entire Board is included.

And that goes back to my statement earlier

today that we need to be more efficient and effective as a total Board. And not have like certain types of discussions, and then we get here, and I don't feel I have enough time to discuss.

But we are not going to sit here to go over every single item, and discuss. So I just think that maybe having a different type of process to make sure that

what we are saying included, that it is inclusive.

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, I mean, again --

MS. JONES: And I understand the insurance aspect. Definitely, I would definitely defer to you with insurance. I am just saying in general, that it would have been great to be -- having been included, instead of having the discussion here.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, the thing is, whenever we bring something to this table, this is where we bring it.

To discuss it here.

MS. JONES: I understand that, but I am still not prepared. I understand everyone's position. But we can't just sit here -- I mean, I can't sit here and just start reading this, to hold the Board up, for me to formulate what needs to be done.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

MS. JONES: So we can proceed with voting, because we know how it is going to go. Let's just vote.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I am going to entertain a motion on this item. But I just want to make things clear here, that this is the reason why we bring agenda items to this Board, to be able to discuss it in public.

2.3

We can't be going back and forth on issues in regards to an agenda item, and then come back and say, you know what, I was not included. And for now, I want to say that this is why this was written.

We can tweak it. We are not saying this is what is going to be. And that is why we were here as a Board.

MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman. David Richards, General Counsel. One consideration I think you need to take in thinking about a motion and a vote is, the audit begins October.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Uh-huh.

MR. RICHARDS: We don't know if we are going to meet before then. And having this in place looks better, I think. And as you say, and as is the case, this can be modified along the way.

But I think you need to have a framework in place. If for no other reason, when the SAO looks into the MVCPA, they see this document, that you have got a roadmap, if you will, that can be tweaked along the way on how you are approaching policy development as a board.

1	So I mean, I would encourage a vote today, just
2	to have this in place to look better for the audit. Thank
3	you.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, any other questions?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia, do we have any
7	comments?
8	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. This is an action item.
10	I will now entertain a motion on Agenda Item 4.
11	MS. WHITEHILL: Mr. Chairman, Member Whitehill.
12	I move that the MVCPA adopt the process, outlined on page
13	65 of the meeting book, to develop and implement policies
14	that clearly separate the policymaking responsibilities of
15	MVCPA and the management responsibilities of Texas DMV.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I have a motion from
17	Member Whitehill. Do I have a second?
18	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I second.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: A motion has been made. Is
20	there any further discussion?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
23	for a vote. All those in favor of the motion, say aye.
24	MS. BROTHERTON: Aye.
25	MR. GONZALEZ: Aye.

1	MR. SMITH: Aye.
	-
2	MS. WHITEHILL: Aye.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All opposed, nay.
4	MS. JONES: Nay.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
6	Mike Rodriguez, vote aye. The motion passes.
7	(Pause.)
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, we'll now move to
9	Agenda Item 5, the MVCPA Directors Report. Mr. Wilson and
10	MVCPA staff will present this agenda item.
11	MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Bryan
12	Wilson for the record.
13	Just, we had a wonderful intern that worked
14	diligently on several big projects for MVCPA this year.
15	And I apologize. I will forward the letter that she wrote
16	with the next Board update.
17	But she really or maybe Joe will. I had
18	better get Joe to do that. So but you know, she
19	translated a lot of she re-labeled our commercials with
20	our common so, you know, for 30 years, we have been
21	doing commercials and getting things. We have been doing
22	television commercials, radio spots.
23	So now we have that ready to go. Instead of
24	everywhere it said Automobile Burglary and Theft
25	Prevention Authority, we put Motor Vehicle Crime

Prevention Authority. Because those are all our copyrighted materials.

2.3

She did a script for one of them that

everybody -- a lot of people like and remember from years

ago. Where it says, she loves me, she loves me not, as

this guy is walking down the street checking door handles.

And she did the script in Chinese, so that we can

hopefully, later on, pay a translation service to re-dub

the film in Chinese.

And it was a really exciting time for her. And she got to do insurance letters, with maybe a little few mistakes along the way, but we did really good. But we got it all fixed, thank goodness.

But she learned a lot about mail merge and all kinds of -- the work that we do working with law enforcement and supporting law enforcement roles. So it was pretty exciting.

And then of course, there is another mention on page 79. And then, each time, as you know, on page 80, we provide you an update of the budget. Sorry about the text being a little small this time. I guess I didn't get my editor glasses on in time.

But remember, at any given time, we are running two or three budgets, right. So every time we do these Board meetings, you are going to get, you know, either the

two prior, or the current one. So we will be doing '22 and '23. We just finished paying out all of our '21 money, and so that is why it is falling off.

2.3

And then by the time we get to the, you know, halfway through the next year, we will start doing '24. So but anyway, if there is any questions about the budget, or personnel, I will entertain those at this time.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, any questions?
(No response.)

MR. WILSON: I am going to turn it over to Joe for some of these others.

MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady. If I could just briefly go back to page 79, to personnel. It is fitting that this is Dr. Wilson's last Board meeting.

At his first Board meeting when he started with MVCPA, back then ABTPA, was at this very same hotel, at a TAVTI Conference, which there was an MVCPA Board meeting held at the location as well, during that same week. So it is just full circle.

And if I could move forward, direct your attention to page 81, grant activities analysis. Listed here are the current fiscal year, from year to date on the activities of grantees was performed. There is still going to be some more coming in. It is not complete for the fourth quarter, obviously. Grantees are still

conducting those activities.

2.3

One significant is 68(a), the confidential VIN inspections. How there is likely going to be a significant increase in those, when they come about, when the total numbers are tallied for this year. And that is going to be significant in some other reports, as far as training.

Because obviously, that is the way that investigators and officers are able to conduct these inspections. They have to receive training to be authorized to one, have the knowledge to do so, and have access to the databases that are required to be used during those inspections.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Real quick, Joe.

MR. GONZALEZ: Yes.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I am sorry for interrupting. We are going to go ahead and take a ten minute break. There is some of our guys who need to check out.

It is already 12:00. So before they get charged, let's take a ten minute break. Check out, come back, and then we will resume. It is 12:11. We will be back at 12:21. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: We're going to reconvene. The internet is down. So we are going to go a little bit out

1	of order on this.
2	We are going to go into Executive Session under
3	Section 551.071, and Section 551.074. It is 12:31.
4	MR. WILSON: And you all can stay here.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I want to say 30, 45 minutes.
6	MR. WILSON: Members, we will need to go to the
7	room, then.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
9	MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, do you need anybody
10	else back with you?
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We will be asking Mr. David
12	Richards to join us, and that will be about it.
13	(Whereupon, at 12:31 p.m., the meeting was
14	recessed, to reconvene this same day, Tuesday, August 23,
15	2022, following conclusion of the executive session.)
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. It's 1:18, and we are
17	back in session. And we are going to take up Item 7.
18	Do I have a motion on Item 7? It is an action
19	item from Executive Session.
20	MS. JONES: Sharon Jones, for the record. I
21	move that the MVCPA Board request that the new Director
22	position be posted as a Director 6.
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a second?
24	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, I second.
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I will now call for the vote.

1	All those in favor, say aye.
2	(A chorus of ayes.)
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All opposed, say nay.
4	(No response.)
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
6	Mike Rodriguez, vote aye. The motion passes.
7	We have a second action item under Agenda Item
8	7.
9	MS. JONES: I move that the MVCPA Board request
10	that two of its members serve with the hiring and interview
11	process. And then, there is a two interview process to
12	replace the new Director.
13	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I second.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
15	Jones, and a second from Member Brotherton. Any questions?
16	
17	(No response.)
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All those in favor of the
19	motion, signify by saying aye.
20	(A chorus of ayes.)
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All those opposed, nay.
22	(No response.)
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
24	Mike Rodriguez, vote aye. The motion passes. Okay.
25	We will now continue with the report from Joe

Canady.

1.3

2.3

MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady. We left on page 81, referring to page 82. This is connected with the grant activities and analysis. Yesterday and today it has been discussed from -- about the grantee presentations from Houston PD, their presentation in reference to the catalytic converters.

On page 82, there is a letter from -- or an article written from Lieutenant Chapa with Harris County, their Task Force Commander, in reference to the catalytic converters. The successes that the Task Force has set up there with Houston PD, a number of other state and federal agencies, and local agencies from the area that are working on the issue with catalytic converters. How much their successes have meant to them in reference to the loss of Deputy Almendarez.

I mean, it just really means a lot to them, having people that are involved in this, you know, off the streets, and in jail. And now, they are of course, seeking prosecution. On the page 83, I would draw your attention to that.

In 2009, and this is from Commander Dana Hitzman from Houston PD. In 2009, Henry Canales was killed during an undercover operation. They were, I believe, buying stolen goods involving a burglary of motor vehicles on a

large scale.

1.3

2.3

Later this month, next month, the City of

Houston is naming their marine unit after Henry Canales.

The family has been invited. And they just want to do this as a tribute, and a remembrance for him.

Also with that, Henry Canales' partner in working undercover has recently transferred out. He was the last one in that unit that that was there while Henry was.

And finally, with them, the Houston PD has a prosecutor assigned to their grant, part-time funded. And that prosecutor has done such a good job, she is now being transferred out of being associated with their Task Force. So they are excited for her and excited about the new opportunities with having a new prosecutor come in.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I just, one quick comment on the letter from Lieutenant Chapa. You know, this was a response to Deputy Almendarez.

I just want to say that, you know, in several conversations that I had with Houston PD, and the other task forces around that area, you know, they reacted in a way that -- you know, it is just very commendable. They came together, and they did an outstanding investigation. An investigation that took down, as you can see, 445 catalytic converters.

You know, this was the issue. This continues being the issue in that area. But I want to say that the one thing that we can take from this is that when we work together, this is the end result.

1.3

2.3

And we need to continue working as a team, especially in areas where we have several task forces, neighboring task forces with each other. And that we know each other, and we care for what we do.

But I do want to say that he is -- that the murder that happened on that night. The suspects that murdered Deputy Almendarez, they are hearing us now. And we are coming.

And we are coming to get all of them. We are not going to stop. And continue having these conversations with Dana, and making sure that we don't stop.

And the same thing, when Henry Canales was murdered. There are several that are sitting here right now that personally knew Henry Canales. And they still remember him as a great guy. You know, that shouldn't have happened. But this is what we do, undercover, talking to CIs, talking to people that are just dangerous people, to make sure that we get the job done.

And I just want to commend the area Task Forces for the Houston area, Harris County, and Lieutenant Chapa for taking the lead on this. And I just want to make sure

that we continue these efforts to continue combating this catalytic converter, auto theft, burglary of motor vehicles, and all the other stuff that comes with our job description for under MVCPA. So thank you for that.

And we will continue in conversations with Lieutenant Chapa. Because we do want to bring back the family for Deputy Almendarez to give them something under the MVCPA.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman. Dean Smith. From the insurance perspective, with catalytic converters, a lot of the insurance carriers now are experiencing -- and I am sure a lot of the Task Force Commanders are aware of this.

2.3

But a lot of the insurance carriers are experiencing unscrupulous vendors selling replacement catalytic converters and charging a core charge, knowing that that catalytic converter was stolen. We all know that that drives prices up. Insurance premiums go up.

And it continues to be a problem. There has been some talk about that, about how to address parts, manufacturers, and or resellers. Even dealerships that are doing this.

I don't know how widespread it is, but we have received numerous complaints about it, at least, within our carrier, about this occurring. And so, I don't know what

the answer is to that just yet. But I wanted to bring it to the Board's attention.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Smith. Director Wilson.

1.3

2.3

MR. WILSON: Thank you. I appreciate that. I know the legislative hearing audio that I sent you a few weeks ago, the Criminal Justice Committee was looking into that specific issue.

Houston presented their model policy that is now a city ordinance there in Houston. That you can't -- you just can't have a catalytic converter. And so, I know we have talked about that with Dana Hitzman in the past. And I think we will expect to see some changes in the introduction in the legislation to address some of those issues you just raised.

So thank you for getting that on record and reminding us. That is a big part of not -- just remember, our statutory authority is for economic auto theft. It is not just for the theft of them itself. It is for the whole enterprise that takes place that causes and drives the system.

So it is something to keep in mind, even as we are talking about future grant considerations. It is not just the theft or the burglary, or the crime. It is the economic impact of that throughout the system.

1	So the next report, as you well know that the
2	grants themselves under your authority are a contract. And
3	so, just, I am going to have Gresham just kind of go over
4	quickly, at a really high level, on page 84, the
5	modifications to your contract that took place under the
6	grant administrative manual.
7	MR. KAY: Mr. Chairman, Board members. Gresham
8	Kay.
9	Since the last Board meeting on June 8th, there
10	have been twelve budget change grant adjustments and three
11	program changes. We provide the list here, as Director
12	Wilson said, on pages 84 and 85.
13	For example if you will notice, for example,
14	with Harris County, sometimes there won't be a change to
15	the overall budget. But based on our grant administration
16	manual, if you are moving money into a restricted account,
17	like equipment, an explanation would need to be provided,
18	and that is why there was a grant adjustment there.
19	So this is a list of recent grant adjustments.
20	And if there are any questions?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any questions? Board Members?
23	(No response.)
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No questions.
25	MR. KAY: And if we can go to the next page, I

will just provide a brief overview for education and marketing. So the MVCPA continues to use social media such as Facebook and Twitter. We share information relevant to vehicle crimes from grantee agencies of the Task Force.

1.3

2.3

And as part of that, as we were discussing earlier, the PEPA grant which is now beginning will include digital targeted advertising. And we also continue to send promotional materials to the Task Forces when they have events, and they request those materials from us.

I think Joe will do the law enforcement update.

MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady. Page 87, with the law enforcement training report. Currently, the MVCPA has three classes that are approved for training by MVCPA officers, approved for TCO credit: the intermediate motor vehicle theft training, the advanced motor vehicle theft training, and the recently added class of the vehicle finance fraud that Houston PD developed, and we brought on board to be approved for TCO credit as an MVCPA course.

During this fourth quarter, there was an intermediate class that was successfully performed in El Paso. There was a lot of other area agencies from New Mexico. El Paso works in collaboration with agencies over there on a regular basis because of the close proximity to the border.

1 There was other border agencies, MVCPA Task 2 Forces that were in attendance. It was a very well class. 3 And the other for this fourth quarter was 4 vehicle finance fraud in Travis County. We are looking at 5 recreating that in other areas of the state. It is very 6 important for these dealerships to partner with law 7 enforcement to recognize situations where there are vehicles that are -- individuals that are purchasing 8 9 vehicles from our dealership fraudulently. 10 And often, by the time it is found out, it is 11 too late, and they can't be found. And that vehicle has 12 been exported out of the country, or sold to an innocent 1.3 purchaser. 14 At the bottom, or almost at the bottom, this is 15 upcoming training, the tentative schedule. We are working 16 on trying to get dates lined out. We will be reaching out 17 to get approval, and guidance with that. And then at the very bottom is the NICB training 18 19 class that is scheduled at South Padre Island. 20 may be already full. It is a very popular class for a lot 21 of the officers out there. 22 Any questions? 2.3 (No response.) 24 MR. CANADY: Thank you, members. 25 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. No questions.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, thank you for the 1 2 opportunity to serve this Board, and the law enforcement 3 community, throughout the State of Texas. That concludes 4 our report. 5 I am personally grateful for having known each 6 one of you. But also, these communities that are 7 represented behind me, and some listening online. It has 8 been a honor to serve in this capacity. I am very grateful for the opportunity and the blessings that I have received 9 since I have been here. 10 11 As Joe said, full circle. October 15, 2014, I 12 walked into this building for the first time, for my first 1.3 day on the job. I hadn't even been to the headquarters. 14 And I walked into this room. 15 And I met you, Mike, and I met Joe, and Tommy 16 Wooley, and Tommy Hanson. And we started an adventure 17 together. And I am very grateful to end up back here. 18 So thank you. 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Bryan. 20 So we now go to Agenda Item 8. Public comment. 21 We have two. 22 Reed Stacey. 2.3 MR. STACEY: For the record, Reed Stacey, 24 Detective with Dallas PD, and also, Past President with 25 TAVTI. Currently, as Past President with TAVTI, I serve as our site committee chairperson. That responsibility is setting up conferences throughout the state for our annual training.

1.3

2.3

One thing that was presented by the Board in kind of a side conversation was maybe looking into a future training, you know, hosted by the authorities themselves.

And I would offer this. You know, training a weeklong conference, it is expensive. You know, when we come into a facility like this, we are bringing almost \$80- to \$100,000 in revenue for that week.

In the past, and as Commissioner Wilson mentioned, he spoke of the very first time he met here. It was at a TAVTI conference, where ABTPA at the time was having a kind of a -- they call them a one-day training seminar, where maybe some grant writing was discussed, and some other topics. You know, whatever was set by the Authority's agenda.

So what I would do is, if you guys want, I can go back to my board and see if that is something we can engage in, in the future. We already have a couple of conferences set up, but we have space set aside that is already covered, where it is no more money out of your pocket for setting up a Monday's type of deal where you know, it could be offered up, where you invite your grantees.

And I know there is a lot of change. You know,
I have been part of this for 15 years. But if I look at
this room, I see a lot of people that have been here for
under four years.

1.3

2.3

And with that said, over all these years, you know, through budget cuts and stuff like that, some of the grant-writing stuff, or the public awareness type of training classes may have not been provided to the newest faces. So with that said, I would like to maybe give that as an option for you guys, so where you all could partner back up at our annual conferences, and you know host something on you all's Monday type of event.

With that too -- because it is all about networking and we know that, right. We are real good as an individual, but we are a lot better as a team. And if we get together again in that same mindset, where the grantees and our actual association -- it is about 90 percent.

Ninety percent of the grantees are a part of our association. But there is still probably ten to maybe even more percent that aren't, and aren't privy to some of the things and contacts that we have.

And in no means would we look to set the agenda. That would be up to Mr. Canady and the staff, or whoever is running it at that time.

But moving forward, we are already obligated.

You know, we will be down in Corpus in another month. And then, we will be in Dallas in '24. I am flying out to El Paso in two weeks to meet with four venues.

And if it is something that you guys want to do,
I have got to just know that, because I have to set aside
some extra space for you guys. But you know, I would love
to be engaged with you guys and, you know, maybe address
this with our Board. Because even as Commanders cycle out,
we have also started noticing that a lot of our boots on
the ground, our detectives, there are new faces every year.

1.3

2.3

And I was just speaking with the Lieutenant earlier. Our position in auto theft is, it is always — it is a trend that is always moving forward. And a lot of these new detectives, they are like on a three- and a five-year, you know, cycle.

Well, to be an active detective, it takes you probably five years to truly know your job. So with that said, we have to expedite our training, and get them a lot more, a lot quicker, where I had the ability to lean on senior guys. That is not the case.

You know, you can look around. And the seniority in auto theft, it is really drying up. I don't know if it is just law enforcement in general, we are not sticking around as long, or if maybe guys don't want to

stay in one profession within the law enforcement. Or is it PDs that are developing policies and procedures that is affecting the tenure of those detectives.

Me personally, in Dallas, if a new guy comes into my unit, moving forward, he has got five years, and he is out. In my opinion, that is problematic in the future.

1.3

2.3

Because as a larger agency within the state, I have the ability, or the obligation really, to help a smaller PD in either identifying trends or vehicles, and stuff like that. But if I am a three-year Dallas detective in the salvage unit, how is somebody from another PD going to have the confidence within me, to give them the proper direction, when in two more years, I am gone.

So it is something to -- I know, me and the Lieutenant had a little side talk, that maybe the Board may want to look at, you know, addressing some of these things. That little five year in and out stuff.

If I am a patrol officer and I leave, and I am detective for five years, they are going to kick me back to patrol after that five-year stint. How am I going to be that good, effective patrol officer? Or do I lose my CO set as a patrol officer, too.

You know, there is a lot of things. There is a lot of, you know, things to be looked at.

1 But going back to the simple topic. I would 2 love to help you guys, as maybe setting up a training 3 space, or something like that. That way, you could save a 4 lot of money. 5 Because we are all very short on time, as 6 detectives, too. We can't spend too much time away from 7 the office. If you open up a whole new annual training, 8 well, that is more time away from the office, where maybe we could all collaborate together within one time frame. 9 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. But you said it was --11 2024, you said it was Dallas? 12 MR. STACEY: Yes, sir. So sorry. So this 1.3 year's, 2022, will be down in Corpus. And in 2023, we will 14 be in Dallas. I am going out to El Paso for 2024. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 16 MR. STACEY: And then possibly '25 in Houston. 17 We are trying to get things lined up ahead of schedule. That way, if I walk away, the next guy is okay. 18 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. And I think a lot of the 20 training that I am talking about that we need to bring back 21 is, we used to have anything you know, transnational 22 organizations connected to auto theft. That is not in your 2.3 go-to training for auto theft. 24 The other thing is grant writing, you know, 25 quidance. On how to, you know, go about even the grant

adjustment, things like that, that sometimes, you know, I think most of the Task Forces that do that engage in conversations with staff.

1.3

2.3

But if there is somebody that is new coming into your department, they can always send them to get that knowledge as to how MVCPA works. As you can see, we have 17 different jurisdictions that are just having a hard time getting past that process. Because MVCPA is very particular in how you are going to be getting granted that money.

So I am in conversations, you know, here and there, with what we can do. At the end of the day, it is the networking that we are looking after, to make sure that there is somebody out there that can do catalytic converter investigations really well, that we have a training for that.

If somebody can do temp tags investigations really well, that we have a training for that, too. Social media investigations. Facebook, right now. Snapchat is killing us. But there is a lot of that going on, and we just don't know.

So fraudulent titles, and stuff like that. I know we do go with this. But there is trainings out there that we never go back and touch. So it is a good thing.

And thank you for offering that. I think that

1 is something that we can have a better conversation as to 2 how we can go about it, with the Board. 3 And again, thank you for that. MR. STACEY: I would encourage y'all to look at 4 5 the agenda for this coming year. Tracy Hicks with Houston 6 PD, he will be speaking on catalytic converters. And also, 7 social media investigations, and things like that. 8 But for sure, just, you know, I will be in contract. And same, likewise. If there is anything we can 9 10 do as an association to assist you guys. 11 I know there is training. Anytime you set up a 12 conference, the biggest hurdle you have to tackle is 1.3 appeasing the attendees, right. If I am a 23-year veteran, I don't need to know or sit through the same class as a 14 15 two-year rookie would. So having those different avenues, and 16 17 breakouts, and stuff like that, it is always important. Thank you. 18 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Another public comment from 20 Tommy Hansen. 21 Thank you. Tommy Hansen. Some of MR. HANSEN: 22 this is a little bit related to the scoring thing on the 2.3 agenda, but I wanted to add some stuff to it. 24 But on Mr. Canales, I had the very honor of 25 working with Henry Canales for many, many years.

some undercover operations, and a lot of things. And we were also friends.

1.3

2.3

He and I were both drag racers. I still am.

And I had the honor of towing his race car in his funeral.

So God bless Henry. In fact, his picture and name is on the side of my car.

When we were talking about the scoring stuff, I wanted to kind of touch on things, some historical stuff.

Excuse me. I just had eye surgery. Sometime I can see with these. Sometimes, I can't see crap without them.

So the scoring thing has come up time after time after time. And one of the problems with being too exact with it is it is an impossible task, because the Task Forces are so logistically different where they are located geographically, demographically.

It is kind of hard to expect Houston PD or
Harris County to go all the way to assist the Victoria
Police Department on something, and driving hundreds of
miles. We live in Texas, guys. Hundreds of miles to go
assist anybody to do anything. We still do it, but it is
one of those things that makes it very different.

So that is why we have some of the Task Forces that are more smaller, but don't have the theft numbers that some of the larger agencies are going to have. Yet they play a very important role.

1 Some of the examples of that are a couple of 2 groups that work the border. They don't have a big theft 3 problem. But every day, they are dealing with our thefts, 4 your thefts, everybody else's. 5 So if we base funding on certain things, and we 6 stick to that, it doesn't work. And then, you wind up 7 hurting us, and putting us back to where we were before. 8 Do we need new programs? Absolutely, we do. 9 Midland/Odessa has been on the table for years. They have 10 got a major problem. But the only way to fund 11 Midland/Odessa right now would be to eliminate somebody in 12 this room. 1.3 That is why getting our funding is very, very 14 important, on all of that. I can't stress that. 15 One thing that has made this program -- and Mike, you have mentioned it several times -- as successful, 16 17 is regardless of the size of the Task Force, for the most part. There was an incident with one area geographically, 18 19 I am familiar with. The Task Forces talk to each other all 20 the time. All the time. 21 In fact, I can tell you, the analyst at our Task 22 Force, Ms. Gina back there, gets calls from Task Forces all 2.3 over the state of Texas looking for help. So it is

So but the only way we can fund new programs is

24

25

phenomenal work.

to penalize somebody. And we can't do that. We cannot go that route.

1.3

2.3

Stats only -- if you look at theft stats only, we would be back to 1991, with only the large police departments having funding. If we based everything solely on theft stats. But you have got recoveries. You have got agency assist.

God knows how all of us, no matter what the size is, dealing with 68(a) inspections. That is a nightmare, but it is a necessary evil.

And I would like to remind everybody that every citizen in the State of Texas that drives a car, well, that pays insurance and drives a car pays for this. They pay for this service. And they also pay for it from the insurance industry, with policies going up, because of they are having to eat all the thefts.

So I just wanted to just say this is not new, but we can't paint ourselves into a corner and get too strict with the scoring. Now, obviously, there is minimal things that you have to be able to do, and record-keeping, and all of those things.

And you can't have an agency that has got two thefts and one recovery, I get that. But I think every one, most of all the Task Forces that we have now, even down to the smallest one, play an extremely valuable role

in what we do every day.

1.3

2.3

And I will guarantee you, there is not a Task Force in this room that at any point in time -- and we talked about this, some of them, today -- would say, I would like to have another \$300,000. But if it comes at the expense of my fellow Task Force officer four counties over, we will make it work.

Because they don't want to penalize them.

Because you know why. Because they are going to have to call them tomorrow for assistance on something, or vice versa.

So we all work together. And that is what has made this program successful. Because unfortunately, in a lot of law enforcement arenas, not everybody plays well in the sandbox.

And I'll just leave it at that. But in the auto theft arena, that is not the case. And it has never been the case, as long as I have been doing this.

As long as I have been doing -- in fact, my guy at the FBI office tells everybody that I have been in law enforcement so long, when I started, the Dead Sea was only sick. Got to put a humor to this.

But the bottom line is, that is what has made auto theft different is we talk to each other. And we always have, always have. And so that plays a part in the

1	scoring, so I just wanted to bring up from a perspective in
2	the streets about some thought process. And that is it.
3	So thank you very much. God bless you all. God bless Mr.
4	Wilson. And what have you got yourself into. Any
5	questions, comments for me?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. HANSEN: Thank you very much.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Tommy.
9	Yessenia, any other public comments?
10	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No. Okay.
12	Agenda Item number 9, adjournment. I will now
13	entertain a motion to adjourn this meeting.
14	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I move to close
15	this meeting of the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention
16	Authority.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a second?
18	MS. JONES: Second. Sharon Jones.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
20	Gonzalez. A second from Member Jones. All in favor, say
21	aye.
22	(A chorus of ayes.)
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: This meeting is adjourned.
24	(Whereupon, at 1:50 p.m., the meeting was
25	concluded.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 MEETING OF: Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority 3 San Marcos, Texas 4 LOCATION: 5 DATE: August 23, 2022 6 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 7 numbers 1 through 145, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording 8 9 made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the 10 Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. 11 12 DATE: September 6, 2022 13 14 15 16 17 /s/ Carol Bourgeois (Transcriber) 18 19 20 On the Record Reporting 21 7703 N. Lamar Blvd. #515 22 Austin, Texas 78752 23 24