### TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

# MOTOR VEHICLE CRIME PREVENTION AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

Texas Department of Motor Vehicles
4000 Jackson Avenue
Lone Star Room
Austin, Texas 78731

9:00 a.m. Tuesday, November 29, 2022

# BOARD MEMBERS:

Miguel "Mike" Rodriguez, Chair Charla K. Brotherton Rebecca Cantu-Serrano Julio C. Gonzalez Sharon Jones Patrick D. "Dean" Smith Katherine "Kit" Whitehill (absent)

#### STAFF:

Joe Canady, Interim Director David Richards, General Counsel

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

# I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM PA		PAGE	
1.	Roll	Call and Establishment of Quorum	6
2.	Pled	ges of Allegiance - U.S. and Texas	6
3.	Chai A.	r's Reports - Chairman Rodriguez Approval of Transcript as Minutes (August 23, 2022)	7
	C. D.	B. Comments from Chairman Commendations and Congratulations Consider Committee Appointments	7 10 10
4.	MVCP. A. B. C. D. E. F. G.	A Director's Report Personnel Budget Grant Activities and Analysis Grant Adjustments Educational Programs and Marketing Assessment, Collection, Refund Activities Agency Operations and Collaboration with TxDMV Divisions Law Enforcement Operations and TxDMV Collaboration	11
5.	Comm A. B. C. D. F. G. H.	A Grants Budget and Reporting (GBR) ittee Report - (BRIEFING AND ACTION ITEMS) FY 2023 Budget (ACTION ITEM) Rapid Response Strikeforce Grant Award to City of Arlington (BRIEFING ONLY) FY 2024 - 2025 grant scoring and award determination process (ACTION ITEM) Grant Violation Documentation, Notification, and Determination Policy (ACTION ITEM) Taskforce Vehicle Purchase and Lease Policy (ACTION ITEM) FY 2024 - 2025 Plan of Operation (ACTION ITEM) FY 2024 - 2025 Request for Application and Grant Timeline (ACTION ITEM) Grantee Presentations Made to Grant Budget and Reporting Committee (November 4, 2022) (BRIEFING ONLY) Progress Report Definitions and Data Collected (BRIEFING ONLY) Prosecutor Awareness of Motor Vehicle Crimes (BRIEFING ONLY)	11 13 15 22 26 28 46 57 65
	K. L.	DNA Testing (BRIEFING ONLY) Buying a Used Vehicle Resource (BRIEFING	69

	ONLY)	74
6.	State Auditor's Office Update (BRIEFING ONLY)	77
7.	Public Education and Public Awareness (PEPA) Grant Update (BRIEFING ONLY)	79
8.	CLOSED SESSION The Board may enter into closed session under one or more of the following provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Government Code, Chapter 551: Section 551.071 Section 551.074 Section 551.090	92
9.	Action Items from Executive Session	92
10.	Public Comment	93
11.	Adiournment	98

2.3

# PROCEEDINGS

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. My name is Mike Rodriguez, and I am pleased to open the meeting of the Board of the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority. It is 9:02 a.m., and I am now calling the Board meeting for November 29, 2022, to order.

I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting containing all items on the agenda was filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on November 18, 2022.

Before we begin today's meeting, please place all cell phones and other communication devices in a silent mode. And please, as a courtesy to others, do not carry on side conversations, or other activities in the meeting room.

I want to welcome those who are with us for today's Board meeting. If you wish to address the Board or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please complete a speakers sheet at the registration table. Please identify the specific item you are interested in commenting on, your name and address, and whether you are representing anyone or speaking for yourself. If your comments do not pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting.

In accordance with the Department's administrative rule, comments to the Board will be limited to three minutes. To assist each speaker, a timer has been provided at the podium. The timer light will be green for the first two minutes, yellow for one minute. And then red when your time is up.

2.3

Individuals cannot accumulate time from other speakers. Comments should be pertinent to the issues stated on the comment sheet. When addressing the Board, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

There are a few things that will assist in making the meeting run smoother, and assist the court reporter in getting an accurate record. Please identify yourselves before speaking. Speak clearly and slowly and do not speak over others. And please ask the Chairman for permission to speak, and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

I would like to thank our court reporter, who is transcribing this meeting.

Before we begin today, I would like to remind all presenters, and those in attendance, of the rules of conduct at our Board meetings. The Board Chair has authority to supervise the conduct of meetings. This includes the authority to determine when a speaker is being disruptive of the meeting or is otherwise violating

1	the timing or presentation rules that I just discussed.
2	And now, I would like to have a roll call of
3	the Board members. Please respond verbally when I call
4	your name.
5	Member Jones.
6	MS. JONES: Present.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Whitehill.
8	(No response.)
9	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Smith.
10	MR. SMITH: Present.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzales.
12	MR. GONZALEZ: Present.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Brotherton.
14	MS. BROTHERTON: Present.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Cantu-Serrano.
16	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: Present.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And then, let the record
18	reflect that I, Mike Rodriguez, am here too. We have a
19	quorum.
20	Please stand and honor our country and state
21	with the pledges of allegiance. Member Dean Smith will
22	now lead us in the U.S. pledge.
23	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
24	recited.)
25	(Whereupon, a pledge to the Texas flag was

1	recited.)
2	MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you Member Smith, for
3	leading us in the U.S. Pledge of Allegiance, and the Texas
4	pledge. We will now move on to Item (c), approval of
5	transcript from our August 23, 2022, meeting as minutes.
6	Members, if everyone has reviewed the
7	transcript, would anyone like to make a motion?
8	MS. JONES: Member Jones. I move that the
9	Board adopt the transcript and minutes from the August 23,
10	2022, meeting.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there a second?
12	MR. SMITH: Member Smith. I second.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there any further
14	discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
17	for the vote. All those in favor of the motion, say aye.
18	All opposed, say nay.
19	(A chorus of ayes.)
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
21	Mike Rodriguez, vote aye. The motion passes.
22	Comments from the Board Chairman. Ladies and
23	gentlemen, Grand Prairie police officer Brandon Tsai died
24	in the line of duty on November 14, after an accident from
ı	

the pursuit of a vehicle while the vehicle was displaying

25

fictitious paper license plates. Investigations revealed that the suspect was a wanted fugitive with eight outstanding warrants from multiple jurisdictions in North Texas.

Please join me in a moment of silence for Officer Brandon Tsai.

(Pause.)

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: The use of fictitious license plates, whether metal or paper, has long been an issue for law enforcement. We know that criminals use fictitious license plates to conceal the identity of stolen vehicles, and on vehicles used in the commission of crimes.

Texas DMV has responded to law enforcement with positive efforts to deter the use of fraudulent temporary tags. A redesign of temporary tags has been implemented, and will contain additional security elements to allow officers to quickly recognize and confirm when a tag is valid.

I commend Texas DMV for the increased coordination and communication to law enforcement concerning temporary tag enhancements in a process to access and receive assistance in obtaining motor vehicle records. I am pleased with the increased coordination, communication, collaborations occurring between MVCPA and other divisions within the Texas DMV.

The MVCPA is not structured to be a standalone agency without increased costs to the program. The Texas DMV can provide resources that MVCPA would not have if it were not for the partnership. I am hopeful for an opportunity for MVCPA to receive additional appropriations during the upcoming legislative session. The Texas DMV has submitted Legislative Appropriations Requests for MVCPA to receive the full 20 percent funding as allowed by statute.

1.3

2.3

I am proud of the work that the taskforces are performing. And the Board understands the additional law enforcement investigators that are needed to keep up with the rising motor vehicle crimes.

Commanders, thank you for all that your taskforces do in combating motor vehicle crimes. Keep up the great work.

Again, I know that Daniel and Roland are here from Texas DMV. Daniel is the Executive Director, Roland, the Deputy Executive Director. And I just want to formally thank them for doing what they do with MVCPA.

When they first got selected to their position, it has been a tremendous change. The communication, the access to information, and the access to any advice, any counseling from them is wide open for MVCPA. And so, I have got to say thank you, Daniel, and Roland, again and

again, for that partnership that you have created between 1 MVCPA and DMV. 3 For the commanders, again, thank you for all 4 I know that there was a presentation a couple of 5 weeks back, and we are going to hear about them through 6 the GBR Committee. So I am hopeful that most of the 7 presentations were right on target. And hopefully, we can 8 move on in trying to get to the goals that we want to get 9 to. 10 I know the mission is hard, with what we have. But I am hopeful that in this coming legislative session, 11 12 we are going to get what we need. And we continue pushing 1.3 forward with what we do. 14 So again, thank you for everyone for being 15 here. And thank you for what you do. 16 Moving on to Agenda Item 3(d), commendations 17 and congratulations. Interim Director Canady. MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. None at this 18 19 time, sir. 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Agenda Item (d), consider 21 committee appointments. 22 MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. None at this 2.3 time. 24 MR. RODRIGUEZ: And the Agenda Item 4.

25

Director's report.

MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, Joe Canady, MVCPA. 1 2 I recommend that since all of the material was 3 in the book on the Director's reports, if any member would 4 like an individual report pulled out, we may do so, and 5 will present, but recommend referring back to the book for 6 that information. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Anyone has any questions on the 8 report? 9 (No response.) 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Moving on. Members, we will now take up Agenda Item 5, MVCPA Grants, Budget, and 11 12 Reporting Committee report. 13 We will now hear from Interim Director, or is 14 it Board member Gonzalez. 15 MR. GONZALEZ: Either one. 16 MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. I will refer 17 to Dan Price. MR. PRICE: Yes. Hi. We did refer this to the 18 19 Grants, Budget, and Reporting Committee several weeks ago. 20 The vacancy for the directorship of the MVCPA was reviewed as far as the position level, and it was 21 22 determined that the level of that position should be 2.3 increased to have somebody with better qualification. 24 That would inherently require an increase in salary. So 25

we are requesting today the transfer of \$16,161 from the

1	advertising budget line into the salary budget line, so
2	that the new MVCPA Director will be paid appropriately and
3	commensurately with their qualifications.
4	This was Dan Price. Sorry.
5	(Pause.)
6	MR. RICHARDS: David Richards, for the record,
7	Mr. Chairman.
8	Dan, you are asking for a motion and a second,
9	and a vote from the Board for the budget transfers.
10	Correct?
11	MR. PRICE: This is Dan Price. Yes, sir.
12	MR. RICHARDS: Okay. Great.
13	MR. PRICE: Thank you.
14	MR. RICHARDS: That is what I thought.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Do I have a motion for
16	the Board to approve the FY '23 budget transfers?
17	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I move that
18	the Board approve the FY '23-'24 budget transfer.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a second?
20	MS. JONES: Second. Member Jones.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion on Agenda Item
22	5(a) from Member Gonzalez. I have a second from Member
23	Jones. Any further discussion?
24	(No response.)
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call

1 for the vote. All in favor, say aye. 2 (A chorus of ayes.) MR. RODRIGUEZ: All opposed? 3 4 (No response.) 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I, 6 Michael Rodriguez vote aye. The motion passes. 7 Director Canady, the briefing on the GBR from the taskforces, is that in the same agenda item 5(a), or 8 9 is it in another agenda item? 10 MR. CANADY: No, sir. That assessment is under 5(h). 11 MR. RODRIGUEZ: 5H, okay. Then we will 12 1.3 continue with 5(b). 14 We will now hear from Interim Director Canady, 15 Grants, Budget, and Reporting Committee Chairman and/or 16 designated staff on Agenda Item 5(b). 17 MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. The Arlington Police Department submitted an 18 19 application for funding through the Rapid Response 20 Strikeforce Grant program. They had identified two areas in their jurisdiction or areas of responsibility with a 68 21 22 percent and a 75 percent increase in motor vehicle thefts 2.3 for the previous year. 24 One of them is the AT&T Stadium, with the 25 sporting events at Cowboys Stadium. And then the mall

across the way, where lots of vehicles are parked, there 1 2 was the increase in vehicle thefts. 3 So, they are proposing to purchase or lease 4 license plate reader cameras in an effort to identify and 5 recover the stolen vehicles in these areas. This grant 6 amount was less than what was required at \$4,560 to go 7 before the GBR Committee Award, however, the City of 8 Arlington is a participating agency. 9 They are not a direct funded agency of MVCPA. 10 They participate with the Tarrant County program. while it wasn't required for GBR approval, I wanted this 11 to go through the Board, through the committee first, for 12 13 approval. 14 And it was -- just so that you are aware, this 15 was awarded to the City of Arlington to attack this 16 problem. And it is within policy for the Rapid Response 17 Strikeforce Grant program. MR. RODRIGUEZ: You said it was a 75 percent 18 19 increase? 20 MR. CANADY: Yes, sir. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Arlington Stadium. Well, this 22 wouldn't take -- it is not an action item for the Board. 2.3 The committee already approved. Correct? 24 MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I just want to say 25 the impact of the Flock system and the ALPRs across the

state have been invaluable to not only our taskforces, but 1 2 really to the state overall. And so we are seeing -- one of the items that we saw over and over that we heard from 3 4 our taskforces was how much value they are getting out of 5 the Flock System, and how often that they are hitting. 6 And so, the addition of the ALPRs to Arlington, you know, 7 we can't encourage enough. 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I want to say it is a hot spot 9 for that area. I am thinking that with this request our 10 area task force is already responding to that 75 percent increase. Correct? 11 12 MR. CANADY: Yes, sir. 13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia, are there any public 14 comments on this? 15 MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir. 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Members. 17 We will now move to the next agenda item. Agenda Item 5(c), FY '24-'25 grant scoring and award 18 19 determination process. We will now take up on Agenda Item 5(c). I 20 will turn the discussion over to Interim Director Joe 21 22 Canady, GBR Committee Chairman Gonzalez, and/or designated 2.3 staff. 24 MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. The Board has

previously identified a need to look at alternative

25

methods against the current scoring system for grantees for the allocation of funds for taskforces.

2.3

The proposed scoring system is basis of need of 51 points, which would be where the need for the jurisdiction -- the number of motor vehicle thefts, the number of motor vehicle burglaries, or fraud-related motor vehicle crime in that jurisdiction gives them a little bit higher score. So, primarily that would be 51 points, the majority of the score available.

It also factors in previous fiscal year performance of taskforces for existing taskforces, on how well they have worked the problem, the number of crimes they prosecuted -- had prosecuted through their prosecutors.

Then it goes on to -- if they are not a task force -- say a new applicant comes in. We want to ask the same questions of that task force or that law enforcement agency. As, how are you working the problem in your jurisdiction, and what can you do with the money or funding, if there was funding available to fund your program?

Then it goes into the budget of ten points.

The other -- in addition to the need, the second important part is reasonable. Is the program reasonable for what they are asking for?

And it could be that they only have two thefts in their jurisdiction. Is that a reasonable thing to fund for a task force? Or it could be that they have a number of activities and proposed goals planned, but only have two or three personnel. So, is that reasonable for them to be able to do those activities to perform to the best of their ability.

2.3

We have also factored in point reductions, target numbers for previous fiscal year. Missed more than 25 percent.

We understand that there is things that happen within the jurisdictions. They may have an increase in the 68A inspections that decreases their ability to do some public awareness events, or reach some of the goals. So they will have the opportunity to adjust that, adjust those goals, identify the needs of what their jurisdiction is, throughout the year.

Factor in extra credit, border jurisdictions should receive an additional five points. Multi agency task forces, five points.

And then, programs that are demonstrating successful fraud-related motor vehicle crime operations and other things, such as the vehicle finance training that is going on in the Houston area. Trying to create and build those partnerships between dealers and law

enforcement to stop some of these vehicle finance fraud purchases that are happening at dealerships.

1.3

2.3

Programs having demonstrated dedication to utilizing technology. If an agency, you know, is already funding an LPR program for their task force, it is not receiving state funds, you know, they are going above and beyond. You know, they recognize that this is needed. So they believe in the program, and they are buying into the program separately.

Border areas that are taskforces that are training state and federal officers on how to identify vehicles as they come through these checkpoints. Overall successes of individual taskforces, that they can demonstrate and prove to us that, you know, they are going above and beyond, you know, to support the program for their communities.

And when it comes through the allocation, again, the top ten applicants would be scored. All applicants would be scored. And the top ten applicants would receive 50 percent of the entire allocated funds.

The remaining applicants would receive a proportionate allocation, based on what is left. I mean, no funding is going to be left behind. This would factor in all of what is budgeted for a taskforce grant to be utilized.

Member Gonzalez, do you have something?

2.3

MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, I want to say that I am very proud of the work that the GBR committee did to put this together. Our primary goal was to get us closer to the statutory mandate, which specifically says grant funds primarily based on the number of motor vehicles stolen in -- and this accomplishes that.

But what we also took into consideration was the accountability factor. And so we made sure that there were pieces in there that held our taskforces accountable for certain items that we feel are important.

And then finally what we wanted to give recognition for was for when taskforces answer the Chairman's call. I know that earlier this year, you know, with catalytic converters, you made the call for our taskforces to attack the problem more aggressively.

And various taskforces did answer that call. So I wanted to make sure that they are recognized for that, and that they are rewarded for that.

In addition to that, you know, we had the issue of temporary tags. And we focused on that. And so really, the driving factor with that is, I want to make sure that what the Board is asking of the taskforces, when our taskforces answer that call, that they are appropriately recognized for that.

So, overall, we think that this accomplishes 1 2 those two driving factors of getting us closer to the 3 statutory mandate, as well as increasing accountability. 4 And being responsible with these funds that we have to 5 allocate. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Gonzalez. 7 Thank you, Mr. Canady. 8 On the 50 percent that you said, it was based 9 on volume. And on that 50 percent is also what they have 10 done historically with the amount of money that has been given to them. 11 12 MR. CANADY: Yes, sir. Past performance is a 1.3 factor. 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do we have a percentage on 15 that? On how much it would impact on that end, as far as 16 the 50 percent? Is it 10 percent? Is it --17 MR. CANADY: We can run an analysis and report back in the February Board meeting, on how that would --18 how would existing funds, versus say, we are funded at 20 19 20 percent. And we can factor in how that would affect the 21 current grantees. 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think it is important that we 23 take a very good look as to what has been done within that 24 jurisdiction.

MR. CANADY: Right.

25

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think it is important that we look at that. You know, we don't want to keep on funding something that is not working.

2.3

You know, because that is an indicator as to does it need more funding? Or is it just because there is nothing being -- there is no change in operations. There is no change in mission. And it is just the status quo on that particular jurisdiction.

So I think it is important that we look into what is it that is working, or not working. And if maybe it is something that it needs more funds to get to the goals that they want to get to, then that is something that we can identify, or the GBR can identify, the Committee can identify. And then, we can base ourselves on that.

Because if we don't have a percentage as to how is it that we are going to look at that, historically, what have they done, and what impact has it been to their community. You know, if the increase keeps on going up, and there is nothing coming down as far as statistics, then we are doing something wrong.

MR. CANADY: Right.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So we need to look at that very closely. So that is just, we can come back to the February meeting, and kind of discuss that.

1	MR. CANADY: That is all I have on that
2	section, Mr. Chairman.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: This is an action item. Are
4	there any public comments?
5	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, do I have a motion on
7	Agenda Item 5(c)?
8	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I move
9	that the Board approve the proposed rate scoring and/or
10	determinations process.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there a second?
12	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I second.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: A motion from Member
14	Brotherton, a second from Member Gonzalez. Is there any
15	further discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
18	for the vote. All those in favor of the motion, say aye.
19	(A chorus of ayes.)
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
21	Mike Rodriguez vote aye. The motion passes.
22	Moving on to Agenda Item 5(d), Grant violation
23	documentation, notification, and determination policy.
24	This is an action item.
25	We will now hear from the Interim Director

Canady, and/or designated staff on this agenda item.

2.3

MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. The grant rules from the authority and from different statutes on all the -- everything that the grantees do as far as submitting reports, meeting deadlines on grant conditions, interlocal agreements, resolutions.

There is different aspects that are involved in submitting the grant all the way through to be able to operate the grant. Like I said, there is rules in place for this. But what there is not rules for is the determination notification and documentation notification and determination policy.

So we are proposing that the items on page 26 through 29 of your Board book will outline the due dates for each report, whether it be a progress report, or an expenditure report. Each grantee is required to submit reports in accordance with the instructions given in the grant administrative manual.

Grantees must submit required reports to MVCPA, also as defined in the administrative manual, before the delinquent status. We are proposing to notify grantees of all the due dates and provide them with a delinquent date.

This is done each month through webinars. It is in emails. It is in the -- again, in the manual. And if they fail to meet these dates, you know, then there is

a process to notify them that this report is due or this condition is due.

1.3

2.3

And if you -- we don't receive it in time, you are subject to a grant violation. But we understand that there are certain situations that could happen. They may have a big crime case, where all their commanders, their investigators are out working to -- working the problem. And they may miss a deadline.

So there is always the option for them to submit a request for extension. So it is not that this is set in stone, that if they don't get this by this date, that they are going to receive a violation. Because we understand there are circumstances.

The same thing with the -- again, we are now on page 28. Or major obligations such as interlocal agreements, and prosecutor agreements. Especially with interlocal agreements. How are -- if the taskforces don't get those turned in and signed by each jurisdiction as part of their taskforce, how are they working together in those other jurisdictions without an interlocal?

So, there is just things that we want to have in place if there becomes a situation or a problem that requires more than just a phone call to take care of.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the expenditure report, on the interlocal agreements, did we visit with the major

1	departments, the bigger departments as far as will 15
2	days suffice for them to get this done?
3	MR. CANADY: Most of them are turning them in
4	during this time. But then, that is where the extension
5	request is allowable, because there may be a situation
6	that we are not aware of.
7	And we want to work with them, for them to be
8	able to meet those deadlines. But if they can't, they can
9	provide justification as to why. Then we can grant them
10	an extension request on an individual basis.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So, we will put these
12	dates up front to them?
13	MR. CANADY: Yes, sir.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any
15	questions?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia, is there any public
18	comments on this?
19	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, do I have a motion on
21	Agenda Item 5(d)?
22	MR. SMITH: Member Smith. Mr. Chairman, I move
23	the Board approve the proposed grant violation
24	documentation notification and determination policy.
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there a second?

MS. JONES: Sharon Jones, second. 1 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member Smith and a second from Member Jones. Is there any 3 further discussion? 4 5 (No response.) 6 Hearing none, I will now call for the vote. 7 All in favor of the motion, say aye. 8 (A chorus of ayes.) 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I, 10 Michael Rodriguez vote aye. The motion passes. 11 Moving on to Agenda Item 5(e), Taskforce 12 vehicle purchase and lease policy. I will turn the 1.3 discussion over to Interim Director Canady, GBR Committee 14 Gonzalez, and/or designated staff. 15 MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. 16 It is -- for a while now, vehicles, whether the 17 taskforces are trying to lease one or purchase one, they are in short supply and high demand. Chip shortages, 18 19 supply chain issues. This all not only makes the vehicles 20 in short supply, but it has increased their values. 21 It has been the policy of MVCPA that a vehicle 22 could be purchased for an amount up to \$25,000, if it is 2.3 included in the application. Or they could submit a grant 24 adjustment to purchase that vehicle for up to that amount.

These amounts are low. Task forces are having

25

a hard time finding a vehicle within that policy set

amount. Any amounts above that, their agencies have been

having to pick it up.

They couldn't -- they weren't always allowed to

use program income to go above that amount. It had to be

a source of match other than that.

So, with that -- and the same thing with

So, with that -- and the same thing with leases. The lease caps were set at \$600. Taskforces were having a very difficult time, if they need to lease a vehicle, finding a vehicle within that amount. So, that they may have the funds available in program income to make up the difference, but it is unallowable because we have set that hard limit.

So, on page 30 and page 31 of your Board book.

Rather than set a hard limit or a hard cap, we are proposing that grantees may use MVCPA funds and cash match funds, including program income, to purchase vehicles up to an amount that the Director and Board designee determine is reasonable and necessary to meet the goals and strategies and activities of the grant.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any questions or comments?

(No response.)

1.3

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia, is there public comment on this?

1	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, do I have a motion on
3	Agenda Item 5(e)?
4	MS. JONES: Sharon Jones. I move that the
5	Board approve the proposed vehicle purchase and lease
6	policy.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there a second?
8	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I second.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
10	Jones, a second from Member Gonzalez. Is there any
11	further discussion?
12	(No response.)
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
14	for the vote. All those in favor of the motion, say aye.
15	(A chorus of ayes.)
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All opposed, say nay?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
19	Michael Rodriguez vote aye. The motion passes.
20	We'll now turn to Agenda Item 5(f), FY '24-'25
21	Plan of Operation. This is an action item.
22	I will now turn this agenda item over to
23	Interim Director Canady.
24	MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. We surveyed
25	law enforcement. We surveyed taskforce commanders across

the state, trying to identify the needs of their jurisdictions to be able to successfully work the problem of motor vehicle theft and burglary, and fraudulent crimes.

2.3

The biggest thing is additional funds. All of them are saying that they need money to fund additional employees.

And we see that with the backlogs of 68A inspections that is occurring in jurisdictions. Because what it is doing is it is taking away valuable time from officers that can be working on a serious investigation, because they are having to do these 68As.

Which -- and don't get me wrong, the 68A is a very important aspect, because the taskforces recovered a lot of vehicles during those inspections. It prevents a lot of vehicles from being sold to innocent purchasers, because they are identified as stolen during that process.

They are in need for additional surveillance equipment, technology, updated technology. Some of them have old technology that is -- there is something better out there. They just don't have the funds available because they would rather fund their investigators to investigate these crimes. There was a need identified as a statewide ALPR program -- automatic license plate readers, if additional funds were identified to be able to

fund that.

2.3

We have prepared, and you should have a copy in your Board book, the FY '24 and '25 Plan of Operation.

There is still a couple of very minor tweaks and edits to it that we have worked on with the TxDMV Government

Strategic Communications Division, that we will be addressing before DMV sends it on Thursday of this week, on December 1st.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, if I can jump in.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Go ahead, Member Gonzalez.

MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, just to piggyback off of what Interim Director Canady was saying, the backlog of 68A inspections, it is profound. I believe Laredo has already been booked through the rest of the year for several months now. I know Dallas is, as well.

And it is causing frustration to the public because it is very difficult for them to find a place where they can get a 68A inspection. So it is certainly something that we need to have additional conversations about, of how we can provide some relief to our taskforces so they can be focusing on the other objectives of the Board.

With respect to the ALPR, one of the items that Member Jones and I are very passionate about is to expand

the use of the ALPR system. And, specifically, to have every port of entry, every bridge along the border equipped with ALPRs.

2.3

We know that stolen vehicles are taken across the border. And we feel that having such a system, such a statewide system would certainly benefit our taskforces significantly.

And so there are various ways that we are exploring to be able to do that. But the benefits of which are going to pay off massive dividends for us. That is all I have.

Member Jones, do you want to --

MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, if I may. Joe Canady, again, for the record.

The Plan of Operation report that would go to the Texas Legislature also includes the amount of resources that are needed -- the amount of funds needed to be able to do those operations and do the work for motor vehicle crime cases, up to the full 20 percent of funding. That is what is in the Plan of Operation on what is needed.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: In regards to the 68As, I think it is time for us to start, I guess, pushing, or start -- like we say, start thinking outside the box and get our partners with the TAVTI and start pushing maybe

legislation in getting civilians to do this.

2.3

Because I just think that it is -- to have a city pay \$75,000, upwards of \$85,000. Some cities even pay \$100,000 for an investigator to do this kind of work. It is not reasonable.

And I think it is time for us to start pushing that note that we would like for a certified person, civilian, to start helping us in doing that so that we can concentrate our efforts, invest investigative efforts to actual cases, and bringing the bad guy in. Versus taking a whole day, or two days, doing 68A inspections.

So we do need to start thinking that way. I want to say that we need to start pushing that note and trying to get some legislation. Because I know, for now, it does say peace officer. Correct?

MR. CANADY: Yes, sir.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Changing that law, and maybe getting some civilians to help out in doing that kind of work for us.

We already have civilians doing crime scene investigations. We have civilians doing other kind of police work. So why not this?

So I think it is time for us to sit down and kind of get serious about this. Because it is not going to stop, especially for major cities. The ports where we

have thousands of tractor trailers getting, you know, trying to get 68As. It is not going to stop.

1.3

2.3

So I think it is time for us to kind of push that way.

MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, Joe Canady, MVCPA.

One thing to consider is the level and the amount of training that is required to do these 68As.

About 20 years ago, 25 years ago, we would have officers from other jurisdictions across the state, there was no regulation on who could perform the 68As. There was a lot of vehicles that slipped through the cracks that weren't recovered, because those officers didn't have the knowledge or the education in motor vehicle crimes to be able to do those inspections.

The databases that are required to access to perform these inspections are managed by the National Insurance Crime Bureau, and other private entities. It may be something that we would have to explore pretty deeply to be able for them to allow access to a citizen to perform these inspections, just to ensure that they are being performed by someone that can do them properly.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, for the record. But just to kind of piggyback.

If NICB is running and maintaining, you know, this system, then I don't -- which is a private entity, as

you say. I don't see why we wouldn't be able to have civilians and non-sworn individuals perform 68A inspections, based off a private database.

Do you kind of see what I am saying? The parallel there. So, it is already run by non-sworn individuals, so --

MR. CANADY: Yes, sir.

2.3

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  GONZALEZ: Might as well let them do the confidential VIN inspection.

MR. CANADY: Right.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And again, you know, we have police departments and sheriff's departments that can hire. It is just the applicants are not there.

And they are having a hard time wrapping up their police force or their service force. So, I think it is just time for us to do that.

I know that DPS has just got a message from Sharon, from Member Jones here. That DPS does have civilians doing commercial vehicle inspections with the support of a Trooper. But again, I think for us, I think it is time for us to push that.

And let me be very clear. We are not trying to get away from giving somebody's job away. Because this is just going to help us concentrate on what is the real issues.

And I am not saying 68As are not. Those are things that yes, protects us from fraudulent things happening. But you know, there is other things happening in our cities that we need to focus on 100 percent, versus giving a day or two on 68A inspections when somebody else can just come in and do them for us, and be supervised by a police officer or a supervisor within the Police Department or Sheriff's Department.

1.3

2.3

So I want to continue this conversation, because I am serious about it. I do want to start looking into that, and see if we can get that. Because it just makes sense to pay a civilian you know, that is vetted and is trained, and make sure that we train and vet them completely. Do the background, like if it is an officer.

We can even get retirees coming back and doing that, you know; 43,000, 50,000, it sounds a lot better than 70, 80, \$100,000 a year. So, these are things that we need to start thinking about, and maybe push and start a conversation about this. And get serious about it.

MR. CANADY: Yes, sir.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez for the record.

Mr. Chairman, one of the concerns, if you will turn to

page 16, on the Plan of Operations. You will see that the

number of cleared motor vehicle theft cases dropped by

over 40 percent.

And I believe that one of the key factors that 1 2 contributed to this is going to be the amount of time that 3 our task forces are having to spend with this backlog of 4 68A inspections. So I think that having non-sworn and 5 professional staff be able to assist with the 68A 6 inspections, I think that is a very innovative solution. 7 And I certainly think that we need to look more into that. 8 Interim Director Canady, I was hoping -- can 9 you expand on that, on that 40 percent drop on the cleared UUV cases? 10 MR. CANADY: Something we need to look into 11 Joe Canady, MVCPA. 12 further. 1.3 Something we may need to look into further, do 14 a deeper analysis. A lot of it has to do with the 15 shortage of personnel. Not only just 68A, the increase in 16 68A inspections. 17 As you said, Mr. Chairman, these agencies are having a hard time finding personnel to hire. Every 18 19 agency has shortages. So it is a combination of the 20 increased activities and the decreased personnel. So that is why you are seeing a reduction in 21 22 certain activities, because they don't have the time to 2.3 spend on it and dedicate as much as they could. Because

MR. RODRIGUEZ: This is where I am going about

24

25

they are spread thin.

68A inspection versus a motor vehicle theft. What do we 1 do. 3 MR. CANADY: Yes, sir. 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: We have to get serious about 5 this conversation because we come here every day and we 6 say we need more people. But yet, there is departments 7 that can't hire because there is not enough people 8 applying for it. And what are we going to do. 9 So I think I want to say I feel very strongly 10 about thinking outside the box, doing something different, to be able to help these taskforces get to where they want 11 12 to get to. Because just all I see is an increase in motor 1.3 vehicle theft. 14 And again, coming back to the same argument 15 that well, we need more people. Well, agencies can't even hire people right now. We cannot hire police officers. 16 17 How are we going to get more FTEs out there, if we cannot even hire? 18 19 So, lets say we get the money. We give it to 20 that grantee. We award it. We award that jurisdiction. I would say that they -- I don't think there is 21

I am serious about this. They are not going to

a chief or a sheriff out there that is going to say, oh

yes. Let me just give you another officer off of the

street, and put him in the auto theft task force.

22

2.3

24

do that. And I just think that we just need to start having this real, real -- it is a hard conversation, but we need to start having it, as to what we are going to do.

2.3

Technology is one. Civilianize some of the efforts that we are doing, and then go from there. But start thinking there.

Get some commanders in there, maybe set up a subcommittee. But start thinking outside the box, because whatever we are doing is not working. And we need to report back that we are doing something to bring down those statistics, especially in major cities: Houston, San Antonio, Dallas.

We are talking about major cities that have big problems, big issues. Not only motor vehicle theft, but also burglary, right.

Did you want to say something?

MS. JONES: I think it is a great idea -Member Jones -- to civilianize, if possible. Texas DPS,
we have an entire division, it is a regulatory division.
And it is made, primarily, of civilians, but with the
support of the Texas Highway Patrol or the Criminal
Investigation Division.

We also reach out to DMV at times. We have an MOU with DMV for certain types and vice versa. And it is just a matter of working with other agencies for the

support. But we have to do something.

And we constantly talk about personnel shortages, but everything else that is happening, it is still continuing. So we have to come up with something that is different.

We can try it. I think it will be successful.

I know it will be successful. If you put the right
people in place, it will be successful.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez for the record.

1.3

2.3

I do want to point out one other thing about the confidential VIN inspection. So the statutory cap that taskforces can collect as a fee for the 68A inspection is only \$40.

And so that creates very much a situation where if you have two, three, four investigators doing a 68A inspection, and say, they are on overtime, that city is not going to recuperate, you know, the amount of money that they are putting into the individuals performing, the FTE with the \$40.

And so, I certainly think that that is something that the Board needs to be aware of. These things are very expensive. However, it is a service to, you know, the people of Texas.

And so I understand that we do need to come up

1 with solutions to come to a happy medium. That is all I 2 have, sir. 3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Gonzalez. 4 And thank you, Member Jones. Any more comments from the 5 Board? 6 MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. 7 that they pay \$40 to get the -- whatever it is called. I 8 am not looking at it. But how much does it cost if they 9 were to pay the full cost of it? Does it take a half an 10 hour, an hour? 11 MR. GONZALEZ: So, the 68A inspection, it You know, it depends on the complexity of the 12 1.3 vehicle. It depends on the investigator's knowledge of 14 where the confidential VINs are, whether they have to 15 check ISO. I have seen them, you know, done anywhere from 16 you know, 20 to 30 minutes, depending on what type it is. 17 Whether or not -- trailers for example. 18 Trailers are a very common item that needs to be 19 inspected, but a lot of these trailers are home made. 20 so, you have, I guess they call them Frankensteins and combinations of trailers. 21 22 And so it can be kind of difficult on certain 2.3 vehicles to perform that inspection. So, we just -- it 24 varies.

MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton.

I have a

1 follow-up question. 2 MR. GONZALEZ: Yes, ma'am. MS. BROTHERTON: Who makes the decision on the 3 \$40 price? Is that legislation, or --4 5 MR. GONZALEZ: Yes. That is a statutory 6 requirement. And I believe that was increased. No, it 7 has always been \$40? 8 MR. CANADY: Yes, sir. Joe Canady, MVCPA. 9 my knowledge, it has always been \$40. 10 And Member Brotherton, it is -- these taskforces, the taskforces that perform the 68A 11 12 inspections, you know, that remains in their program. The 13 money they collect remains in their program. 14 It is considered program income, and they are 15 able to use those funds to purchase equipment needed. 16 Some of them do some -- the number of inspections over a 17 years time, where they may be able to purchase a vehicle as well, too. 18 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. And the other thing that 20 happens with those 68A inspections, if you do find a cloned vehicle, what happens after that takes more time. 21 22 Just not just the inspection itself. 2.3 But it's what the -- after you -- if you find 24 something that is either fraudulent or anything like that.

So, yes, \$40 is not going to cover it. There is some

1 jurisdictions that are paying \$50 an hour for an 2 investigator. 3 So again, this is something that we need to 4 look at. And again, we will have this conversation more 5 in depth. But I am for, you know, getting this to the 6 GBR, and maybe having a subcommittee with some commanders 7 and start thinking outside the box. 8 Any other comments? 9 MS. BROTHERTON: Yes. Member Brotherton. 10 if we want the Legislature to make a change, don't we need to get to them like in December? Because don't they start 11 12 work in January? 13 And if we haven't got somebody carrying the 14 language, then how do we move forward? 15 MR. GONZALEZ: I think that if that is 16 something that the Board wants, then, you know, we can 17 work with our partners at DMV to start those discussions with them. But I would say that there would probably have 18 19 to be a consensus of the Board and say, you know, before 20 we make that ask to DMV. 21 MR. CANADY: Yes, sir. I agree. 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So what would be the 2.3 process to do this? 24 MR. CANADY: We work with the -- with GSC,

within Texas DMV, to identify what recommendation the

Board has as far as a potential increase in a fee. 1 2 I am not sure if this would be a rule process, I don't think it would be. It would be statute. 3 or not. 4 5 But we can work with GSC on that to determine 6 what is needed to be done. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Keith. 8 MS. BROTHERTON: Welcome. 9 MR. YAWN: Good afternoon. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon. MR. YAWN: Good morning. Keith Yawn. 11 I am the 12 Director of the Government Strategic Communications 13 Division for the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. 14 What would you like to know? 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: See what the process would be 16 to add language to change the language for the 68A 17 inspections that is law. MR. YAWN: Yes. Our program would be happy to 18 19 work with Director Canady and MVCPA to determine what the 20 appropriate potential statutory language would be, changes 21 would be to implement the desires of this Board. 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: And one of the questions from 2.3 Member Brotherton was, would it have to be by December, 24 actually this coming week, that we would need to push

this, I guess, to a legislator? It can happen next year.

1	MR. YAWN: Right. So the next legislative
2	session does convene in January, but we do have some
3	flexibility on timing. There is not a hard deadline.
4	Bills will be filed to the beginning of March.
5	So we do have some time to work on this and
6	follow the timeline that the Board would like to pursue.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Great.
8	MR. YAWN: Of course the more quickly we can
9	move, the better for the process, but we do have some
10	time.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you, Keith.
12	MR. YAWN: Thank you.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So, I guess we can it won't
14	be an action, but it can be a recommendation.
15	MR. RICHARDS: You told them
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Instruct.
17	MR. RICHARDS: To advance your recommendation.
18	We will take it up at the next meeting, or go forward
19	with this item, is on the Plan of Operation.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So, it would be a
21	direction, I guess, a recommendation from the Board to
22	seek this.
23	MR. CANADY: Yes, sir. We will work with GSC.
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Work with GSC and then bring
25	back some language.

1	MR. CANADY: Correct.
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And then we need to reconvene
3	as a Board to vote on whatever it is that we need to vote
4	on. And we will try to make it happen before then.
5	Any other questions from the members on Item
6	5(f)?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia, do we have any public
9	comments on this?
10	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, do I have a motion on
12	Agenda Item 5(f)?
13	MS. BROTHERTON: I, Member Brotherton, move
14	that the Board approve the FY '24-'25 Plan of Operation,
15	and to request TxDMV submit it to the legislation.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there a second?
17	MS. CANTU-SERRANO: I, Rebecca Cantu, second.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion from Member
19	Brotherton, and a second from Member Cantu. Is there any
20	further discussion?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
23	for the question. All those in favor of the motion, say
24	aye.
25	(A chorus of ayes.)

## ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. RODRIGUEZ: All opposed, nay? 1 2 (No response.) 3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I, 4 Mike Rodriguez vote aye. The motion passes. 5 Moving on to Agenda Item 5(g), FY '24-'25 6 request for application on grant timeline. This is an 7 action item. 8 Mr. Canady. 9 MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. 10 Historically, even as far back as it was ATPA and ABTPA, there was a conference held during the time 11 that grants were awarded. This conference would include 12 13 training for law enforcement, training to grantees on how 14 to do certain things with the grant. 15 The Board has identified that they may want to 16 explore the option of a conference this year for the 17 issuance of the FY '24 and '25 grant awards. In your handout, on page 35 and 36 of the Board book, we have 18 19 identified a timeline, beginning in February, for a 20 potential Committee meeting and Board meeting. 21 And it goes all the way through March, April, 22 May and June, to issue the request for applications for a 2.3 grant workshop, for grantee presentations to the 24 Committee. The due date for applications, the review of

applications, and then ultimately, the application scoring

and a conference in June.

1.3

2.3

We are recommending that in February, February 14th and 15th, or February 21st and 22nd for a meeting a potential meeting date. I know some of you may have other plans on February 14, because that will be Valentines.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I don't know about that, Joe.

MR. CANADY: That was my thoughts, exactly.

And then in April, the second date in February, I am

sorry, was the 21st or the 22nd, is an option for a 21st

GBR meeting and Board meeting on the 22nd.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members?

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez, for the record.

Mr. Chairman, one of the items that I didn't get a chance
to discuss here was -- one of the recurring items that,
you know, our task forces are in need of is additional
specialized training with respect to certain parts of our
mandate. With respect to the fraud, UUV, as well as other
issues that we're facing, you know, paper tags and
catalytic converters.

And so, I say that with -- to bolster the need for a conference. We need a conference to focus on the specific training that our task forces need. In addition to, you know, those enforcement and investigative topics, this conference right here would also focus very heavily on how we want the administration of this grant to run.

Staff has identified various, you know, gaps that we can work on with our taskforces with respect to reporting and deadlines. And so really, the central theme of this conference is going to be training. Training both from the investigative aspect, and as well as, how our expectations of how we want this grant to be ran.

1.3

2.3

And so, I know that historically, they have had such a conference. And due to budget cuts, whatnot, it wasn't able to be continued. But I think that the benefit of this is going to pay off in the sense of we are going to get better trained investigators. And we are going to get better compliance with the grant rules and administration that staff is asking.

So it is the recommendation of the Grants,

Budget -- of the GBR Committee, that we move forward with
hosting conference.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I agree 100 percent on the conference, and that the focus should be training, not only for the commanders and investigators, but also training for the grant administrators. It is very important that we keep up with the changes and new trends, and things like that.

I know that we do send -- some jurisdictions send officers and investigators to the NICB trainings.

But I do want to put out trainings within our network of

taskforces, from the border all the way to Dallas, Houston, El Paso.

Get instructors from those jurisdictions, and come back to the table, and come back to that conference and train on new investigative techniques. Things that work. Things that didn't work. Major cases that can now be put out there, and see how they worked it.

But nonetheless, I think it is important that we do this. And I support it 100 percent. I know that I told Member Jones about it, and she went 100 miles on it.

2.3

And I thank her for that, because she did outstanding. She called me up and she said we are going to get it done. I couldn't believe it.

We are like seven months away. We are going to get it done. So I thank Member Jones for that, and the staff, and you Joe, for that, because I know that it was short notice, but it is very much needed.

So, thank you. Thank you, Member Jones.

MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. Yes, I had a great tour guide that day while I was up in Kerrville. Thank you, Major Jones.

We have identified a hotel; it is The Inn of the Hills Hotel. They believe -- it is an older hotel. We actually had a Texas Association of Vehicle Theft

Investigators conference there, back 15 years ago, or more. And it was a nice hotel then.

1.3

2.3

So I was a little concerned that it might be run down, but it has been remodeled. Everything, the rooms look great. The facilities are great.

And but most of all, the cost for this facility, for the meeting room costs are something that you would expect to pay for a day or two price somewhere else, versus an entire week. Very reasonable.

So with the Board's permission, we will look into reserving the meeting room space for a conference in June, so we can move forward with that. And the second part of that is we will need to work -- staff will need to work with DMV Financial Administrative Services to identify a process that is acceptable to everyone for if the Board wishes to utilize some funds to increase the grant award for grantees for them to be able to attend this conference.

Because they have already spent their budget. They made their budget back previously, and may not have program income available to travel to a conference and attend. So we want to work with them to identify a process for that.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So for the members, on conferences, we used to have this, I want to say, when was

that last conference, 2012, 2013? Does anybody remember? 1 2 3 MR. RICHARDS: El Paso. 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: El Paso. When was it? 2014 5 was the last conference. Be sure the conferences focus a 6 lot on training. 7 And a lot of focus on the grant administrators. 8 And then the laws and things, the rules and the 9 administration and so on. Bring everybody in. I think it 10 is a total of how many days? 11 MR. CANADY: The conference would be four days. 12 And we are looking at the day one being a GBR Committee 13 meeting. Day two and three be training for taskforce 14 personnel on aspects of the grant, as well as law enforcement training for taskforces. 15 16 And opening up the training to statewide. hotel has agreed to a second overflow location for us to 17 be able to provide if there is interest for area officers. 18 19 We met with the sheriffs offices. We met with 20 the police departments. They are very open to sending 21 personnel to receive this training. 22 And in addition, they are working, you know, 2.3 wanting to help us with it and provide resources that we 24 may need -- you know, grunt work. On doing things, and

taking care of business and providing closed patrols for

the officers while they are at the conference. 1 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So pretty much, everybody comes 2 They do the training. The best -- what everybody 3 in. takes from here. 4 5 The best thing is that the network part, 6 everybody pretty much talking to each other, which is what 7 we want. And again, it hasn't been done. I know that. 8 I thought it was a project, it could take 9 another year. But Sharon got it done. And we are moving 100 miles an hour now. 10 But this is what it is about. Are we okay? 11 we need to vote on this? David? 12 13 MR. RICHARDS: You will be taking a vote on 14 this item --15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. RICHARDS: -- and the whole thing, the 16 17 timeline. 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 19 MR. RICHARDS: And also, Joe, there will be a 20 Board meeting at the conclusion? 21 MR. CANADY: A Board meeting on the final day, 22 which will be where the grant awards will be made for the 2.3 '24 and '25 taskforces. 24 MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. 25 approve this, are we also approving the expenses? I know

1	that we have to fund them from somewhere else, but are we
2	also approving that?
3	MR. CANADY: The expenses listed on page 36,
4	that is just an estimate. That was an estimate that staff
5	made before we even visited with the hotel in Kerrville.
6	I think what does need to be talked about and approved or
7	agreed upon is dates for the Board meetings in February
8	and March so we can get those set in stone.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So, February, March.
10	What do you think?
11	MR. CANADY: February 21st and 22nd.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are we okay with February 21st
13	and 22nd?
14	MR. CANADY: And then for April. Either the
15	18th and 19th, or the 25th and 26th.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: February 21 and 22.
17	MR. CANADY: Okay. And then in April, either
18	the 18th and 19th, or 25th and 26th.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: That is for March?
20	MR. CANADY: That is for March. I am sorry
21	April.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: April. The 18th and 19th for
23	me is fine. You? The 18th and 19th?
24	MS. JONES: The 18th and 19th.
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: The 18 and 19 of April is it.

## ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. CANADY: Okay. 1 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 3 MS. JONES: Mr. Chairman, if you will? Sharon 4 Jones. 5 In reference to the conference, we need to make 6 a decision soon. If it is something that we need to vote 7 on, it needs to be done as soon as possible, because the 8 hotel is only going to hold rooms for us for a certain 9 period of time. It has been at least two weeks since we 10 have visited, yes. 11 MR. CANADY: Just over a week. 12 MS. JONES: Yes. Right before Thanksqiving. 13 So, and they have already emailed stating the urgency. 14 It is June. It is peak season. I have tried 15 many other options and they laughed at me. And this is 16 literally the only place that is willing to work with the 17 state's budget, in June. So whatever processes that we need to put in 18 19 place, we need to do. We need a yes from you, sir. 20 MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. If the Board 21 will approve the timeline, I believe, on the dates for the 22 meetings and for a conference, then we can work with DMV 2.3 to finalize the other portions. Because the amounts, it 24 appears that the amount that the hotels will be charging 25 for meeting rooms would be an amount underneath what is

required to go out for bids.

2.3

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez for the record. I can't emphasize enough the amazing work that Member Jones did on this. So, with that hotel, they will honor -- they are agreeing to honor the state's per diem rate of \$90 a night.

MS. JONES: Ninety eight.

MR. GONZALEZ: \$98 a night. Where that is unheard of, because these hotels could make a whole lot more money in June per you know, conference registry. So, the fact that she was able to find one, and be that judicious, you know, with our funds is remarkable.

But I just wanted to point out that, you know, this was -- we try to do this as economically feasible as possible. Member Jones.

MS. JONES: I had help from Joe and Yessenia.

MR. CANADY: And Joe Canady, MVCPA.

I do want to state though, that neither myself nor Major Jones did any negotiating whatsoever. This was all information direct from the hotel. So we didn't negotiate anything.

But in addition, there is no minimum for food and beverage. They are relying on the people in attendance, that they are going to want to spend their per diem at the hotel, rather than going off staff.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: For us in South Texas in the 1 2 border, it is the Hill Country. So I am good with it. I 3 am all for it. 4 MR. CANADY: Very good. 5 MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, David Richards, 6 General Counsel for the record. 7 Members, a vote on this particular item will include the dates of the meetings that you discussed, as 8 9 well as the cost estimate. 10 I think there is one thing to your point, Member Brotherton, on the cost estimates. We included 11 12 airfare. I don't think many people are going to fly into 13 Kerrville, unless they have their own private jet. Maybe. 14 So those costs will come down. If you look at 15 the grantee costs, that is like, estimated what, \$10,500. 16 So, if you vote on this one, you are going to include 17 approval of the conference. And also working, as Joe said, to try to get 18 19 grantees some funding so they can attend, if they fall 20 short of having the funds available. As well as the 21 meeting dates. 22 MR. CANADY: Thank you. 2.3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, David. Okay. 24 Any other questions for Mr. Canady or staff? 25 (No response.)

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are there any public comments
2	on this?
3	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: On this item?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, are there any motions
7	on Agenda Item 5(g)?
8	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I move that
9	the Board approve the FY '24-'25 request for application
10	and grant timeline.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there a second?
12	MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. I second.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: A motion from Member Gonzalez,
14	and a second from Member Brotherton. Is there any other
15	further discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
18	for the vote. All those in favor of the motion, say aye.
19	(A chorus of ayes.)
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All those opposed, nay?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
23	Mike Rodriguez vote aye. The motion passes.
24	We will now take a ten minute break. It is
25	10:19. We will be back at 10:30.

(Whereupon, a short recess was held.) 1 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Now going back to open session. The time now is 10:37 a.m. 3 Moving on to Agenda Item 5(h), Grantee 4 5 presentations made to Grant Budget and Reporting Committee 6 on November 4, 2022. This is a briefing item. 7 Members, we will now hear from Interim Director 8 Canady, GBR Committee Chairman Gonzalez, and designated 9 staff. 10 MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. I will refer this on to Member Jones and Member Gonzalez. 11 MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez for the record. 12 13 So, Mr. Chairman, just to kind of reiterate what we have 14 kind of touched on in limited amounts during this meeting. 15 There are multiple items that are taking up our 16 taskforces time. We are seeing an increase in the fraud-17 related crimes. These new types of crimes are, you know, taking more and more of our investigators time, but I 18 19 think it is the evolution of auto theft. 20 I think that as we move forward, where we will see less and less of, you know, the steering column and 21 22 the flat head screwdriver and we will, you know, evolve. 23 Or I should say, this crime will evolve into different 24 kinds of mechanisms for auto theft, such as the fraud.

And so it is important that we adapt, you know, our

taskforces to what the criminals are doing.

1.3

2.3

And so the work that, you know, Houston has done, with respect to their fraud dealership, partnership, is nothing short of amazing. And I think that it needs to continue to expand. Because I think that this is the way of the future auto theft is going to go.

We talked about the 68A inspections ad nauseum. They are just -- it is very prevalent. And the increase in motor vehicle theft has led to more of a reactive stance from our taskforces. So as they get more cases, it makes it more difficult for them to go out and do proactive measures, because they have to deal with that case that has been, you know, given to them right in front of them.

We talked about the ALPRs, and how beneficial they are. We do believe that they are successful in combating motor vehicle crime. We believe they would be useful at border crossings and checkpoints, and strategic locations across the State of Texas.

I know this is something that Member Jones has been looking into, with respect to ALPRs. And so, we look forward to being able to discuss that in depth at a later date.

One thing that I do want to discuss is one of the gaps that we heard over and over from the taskforces

was the prosecution component of our mission. You know, taskforces are reporting difficult times, you know, getting cases through successfully to prosecution.

2.3

I know Commander Peterson over -- has had great success kind of piloting a program where a district attorney is a part of their taskforce. And that certainly pays dividends, in the sense of it can be kind of difficult to explain to a prosecutor that has a wide variety of hundreds of cases, of all kinds of crimes. It can be kind of difficult to explain the concept of a VIN swap, you know, and registration fraud. And how the organized crime aspect of auto theft works.

But when you have your own prosecutor, the training, it is once and done. And so, I think that the prosecution piece is something that we need to expand upon. I encourage more task forces to include prosecutors in their taskforces.

And one of the other items that we discussed a need for was exploring the possibility of having an outside vendor, some kind of law firm, to put together kind of a prosecution overview, a packet that we can give to the taskforces. So that they can discuss this with, you know, their prosecutors office, on how to prosecute the cases that our taskforces are seeing. And specifically the complex cases of engaging in organized

crime, conspiracy to commit theft, and those types of cases.

1.3

2.3

I myself have had, you know, conversations with district attorneys. And you know, we are trying to explain, you know, the predicate offenses and how a VIN swapping ring is working. And it can be difficult.

And so we would really like to explore that possibility of having some kind of vendor to come in and create, you know, just an informational booklet that our taskforces can have a sit-down conversation with their local prosecutors office. Just to kind of show, look. This is how you prosecute motor vehicle theft.

And then we did discuss the need for definitions. There are various key performance metrics that our taskforces have a wide variety of interpretations of what they mean. And as we move forward to a data-driven award and allocation process, it is very important that we have a uniform and standardized set of definitions.

One example is, you know, the definition of a cleared offense. My definition is exactly whatever NIBRS says is that definition, which is there is a cleared by arrest component, or cleared by exceptional arrest component. But we found out that is not necessarily other people's interpretations of that. And so we need to

explore ways that we can get everyone on the same page. 1 2 Going back to the conference, it would be great if we could have a uniform set of definitions of these key 3 4 performance metrics in place prior to that conference. 5 that when MVCPA staff has that training, they can say, 6 look. This is -- you know, these are the definitions that 7 we expect. These are the definitions that we want our 8 reporting to be in. 9 Any other comment on that, Member Jones? 10 MS. JONES: No, I'm good. MR. GONZALEZ: And the committee does recommend 11 12 a comprehensive analysis of the definitions for the key 13 performance metrics. And to submit a report to the GBR as 14 well as to the Board prior to the start of fiscal year 15 '24. 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Member Gonzalez. And I think those are -- that is an issue that we have 17 18 been discussing over and over again. You know, Bryan 19 tried to clean it up, but for some reason, we haven't 20 gotten there. 21 Is there a recommendation as to how to go about 22 in creating these rules for the taskforce commanders and 2.3 the taskforces of every jurisdiction?

we could get some taskforce commanders in a room, and have

MR. GONZALEZ: So my recommendation would be if

24

them you know, create -- go through at least the statutory 1 required metrics. At least those, but preferably all of 3 them. I believe there is like, what, a hundred items 4 5 that they report on every quarter. I think that if we 6 could have our subject matter experts -- the ones that are 7 actually inputting these numbers every quarter -- to have a discussion about it and to come to a consensus. 8 9 think, and then, you know, submit a report and have it for 10 the Board to, you know, consider. 11 I think that would be the way to go, Mr. 12 Chairman. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think it is the recoveries 13 14 and things like that. It's just completely, you know, not 15 a true number for every taskforce. 16 So I know that one jurisdiction recovers it. 17 They put a number there, recovered. And the jurisdiction that reported it stolen, they put a recovered also. So it 18 19 is not a true number. 20 So we clearly need to make those rules very 21 clear for everyone, as who is going to be counting that as 22 a recovered vehicle. 2.3 Dean? 24 MR. SMITH: Member Smith. I don't have

anything to add. I just, I like -- I don't like the idea

of creating another Board subcommittee to investigate.

2.3

I think that getting the commanders together and have a discussion about that, and let everybody come to the consensus that is actually using the data. I know that classifications of these crimes have changed over the years from what the FBI considers a Part 1 and Part 2 crime. And I don't know enough about it these days to know how that lays out and how it affects us.

But I think the commanders are in the best spot to make definitions viable and real for the Board.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez for the record.

One other aspect that I think that if we were to bring some taskforce commanders in, and to take the lead on, is that I think this would create some self-policing. Because if a lot of these definitions came from our taskforce commanders, then hopefully, there can be some form of accountability.

They are holding each other, in the sense of you know, one taskforce is you know, completely reporting in a different manner. But maybe there can be conversations held amongst the taskforces themselves, without having to resort to the grant violation.

Procedure that we just passed. So I do think that having those taskforce commanders would be key.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: One thing I would recommend is

to get as close as possible as the reporting for NIBRS. 1 If we can do that, I think we will be -- it will just 3 clear it up much faster, if we just follow those rules. 4 So, again, I think we will leave it up to the 5 Committee to bring that, and to their committee. And then 6 push forward from there, to see what they want to do. And 7 then we'll come back and bring it to this Board to see 8 what the outcome is. 9 MR. CANADY: Yes, sir. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, any other questions? 11 (No response.) 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 13 Members, we will now move on to the next agenda 14 item, Agenda Item 5(i), Progress report definitions and 15 data collected. 16 Members, this item will be presented by Interim 17 Director Canady. MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. Mr. Chairman, 18 19 5(i). The information contained in 5(i) and 5(j) were 20 just covered in a previous agenda item by Member Gonzalez. There is nothing further to add for those items. 21 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. Members, 2.3 will now move on to the next item on our Agenda. 24 MS. BENAVIDES: Chairman, I am sorry. We do 25 have one public comment for Item 5(i).

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. The one item I didn't
2	ask.
3	MS. BENAVIDES: And there was none for 5(h).
4	So you are good.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So, for 5(i), there is
6	public comment.
7	MS. BENAVIDES: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Do we have the card?
9	MR. RICHARDS: So we can call it into the
10	record.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. We have Bryan Roden from
12	Dallas PD
13	MR. RODEN: Yes, sir.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: commenting on this item. Go
15	ahead, Bryan.
16	MR. RODEN: Yes. For the record, Bryan Roden
17	with the City of Dallas. I have been a taskforce manager
18	there for almost ten years now.
19	And you know, this has come up. It seems like
20	every grading season, right before we get issued money,
21	the definitions actually, I have been pushing for this
22	for a long time.
23	And I think NIBRS missed a spot when we went
24	from UCR to NIBRS. That would have explained a lot of
25	discrepancies in the numbers. But here we are again.

You know, I just kind of want to reiterate the importance I think of definitions. If we kind of continue to make our own definitions, it kind of pits these grantees -- and especially in this season, we are about to be awarded money -- against each other.

2.3

I feel like if we are going to be -- you know, GBR went through great lengths to kind of map out, it seems like, a very good plan for the awardance of money. And we kind of need that same bigger for some of the -- especially, the definitions of the statutes that we are required to make.

You know, right now, I am having over 12,000 offenses. And the last thing I really want to be worried about is like definitions and, you know, what goes in this category, what goes in that category.

I do like the idea of getting all the commanders together, but I do like the idea of having it on paper too. Because there is no accountability. I can make a handshake suggesting to somebody, but if I got back and there is money on the line, does that really -- I don't know if that is going to hold up, right.

So I would like to see this issue get kind of -- I don't know if we can get it resolved. But we can at least get everybody together to be on the same page for at least most of our definitions. I think that would be

something of great importance for us in the future, especially if we are going to be asking to stay for a lot more money.

2.3

One of the concerns I have is if our numbers fluctuate, and we report those to the state, does it make the MVCPA look bad? What happened in those numbers?

We need to be accurate in our figures that we give to the state, because if something happens, and we do have a big discrepancy, you know, how do we explain that thing? I think most commanders, if they go into their, back to their agencies, and they get called to the carpet about numbers, they know what numbers to give out.

Those numbers are different when they are given to you guys. And I want everybody to be on the same page.

That is pretty much all I have to say.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Absolutely, Bryan. And thank you for coming in and talking about this, and bringing this up. But yes, I completely agree on the issues that we have, and with the number reporting.

And especially because these are numbers that are being reported to the state and the FBI. And one of the things that we would hate to happen is to get audited for numbers that are just being nothing.

I always say that there is always discrepancies in numbers, but when it is a number that is being put at

the same time, by two taskforces, that is just something 1 that we can fix. And why have we not fixed it? 3 I have no idea. But we need to fix it now, 4 before it gets out of control again, where everybody is 5 putting a number that they shouldn't. 6 MR. RODEN: I absolutely agree. And thank you 7 sir, for your time. I appreciate it. 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, we will now move on to 9 the next item on our agenda, 5(j). 10 MR. GONZALEZ: I think Director Canady said we had covered it already. 11 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: We covered this already? MR. GONZALEZ: Right. 13 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 15 Moving on to Item 5(k), DNA testing. Members, 16 this item will be presented by Interim Director Canady and 17 designated staff. MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. 18 19 This was another area that we learned from the 20 GBR Committee meeting, from grantees that often times there is touch DNA left in, especially in burglary and 21 22 motor vehicle crimes. 2.3 However, there is not a clear manner to submit 24 this DNA and have it tested due to the backlog in labs, 25

accredited labs across the state, to be able to perform

those testing. And in a lot of chances information is not -- valid information is not returned from those samples that are provided and tested.

2.3

We have identified that there is some new processes, new technology involving a rapid testing of touch DNA that is available to law enforcement agencies across the state, to be able to send personnel to be trained, to perform this testing. The issue with that is, they are not an accredited lab. So a court system will not take the samples that they tested, collected, and processed.

So, however, what this could do is, if they started to create -- started testing this evidence, it could be able to for them to identify multiple or persons that are involved in multiple burglaries and thefts of vehicles within their jurisdiction. And if they have taken two samples at the time of collection, then maybe take that second sample and submit it to the DPS lab or another accredited lab, to be tested, to see if they can't identify the person.

Because they can also explain to them that this person is believed to have committed this number of offenses. And that may receive a higher priority.

So we would like to explore these options that are available, whether it is a new taskforce that is

created with additional funding. If someone made an application, we are funding for an existing taskforce.

1.3

2.3

But we would like to explore the options and the true costs of the program, what it would take. And see if it is viable for you as a Board to consider for FY '24 or FY '25.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is this something that is admissible in court? This rapid DNA test?

MR. CANADY: It would have to be tested by an accredited lab for it to be admissible. However, like I say, if there was two samples collected, the agency conducts one sample. And then has another sample that could be submitted to the accredited lab for analysis, then that could be accepted.

And the agency, on their sample tested, they are not going to be able to, in most cases, be able to match it to an individual. Because their database may be -- may not have many samples, many information in that database.

But what it would identify is a person having committed multiple crimes, the same person. And then they could take that information and submit the other sample to the lab. Submit the other sample to the lab, and explain to them that they believe this person has committed 20 or 30 or 100 burglary motor vehicles. And this is their

potential DNA that was taken as evidence.

2.3

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, Dean Smith for the record. Without -- I am not sold that it is cost effective yet. I don't think we know that.

And I am not sure if we want to continue discussing this, without it being in closed session. But think of it as internal intelligence between the taskforces, and don't think of it so much in terms of being able to prosecute somebody right up front. You are able to identify who your players are. Does that make sense?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And what I am getting is the comparison part, going through the steps here. Is it a taskforce officer that is picking it up, the sample?

MR. CANADY: Yes, sir.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And submitting it where?

MR. CANADY: There would be, we think, two samples collected. One sample, if this technology was available to them, would be tested locally. And that would go into their database.

So just for example, if they go to another crime the same day, and collect evidence from a motor vehicle, and they test that one as well, it is a match in their system that is already there. However, they don't know who it is. But it is a match to what was tested from

the first offense.

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But what agency has DNA database locally?

MR. CANADY: We don't believe they do. But that is what this system could potentially provide for is, for the local agencies to be able to test this, and that would put that information into their database. That profile of the sample that was collected.

MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Chairman, Member Gonzalez, for the record, if I may. So, this item, one of the purposes of the GBR Committee having the taskforces come and present is that there is a section of their presentation where we ask them, what it is that you need.

And one of our taskforces, you know, discuss the problem of, they are having difficulty getting DNA tested through their procedures and mechanisms, because of the emphasis on violent crime. Basically, if it is not a violent crime, that piece of evidence is not going to be tested, because violent crime takes precedence.

And so, the ask of that taskforce you know, was, can we explore a method whereby, you know, we can have another accredited lab test for our cases, our UUV motor vehicle theft, and all the other cases that we investigate.

And so, I thought that there is a value, you

1	know, in that at least having that discussion of, okay.
2	So and I remember specifically, when I was a taskforce
3	commander, I was having difficulty, you know, with my own
4	crime scene section, to process fingerprints in a timely
5	manner. You know, despite the statute of limitations on,
6	you know, UUV being two years.
7	And so, I complete understand the precedence
8	for violent crime. And so, this recommendation was just
9	something to be able to help our taskforces accomplish the
10	goals that we set before them.
11	MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, it is something that
12	we need to explore further to see if it is a viable option
13	to be able to consider, in order to report back to the
14	Board.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yessenia? This is just a
16	briefing item.
17	MR. CANADY: Yes.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Members, do you have any other
19	questions on this item?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Hearing none. Any public
22	comments on this?
23	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
25	We will now move to the next Agenda Item, 5(1),

## ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Buying a Used Vehicle resource. It is a briefing item.

MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA.

1.3

2.3

Mr. Chairman, Members. We know that there is many vehicles out there that citizens across the state are purchasing or buying from individuals that are stolen. Their vehicle has been changed up, has had a VIN swap done on it, and the individuals are none the wiser. And often times, they are out thousands of dollars, that they may never recover.

So we have developed this resource, as a resource for consumers to be able to -- steps they can do to help make sure that they don't buy a stolen vehicle. Things to look for. Mentioning for them to check, you know, check for a title check, through DMV. NICB, the free VIN check service.

And just another small tip so that they can lessen their chances of you know, becoming a victim. And then, on the flip side of this, it would be all the steps that are required, that the citizen is, or consumer is required to do after they make that purchase, on getting license plates for the vehicle legally.

Not just going online to buy them somewhere.

Getting the title transferred. Getting insurance. All those steps that they need to do. There is some areas of the state that may be even lower incomes that aren't

familiar with these processes.

2.3

We want to create this in Spanish and English, to get the message across to reduce some of these crimes.

And we want to provide it for taskforces to be able to use in their public awareness campaigns, for Tax Assessor—Collector offices to be able to give out to their citizens as a resource, as well as the DMV regional service centers, for people that are inquiring about purchasing a vehicle.

And we just wanted, just for example, for you to see that this is what we are working on to get out to the public.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is this going to be part of the campaign that we are pushing for MVCPA?

MR. CANADY: That I don't believe is going to be a part of it. They may get some of the message across individually, but this will be a separate, a separate MVCPA created that will be distributed to the taskforces and Tax, and RSCs across the state.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And again, I go back to the social media aggressiveness from MVCPA, that we just need to continue pushing that. Because the majority of these vehicles that are being purchased fraudulently are through either a Facebook ad or, you know, a classified ad.

And I think that if we push this through social

media outlets, it would be very helpful for the consumer 1 or for the public to know, you know, all this items that 3 we just spoke about. Where the resources that they have, 4 to make sure that they are not getting, you know, a stolen 5 vehicle, purchasing a stolen vehicle. 6 So again, I think if we are going to be pushing 7 this, we need to be very aggressive on social media, 8 especially those Facebook. And going back to Spanish, 9 there is a Facebook page. You know, they are all over in 10 Houston, Laredo, El Paso, Facebook Clasificados. That is the number one page that everybody goes 11 to and buys used things, used stuff. Used items. 12 13 we are going to do this, I suggest we push it very 14 aggressively through the social media. 15 MR. CANADY: I agree. And we can also push 16 this out with the previous If You Like it, Lock It 17 campaign. You know, just add some additional information 18 to it to increase that awareness. 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Maybe. Any other questions from the members? 20 21 (No response.) 22 Any public comments on this? MR. RODRIGUEZ: 2.3 MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir. 24 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you, Joe.

Moving on to Agenda Item 6, State Auditors

25

1 Office update. 2 MR. CANADY: Joe Canady, MVCPA. This is just a 3 very high level overview. The State Auditors Office is currently auditing 4 5 the MVCPA to determine whether it has a process in place 6 to ensure it awards, administers, and monitors grants in 7 accordance with applicable requirements, and whether it complies with selected statutory requirements, including 8 9 limitations on administrative expenses. 10 The audit, like I said, is still ongoing. We 11 have provided specific requested documents to them for 12 them, in order for them to test. And we are looking for a 1.3 release of findings in March of 2023. And from that 14 information, any findings, we will address and inform the 15 Board and you know, correct immediately. 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Any questions or 17 comments from the Board members? 18 (No response.) 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any public comments, Yessenia? 20 MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: All right. Okay. 22 Agenda Item 8, closed session. We will go into 2.3 a closed session, pursuant to Government Code --24 MS. BENAVIDES: Sir. 25 I am sorry. MR. RODRIGUEZ:

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. BENAVIDES: You skipped my part. 1 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I am sorry. Joe said that it 3 was going to get hot, so I am trying to move it along. So Agenda Item 7, Public Education and Public 4 5 Awareness grant update. That will be presented by Interim 6 Director Canady and staff. MR. CANADY: And I will refer this to Yessenia 7 Benavides. 8 9 MS. BENAVIDES: So, good morning. 10 record, this is MVCPA program specialist, Yessenia Benavides. 11 12 If you recall, the Board awarded a grant to the National Insurance Council of Texas on June 8th of this 1.3 14 year. The name of the grant was called the Public 15 Education and Public Awareness grant. It is also referred 16 to as PEPA, for our newer Board member. 17 The purpose of the PEPA grant was to find a partner to develop a campaign in both English and Spanish 18 19 that would be shared with our citizens, with our law 20 enforcement partners that are out there combating theft and vehicle burglaries. The National Insurance Council of 21 22 Texas partnered with talkStrategy. It is a marketing 2.3 company from San Antonio. 24 And shortly after the Board awarded this grant, 25 MVCPA staff did meet with talkStrategy, along with our

attorney and the National Insurance Council of Texas.

They presented some ads.

2.3

One of the ads was a female reaching into a fridge, and she was grabbing her lunch. And then she clicked a fob. And it said, Lock it Down.

The second ad that was presented was a male that was eating lunch with a female, and then he takes something out from his pocket. It looks like he is about to propose, but he actually takes out a key fob. And it says, you know, lock it down, or keep it locked down. Something to that effect.

The third one was an ad that was presented. It was a female holding a catalytic converter as a theft.

And it said something about, it is not bougie to not lock your car, something like that.

So, after reviewing their drawing board -- and I am sorry to throw Joey under the bus. He did ask, what does "bougie" mean? So, the staff collectedly, we all didn't understand how the fridge and motor vehicle crime kind of relate together. There were even some words that our staff didn't understand.

So needless to say, we asked them to scrape everything. We asked for an ad campaign that would be understood by a broader audience. Something from, you know, anywhere from kids watching an ad campaign to our

older generation. So we wanted something that we would be proud to present to our Board and to our stakeholders, and to share it across Texas.

1.3

2.3

So we met again on October 19th. And we were really happy with the new ad campaign that they presented. And on the screens that is being presented, is what they developed. And we are going to walk you through it.

So, the beginning. The thing that we agreed on, the campaign identity, was the logo, Lock it Down! On the Board book is also the logo.

It is also printed. The O in the word Lock is a lock symbol with a key. And then the second or the third down, the letter O is a tire.

And so, you know, the MVCPA also agreed on using an audio mnemonic. And basically, what a mnemonic is, is something that stands out that is just branded for MVCPA or specifically for MVCPA.

So any campaigns that we use, that mnemonic will be played. And it is kind of a reminder for everybody. They hear that sound, they think MVCPA.

So we will start with the mnemonic. And essentially, it starts with a dark cityscape in the background. A car drives into the scene, and in the background, there is some movement. There is some audio of the sound of a city.

And then the voiceover will say, vehicle crime is on the rise. And then it goes into the car parking into an unlit spot. It shows a shadow of a thief on the buildings, moving across the car. And then again, you still hear the sounds of the city.

2.3

And then the voiceover saying, every 30 seconds, a vehicle is broken into. And then, it goes into a closeup of the thief tippy toeing towards the car. The sound of the city, you know, you can hear some background noise. And the voiceover says, thieves know where and when to target.

And then, it slowly zooms in to a closeup of a car parked. And then the sound goes off. A door opens and closes. And then it goes into the closeup of the thief. And then the voiceover says, so keep your vehicle and possessions safe from auto theft.

Then it sees some hands holding up to, you know, close it and lock the car. And then, the car lights up. Then the thief has like a discouraged expression.

And then there is kind of a, you know, bummer sound in the background.

And then there is the voiceover that says, remember to take or hide your things. And then the cityscape kind of pans out. And the voiceover says, to protect your ride and what is inside. And then, the car

kind of drives off.

1.3

2.3

So, if you notice, if you look at the eyes, that is pretty significant for us, because it is kind of a nod to our very old logo that we used to have. And so they are kind of using a more -- revamping it, to use it into a more modern and current campaign.

The ads will be ran across social media, digital displays, smart audio like iHeartRadio. And you know, we know how important it is to share these across social media as we just mentioned, Chairman.

Additionally, we were also hoping to develop a coloring book with these graphics, bumper stickers with the "Lock It Down!" logo. I mean, the possibilities are endless.

And then finally, they have created also a website that can be shared with the public. It is lockitdown.tx.com. I did include some screenshots in your Board book, but we are going to try to see if we can share the actual website because it has a lot of movement, a lot of action. And it goes with this ad campaign that they are doing.

So this is the website. It is lock it down

Texas -- or tx.com. It has -- this is the landing page.

It has got several different -- it kind of phases into different landing pages all in the beginning.

It has got information and all this. It is going continuously through four different slides. And then it also gives some information on vehicle theft, which is really important. It also talks about catalytic converters.

2.3

You can keep scrolling down. It has got that visual, that brightness to attract the audience. It also gives some more statistics in the form of visual representation, some frequently asked questions that people may have, and also some resources.

So, these are all a list of our taskforces.

Continuously, the number one call that I get is where is my local taskforce? So we can always refer them to our website, or to this website as well.

But it is still under review. We are still going through some edits. I know Gresham and Joey had some edits to submit. But essentially, this is what it looks like. We wanted to show you and give you an idea versus just seeing it on your Board book.

Are there any questions?

MS. BROTHERTON: Member Brotherton. Is it available for us to share out? Or is it still under development?

MS. BENAVIDES: It is still currently under development. Once it is available to share and we have

made sure that we have verified the statistics, the information that we want out there is correct, then we will make it public so that insurance companies can share it.

It can be shared in Instagram. It can be shared on Facebook. I know they were working on some Instagram carousels.

And if you are not familiar with a carousel, it is pretty catchy. You keep sliding to it. So, it is a big image, but it gets cut into two or three or four segments. And you slide over, and it slides further into the picture.

So I do know they are working on that. We also do want to develop promotional materials. So we are in the talks of maybe getting, you know, some car fresheners, or something that includes the Lock it Down!, or the entire campaign.

And maybe hopefully, if everything goes well, we can also have those available when we have our conference.

MR. CANADY: We were -- Joe Canady.

We were skeptical in the beginning with some of the product, as Yessenia had mentioned, that we received.

But we are very hopeful now, and liking the direction they are going.

Some of the information has already been released. They released it on Facebook. So they will maybe seeing some ads, advertisements on Facebook.

2.3

And then from our recommendations to them, they will be modifying or editing some of the ads and some of the information to make sure it includes the message that should go out.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: There is a commercial, correct?

MS. BENAVIDES: Yes, sir. So, it will be a

commercial. And it will also be audio. So, anybody that

listens to like Pandora or iHeartRadio -- I know I

constantly have it playing sometimes on my desk.

The commercials that pop out, it would be, you know, the MVCPA commercials. Again, that mnemonic that would be specific to MVCPA. So that people, when they hear it, they automatically think, oh. You know, that is MVCPA.

And a lot of companies use that. Insurance companies, you know. And it is just another way for it to be attention grabbing or catchy.

But it would be shared on social media. So it would be able to be shared with all the different taskforces. We would have all the trademarks to everything, so that we could, you know, spread it across the state.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But it would be available for 1 2 like the taskforces to play it on their local stations, 3 and things like that. 4 MS. BENAVIDES: Yes, sir. Yes. 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. It looks like an old 6 Batman cartoon movie, right. 7 MS. BENAVIDES: Well, we, a lot of times, you 8 know, what we do get from feedback is sometimes, at the 9 Regional Service Centers, when people bring you know, 10 their children. They always have coloring books that they love to have on hand for the kids while their parents are 11 12 conducting business. 13 So we just loved it. Because it was -- you 14 know, it was something that we could share in, you know, a 15 wider audience, kids, adults. Yes. 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So, the Spanish version is 17 what, Ciérralo? MS. BENAVIDES: We haven't gotten that far. 18 19 Lock it down, yes. I think Ciérralo or something to that effect. 20 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: One recommendation, if I may. 22 The resources, can it be changed to find my taskforce, or 2.3 find my something, instead of just resources? 24 MS. BENAVIDES: Yes. I mean, this is the 25 reason we wanted to present it to you.

1	If you have any input, you are more than
2	welcome to go to lockitdown.tx.com. If you feel you would
3	like something changed, please forward that to us. And we
4	would certainly, you know, refer that to talkStrategy,
5	which is the company that National Insurance Crime is
6	working with.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I really like that you can just
8	go to that website. Because going through the MVCPA, it
9	is, you know, press here, press there.
LO	MS. BENAVIDES: It could be difficult
L1	navigating through the numerous links.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: A drop-down there. Yes.
L3	MS. BENAVIDES: Yes.
L 4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So, yes. I like it. And I
L 5	think when would it be ready, though? Did they give
L 6	you a date?
L7	MS. BENAVIDES: We don't have a date just yet.
L 8	They are waiting for our feedback. And if you do go to
L 9	about what we also loved is that it also includes
20	information about MVCPA and who we are.
21	Oftentimes, a lot of people don't know what
22	MVCPA is. So I think this is fantastic that it is on the
23	About, and it explains to people what MVCPA is and what
24	MVCPA does.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: MVCPA goes first, and then the

25

1	other one goes?
2	MS. BENAVIDES: That is true.
3	
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just putting it out there.
5	MS. BENAVIDES: Yes.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Right, Julio?
7	MR. GONZALEZ: Yes, sir.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: MVCPA goes first. Okay.
9	Got any other questions, comments from the
10	Board members?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Any public comments on
13	this?
14	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
15	MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, I think we have some
16	staff comments.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. From?
18	MR. CANADY: DMV.
19	MR. LUNA: Good morning, Chief Rodriguez.
20	Roland Luna, Deputy Executive Director with DMV. With
21	registered vehicles, I think this is an excellent
22	opportunity for the MVCPA program to continue that
23	collaboration with DMV.
24	As all of you know, when you register your
25	vehicle, you receive a registration renewal insert. We

## ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

use that as a public education campaign. We send out nearly 26 million registration renewal inserts.

1.3

2.3

If you will think back to the last time you received your renewal insert, you open it up. You see the green and white paper. And then you also see two different renewal inserts that are front and back.

We use that as an opportunity to inform the public about changes in registration and title laws, to inform them about things related to their vehicle. And we could look at this as an opportunity to see if we could create a registration renewal insert specific to this education campaign.

In addition to that, last year we launched what is called Texas by Texas. Texas by Texas is an online platform that is secure, where you can renew your driver's license and your vehicle registration. There is a number of banners and other promotional materials that are provided in this online platform.

There is also a mobile app that you can download for iOS and for Android users. And you can access this information.

So if we could find a way to tie this education campaign to either the registration renewal inserts or to Texas by Texas, I think we would reach a broader audience.

Because anyone that owns a vehicle or renews their

Questions?

registration would receive the information. And we use a 1 different communication medium that is already 3 established, in addition to what MVCPA is already working 4 And we are happy to explore that internally, to see 5 how we can make that happen. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 7 MR. LUNA: Yes, sir. 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I want to say that this is 9 something that, you know, we need to get onto. 10 partnerships that we can develop out there, especially 11 with DMV. Something like this would really help. 12 Tax Assessor-Collector, you know, keep on 13 pushing it on that end. And I was going to ask, on 14 dealership inspections, are we still -- have we had a 15 decline on those inspections because of the 68As also, 16 Joe? 17 MR. CANADY: We have, as far as like salvage yard inspections and vehicle dealer inspections. 18 19 has been a decline because of the other activities that 20 they are having to do, and the increase in investigations as well. 21 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. We'll come back to that 2.3 conversation next meeting. 24 MR. CANADY: Okay.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Any other comments?

25

(No response.)

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

We'll move on to the next agenda item. Agenda Item 8, closed session. We will go into closed session, pursuant to Government Code Section 551.071, 551.074, 551.076, and 551.089.

I expect that we will be in closed session for approximately 30 to 45 minutes. We will now go into closed session at 11:26 a.m. Let the record reflect that the Board is now -- oh. I am sorry.

(Whereupon, the Board recessed into Closed Session at 11:26 a.m.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. We are back. Let the record reflect that the Board is now back in open session at 12:35 p.m.

Agenda Item 9. There are no formal action items from closed session. However, I am pleased to announce that over the past couple of weeks, or the past couple of weeks, the MVCPA has coordinated with the Texas DMV Executive Office to screen and interview applicants for the MVCPA Director position.

There were many qualified applicants. And one individual exhibited the knowledge, skills, abilities, leadership, and collaboration that the Board and Texas DMV believes will continue to lead the Authority in a positive

direction. Coordination is underway to finalize the 1 documentation required for the new Director. 3 It is my privilege to announce Joe Canady as the Director of MVCPA. 4 5 (Applause.) 6 MR. CANADY: Thank you all. Thank you. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: It is official. Effective date as the permanent Director will be solidified in the next 8 9 couple of business days. 10 Please congratulate Joe as a new MVCPA 11 Director. Congratulations, Joe. Well deserved. MS. JONES: And Chief, if I may. You know the 12 13 decision was the right one when the folks on the right are 14 smiling and cheering. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: They are all old friends. So 16 that is why. It is a big plus. 17 We do have a public comment. We have two on public comment. 18 Anybody else wishes to say -- the Board, 19 anything else? 20 21 (No response.) 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So, we have got on the 23 appointment for Director position, we have got Tommy 24 Hansen. Mr. Tommy Hansen. 25 MR. HANSEN: Thank you. My name is Tommy

Hansen. I am speaking on behalf of TAVTI.

1.3

2.3

For the court reporter, that is the Texas

Association of Vehicle Theft Investigators. My wife was a

court reporter for 25 years, so I know your pain.

I have had the honor of being involved with ATPA, ABTPA, MVCPA since the draft was written on a yellow pad in 1989, the original bill that passed in '91.

Through the years, MVCPA has battled funding. However, the taskforces, despite funding battles, have become known worldwide for their abilities.

One person who has been instrumental in the success of the MVCPA and who knows the ins and the outs and the goods and the issues with the taskforces is Joey Canady. Joey has shown his dedication to the program, time and time again. He has also shown his dedication to combating vehicle crimes with his actions in positions held with TAVTI, IAATI, the International Association of Auto Theft Investigators.

Joe has been extremely involved through the years, and walked many, many miles to the Capitol, meeting with legislators in support of the MVCPA program. Joe has been a frontline vehicle crimes investigator, a supervisor of a taskforce.

In short, no one else with the experience, knowledge and drive to carry the MVCPA into the future.

He is the perfect fit for the position of MVCPA Director with no learning curve. Zero. Not that he is a slow learner. I am just saying.

2.3

He has clearly shown his ability as a member of MVCPA staff and Acting Director. A tremendous asset to both MVCPA, DMV, and most importantly, the citizens of the State of Texas.

On behalf of TAVTI, I want to say thank you.

Thank you, thank you to the DMV Executive Staff and to the MVCPA Board for appointing Joey Canady as the new Director of the MVCPA.

Are you ready to go with the next comment? Legislative stuff?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: The next public comment is on the legislative activity.

Mr. Tommy Hansen.

MR. HANSEN: Again, Tommy Hansen, TAVTI. I just wanted to give you guys some update of some things that have been going on behind the scenes concerning MVCPA and our funding.

As many of you all know, the last session,

Representative Middleton was the one that did a rider and
got us back \$2.5 million per year. And anybody that is
involved in sessions know that riders normally don't go
anywhere. So for him to pull that off is a major, major

feat.

1.3

2.3

And that really kept us from being, going back into a bigger hole, and losing more staff. We were able to at least maintain, thanks to him.

He is dedicated, and he and I have had many conversations. He is one of our local folks in our area.

He is now Senator Middleton as of the last election. And he is dedicated, and ready to go.

We have done numerous news stories in Houston with him about this. And he has clearly said, the media actually asked him, what is the fix? And he said, funding, and we are going to fix that. We are going to get these people the \$6 million a year that they are owed.

So, in light of that, we have been meeting with him. We had several meetings with a bunch of the taskforces and gave him full insight from their perspective as to what we need to do to fix this.

Also, as you well know, Senator Huffman was the Senate sponsor of House Bill 2048 on the Senate side, when that bill was passed. Which says, we should be getting to 20 percent of the \$4 as we all know about that.

A very positive thing that has occurred now, she is now Chairman of Senate Finance. And those of you that are aware of the legislative process, the Senate Finance Chairman is one of the top dogs in the state

government. She is now in that position.

2.3

And this was her bill. So that is a key thing. Senator Middleton has already agreed, he is going to set up a meeting with her here probably prior to session. And we will get some of the taskforces again, to try to get her online to jump out there for us, and get this money.

We are also going to try to set up -- also she serves on the legislative Law Enforcement Committee. So that shows her dedication to law enforcement as well as House Bill 2048, which she was a Senate sponsor again. I wanted to reiterate that.

We are also going to set up, or try to set up a meeting with Senator Creighton, who covers -- he has the most bizarre district in the State of Texas. His Senatorial district covers five taskforces. Five.

He has got the most to gain. He has got the most to lose. And we are going to arrange with him, thanks to Senator Middleton, a meeting with him to get that.

I will end with saying that if you have any legislators that you want to get on board with him on this, because he has already got the process started on the funding. And the beauty part about it is, we are not asking for new legislation. We are asking for them to adhere to the legislation they have already passed. That

1	is it. So, this is a that slims our process.
2	So if you have any legislators, to everyone, we
3	would be more than happy to point them in the direction,
4	hook them up with Senator Middleton and get everybody on
5	the same track to get us the money that is due our deal.
6	And I will end with TAVTI, we will gladly
7	support a 68A bill change. That is all I have.
8	Any questions?
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Tommy.
10	MR. HANSEN: Thank you.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: You did excellent. Was there a
12	bet going on back there, or what? Well, thank you.
13	We will now take up Agenda Item 11.
14	Adjournment. Members, unless there is any further
15	business, I will entertain a motion to adjourn the
16	meeting.
17	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Gonzalez. I make a
18	motion to adjourn.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do I have a second?
20	MS. JONES: Second.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Gonzalez. Member Jones
22	seconds. The meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
23	(Whereupon, at 12:43 p.m., the meeting was
24	concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

MEETING OF: Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority

LOCATION: Austin, Texas

DATE: November 29, 2022

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages,

numbers 1 through 99, inclusive, are the true, accurate,

and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording

 numbers 1 through 99, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Elizabeth Stoddard before the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

DATE: December 7, 2022

/s/ Carol Bourgeois (Transcriber)

On the Record Reporting 7703 N. Lamar Blvd. #515 Austin, Texas 78752

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342