TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

MOTOR VEHICLE CRIME PREVENTION AUTHORITY

GRANTS BUDGET AND REPORTING (GBR) COMMITTEE MEETING

Lone Star Room
Texas Department of Motor Vehicles
4000 Jackson Avenue, Building 1
Austin, Texas 78731

1:00 p.m. Thursday, November 3, 2022

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Julio Gonzalez, Chair Sharon Jones Dean Smith

STAFF:

Joe Canady, Interim Director David Richards, General Counsel Yessenia Benavides

I N D E X

<u>AGENI</u>	DA ITEM	PAGE
1.	CALL TO ORDER a. Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum b. Pledge of Allegiance (US and Texas Flags) c. Comments from Committee Chairman d. Comments from Committee Members	6 6 7 7
2.	Discuss and consider recommendations to the FY 2023 Budget	8
3.	Discuss and Consider Recommendations to FY 2023 Rapid Response Strikeforce (ACTION ITEM)	10
4.	Discuss and Consider Recommendation to the FY 2024 - 2025 Grant Scoring and Award Determination Process (ACTION ITEM)	13
5.	Discuss and Consider Recommendation for Grant Violation Documentation, Notification, and Determination Policy (ACTION ITEM)	25
6.	Discuss And Consider Recommendations to the Current Price Caps For the Purchase and Lease of Taskforce Vehicles (ACTION ITEM)	31
7.	Discuss And Consider Recommendations for FY 2024 - 2025 Taskforce Grant Request for Application and Grant Timeline (ACTION ITEM)	37
8.	Discussion and Review Draft of MVCPA FY 2024 - 2025 Plan of Operation, including Data from Stakeholders, Review of Performance and Review of Need (BRIEFING ONLY)	45
CLOSI	The Committee may enter into closed session under one or more of the following provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Government Code Chapter 551: Section 551.071 - Consultation with and advice from legal counsel regarding: - pending or contemplated litigation, or a settlement offer; - a matter in which the duty of the attorney to the government body under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with Government Code Chapter 551; or any item on this agenda.	

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 Section 551.074 - Personnel matters.
- Discussion relating to the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline, and dismissal of personnel.
Section 551.076 - Deliberation Regarding Security Devices or Security Audits; Closed Meeting.

- the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel or devices; or
- a security audit.

Section 551.089 - Deliberation Regarding Security Devices or Security Audits; Closed Meeting.

- security assessments or deployments relating to information resources technology;
 network security information as described by Section 2059.055(b); or
- the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel, critical infrastructure, or security devices.
- 10. Action Items from Closed Session none
- 11. Public Comment none
- 12. Adjournment 47

PROCEEDINGS

MR. GONZALEZ: Good afternoon. My name is

Julio Gonzalez, and I am pleased to open the meeting of
the Grants, Budget, and Reporting Committee of the Motor

Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority.

It is 1:00 p.m., and I am now calling the Committee meeting for November 3, 2022, to order. I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting containing all items on the agenda was filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on October 26, 2022.

2.3

Before we begin today's meeting, please place all cell phones and other communication devices in a silent mode. And please, as a courtesy to others, do not carry on side conversations or other activities in the meeting room.

I want to welcome those who are with us today for today's Committee meeting. If you wish to address the Committee, or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please complete a speaker sheet at the registration table.

Please identify the specific item you are interested in commenting on, your name and address, and whether you are representing anyone or speaking for yourself. If your comment does not pertain to a specific

agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting.

1.3

2.3

In accordance with the Department's administrative rule, comments to the Board will be limited to three minutes. To assist each speaker, a timer has been provided at the podium. The timer light will be green for the first two minutes, yellow for one minute, and then red when your time is up.

Individuals cannot accumulate time from other speakers. Comments should be pertinent to the issues stated on the comment sheet. When addressing the Committee, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

There are a few things that will assist in making the meeting run smoother and assist the court reporter in getting an accurate record. Please identify yourselves before speaking. Speak clearly and slowly, and do not speak over others. And please ask the Chairman for permission to speak and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

I would like to thank our court reporter, who is transcribing this meeting.

Before we begin today, I would like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct at our Committee meetings. The Committee Chair

has authority to supervise the conduct of meetings. 1 includes the authority to determine when a speaker is being disruptive of the meeting, or is otherwise violating 3 4 the timing or presentation rules I just discussed. 5 The posted agenda stated that a quorum of the 6 MVCPA Board may be present at this meeting. However, 7 Board members who are not members of the Grants, Budget,

and Reporting Committee will not vote on any Committee

agenda items today, nor will any Board action be taken

today.

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

I don't see any other Board members that are not on the Committee. And now, I would like to have a roll call of the Board members. Please respond verbally when I call your name.

Member Jones.

MS. JONES: Present.

MR. GONZALEZ: Member Smith.

MR. SMITH: Present.

MR. GONZALEZ: And let the record reflect that I, Julio Gonzalez, am here too. We have a quorum.

We will then move on to Agenda Item 1(b), the pledges of allegiance. Please stand and honor our country and state with the pledges of allegiance. Member Smith will lead us in the U.S. pledge.

(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	recited.)
2	(Whereupon, a pledge to the Texas flag was
3	recited.)
4	MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you Member Smith, for
5	leading us in the U.S. and Texas pledge. We will now move
6	on to Agenda Item 1(c), Comments from the Grants, Budget,
7	and Reporting Committee Chairman.
8	The only thing I would like to state is that as
9	we are coming to an end of this year, it is remarkable the
LO	amount of progress that we are making. It seems as if
L1	things are definitely picking up, and the goals and items
L2	that the Board has requested are really coming to
L3	fruition. And so it is certainly an exciting time.
L 4	I would like to thank the staff of the MVCPA
L 5	for working very hard and diligently, you know, through
L 6	this transition. And also, thank DMV.
L7	I do want to recognize the Director for
L 8	attending. Thank you, sir. We most certainly appreciate
L 9	that. And very glad for this working relationship that we
20	are fostering.
21	All right. We will now move on to Agenda Item
22	1(d). Comments from Committee members.
23	Members, do either of you have any comments
24	that you would like to make?

MS. JONES: No, sir.

25

MR. SMITH: No, sir.

2.3

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ GONZALEZ: And we will now take up briefing and action items.

Agenda Item 2, Discuss and consider recommendations for the FY '23 budget. We will hear from Interim Director Joe Canady.

MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady. I will defer this to Dan Price.

MR. PRICE: Hi. For the record, this is Dan Price. I am the CPA Grant Auditor, also program specialist.

So the first item, as you know, we are in the process of filling a permanent position for the new Director's job of the MVCPA. When the requirements from both the Board, DMV, and our Human Resources Department at DMV all came together to look at the qualifications necessary for that position, and what the salary that would be commensurate with those qualifications should be, the recommendation came back from the HR Department and DMV that the budget for the Director's salary be increased, and the Director be hired at a higher level, a Director 5 position, which will give us more horsepower to run the operation.

So the annual salary has been deemed to be \$135,000, is what would be appropriate for somebody at

1	that level. And that required a budget increase in the
2	salaries line of the MVCPA, a total net of \$16,161. So we
3	are advising you today that that transfer has been made.
4	We did make that transfer from the advertising
5	budget. We do have some advertising money that was
6	actually pulled out and put into a grant. So it is coming
7	out of the grant line.
8	So there are additional funds available in the
9	advertising line that we are transferring to compensate
10	for that salary. So, any questions on that?
11	The new amount available on the advertising
12	line after the transfer will be \$136,481. Thank you.
13	That completes my report.
14	MR. GONZALEZ: Are there any questions for Mr.
15	Price?
16	(No response.)
17	MS. JONES: No, sir.
18	MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, are there any
19	questions from the Committee for Mr. Price?
20	MR. GONZALEZ: Yessenia, are there any public
21	comments for this agenda?
22	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
23	MR. GONZALEZ: All right. Do I have a motion
24	on Agenda Item 2?
25	MS. JONES: Member Jones. I move that the

1	Committee recommend that the Board approve the budget
2	transfer.
3	MR. GONZALEZ: Do I have a second?
4	MR. SMITH: You have a second. Member Smith.
5	MR. GONZALEZ: I have a second from Member
6	Smith.
7	Any further discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. GONZALEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
10	for a vote. All in favor, say aye.
11	(A chorus of ayes.)
12	MR. GONZALEZ: All opposed, nay.
13	(No response.)
14	MR. GONZALEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
15	Julio Gonzalez, vote aye. The motion passes.
16	We will now move on to Agenda Item 3, Discuss
17	and consider recommendations to the FY '23 Rapid Response
18	Strikeforce. And we will now hear from Interim Director
19	Canady and/or designated staff on this agenda item.
20	MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady.
21	We received an application for a Rapid Response
22	Strike Force grant from the City of Arlington. The City
23	of Arlington is not does not currently receive a
24	Taskforce grant from MVCPA.
25	However, they are a participating agency of the

Tarrant County grant. So according to Board policy for the process for the Rapid Response Strike Force grants, the participating agencies are qualified to receive funding for emergency and exigent needs.

2.3

They have identified two areas within the city limits of Arlington. I believe it is at AT&T Stadium and across the street at the mall, the big parking lot for the stadium to where there is not coverage. And they believe they can benefit from the leasing of cameras, ALPR cameras, specifically, Flock cameras, to assist them in recovering stolen vehicles, because there has been a 68-and a 75-percent increase in the two locations of the number of thefts in this past year.

But in addition, they are requesting \$5,700 total for the equipment. Out of that would be a \$1,140 cash match they will provide. The City of Arlington has also agreed to pick up the lease for a second year, beyond the initial grant-funded time period, which the Board has previously requested in the policies.

And at this time, we are asking for your recommendation to approve this grant. This is not a grant that has to come through the Committee, the GBR Committee, according to the guidelines or the process that is in place. It is under that amount, under \$5,000. It can have director approval.

But we wanted the information to come through 1 2 the Board because we have never awarded a Rapid Response 3 grant to a participating agency. 4 MR. GONZALEZ: Are there any questions for Mr. 5 Canady or staff? 6 MS. JONES: No, sir. 7 No, sir. MR. SMITH: 8 MR. GONZALEZ: I will just say this, the Flock 9 LPR system is amazing. And just seeing it from the 10 end-user perspective, it has been quite the game changer, to say the least. To combat auto theft, it is an amazing 11 12 tool. 13 I am in full support of anything that expands 14 our use of these LPRs. And so I would certainly encourage 15 that when other agencies come to us and they want to help 16 out with this LPR initiative, we should do whatever we can 17 to encourage that. Because the other aspect of it is that we have 18 19 information sharing. And we can require that entity to 20 make sure that the LPR information that they are receiving 21 can be looked at by all of our other Taskforces and 22 whatnot. So the program as a whole benefits from 2.3 including additional stakeholders with it. 24 MR. CANADY: Yes, sir.

MR. GONZALEZ: Yessenia, are there any public

25

1	comments for this item?
2	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
3	MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. All right. Members, do I
4	have a motion on Agenda Item 3?
5	MR. SMITH: Member Smith. I move that the
6	Committee recommend the Board approve funding for the
7	Rapid Response Strike Force grant to the City of Arlington
8	Police in the amount of \$4,560.
9	MS. JONES: Second.
10	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Smith makes a motion and
11	Member Jones seconds the motion. Is there any further
12	discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. GONZALEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
15	for a vote. All those in favor of the motion, say aye.
16	(A chorus of ayes.)
17	MR. GONZALEZ: All opposed, nay.
18	(No response.)
19	MR. GONZALEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
20	Julio Gonzalez, vote aye. The motion passes. Thank you.
21	We will now move on to the next agenda item.
22	Agenda Item 4, Discuss and consider recommendations for FY
23	'24 grant scoring and award determination process. We
24	will now take up Agenda Item 4.
25	And I will turn the discussion over to Interim

Director Joe Canady, and designated staff.

2.3

MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady. And a particular reminder for Committee members, when you speak, make sure that your mics are turned on and you are close enough, so that the court reporter -- this system picks the sound up. Thank you.

According to Texas Transportation Code, Section 10.06 provides that MVCPA shall allocate grant funds based on the number of motor vehicles stolen in -- or the motor vehicle or burglary theft rate in the jurisdiction across the state, versus geographically. The current scoring system has been identified by Board members as needing some adjustments -- possibly adjustments to this, to ensure that we can -- that the applications received are awarded to Taskforces in jurisdictions that are primarily based on the need for their program.

If you will turn to page 8 in your -- I am sorry, page 9 in your Board book first. This will show you the current grant awards.

And for the '24 Taskforce grants, starting with Tarrant County, in the amount of \$1,332,000. And the grant receiving the least amount of money is Pasadena at the bottom of the list with \$83,000.

Now these grants are -- this is what the Board had previously awarded for that fiscal year '22 and FY '23

cycle. But if you look at the third column, the motor vehicle thefts for the application agency, that column, or the fifth column is -- if they are a multi-agency grant, it will be the combined total of the applicant agency plus the participating agencies.

2.3

I believe that this is what we need -- that we should be, or the Board should consider utilizing this data versus a countywide data which is on the fourth column. Because if you -- take for example, if you have Houston.

Houston, of course, has Harris County, has
Harris County Taskforce and a Houston PD Taskforce. And
there may be, if you utilize countywide data for both
jurisdictions, there is no differentiation on the need for
that jurisdiction, because they are both reporting the
same numbers on the theft problem.

So if you will turn back to page 7 of your Board book, the first thing that we need to determine is whether in the proposed or the end result of the scoring process is the thefts and the motor vehicle crimes problems, which includes fraud-related motor vehicle crimes, burglary motor vehicle, and motor vehicle theft. If we consider the theft rate from their participating agency or agencies, or countywide data, and that the need -- of course that would be part of the need section,

which would be 51 points proposed.

1.3

2.3

The next section is a previous fiscal year performance for existing Taskforces only, worth 25 points, which would include the number of recovered vehicles, part of the Taskforce. Number of arrests for motor vehicle crimes, which again, includes all three of the statutorily required crimes. And the number of motor vehicle crime cases cleared and then the number of cases filed with the prosecutor.

The same question would be asked of new grantee applicants for the number of vehicles recovered, number of arrests, cases cleared, and cases presented to prosecutor.

Just so we can gauge a performance data that the agency or Taskforces have been doing as related to motor vehicle theft.

And if you turn to page 8 of your meeting book. If we get to the budget, we are proposing that there be ten points for that, for particularly the ratio of state funds to local participation, utilizing cash match and in kind. State funds per arrests, the total of all Taskforce arrests, state funds per recoveries, and state funds per law enforcement officer. That will help break down just a little bit further on the budget.

And it kind of goes and coincides with the next section, of being reasonable. We want the budget to be

reasonable. And, you know, we don't want an agency spending \$100,000, you know, for two or three stolen vehicles.

2.3

You know, that cost per stolen vehicle. This could get high, and that would be considered unreasonable.

But if you go to the reasonable section next, the narrative clearly provides explanation that supports project goals, strategies, and activities. Activities selected are reasonable and clearly supported, to support the identified problem. The target number selected for each activity is reasonable to support the identified problem.

And again, if we have a jurisdiction that has 20,000 stolen vehicles, and they are proposing only two officers to form a Taskforce to combat this problem, we would not consider that reasonable. You know, we would just -- you know, we wanted to look at these applications as a whole and determine if what they are submitting is reasonable to work this problem.

The next section on point reductions per occurrence, if we are factoring in previous grantee performance, target numbers for the previous fiscal year. If they were missed by more than 25 percent, it would be minus one point. Progress reports returned for editing, expenditure reports returned for editing, both of those

would be one point.

1.3

2.3

Late reports submitted, two points. Submitted reports in delinquent status, negative five points.

Complied with special conditions late, two points.

Complied with special conditions in delinquent status, five points.

Excuse me. Of course, if they received that grant violation, that is proposed to be ten points, a ten point deduction.

And moving along, if we go to the extra credit, there is -- if border report jurisdictions could receive an additional five points. Multi-agency Taskforces could receive an additional five points to their score.

Programs having demonstrated successful operations related to fraud, and related to motor vehicle crime.

You know, if they were tasked by the Board Chairman or the Governor to look at something that is occurring in their jurisdiction, or it could be occurring on a statewide basis, but they have stepped up to the plate. And they have combined efforts from within their jurisdiction and maybe even neighboring Taskforces, and actually work this problem and situation, and come up with a positive success, positive outcomes, that could be up to five points.

Of course, this included temporary tags. You

know, we had several Taskforces that were working to identify people, these bad actors that were utilizing stolen temporary tags, or making their own temporary tags and selling them. So, you know, get them five additional points.

2.3

Programs having demonstrated dedication to utilizing technology, without the use of MVCPA funds, high points. Just for example, if there is an agency that, they are not receiving funding from the state or MVCPA for a license plate reader program, but yet they have outfitted their patrol vehicles with license plate readers. And they are providing the data to their task forces, and other law enforcement agencies to reduce these crimes. We think that should be a benefit to them, as far as an extra credit point.

Excuse me. Programs having demonstrated successful training of officers not part of the MVCPA network in the identification of stolen vehicles, five points. And if you have a border area, and the Taskforce is working with, you know, federal agencies to get them information to identify a stolen vehicle that may be coming through their checkpoints, or may be trying to cross the border, or they are not aware of.

It is just an extension of the Taskforce, basically. And it provides these federal officers a

resource to go to and it creates and builds that 1 2 partnership in an effort to get more of these vehicles, 3 keep more of these vehicles from leaving the state into 4 another country. 5 And agency personnel outside the Taskforce, 6 participating and covering agencies. We have a lot of 7 officer -- a lot of Taskforces. There are investigators 8 and officers, and personnel trained. They are trying to 9 reach more officers, so that they are aware of MVCPA. 10 they know what to look for whenever they encounter 11 vehicles and they can get the information to identify the vehicle that is stolen. 12 13 Again, the overall goal is to work together and 14 to reduce the number of stolen vehicles in the State of 15 Texas. Any questions so far? 16 MR. GONZALEZ: Director, do you need a recess? 17 MR. CANADY: No, I'm good. Just my allergies, that is all. 18 19 MR. GONZALEZ: Just making sure. Okay. 20 MR. CANADY: Any questions so far? 21 (No response.) 22 MR. CANADY: And, if we go into the allocation 2.3 section --24 MR. GONZALEZ: They are weed whacking. 25 MS. JONES: Is that what that is?

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. CANADY: If we go into the allocation section, if the Applicants are -- MVCPA will perform an initial review to make sure that all the Applicants are allowed to, or meet the qualifications stated in the RFA. And once that's done, all the applications be certified complete, based on score.

2.3

And if you determine the top ten applications that are approved for funding, for potential funding, and then order the applicants based off of need to ensure that the top ten applicants receive at least 50 percent of the allocated funds, or that has been appropriated from the State. And that is going to be closer to the statute, aligned with the statute, to ensure that funds are appropriated to Taskforces based off of need versus jurisdiction.

The remaining applicants recommended for funding may receive an allocation based on need and score. And these are proportional allocations. And I mean, not everybody will be getting the same amount. It will be proportionate to their needs and the number of stolen vehicles in their area, and the number of activities that they are proposing.

And there was a question about utilizing the total funds that the Board decides to allocate to the grant programs. This would utilize that amount. Because

again, not everybody would be receiving the same amount. 1 2 It would be proportionate to the jurisdictions. 3 So, if there is 24, which is the current number 4 of existing Taskforces or grantees, or if there is 30, we 5 can develop a formula to recommend to the Board for 6 funding, you know, based off of this criteria, to utilize 7 all that money, without leaving anything on the table. 8 Any questions? 9 (No response.) 10 MR. CANADY: So, what we're asking the Board is to recommend changes to this, provide ideas to where we 11 12 could come up with a viable solution to present to the 13 Board for approval in the end of this month, at the next 14 Board meeting on the 29th. 15 MR. GONZALEZ: Are there any questions for Mr. 16 Canady? 17 MS. JONES: I do have comments. A possible 18 question over the weed whacker. Can you hear me? 19 So we all recognize that there was a need to 20 change our process of the awards, and I like the 21 Like, the thought was how much input did presentation. 22 you have into this proposal based on your experience here? 2.3 MR. CANADY: There was a lot of input from 24 staff. There was input from other people in law 25 enforcement in providing this. This was just an idea that

1 I built, or that we built to work upon for an acceptable 2 end product. 3 MS. JONES: I know you mentioned the top ten. 4 Did you account for agencies that are smaller? The rural 5 counties as opposed to, like, the top ten being like the 6 top biggest cities? 7 MR. CANADY: Initially, I didn't know whether or not the top ten, that ten should be a hard number. 8 9 could be a larger number or a smaller number that you wish 10 to do. But again, we are trying to get to a system or 11 formula that would allow it to be in line with the 12 statute, that the jurisdiction with the greatest need will 1.3 receive the majority of the money. 14 MS. JONES: I like the proposal. It holds the 15 grantees accountable for following the rules, guidelines, 16 and procedures. But it also holds the Board accountable 17 as well, to make sure that when we allocate funds that it is done in a fair and equitable manner and in accordance 18 19 to statute. Well done. 20 MR. CANADY: Thank you. I'm going to echo Member Jones' 21 MR. GONZALEZ: 22 I am very proud of this document. comments. 2.3 I think, in fact, I remember very clearly the direction from the Board from this Committee was, get us

more in line with the statute. And I think that this

24

25

effectively does that. And it also rewards -- and you 1 2 touched on it, it rewards those Taskforces that, you know, answer the Chairman's call. 3 I specifically remember this past year when 4 5 that sheriff's deputy was killed responding to a catalytic 6 converter theft. And there were several agencies that 7 stepped up. And I want to make sure that we reward them, you know, for going after the direction that the Board 8 9 that the Governor's Office sets forth. 10 And then all year, you know, we were working on the temporary tag issue. And so that is also included in 11 12 So I think that this is exceptional work. there. 1.3 MR. CANADY: We can take the information contained here and break it down further for each section 14 15 and come up with the criteria for the score, based on the 16 answers in there, to provide to the Board on the 29th, for 17 final approval. MR. GONZALEZ: Any other questions for Mr. 18 19 Canady or staff? 20 MR. SMITH: Looks good, Joe. 21 MR. CANADY: Thank you. 22 MR. GONZALEZ: Well done, Joe. 2.3 Yessenia, are there public comments for this 24 agenda item?

MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.

25

1	MR. GONZALEZ: All right. Members, do I have a
2	motion on Agenda Item 4?
3	MR. SMITH: Member Smith. I move that the
4	Committee approve or recommend changes for grant scoring
5	and award determination process to the Board.
6	MS. JONES: Second. Member Jones.
7	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Smith makes a motion and
8	Member Jones seconds the motion. Is there any further
9	discussion?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. GONZALEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
12	for a vote. All those in favor of the motion, say aye.
13	(A chorus of ayes.)
14	MR. GONZALEZ: All opposed, nay.
15	(No response.)
16	MR. GONZALEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
17	Julio Gonzalez, vote aye. The motion passes.
18	And we will now move on to the next agenda
19	item. Agenda Item 5, Discuss and consider recommendations
20	for grant violation documentation, notification, and
21	determination policy. And we will hear from Interim
22	Director Joe Canady and/or designated staff on this agenda
23	item.
24	MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady.
25	Grant rules are posted in the grant

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 administrative manual, Texas Grant Management Standards, and state statutes. However, there is no current policy to -- not enforce, but to more so provide documentation, notification to grantees, and also a determination on any actions that could be taken.

1.3

2.3

Again, all of the rules are in here. And for the most part, grantees do a tremendous job. They are busy.

You know, they are out there working to reduce the number of stolen vehicles. They are recovering these vehicles. They are making arrests.

So we know they are busy. And that is one thing we wanted to factor in to provide them some latitude, if they have a -- if they are working on a major operation to where they cannot, or it takes away from -- filling out a report takes away from them recovering vehicles and making arrests, we want to provide them that leeway to request an extension.

So, this is not a definite that all reports must be received at a specific given time. We understand that there are circumstances that would allow or make things difficult on the grantee.

And that is for this first section. If you look at page 11 in your meeting book, getting required reports submitted. The dates are always in the grantee

administrative manual for the expenditure reports, the progress reports, the inventory reports, the end-of-year reports, on everything that is due.

2.3

We remind grantees during each webinar of the due dates, whether it be the law enforcement webinar or the grantee administrative webinar. We also remind them with emails of due dates, because we want them all to submit the reports, you know, on time. We want every one of them to succeed. We are not trying to hold them back, or, you know, make additional sanctions against them.

But we are on page 12 of your meeting book, administrative sanctions. And this would go through the Board, the MVCPA Director, or recommendation to the Board.

On the first violation, grantees would receive a warning. Second violation, grant violation letter.

Third violation, notification to the Board. The fourth violation, withholding of funds until everything is satisfied.

Fifth violation, notification of MVCPA Board as a suspension until the Board is presented satisfactory evidence that the violation has been corrected, or the grant is terminated. Again, it takes a long -- it takes a lot of missed reports, late reports, and errors to get to that final fifth violation. And there is no reason why any grantee would ever receive a violation, or need to

receive a violation if they were completing their reports and getting them turned in.

The second section on special program conditions, we believe this holds a little more weight than a report submitted. Because this is the interlocal agreements, the prosecutor agreements, border security report requirements, multi-agency operational plans, resolutions. These are all documents that should be in place, especially on the interlocals.

If it is a multi-agency Taskforce, and they are working with other agencies, that document should be in place before they begin operations, just to have everybody covered, and giving them the authority to work in those other jurisdictions. So we believe that holds just a little more weight.

And again, reminders and notices are sent via email on these documents that are received, that need to be turned in. They are posted in the grant administrative manual. And of course, Texas Grant Management Standards.

2.3

At the beginning of the fiscal year, we will provide notice within 30 days of issuing of the award start date, but they need to comply with these special conditions. And grantees who fail to provide satisfactory evidence of the compliance within 30 days after receiving

notice that it was due are subject to administrative rule or penalty as deemed by the Board.

1.3

2.3

Grantees have 15 days after receiving a deficient or grant violation notification to request an appeal. We want them to be able to appeal. There may be something that we were not aware of on why this was not submitted, why it was not complied with.

And possible administrative sanctions.

Grantees who fail to provide MVCPA notice of compliance with special conditions on the date it is considered delinquent will receive a warning of grant violation. So there is another opportunity they have to correct this before it goes into a specific violation.

Grantees who fail to comply within the specified time will receive a grant violation. A notice will be sent to the MVCPA Board for consideration and possible action items. At this point, we believe the Board -- since it is a more heavily weighted condition, the Board should step in and take action, and determine from the grantee what is going on in that jurisdiction.

You know, do you need anything from us? Can we help you get these documents in, or get these things in place, to make that final determination.

We are recommending that the process be approved for the grantee violation documentation and

notification policy, or changes recommended so that we can 1 2 present this to the Board on the 29th of November. 3 MR. GONZALEZ: Are there any questions for Mr. 4 Canady? 5 MS. JONES: No, sir. 6 MR. GONZALEZ: I'll say it. I like it. I have 7 no problem assisting with getting those Taskforces on board. 8 9 If it has gotten to that third violation 10 notification to the Board, I think historically we have 11 been able to help out with having some conversations about 12 it with those in charge of the Taskforce to get them back 13 in compliance. So I think this thing formalizes the 14 process. I think it is good stuff. 15 So Yessenia, are there any public comments on the item? 16 17 MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir. MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. Members, do I have a 18 19 motion on Agenda Item 5? 20 MR. SMITH: Member Smith. I move that the 21 Committee approve or make recommendations for changes to 22 present the grantee violation documentation and 2.3 notification policy to the MVCPA Board. 24 MR. GONZALEZ: Member Smith makes the motion. 25 Is there a second?

MS. JONES: Member Jones. Second. 1 2 MR. GONZALEZ: Member Jones seconds the motion. 3 Is there any other further discussion? 4 (No response.) 5 MR. GONZALEZ: Hearing none, I will now call 6 for the vote. All those in favor of the motion, say aye. 7 (A chorus of ayes.) 8 MR. GONZALEZ: All those opposed, say nay. 9 (No response.) 10 MR. GONZALEZ: Let the record reflect that I, 11 Julio Gonzalez, vote aye. The motion passes. 12 We will now move on to Agenda Item 6, Discuss 13 and consider recommendations to the current price caps for 14 the purchase and lease of Taskforce vehicles. I will turn 15 the discussion item over to Interim Director Canady and staff. 16 For the record, Joe Canady. 17 MR. CANADY: New vehicle prices and lease rental rates have 18 19 increased. To remain within policy limits, some grantees 20 are using cash match funds for purchases and in-kind match for vehicle leases. Current policy is \$25,000 for the 21 22 purchase of a vehicle. 2.3 They still have to ask for permission to buy 24 that vehicle, but they can be given funds up to \$25,000 to 25 make that purchase. Anything above that, they have to

require to include extra money from their jurisdictions. They can utilize program income, but they have to come up with some mechanism to get that vehicle above the \$25,000 amount.

2.3

For leases, the current price cap is \$600. We are seeing many grantees are having a hard time finding vehicles that are available for lease in that price range. And that is the way with the vehicles, too. It is like global shift shortages, supply chains.

We have had a couple of grantees that have purchased a vehicle, and they went into the next fiscal year before receiving that vehicle, which causes budget problems. A lot of the vehicles, if they purchase or request to purchase off the buy board, they are having to be built to the factory. These shortages just delay the time in which it takes for them to get the vehicle.

So the average price -- if you look at page 13 and page 14, the average price of vehicles is, and I am sorry that cut off, but it is around \$34,000, \$35,000 that grantees are spending on vehicles for -- \$37,000, I am sorry, for 2021. And 2022 is still contingent upon processing the final reports.

So we are looking for -- if we go to the next page, on page 14, on leases, the leases range from \$521 a month to \$1,000 per month on vehicles. And these are from

Enterprise leasing on most of them. There are a few 1 other -- I believe Acme is one, I believe -- a few other 3 companies that are being leased from. 4 So we are requesting that the Board look at 5 this and determine to increase the amount that may be 6 approved for vehicle purchases and increase the amount 7 approved for leases, or recommend that MVCPA and the Board 8 designee review the requests to make sure that they are 9 reasonable and justifiable for the program. 10 questions? 11 (No response.) 12 MR. GONZALEZ: Are there any questions for Mr. 13 Canady? 14 MS. JONES: No. 15 MR. GONZALEZ: So I have some questions. 16 did you want to go? 17 No, sir. MS. JONES: 18 MR. GONZALEZ: So on page 14, this cost per 19 month, how updated is that information? 20 MR. CANADY: Part of this is from FY '22. The Laredo members, those are current numbers from '23 that 21 22 they are paying now -- at least \$1,000 per month. 2.3 MR. GONZALEZ: And so that is what I suspect is 24 happening here, because I know my agency provides me with 25 an Enterprise rental and the price has profoundly

increased in the past two months. And I am talking like a 1 2 40 percent increase. 3 So I just wanted to point out that these 4 numbers here seem just not as updated, but the Laredo one 5 seems on point. 6 MR. CANADY: And we may find further in this 7 year coming up, that the grantees, these numbers that they 8 put in their applications, they are not totally accurate. 9 And they may be adding more money to the programs and we 10 may add more money to them to ultimately meet that need. They may be putting in in-kind, or requesting to put in 11 12 additional program income because that price has 1.3 increased. 14 MR. GONZALEZ: Yessenia, are there any public 15 comments for this agenda item? 16 MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir. 17 MR. GONZALEZ: Members, do I have a motion on Agenda Item 6? 18 19 MS. JONES: Member Jones. I move that the 20 Committee increase price cap for vehicle purchases and 21 leases, or suspend the price caps and allow the MVCPA 22 Director and MVCPA Board designee to review on an 2.3 individual basis to ensure requests are reasonable and 24 necessary to meet the goals, strategies, and activities of 25 the grant for a recommendation to the Board.

1	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Jones makes a motion. Is
2	there a second?
3	MR. SMITH: Member Smith. I second.
4	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Smith seconds the motion.
5	Is there any further discussion?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. GONZALEZ: Hearing none, I will now
8	MR. CANADY: Mr. Chairman, does the committee
9	wish to increase the amounts, to set an amount cap on
10	there? Or make the recommendation to view this on an
11	individual basis, to see if it is to make sure that
12	their requests are reasonable and necessary?
13	MR. GONZALEZ: I like individual basis.
14	MS. JONES: Perfect. So, do I need to reread
15	it, and take out no. Okay.
16	MR. GONZALEZ: I would prefer individual basis.
17	And we have done it in the past, and people have come up
18	and discussed their needs.
19	MR. RICHARDS: Chairman, David Richards,
20	General Counsel for the record.
21	Member Jones, I would like you to read it into
22	the record, if you would, please the change, if you
23	would, please.
24	MS. JONES: Okay.
25	MR. RICHARDS: Thank you.

(Pause.) 1 2 MS. JONES: So, we're agreeing to increase, for 3 them to come up. 4 MR. GONZALEZ: Member Smith, is that your 5 recommendation? 6 MR. SMITH: It is. I am sorry. I was unsure 7 if she was rereading the motion or if we were good with 8 the way it was written. 9 MR. GONZALEZ: It sounds like there's two 10 motions in one. MR. CANADY: Major Jones, I believe we would be 11 12 requesting to suspend the price caps and allow the MVCPA 13 Director and Board designee to review on an individual 14 basis to ensure requests are reasonable and necessary. 15 MR. SMITH: Member Smith, for the record. That is the motion that I would second. Correct? 16 17 MS. JONES: Yes. Okay. So, Member Jones. I move that the Committee increase price caps 18 19 for vehicle purchases and suspend price caps. Right? 20 MR. GONZALEZ: Or. Or suspend. 21 MS. JONES: Okay. I move that the Committee 22 increase prices caps for vehicles purchase or suspend the 2.3 price caps and allow the MVCPA Director and MVCPA Board designee to review on an individual basis to ensure that 24

requests are reasonable and necessary to meet the goals,

25

strategies, and activities of the grant for a 1 recommendation to the Board. 3 MR. SMITH: Member Smith. I do second that. 4 MR. GONZALEZ: All right. Member Jones makes a 5 motion and Member Smith seconds the motion. Is there any 6 further discussion? 7 (No response.) 8 MR. GONZALEZ: Hearing none, I will now call 9 for the vote. All those in favor of the motion, say aye. 10 (A chorus of ayes.) MR. GONZALEZ: All opposed, say nay. 11 12 (No response.) 13 MR. GONZALEZ: Let the record reflect that I, 14 Julio Gonzalez, vote aye. The motion passes. 15 We will now move on to the next agenda item. 16 Agenda Item 7, Discuss and consider recommendations for FY 17 '24-'25 Taskforce grant request for applications and grant timeline. I will now turn this Agenda Item over to 18 19 Interim Director and/or designated staff. 20 MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady. 21 In the past, MVCPA has had -- or at the time, 22 it would have been ABTPA, and even further back, ATPA. 2.3 The Auto Theft Prevention Authority held grant conferences 24 in June of each year, each year or biennium, whichever

case may have been, to conduct training, provide training

25

to law enforcement, and training specific to grantees for the program to provide information on how to submit grant assessments, fill out incomplete and submit a process report and the expenditure reports.

2.3

And on the final day, there will be the grant awards ceremony where the Board would have reviewed the applications, received presentations from each grantee, and make that final determination for allocation to the grantees.

If you look on page 16 of your meeting book, we believe that if this was the case, this is the timeline that we would need to follow in February. There should be a Committee and/or a Board meeting to be determined -- March, I am sorry. That meeting in February could develop the RFA. The Board could decide on all of the conditions that need to be in the request for applications.

In March, we could submit or publish the Taskforce grants. March 15, there would be a grant application workshop. We would determine whether or not this should be in person or remote.

In April, have another Committee meeting to hear the final six Taskforce presentations. On May 12th, the applications would be due for FY '24 and '25, if the Board decided to offer a continued Taskforce grant for two years.

May 15th through May 19th, staff would review and ensure Applicants meet the qualifications stated in the RFA, and certify all applications as complete. May 22nd to June 22nd, staff and selected Board members will score the applications. And the potential conference could be June 12th through June 15th.

2.3

And we are recommending that if the Board wishes to have grantee presentations to the Committee, law enforcement training, and grantee training, the conference should be four days, around four days.

The first day, if you look at page 17 of your meeting book, the Committee could meet to hear grantee presentations. On the second day, using breakout rooms, they could conduct statewide motor vehicle training to law enforcement. Also, on the second day, new grantee training to Taskforces, again, on these reports that we talked about and the grant adjustment that is made.

And then, the third day, again, statewide motor vehicle crimes training for grantees and for outside agencies law enforcements. And on the fourth day would be the Board meeting and the grant awards ceremony.

Mr. Price has developed an estimation for travel costs for Board and staff, and this is without the audio and video fees from the hotel at this point, but \$11,400. And approximately 50 people at \$65,000 for

grantees, for a total of at least \$75,000. May be closer to \$100,000 or more once the audio and video fees are included, and the minimum number of room nights, and all of that.

2.3

We have talked, or we have discussed the types of training that could be had, and we believe that there should be some officer safety training provided for all law enforcement. Specific to catalytic converter thefts as well, too -- marking and identifying those. Houston is doing a tremendous job on it, Houston and Harris County, on marking these catalytic converters, so they can be easily recognized by salvage yards if they are stolen. Maybe even some peer-to-peer training.

We know that law enforcement officers have a stressful job. And you know, they are not always willing to talk to others about it, but may be more willing to talk to one another. And there is programs out there, that is peer-to-peer type situation, that could help them.

There is other topics that the Board can consider, other training for law enforcement. We could do a full eight-hour training dedicated to patrol officers for the identification of stolen vehicles on one day, for the rookie officers that don't have any information.

We could combine with DMV, provide some training on the temporary tags, on the uses. I mean, the

options are limitless on what could be provided, if the Board wishes to have a conference.

Any questions or comments?

1.3

2.3

MR. GONZALEZ: So I think this is fantastic work. Member Jones, I know that you have been working hard on this. And thank you.

Just in discussing this item with some other folks, one of the biggest values that I see in this is that you have the opportunity to get everyone on the same page with respect to, this is how you want your reports done. Everyone receives that same training. And hopefully, we would avoid some of the situations that we just discussed in a previous item by having that training with those financial officers, as well as those Taskforce commanders.

I do have one question. So if we are going to have -- if we would move forward on this and we have grantee presentations on day one of the conference, why do we have presentations from the grantees in February and in April?

MR. CANADY: It's my understanding that the Board -- for the record, Joe Canady. It is my understanding from the Board that the presentations that were currently underway for tomorrow and then the next two meetings were for FY '22 and '23, and that the Board has

presented an interest in the past that they would like to 1 have additional presentations during the awards portion of 3 it, because it is going to be new applicants. 4 And there may be new information on the grants. 5 And there is the potential for additional funding too, as 6 well. So the programs could be totally different on the 7 applicants. 8 MR. GONZALEZ: Members, are there any questions 9 for Interim Director Canady? 10 MR. SMITH: No, sir. MS. JONES: No, sir. 11 12 MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. Yessenia, are there any 13 public comments on this agenda item? 14 MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir. 15 MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. All right. Members, do I 16 have a motion on Agenda Item 7? 17 MS. JONES: I move that the Committee approve changes to the FY '24-'25 RFA grant application, and June 18 19 23rd meeting conference timeline for presentation to the MVCPA Board. 20 MR. GONZALEZ: Member Jones makes a motion. 21 Is 22 there a second? 2.3 MR. SMITH: Member Smith. I second. 24 MR. GONZALEZ: Member Smith seconds the motion. 25 Is there any further discussion?

MR. SMITH: Chairman, Member Smith for the 1 2 record. Are these dates -- well, specifically, I quess, I 3 am asking for the June 12 through June 15, 2023, are those 4 dates pretty solid, or is that just kind of a floating 5 quess about when? 6 MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady. It is an estimate. We believe that is coming 7 8 up on the summer season. It can be difficult to get hotel 9 rooms, and you are also infringing on vacations and things 10 of that nature. So this is just an estimate at this point. You couldn't go too much prior because of all the 11 work that has to be done to get the applications ready. 12 13 MR. GONZALEZ: Right. 14 MR. SMITH: I understand. That answers my 15 question. Yes. 16 MS. JONES: On my way here as I was running 17 through the door, I had an email that we have secured a facility for those dates from June 12th to the 15th. 18 19 MR. CANADY: Okay. MS. JONES: So we have that confirmed. And it 20 21 is at the state per diem rate. And it took a while, 22 because it is June. 2.3 And I got a lot of people laughing in my face. 24 You want to do what, at the per diem rate, the state. 25 Like, no ma'am. I got a lot of, hey, we will call you

1	back. And a lot of we are not calling you back.
2	So, we finally got in. And thanks to Yessenia,
3	and of course, you Joe, we are at the Inn in Kerrville.
4	MR. CANADY: And if I could, if the Committee
5	would like to consider the dates for February and an April
6	Board meeting, you know, we can look at those to come up
7	with a date to lock in and present to the Board on the
8	29th.
9	MR. GONZALEZ: So I would say for any
10	discussions about setting a Board meeting, I wouldn't feel
11	comfortable without Chairman Rodriguez being a part of
12	that conversation.
13	MR. CANADY: Sure.
14	MR. GONZALEZ: So, in going back, we did have a
15	motion, right, Mr. Richards? And we have a second.
16	Any other further discussion?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. GONZALEZ: Hearing none, I will now call
19	for the question. All those in favor of the motion, say
20	aye.
21	(A chorus of ayes.)
22	MR. GONZALEZ: All opposed, nay.
23	(No response.)
24	MR. GONZALEZ: Let the record reflect that I,
25	Julio Gonzalez, vote aye. The motion passes.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

We will now move on to Agenda Item 8, Discuss and review draft of MVCPA FY '24 to '25 plan of operation. And I would turn it over to Mr. Canady and staff.

1.3

2.3

MR. CANADY: For the record, Joe Canady.

We received all the progress reports,
expenditure reports, and year-end reports from the
grantees that are currently under review to identify data,
the current trends, current motor vehicle crime trends
causes, and the need to include the plan of operations to
the Texas Legislature.

The survey has been finalized and it will go out this week to law enforcement on a statewide basis, in addition to the Taskforces. Because we want to hear from all law enforcement agencies who may have needs that we are not aware of, that is going to occur in these other jurisdictions.

For example, on the vehicle finance fraud, that Houston originally started in Houston, that spread to Dallas and San Antonio. I had a phone call yesterday from Stephenville, an officer in Erath County in Stephenville who believes they may have seen that occurring in their small jurisdictions. So it is coming across.

So we know that these -- not just the Taskforces but other law enforcement have needs. We would like for them to identify what equipment they believe is

1	important to them to be able to successfully combat these
2	motor vehicle crimes, so we can provide this information
3	to the Texas Legislature in a plan of operation.
4	MR. GONZALEZ: Members, are there any questions
5	for Mr. Canady?
6	MS. JONES: No, sir.
7	MR. SMITH: No, sir.
8	MR. GONZALEZ: Yessenia, are there public
9	comments on the agenda item?
10	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
11	MR. GONZALEZ: Okay.
12	Then we will move on to the next agenda item.
13	And we will not have a closed session today, so we are not
14	going to take up Agenda Items 9 or 10.
15	We will now move on Agenda Item 11, public
16	comment. Yessenia, are there any public comments?
17	MS. BENAVIDES: No, sir.
18	MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. Thank you.
19	Then we will now take up the next agenda item,
20	which is Agenda Item 12, adjournment. Members, unless
21	there is any further business, I will entertain a motion
22	to adjourn the meeting.
23	MR. SMITH: Member Smith. I motion that we
24	adjourn.
25	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Smith has made a motion

1	to adjourn. Do I have a second?
2	MS. JONES: Second. Member Jones.
3	MR. GONZALEZ: Member Jones seconds the motion.
4	The meeting is adjourned. Thank you very much.
5	(Whereupon, at 2:00 p.m., the meeting was
6	concluded.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 MEETING OF: Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority Grants, Budget & Reporting Committee 4 5 LOCATION: Austin, Texas 6 DATE: November 3, 2022 7 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 48, inclusive, are the true, accurate, 8 9 and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the 10 Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. 11 12 13 DATE: November 15, 2022 14 15 16 17 18 /s/ Carol Bourgeois 19 (Transcriber) 20 21 On the Record Reporting 22 7703 N. Lamar Blvd. #515 23 Austin, Texas 78752

2425