TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

VEHICLE TITLES AND REGISTRATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MEETING

1:00 p.m. Thursday, February 22, 2024

Lone Star Room
Building 1
4000 Jackson Avenue
Austin, Texas

OPEN MEETING
VIA TELECONFERENCE CALL

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

ROBERT "BOBBY" ROBERTI, Presiding Officer SHAY LUEDECKE, First Vice Chair TONY HALL, Second Vice Chair SOFIA DOVALINA PHIL ELAM DAVID PAUL FONTENOT MICHELLE FRENCH TAWNA M. KUNI NIKKI LAKE SYLVIA MENDOZA KEITH P. MILLARD DANA CLARK MOORE IVAN NINO BRUCE ORMAND JAMES ERNEST PASCHALL JACKIE LOU POLK (absent) FARON LEE SMITH (absent) KRISTI ANN TORRES CHRISTAL LEE VINCENT MELINDA WOLF (absent)

I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM PAG			PAGE	
	1.	CALL A.	TO ORDER Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum	3 6
	2.	DISCUA.	JSSION, BRIEFING, AND ACTION ITEMS Consider Rule Amendments to 43 TAC Chapter 217, Vehicle Titles and Registration, Chapter 215, Motor Vehicle Distribution, and Chapter 221, Salvage Vehicle Dealers, Necessary to Implement House Bills 718 and 3297 (88th Texas Legislature, Regular Session), and for a Rule Review of 43 Texa Administrative Code (TAC), Chapter 217, Vehicle Titles and Registration (BRIEFING AND DISCUSSION ONLY) Recommendations of Advisory Committee on Amendments to 43 TAC Chapter 217, Vehicle Titles and Registration, Chapter 215, Moto Vehicle Distribution, and Chapter 221, Salvage Vehicle Dealers Necessary to Implement House Bills 718 and 3297 (88th Texas Legislature, Regular Session); for Presentation to the Board (DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEM)	s 29
		С.	Future Meetings and Agenda Items	63
	3.	PUBLI	IC COMMENT n	one
	4.	ADJOI	JRNMENT	65

PROCEEDINGS

MR. ROBERTI: (Audio from Lone Star Room was not turned on immediately.)

-- Lone Star Room, Austin, Texas 78731. Also, members of the public may attend this meeting by clicking on the Webex link in the posted agenda for this meeting and following the instructions to attend the meeting via Webex.

In addition, members of the public may attend this meeting remotely by calling the toll-free telephone number, which is listed in the posted agenda for this meeting, along with the access code.

We have how many members in person, three?

Three members in person, and eight or nine -- how many do

we have on the phone?

MS. MORIATY: Just say the majority.

MR. ROBERTI: And the rest are on the phone.

At this time I am asking all attendees please mute your phone for the entire duration of this meeting unless I recognize you to speak. I'm asking our meeting host to make sure all participants' phones are muted except for the advisory committee members and those who are presenting. Callers will be removed for any disruption, including background noise. All members on the Zoom call, please unmute your phone or unmute your

computer.

I would like to remind all participants that this is a conference call meeting. Because this meeting is being held by conference call, there are a few things that will assist in making the meeting run smoother and assist the court reporter in getting an accurate record.

Please identify yourself before speaking; speak clearly and slowly. Remember there might be a slight delay to the conference call format, so please wait a little longer than usual before responding to participants; do not speak over others; please ask the presiding officer to proceed; and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

And for members participating in the room, please remember to press the button on your microphone to unmute before speaking, then press the same button to mute again after speaking.

I would like to also thank our court reporter who is transcribing this meeting.

If you're not a member of this advisory committee but wish to address the advisory committee or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please complete a speaker's sheet at the registration table prior to the agenda item being taken up by the advisory committee, or send an email to GCO General@TxDMV.gov to

register by providing the required information prior to the agenda item being taken up by the advisory committee.

Please identify the specific item you are interested in commenting on, your name and address, whether you are representing anyone or speaking for yourself.

If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting. When addressing the advisory committee, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

Before we begin today, I'd like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct at our advisory committee meetings.

I have the authority to supervise the conduct of this advisory committee meeting. This includes the authority to determine when a speaker is being disruptive. Disruptive speakers will be muted, given a warning about disruptive behavior, then removed from the meeting for any continued disruption.

Advisory committee members, if you become disconnected from the call, please rejoin as soon as possible. If you encounter technical difficulties, please reach out to our technical support team at Jeff.Templeton@TxDMV.gov, or Zack.Warden@TxDMV.gov.

1	Agenda item 1. Roll call and establishment of
2	quorum.
3	And now I'd like to have a roll call of the
4	advisory committee members. Please respond verbally when
5	I call your name, please indicate you are present, so when
6	I call your name, just say "Present" very loudly and
7	clearly.
8	Member Dovalina?
9	MS. DOVALINA: Present.
10	MR. ROBERTI: Member Elam?
11	MR. ELAM: Present.
12	MR. ROBERTI: Member Fontenot?
13	MR. FONTENOT: Present.
14	MR. ROBERTI: Member French?
15	MS. FRENCH: Present.
16	MR. ROBERTI: Second Vice Chair Hall?
17	MR. HALL: Present.
18	MR. ROBERTI: Member Kuni?
19	MS. KUNI: Present.
20	MR. ROBERTI: Member Lake?
21	MS. LAKE: Present.
22	MR. ROBERTI: First Vice Chair Luedecke?
23	MR. LUEDECKE: Present.
24	MR. ROBERTI: Member Mendoza?
25	MS. MENDOZA: Present.

1	MR. ROBERTI: Member Millard?
2	MR. MILLARD: Present.
3	MR. ROBERTI: Member Moore?
4	MR. MOORE: Present.
5	MR. ROBERTI: Member Nino?
6	MR. NINO: Present.
7	MR. ROBERTI: Member Ormand?
8	MR. ORMAND: Present.
9	MR. ROBERTI: He's right in front of me.
10	Member Paschall?
11	MR. PASCHALL: Present.
12	MR. ROBERTI: Member Polk? Member Polk?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. ROBERTI: Member Smith? Member Smith?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. ROBERTI: Member Torres?
17	MS. TORRES: Present.
18	MR. ROBERTI: Member Vincent?
19	MS. VINCENT: Present.
20	MR. ROBERTI: Member Wolf? Member Wolf?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. ROBERTI: And I, Bobby Roberti, am present
23	too, so we have a quorum.
24	The purpose of this committee is to assist the
25	department in obtaining feedback regarding important

legislation, policy-making and rulemaking. Advisory committees such as VTRAC provide valuable input for the department in their advising capacity concerning issues related to regulation of the motor vehicle industry and vehicle titles and registration.

We will now begin the discussion, briefing and action items. Agenda item 2.A. Consider rule amendments to 43 Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 217, Vehicle Titles and Registration, Chapter 215, Motor Vehicle Distribution, and Chapter 221, Salvage Vehicle Dealers, necessary to implement House Bill 718 and 3297, during the 88th Texas Legislature, Regular Session, and for a rule review of 43 TAC, Chapter 217, Vehicle Titles and Registration.

Members, I will now move on to agenda item 2.A and turn the meeting over to Annette Quintero, director of the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division, for an overview of the department's implementation plans for House Bill 718 and House Bill 3297.

Ms. Quintero, you have the floor.

MS. QUINTERO: Thank you very much.

Good afternoon, members. It is my pleasure to provide an overview of our implementation plans for HB 718 and HB 3297.

The implementation of HB 718 includes the

elimination of the following paper tags and permits: the dealer vehicle specific, dealer agent one trip, and 30-day permits converter tags; and buyer tags, to include internet-down tags.

To replace these paper tags and permits, the department is creating three new metal license plates: the out-of-state buyer plate, which has a 60-day expiration; the temporary registration plate; and the dealer temporary license plate.

The plate design for these new plates is currently underway. These plates are being designed to be distinguishable and easily recognizable. We expect to have these designs finalized in the coming months.

HB 718 required that all dealers use webDEALER to submit transactions. The department has developed webDEALER 101 training. It is offered both in person and virtual on a biweekly basis; dealers can go to our website, under Upcoming Events, to sign up.

To facilitate the distribution and tracking of license plates, the department is currently seeking a technology solution and an inventory management system that will make it easier for dealers and county tax assessor-collectors to order inventory. This solution should track orders, shipments, facilitate forecasting inventory needs, and inventory ordering much more.

The department is also seeking formal integration of the vehicle transfer notification process into our webDEALER platform to be initiated when a motor vehicle is sold or transferred to facilitate the notice of transfer required by the dealer.

license plate distribution model. We are reviewing three options: maintaining the distribution model we have today, shipping to the tax assessor-collectors and the tax assessor-collectors get plates to the dealers; or a hybrid where we store plates in a distribution warehouse, ship to the tax assessor-collectors, and then to the dealers; or ship directly from the warehouse to the dealers and the tax assessor-collectors. We're currently undergoing a cost-benefit analysis of all three of these models to determine which is the best fit for the department.

The department is also currently working through the implementation of HB 3297. This bill eliminates the Texas Department of Public Safety's safety inspection program for non-commercial vehicles and creates a replacement fee for those vehicles previously required to obtain safety-only inspections.

The department is required to collect the new \$7.50 replacement fee at the time of registration. We have bimonthly, soon to be monthly, tri-agency meetings

with the Texas Commission Environmental Quality and the Texas Department of Public Safety, where we are all working hard to ensure coordination and collaboration across agencies is at the forefront of this effort.

We are also in the beginning stages of framing our internal programming enhancements that will ensure that our system accurately reflects the requirements in HB 3297.

Our team has been diligently working to align resources, engage with our stakeholders and explore solutions that can ensure a smooth and efficient implementation process on both of these highly impactful bills.

Thank you.

MR. ROBERTI: Members, just as a reminder, if you want to comment or ask questions, please unmute yourself and ask for the floor, but wait to be recognized by the speaker. So everybody on the Zoom call, if you want to say something, just say your name out loud, and then I'll recognize you as a speaker.

But I believe that Member Ormand has a question or two.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ ORMAND: I'll assess as we go. I'm good at this point.

MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Do any members have any

questions for Ms. Quintero?

(No response.)

MR. ROBERTI: Are we good?

Now we'll turn to the specific rule amendments that we will be discussing today. I'll turn it over to Deputy General Counsel Ashley Healy to lay out those rule amendments for us.

Ms. Healy, you have the floor.

MS. HEALY: Good afternoon, chairman, members. For the record, my name is Ashley Healy, and I'm the deputy general counsel here at DMV.

Today I'm presenting the draft rules to implement House Bill 718, which includes edits to TAC Rules 215, 217 and 221 -- in addition, it was time for the regular rule review of Chapter 217, so there will be additional draft edits in 217 for this purpose -- and then finally, House Bill 3297 which, as Annette said, is the bill that eliminates safety inspections in non-emissions counties and must be implemented prior to its effective date, so we have included the items to implement that bill in this effort as well.

We intend to work with this committee, as well as MVIRAC and CSPAC, in the next couple of weeks to continue to improve upon these drafts, and then we're going to bring these rule packages to the Texas DMV Board

in June at the June meeting for consideration. So we've got a good bit of time to work these issues out and get a lot of feedback from you guys.

I want to thank you all for your review and attention to the draft changes that we've made. I know it's very voluminous, some of these documents are very long, and so in the interest of time, rather than going through each individual edit, I'm going to discuss what our department has identified as the major changes or those changes that would have some substantial impact on our external stakeholders.

Then additionally, during the next agenda item after I go over these big ticket items, we're happy to take recommendations for any small like clerical or conforming changes that you guys see, administrative changes.

Without requiring a full motion and vote, there's many things in here that we could definitely make those changes without having to make any big discussion if you guys notice something that was misspelled or just a conforming change that needs to be made. If a terminology has changed all in one place and there's missing one of them, we can make that without having you guys vote on that.

And again, your committee is seeing the very

first draft of these rules; y'all are our first advisory committee to see these and to go over these with us, so we know there's probably several small clerical changes that we need to take care of, and we want to thank you guys for bringing this all to our attention. And then, as always, we'll have full discussion and votes on those substantive items that you guys noticed.

So I'm going to jump right in. I've got about six things that we identified as those major changes that we want to talk about, starting off with allocation and storage.

So we know that allocation and storage are going to be things that everybody has strong opinions about, and so I want to direct your attention to Section 215.152, which, Laura, if you want to go to the statute, it's 503.0633 of the Transportation Code, that sets out our authority to make these rules related to allocation and storage and gives us some guidelines on how we have to make those.

So then we took our authority in 503.0633, and we built that in here to make the changes to Section 215.152 related to the allocation numbers. Let me pull that up on the actual document, so I can reference the in your materials here. You think it's better to show the statute or share the actual rule? Probably the actual

rule.

MS. MORIATY: Tell me what rule number it is.

MS. HEALY: It's hard to work from one screen when you're used to working from multiple screens. So for allocation we are at 215.152.

MR. RICHARDS: Page 58.

MS. HEALY: This one actually looks very complicated because there were a lot of changes that were made, in that we had stricken things and then renumbered them in different places.

So it looks like we've gotten rid of some of the things that we previously had, but essentially we left in all of those things that we're required to have by statute. We felt in looking at different historical data to make those allocations, and then we'll make the allocations based upon all those data points that we're required to make them on, and then decided that a quarterly allocation would be appropriate so that we aren't having people have to have massive amounts of inventory on hand.

We wanted to give you guys enough to work with but also not so much that you're having to store that much and that there's some kind of security risk, and so we felt like a quarterly allocation was kind of the sweet spot there.

And so that's something that obviously we want feedback on. We would love to year y'all's thoughts on that, and I can open these things up for discussion as I talk about them, or we can talk about them in the next one.

MS. MORIATY: Why don't you run through all of them, and then we'll ask for questions at the end.

MS. HEALY: All right. So then next thing in that bullet point -- which I kind of consider allocation and storage to be hand-in-hand, storage requirements.

And again, I know there will be a lot of things on here -- 215.140 is where we have our storage requirements, and that is on page 22, that's where it starts.

So that's where we talk about the established and permanent place of business. The actual requirements, if you'll scroll down on page 28, so this is where we added in, and the highlighted text is the new text that we've added.

So we highlighted some text that talks about new storage requirements for the dealers and that would be "(e) at least one securely locked, substantially constructed safe or steel cabinet, bolted or affixed to the floor or the wall in such a way that the safe or steel cabinet cannot be readily removed, and is of sufficient

size to store all of the dealer and buyer's license plates in a dealer's possession, including both assigned plates for vehicles and inventory and unissued buyer's license plates."

So one thing we talked about is assigned plates for dealers and inventory. I'm not sure if you guys are familiar with what we're having to do there. So with these metal license plates, they're going to stay with the vehicle.

So there will be cars on the lot that are used and have a license plate that is assigned to them that will then need to be stored until that car is resold, so that's what we're talking about when we're talking about assigned plates for vehicles in inventory, but also just your regular unissued buyer's license plates would need to be stored in these places as well.

So this is another spot where we welcome a lot of feedback. The folks who are actually having to store these things are the experts in what their spaces look like and how they're able to secure their spaces.

And so we've laid out a couple of thoughts here that we had on ways that we felt like these things could be adequately stored and would prevent theft or any internal fraudulent activity with these plates.

But we really are going to welcome some

comments on this and want to hear all the stakeholder feedback on how we can make this something that works for those of you storing plates and is going to be secure enough that the public and law enforcement and the agency feel like we've done our due diligence.

Okay. The next item is related to fees, so if you'll take a look at Section 503.063 -- again I'm telling you the statute here.

MS. MORIATY: Do you want to start with the statute?

MS. HEALY: We can start with the statute, yes. So Section 506.063(g) of the Transportation Code relates to dealer issued license plates for buyers. So this is part of House Bill 718, and Section 503.063(g) currently requires the department to set a fee of not more than \$5 for buyer's temporary tags, which were the temporary paper tags that buyers are issued when they purchase a vehicle from a dealership.

So this section was amended by House Bill 718 beginning on July 1 of 2025, amended 503.063(g) requires the department to prescribe a fee to be charged by the dealer to the buyer for metal license plates that will be issued to the buyer upon purchase and will stay with the vehicle, because as you all know, buyer's temporary tags are no longer going to be issued; that's the main point of

this bill here.

So the fee is going to be credited to the Texas DMV fund, which is what's used to fund legislatively appropriated programs, initiatives and operations. And the department right now is determining what dollar amount is necessary to ensure the solvency of the Texas DMV Fund, given the changes in the operational requirements.

The new fee is expected to be at least \$10 and no more \$15. Right now in your rules packet it is indicated with an X, because we don't quite know that number yet. So again, this is something we're bringing to the committee to receive feedback and any comments or thoughts you guys have on that in our next agenda item.

Okay. Number three --

MR. MILLARD: Millard.

MS. HEALY: Yes.

MR. MILLARD: So what you're saying is -- and I read through this, and it's somewhat confusing; I'm sure that's why nobody commented -- we will be putting the tags that we have taken off of the vehicle back on it and charging them a fee for that. Is that correct?

MR. ROBERTI: Member Millard, can you repeat that question, please.

MR. MILLARD: Is it my understanding that we're going to be taking the plates off of the vehicle; there

1	will be no plates when it's on the lot for sale. When we
2	sell the car, we will put the plate back on the vehicle
3	and charge the customer a fee for that? Is that correct?
4	MR. THOMPSON: Officer Roberti?
5	MR. ROBERTI: Yes, go ahead, Mr. Thompson.
6	MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director
7	of the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division.
8	Member Millard, thank you for the question.
9	MR. ROBERTI: Get into your microphone a little
10	bit.
11	MR. THOMPSON: Is it not working?
12	MR. ROBERTI: Just move it forward.
13	MR. THOMPSON: Is that better?
14	MR. ROBERTI: Can you turn him up a little bit,
15	please.
16	Everybody on the phone, one or two people, can
17	you hear Mr. Thompson okay?
18	MR. MILLARD: Yes.
19	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. The question is on
20	vehicles that have license plates when they're traded in
21	to a dealer and they're supposed to be removed and then
22	subsequently reissued to the same vehicle at the time of
23	retail sale.
24	Yes, those plates will be issued under 503.063
25	just like a new vehicle that's being assigned plates for

the first time, and that fee does apply to both 1 2 situations: when a vehicle has a plate assigned to it, as well as initial issuance. 3 4 MR. ROBERTI: Does that answer your question, 5 Mr. Millard? 6 MR. MILLARD: Yes, sort of. So the plate-to-7 owner thing is just going away altogether? 8 MR. THOMPSON: That's correct. The changes 9 with House Bill 718 specify that the license plates stay 10 with the vehicle. Obviously we have some distinctions in the rule regarding specialty plates, things of that 11 nature, but if they are general issue plates, they stay 12 with the vehicle. 13 14 MR. MILLARD: Yes, sir. 15 And one more thing while we're on this, how are 16 the dealers going to get the registration stickers to 17 place on the windshields? MR. THOMPSON: So the transactions obviously 18 19 are supposed to be submitted through webDEALER. And we do 20 have dealer deputies in the state of Texas who actually

For folks that are not dealer deputies, they will continue to submit those transactions to the county tax office through webDEALER. And once the county tax

have registration stickers that are issued by county tax

assessor-collectors, that does not change with this bill.

21

22

23

24

25

1 office approves the transaction in webDEALER, the 2 registration sticker will be generated at that time. 3 MR. MILLARD: Okay, thank you. And in the 4 meantime, the buyer is driving around with no registration 5 sticker of any kind. Is that correct? 6 MR. THOMPSON: That's correct. They will only 7 have license plates at this time, and the plate is 8 valid -- as distinguished in the statute, the plate itself 9 is valid while the application for registration is being 10 processed by the county tax office, and at that time it becomes the permanent plate, which will then have the 11 sticker associated with it. 12 13 MR. MILLARD: Okay. Thank you. 14 MR. ROBERTI: Thank you, Mr. Millard. 15 Ms. Healy, you can continue. 16 MS. HEALY: Okay. So the next thing I want to 17 discuss is some new data entry requirements. So one thing that we wanted to address as part of this was to start 18 19 requiring the dealers to enter standard and temporary 20 license plate data into a license plate database so that the law enforcement officers do have immediate access to 21 22 specific vehicles that license plates are being used for.

This will be a new requirement, so we thought it was something that we wanted to bring to you guys. We don't intend for this new requirement to be duplicative of

23

24

25

the local records that are being kept, and so there is some things still in this rule about those local records are being kept, but we intend for it to be one or the other.

We would prefer for it to be these electronic records, because they're going to be much more useful for the department and to law enforcement and now would then replace those local paper records, and that is 215.138, Use of Metal Dealer's Licence Plates is that section that that is in, so that brings me to the end of these strictly 718 changes that we wanted to highlight for you guys.

The next is a House Bill 3297 update that we wanted to talk about, and this is a definite update but more of a how do we create something that's going to work for everybody.

So one of the things, as you know, that House Bill 3297 does is it gets rid of those safety inspections in non-emissions counties. But one thing that may have been unanticipated is that for these vehicles that are coming in from out of state, we need to be able to actually have some kind of way to authenticate what the VIN of that vehicle is.

And so normally that would have been caught during that safety inspection, but we don't have that safety inspection in those non-emissions counties anymore,

and so then we need to get that vehicle registered without being able to authenticate that the VIN is actually the correct VIN for that vehicle.

And so this can be found in Section 217.4(d)(4), and what we tried to do here is put some draft language in that allows us to be flexible with certain self-certification techniques -- and, Clint, if you want to jump in and talk a little bit more about the self-certification techniques again -- but we want to be able to offer people the opportunity to self-certify by using forms that are prescribed by the department or by using -- remind me of the thing that they can also use.

MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division.

So I assume you're referring to the VTR-68-A?

MS. HEALY: Yes, that's the name of the form.

MR. THOMPSON: Basically what we're doing with the self-certification here is trying to open up options for consumers and allow a picture -- I always joke -- with everybody's selfie by VIN, if we get a picture of it, we should do pencil tracings, somewhat antiquated now, but those options are still available and would be facilitated with this but not mandate the use of the 68-A.

MS. HEALY: So we want to open it up to potential methods of self-certification and so that would

be something that would be right for discussion for the committee of ways that we can help prevent fraudulent activity and fraudulent VINs, you know, criminal activity, saying that a vehicle has a VIN number that it does not, but then also not make it so onerous for people that are coming in from out of state who really just need to get their registration.

The next issue that I wanted to bring up is related to the 217 rule review, and so we have to do a review of all of our administrative rules every four years, and this chapter was actually up for review at the same time as we need to make these 718 changes, so we are knocking it all out here at once.

And so this next section that I wanted to highlight is Section 217.84(d)(4), and what this is is a new provision that is going to require insurance companies, in addition to the information and documentation required to apply for non-repairable or salvage vehicle titles, to disclose which major component parts must be repaired or replaced as a result of the damaged part.

And this is part of the application for non-repairable or salvage vehicle title. And again, these are more things that we're putting in here to address fraud and to make sure that we can prevent fraudulent activity

as much as possible. So that would be a new requirement so we wanted to highlight it for you guys.

And then finally, going to Section 217.9(a)(1) and (e)(7), and this is related to bonded titles. So for bonded titles, the statute that controls this is Texas

Transportation Code 501.053(a), and what it requires is a release of all liens with bond, meaning a release of the liens to ensure that secured creditors are not harmed by bonded titles, and a bond to ensure that the current owner is not harmed.

So the rule currently allows the bonded title applicants to get a bond large enough to cover the lien, but that doesn't meet the requirements of the statute.

And so that could harm secured creditors who have perfected secured liens so that have to sue then to get payment of the bond.

The law doesn't really allow us to infringe on the rights of those secured creditors with the perfected liens, so we had a little issue. It requires the interests in the property are satisfied before any other interests, so consumers might face costs or inconvenience associated with the release of that lien from the creditor or through the courts, but the statute doesn't really offer us much of an alternative to that in order to determine the interest of the secured creditor company and

the individual's inconveniences when they're trying to get that.

So this is likely going to impact a lot of applicants for bonded titles. The vast majority of bonded titles is a significant portion of the bonded title litigation that we have. So the harm they're encountering is real; this rule change would significantly decrease the bonded title litigation of the agency.

Additionally, law enforcement has expressed an interest in slowing and decreasing bonded titles in Texas because they're used for stolen cars frequently, so again, another thing that we're looking at to address some concerns of law enforcement and criminal activity, so it seems they will likely be supportive of this rule change because of that.

Really, if we want to be able to make this something that's going to work for everybody, there could be statutory fixes that would be appropriate, but obviously we can't make statutory changes as an agency; we can only effect what the rules say.

So that would be the final thing in 217 that we wanted to highlight for you guys as a change that we're making.

MR. ROBERTI: Is that it?

MS. HEALY: Those are the ones we wanted to

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	highlight and definitely not "it"; there's a very big
2	substantial bill here.
3	MR. ROBERTI: Is that it from you?
4	MS. MORIATY: It's over to you, Bobby.
5	MS. HEALY: There's a very substantial packet
6	of changes, but those are the ones that we felt like were
7	really things we wanted to actually highlight and get a
8	lot of feedback on because it's areas where we know
9	stakeholders are going to have a lot of opinions and be
10	able to give us some really valuable feedback.
11	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. So members, we're going to
12	go into detail and ask questions on everything highlighted
13	by Ms. Healy, but are there any general questions right
14	now we have for staff or Ms. Healy?
15	MS. TORRES: Member Torres here.
16	MR. ROBERTI: Yes, Member Torres, go ahead.
17	MS. TORRES: Yes, sir. Just had a question in
18	regard to the self-certification. How is that going to
19	apply to foreign vehicles?
20	MR. ROBERTI: Member Torres, can you repeat
21	that again? You were cutting out a little bit.
22	MS. TORRES: The self-certification, how is
23	that going to apply to foreign vehicles?
24	MS. HEALY: I assume you mean vehicles from
25	another state or another country?

1	MS. TORRES: From another country.
2	MS. HEALY: It only applies to those vehicles
3	from other states because right, Clint?
4	MR. THOMPSON: So there's no change to imported
5	vehicles from a foreign country. 501.030 still requires
6	that those vehicles have VIN verification.
7	There's a separate statute that requires a law
8	enforcement identification number inspection, i.e., the
9	VTR-68-A; they will continue to get the 68-A for an
10	imported vehicle, and that will suffice for the self-
11	certification.
12	MS. TORRES: Thank you.
13	MR. THOMPSON: Yes, ma'am.
14	MR. ROBERTI: Do we have any other questions
15	for staff before we move on to the next agenda item?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. ROBERTI: Do we have any public comments on
18	item 2.A?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. ROBERTI: Hearing none, I will move to the
21	next agenda item, item 2.B. Recommendations of the
22	advisory committee for presentation to the Board. This is
23	a discussion and action item.
24	Now that the committee has been briefed by
25	Texas DMV staff on proposed amendments to Chapters 215,

217 and 221, it is time to discuss and vote on any recommendations that we as an advisory committee want to present to the Texas DMV Board.

To assist the court reporter in getting an accurate transcript, please cite the blue page numbers at the top right-hand side of your written materials and the line numbers located on the left-hand side of the draft amendments to Chapters 215, 217 and 221 when discussing any portions of the provision in the written materials that Texas DMV staff provided to you.

Any recommendations from this advisory committee should be made in the form of a motion that this advisory committee votes on, unless Texas DMV staff are willing to accept any informal comment. An example of a motion will be: I move that this advisory committee recommend to the Texas DMV Board that the proposed amendments to Section 215.120 should be modified to add a clause that says X.

Members, do you have any recommendations to present to the Texas DMV Board regarding draft amendments to Chapter 215, Motor Vehicle Distribution? Just basically, this is the discussion and considering action portion, so does anybody have any specific questions they want to direct towards the members or staff?

I know, Mr. Ormand, you had something earlier?

MR. ORMAND: I'm good at this point. 1 2 MR. ROBERTI: At this point, okay. 3 Mr. Luedecke, do you have anything? 4 MR. LUEDECKE: Why, yes, I do. 5 (General laughter.) 6 MR. ROBERTI: Please expand. 7 MR. LUEDECKE: All right. Thank you very much. 8 Member Luedecke. 9 I'm just opening it up for discussion. The tax 10 assessor-collectors reviewed these rules when they were first sent out last week. We identified several areas, 11 but for the most part we agree with the rule changes, and 12 13 this morning some rules were sent out by Member Hall, and 14 we reviewed those as well and agree with those. 15 I want to start with a couple things that were 16 on our list. The first thing that I want to discuss that 17 came up in our meetings was the storage for the license plates at the dealers. 18 19 As the Tax Assessor-Collector Association, we 20 think that's kind of excessive requirements for storage. 21 For example, most tax offices don't have that extreme 22 storage capability in their offices. I can give an 23 example. 24 In my county I get five pallets at a time that

last six to eight weeks, and I don't have a locked safe

25

for that. I have a locked storage room for five pallets at a time. I know there are some larger dealers out there that are probably going to get a pallet at a time that will last them a quarter of the year.

So we think, number one, that's kind of excessive, and I think it's listed in several places in the rules, but I'm sure the dealers would probably want to comment on that one as well.

The next one I have -- and it's listed in several places -- it talks about -- hold on -- there are several sections that talk about the documented information at least one manager or another bona fide employee of a dealer will be present that's transferring, I guess, paperwork and information like that.

What is the definition of a bona fide employee?

I know some dealers have contractors, some dealers have

title runners that will bring the title transactions to

the tax offices. Is that included in bona fide? I know

"bona fide" is listed several times throughout these

rules, and we just want to get a better definition of what

that is.

MR. ROBERTI: Say that whole thing again so the members on the phone understand what you're saying.

MR. LUEDECKE: There are several sections of the rules that mention a manager at a dealer or a bona

fide employee of a dealer being present -- and this is 1 2 just one section; I'm on page 14 right now, but there are several sections throughout the rules -- a bona fide 3 4 employee, what is the definition of a bona fide employee? 5 Dealers will have contractors, they will have 6 title runners that send their transactions over to the tax 7 offices, so what is the definition of a bona fide 8 employee? Will they be legal to still have title runners? 9 I guess that's one example. 10 MS. HEALY: So I think one thing that Ms. Moriaty just pointed out is that is actually a section --11 12 or a part that was already in rule, so that's nothing new. 13 The things that are underlined or highlighted 14 are the new sections, but if that's something that we 15 think could be a defined term that would be helpful to do 16 that as part of our rule review, then we'd be definitely 17 open to defining that term as part of the rule review 18 process. 19 MR. LUEDECKE: Okay. And I knew that, that it 20 wasn't part of the change. MR. ROBERTI: Quick question to the staff and 21 22 Instead of bona fide would it be maybe a direct members. 23 employee? 24 MR. LUEDECKE: Or a contracted employee?

MR. ROBERTI: Not contracted employee; I don't

25

know. So a direct employee of the dealer, not a third 1 party? This is a rhetorical question I'm just asking for 2 3 the sake so everybody understands what we're talking 4 about. 5 MR. LUEDECKE: But like she just said, I don't 6 know if this is the proper meeting for this discussion 7 because this wasn't part of the changes. MR. ROBERTI: Well, we are here. 8 9 MR. LUEDECKE: I know. 10 MS. MORIATY: This is Laura Moriaty, general counsel. 11 12 So this is an amendment where staff is saying 13 yes, we'll accept it; we'll go look at it and try to make it, if it's possible to make the definition. 14 15 MS. HEALY: We can define terms. 16 MR. ROBERTI: That's okay. We'll go to the 17 next one. MR. LUEDECKE: Another one that popped up in 18 19 our meetings with the tax offices: We understand that now 20 going forward -- I believe it was House Bill 3860 by 21 Goldman that took out the liability for tax assessor-22 collectors if the dealers have metal plates. 23 We have some counties that have dealer deputies 24 that have both plates and stickers, and they are bonded to

the tax assessor-collector. I have eight of them in my

25

county; I give them plates and stickers.

So going forward, after July 1 of '25, when this goes into effect, am I only liable for the stickers and the dealer is liable for the plates that they get?

The distribution of metal plates is concerning.

If y'all are sending them out to the dealers, then am I going to be liable for those or am I just going to be liable for the stickers?

MR. ROBERTI: This is Ms. Quintero.

MS. QUINTERO: Thank you.

So for your full-service deputies who do have stickers, that responsibility and that agreement remains the same. For those dealers that will be receiving metal plates, that responsibility is no longer on the tax assessor-collector to ensure that there is adequate use of those metal plates; that responsibility lies with us.

So that's from my understanding -- Clint, step in if I'm misstating -- we will not be distributing registration stickers to dealers.

MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director, Vehicle Titles and Registration Division.

I think the distinction is today obviously y'all provide the plates and the stickers. This bill, House Bill 718 that we're talking about, charges the department with establishing an allocation for dealers.

I don't know why you would, but anything allocated by the department, to Annette's point, is the responsibility of the department, and then y'all continue to provide the stickers for those dealer deputies.

In the event that for some reason y'all saw the need to give plates beyond that allocation, then I would make the argument -- and obviously there's still discussion to be had on this -- but I would make the argument that you're liable for those because you're actually making that issuance to them.

MR. LUEDECKE: Okay.

MR. ORMAND: Bruce Ormand, Centex Auto Title.

MR. ROBERTI: Go ahead.

MR. ORMAND: Thank you.

Some of my concerns with this and the change, and especially with the plates out there: We've only been in business three years, but we've grown very rapidly. We have over a hundred dealers that we currently serve, and they've shared with us their concerns of having the actual plates in stock and the liability of that.

If an employee steals them, plates get broke into, not all of them have great security as well. You know, you can have lockers but they can be broken into, and I see that being an issue.

There's a high attrition with dealers as well.

I've been in the business 40 years, and I go by lots and they're open, full of cars, go by next week and they're empty. Come back the following week, they're full of cars again with a different name.

And so it seems to me that the accountability for those plates and once that dealer goes out of business, what happens to them, who collects them -- how do we know they get collected?

I think there's a real possibility here of trying to track thousands of plates everywhere, whereas, if they had the opportunity of a centralized area, like the county or like us as a full-service deputy, we're bonded, we're covered, we're inspected, House Bill 604 from 2019 put a lot of oversight in for that as well, and so even our inventory is audited as well.

As best I can tell, there's not going to be any auditing. There's, I think, over 15,000 dealers in the state of Texas, so there's some real heartburn there as to, one, just the overall program's ability to be accountable for the plates.

And this is no reflection on the department.

This is a massive task, and you guys are trying to figure that out. I'm just saying what we have discussed among ourselves as potential problems.

The other thing is, also just our customer

base. They're glad that they can come to us; they don't have to worry about all that. And we also have a lot of people who are smaller shops. We have some of the largest in the state that we service, we have some of the small guys as well, and so the service that we provide for those fees that's regulated by the state gives them -- make sure it gets done correctly.

And our team literally handles emails and phone

And our team literally handles emails and phone calls in the hundreds every day, communicating with them, and where are those people going to go if we're not in that process anymore? We're no longer a buffer for the county, no longer a buffer for that process happening.

How are they going to be able to make that happen?

So these are some concerns that we have about it with the county or the full-service deputy not being able to do both plates and stickers that there's going to be even greater difficulty of accountability of all those being spread out.

MR. ROBERTI: So I heard two questions there. Did you get that?

MS. QUINTERO: I think I did anyway.

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{ Annette Quintero, Vehicle Titles and } \\ \mbox{ Registration director.}$

So I heard the concern of managing the inventory and tracking the inventory and ensuring that

when dealers come in and out of business there is some mechanism for accounting for the plates that are supposed to be in their possession.

And then the other side of that I heard was receiving those plates back, if that dealer was --

MR. ORMAND: Right. And also the dealer not having the ability for the county or a full-service deputy to actually handle the plates for them.

MS. QUINTERO: Right. So to answer your first question about the tracking of the license plates and the inventory and ensuring that there is some accountability and tracking of those license plates, that is a lot of what we're leveraging the inventory management system to do, is tell us in real time where the license plates are, how many are with specific dealers, how many are being issued, how many are sitting. There's still a lot that we are working through and identifying and finding solutions for.

But I think to your point, there is also a component of that that we are leveraging our enforcement team and our compliance arm to ensure that we are circling back with those dealers to make sure that they are either returning plates when they go out of business or that they are appropriately keeping that inventory stored in a manner that complies with the rules.

So there's still things that we're working on, but we are aware and have similar thoughts and concerns. So we're still working on the how we're going to mitigate those risks.

MR. ORMAND: I appreciate that. I think probably the overall point is by not eliminating the county or full-service duty. We have 100 dealers; you've got one place to follow that track instead of 100 different locations.

I'm just using that as an example. There are full-service deputies throughout the whole state versus, let's say, I have 130 dealers I'm servicing. You can trace them all back to just one location that already has good oversight verus trying to find more FTEs or accountability for the 130 dealers having them in stock.

That's kind of, I think, my main thought process at that point.

MS. QUINTERO: And we understand, and the realities of centralizing license plate distribution, it sounds appealing, but one of the main components of the bill that we talked extensively about while drafting that language was to make sure that we were not hindering business, that we were providing dealers with the plates they needed to make sure that they were able to make the sales when they needed to make them, that they were not

1 hindered by license plates availability. 2 MR. ORMAND: Okay. Thank you for your time. MR. ROBERTI: So I believe Mr. Luedecke has 3 4 some more. 5 MR. LUEDECKE: Yes. This is Member Luedecke 6 again. 7 Along those same lines that you're talking 8 about, on page 56 in the document, lines 13 and 14, it 9 says, The dealer's and buyer's license plates in dealer's 10 possession and by promptly destroying voided license plates. 11 We feel like there needs to be some more 12 13 language in there that describes how these license plates 14 are going to be voided and what the dealers are going to do with those voided plates. 15 16 Do they keep them? Do they throw them away in the trash in the dumpster so somebody else can pick them 17 up, or do they send them to the tax office or back to DMV? 18 MS. QUINTERO: Annette. I'm sorry. 19 20 MR. ROBERTI: Go ahead. 21 MS. QUINTERO: I don't disagree, Shay. 22 that the destruction of license plates is a very specific 23 mechanism and that any that were turned in to the 24 department, we take them to a metal recycler, and we watch 25 as they recycle those plates and destroy them.

1	Throwing them in the trash is not an option.
2	So let's work on that and see if we can't refine that
3	language a little bit to help.
4	MR. LUEDECKE: Thank you. That's all I have.
5	MR. ROBERTI: That was a great question. I
6	wouldn't have thought of that.
7	MS. MORIATY: Chairman, if I may?
8	MR. ROBERTI: Yes, go ahead.
9	MS. MORIATY: Laura Moriaty, general counsel.
10	To Mr. Luedecke's first point about storage
11	requirements, do we want to turn that into a motion and
12	get more detail around it? It might be an area where that
13	would be appropriate.
14	If you can give us more detail on what you
15	think is the right answer on how we should be storing
16	these, that would be great.
17	MR. LUEDECKE: Member Luedecke again.
18	We don't have any specific language that I'd
19	like to make that amendment, but
20	MS. MORIATY: Locked room you'd like to have
21	included, for example.
22	MR. LUEDECKE: Locked room at least.
23	MR. ROBERTI: Does anybody have any input they
24	want to provide to staff and the other members on what
25	storage requirements they'd like? Is that the question?

1	MR. LUEDECKE: I'm sure the dealerships would
2	probably have some ideas.
3	MS. MORIATY: And certainly we can take this
4	back up with MVIRAC, and I'm sure they'll have input.
5	MR. MILLARD: Member Millard.
6	MR. ROBERTI: Go ahead, Member Millard.
7	MR. MILLARD: This is Member Millard.
8	As a new car franchise, we're required to have
9	locked areas for all of our documents, license plates, and
10	everything such as that, as a franchised dealer.
11	I don't know about just a regular used car
12	dealer or a wholesale dealer, but as a franchised dealer,
13	I mean, we have to comply to all the HIPAA regulations, so
14	our office is locked and no access to outside folks.
15	You know, so we've already got the security
16	there, in my opinion. That's all I had on that.
17	MR. ROBERTI: Thank you, Member Millard.
18	Does anyone else have any questions? Any of
19	the tax assessor-collectors want to chime in as well?
20	MR. HALL: This is Member Hall.
21	MR. ROBERTI: Say that again.
22	MR. HALL: This is Member Hall.
23	MR. ROBERTI: Member Hall, go ahead.
24	MR. HALL: So the vice chair [garbled
25	transmission] made a comment that I just want to make sure

that those were offered into the record, number one. And then there is a couple of things in those comments that we offered, and I know the Office of General Counsel made sure those got out to all the members.

One of the things that we did point out -MR. ROBERTI: Member Hall. Can you repeat
that? You were very garbled.

MR. HALL: So we -- I wanted to make sure that the comments that I submitted yesterday that the Office of General Counsel shared out this morning are -- and are into the record for the department's consideration -- I'm sure they're already doing that, but I just wanted to make sure that that was on the record that those were submitted.

MR. ROBERTI: I got an affirmative from Deputy Director Thompson.

MR. HALL: Awesome.

One of the comments that is in there is also around the security. We also feel like the requirement is overly restrictive to say this has to be a safe or a filing cabinet. You know, at our scale, we're moving 40-to 50,000 vehicles into the state every year.

We're probably acquiring 50- to 60,000 units, and if you do the math on a quarterly basis for us to try to store in the neighborhood of 10- to 20,000 plates in

filing cabinets or safes, that is probably not very feasible. I think having, you know, some flexibility that in a secure room behind lock and key, control-badge access, those sorts of things, would be much more conducive, especially for an entity of our size.

I'm sure that same flexibility would be conducive to even other larger franchise networks that also stock a quite a volume of vehicles and the plates they're going to have to store, as well.

One of the other things that I think should be a consideration is if the department is going to be exploring possibly having county tax assessor-collectors be responsible for dealing with the inventory allocation of these plates.

I would offer up that the department probably should review the processing and handling fee to ensure that counties are really properly compensated for that work.

I can tell you we've had some conversations around the amount of effort it's going to take for us to manage upwards of 50- to 80,000 plates annually that is not easy for one dealership. Right?

The other thing that I would offer -- I think one of the members was leading into this: The notion that a dealer would take a plate off of a vehicle, reassign

that plate to the same vehicle, and therefore charge the purchaser between \$10 and \$15 for a registration fee on top of their regular registration fee -- for an expense the State is not incurring, it seems like an extra tax, so I think the department should consider how those fees are assessed to purchasers of vehicles.

At the end of the day that will tend to drive up costs for purchasers that vehicle affordability is already an issue. I respect the fact that it's \$10 to \$15, but if you're paying a couple thousand dollars for a vehicle and you're getting charged an extra \$10 or \$15, that starts to add up quite quickly, especially if there's no real cost to the state for the reassignment of that plate.

And then kind of the final point that I would make: Again, this is primarily volume based with just the scale at which we're doing things, but as the department goes through its procurement process and development process with the plate management program, that they consider including things like [transmission garbled] service integration between dealer GMS systems and that plate issuance system.

Again, if we're having to go in and make 50-, 60,000 vehicles with vehicle transfer notifications through the state system, that is extremely labor

1 intensive. At least have the possibility to integrate that when those vehicles are entered. 3 In Carvana, for example, Carvana's inventory system could automatically report that vehicle transfer 4 5 notification through API, makes that much more palatable, 6 so I just want to make sure the department considers that. 7 And just as a general statement, as y'all are 8 working through this, we're happy to have those 9 conversations with y'all. I recognize we're a little bit 10 unique. Our volume certainly is not --11 MR. ROBERTI: Mr. Hall, I have some questions for you so we can track everything you just said. 12 13 MR. HALL: Yes, sir. 14 MR. ROBERTI: I believe there was five points, 15 correct, that you just brought up? MR. HALL: Four or five, yes, sir. 16 MR. ROBERTI: I counted five but there may be 17 four. I believe the first two are related to 215.140. 18 19 I right? 20 MR. HALL: Yes. MS. HEALY: Yes, I believe, so that's the 21 22 storage requirements. 23 MR. ROBERTI: So Mr. Richards and Ms. Healy 24 agree, 215.140. And you're going to have to help me on 25 three through five. Can you go back on what proposed rule you're talking about on your point three, point four and point five or four.

MR. HALL: The one comment I made about the processing and handling fee was a general response to the presentation about how they're going to handle the plate distribution to dealers.

And one of the comments that I thought maybe was being explored is whether the plate distribution would go through the county tax assessor-collectors' offices or if those plate distribution would come directly from the department.

I was merely offering up a response to that suggestion that if the department is going to go the way of having the county tax assessor-collectors responsible for handling the allocation to dealers, then there should be an analysis of the processing and handling fee, which is also set in Texas administrative rule, to ensure that the county tax assessor-collectors are fairly compensated for having to handle that plate distribution as well.

So that was the first point.

MR. ROBERTI: And then what was the last point or last two points?

MR. HALL: I made the point about the plate fee being set at \$10 or \$15. Is that the other one you're talking about?

MR. ROBERTI: Yeah. Deputy Director Thompson 1 2 said we followed the last two as well, so we got all that. 3 All right. Do any members have anything else 4 they want to discuss or any other points they have? 5 MR. MILLARD: Member Millard. 6 I'm not sure if you had trouble understanding 7 him, but it appears that he's logged in twice, is the 8 reason I've got such an echo. 9 MR. ROBERTI: Duly noted. We'll go back over 10 it before we suggest any -- make any motions. How about that? 11 General Counsel Moriaty. 12 13 MS. MORIATY: Yes, Mr. Chairman, Laura Moriaty. 14 My question was just whether there was any of 15 what Mr. Hall offered that you wanted to turn into a 16 motion or whether he just was offering feedback for staff to consider. 17 MR. ROBERTI: Well, why don't we take that up 18 19 with Member Hall. 20 Member Hall, do you have an answer to that? 21 Are these motions, or do you want to have these staff 22 considerations? 23 MR. HALL: I'm just going to offer this all up 24 as staff considerations. Again, I don't necessarily have 25 any specificity behind any those recommendations outside

of what my written comments covered. 1 2 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Do any of the members have 3 any comment on what Mr. Hall offered? 4 Let's go with Member Dovalina. Thank you for 5 the hands up emoji. 6 Member Dovalina, go ahead. 7 MS. DOVALINA: Only one question that I have 8 just for consideration; I don't know if it's been 9 considered or if it's going to be taken into consideration. 10 11 Since the dealers are now being required to sign in for or register for webDEALER, are these dealers 12 13 that are seller financed, is that going to be an option 14 that's going to be considered into webDEALER, or are they 15 going to have to be working those transactions in through 16 the county? 17 Clint Thompson, deputy director MR. THOMPSON: of the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. 18 19 you. 20 I guess I would need some specifics on the type 21 of seller finance transaction that you're looking for. 22 We've got the ability for a lienholder, obviously, to be 23 recorded within webDEALER; we've also got exemptions for the various sales tax scenarios as well. 24

Is there a specific transaction within the

seller finance?

MS. DOVALINA: That would be for the sales tax related ones.

MR. THOMPSON: Okay. Glad to go back and look at it, but my recollection is we have the exemptions in webDEALER that exist within the Registration and Title

System and ability to capture a tax ID, but we're glad to

I'll just say in general, one of the things that we're looking at with webDEALER, obviously with the mandatory use of the application for dealers, we're going to have to make sure that transactions that need to be

submitted through webDEALER can be submitted through webDEALER.

But we all know that we're not going to recreate the Registration and Title System, so in the event that there are transactions that cannot be processed through webDEALER, your option is to go to a county tax office or a full-service deputy for those specifically.

MS. DOVALINA: Okay, sounds great. Thank you.

MR. THOMPSON: Yes, ma'am.

MR. ROBERTI: Thank you, Member Dovalina.

Member French, you have the floor.

MS. FRENCH: Yes. Good afternoon, everyone.

Just to follow up on Member Hall and also

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

take a look at it.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Member Luedecke's comments regarding storage, I'm not 1 2 necessarily making this a motion but for comment: If we 3 just take the existing language that has been proposed and 4 then just add to that "or a storage room or facility," I 5 wonder if that would not possibly take care of the 6 concerns of everyone regarding this particular part of the 7 rule. If you'd like to make a motion for 8 MS. HEALY: 9 that, we can entertain a motion. 10 MS. FRENCH: I'll make a motion to add the term "storage room or facility" to the sections regarding the 11 12 storage of license plates. MR. ROBERTI: Let's get a little more specifics 13 14 on that with the numbers. So just hang on to your motion, 15 and we'll get that real quick. 16 MS. MORIATY: It's going to be several. 17 MR. ROBERTI: Member French, just give us a They're just going to look at the exact number so 18 minute. 19 when we make a motion, it can be specific to the exact. 20 MS. MORIATY: You can go with that generic motion and we'll fill it in. 21 22 Do you want to do that? MR. ROBERTI: 23 MS. MORIATY: Yeah, that's do that. Everywhere 24 in the rules that it says -- describes the locked safe, we 25 can substitute. Yeah.

1	MR. ROBERTI: Member French, what's your
2	motion?
3	MS. FRENCH: My motion is to add the terms "a
4	room or storage facility" to all of these sections that
5	speak to the security of the locked license plates.
6	MR. ROBERTI: I've got a motion by Member
7	French. Do I have a second?
8	MR. LUEDECKE: Second. Member Luedecke.
9	MR. ROBERTI: Member Luedecke seconds.
10	When we do a vote, we're going to do it one
11	member at a time since most of us are not in the room.
12	We're not moving agenda items yet; we're just making a
13	motion on this particular one.
14	MS. MORIATY: You have a second, so you can ask
15	does anybody have any comments?
16	MR. ROBERTI: You want me to do more discussion
17	now and then vote later?
18	MS. MORIATY: Right. If they have any comments
19	before you vote, usually let them discuss before you vote.
20	MR. ROBERTI: Do we have any more discussion on
21	this particular issue that Ms. French brought up?
22	MR. HALL: This is Member Hall.
23	MR. ROBERTI: Member Hall, go ahead.
24	MR. HALL: I would offer at least including the
25	word "secure," that it's a secure storage room or secure

1	facility, you know, or add some context around behind lock
2	and key or, you know, badge controlled, something along
3	those lines.
4	I don't know if somebody wants to offer some
5	specific language, but I just think a facility could be
6	somebody's shed in their backyard, right, and that's
7	probably not the intent there.
8	MR. ROBERTI: Member French, would you like to
9	add that to your motion, "secured"?
LO	MS. MORIATY: Mr. Chairman, could I offer
L1	some this is Laura Moriaty, general counsel.
L2	MR. ROBERTI: Yes, go ahead.
L3	MS. MORIATY: Could I offer some potential
L 4	language for the motion?
L 5	MR. ROBERTI: Yes, go ahead.
L 6	MS. MORIATY: If we made it "securely locked
L7	substantially constructed storage room, facility, safe or
L 8	steel cabinet," would that address the concern?
L 9	MR. ROBERTI: Member French, would that be
20	acceptable in the motion?
21	MS. FRENCH: I believe so.
22	MS. MORIATY: Repeat that so everybody
23	understands what they're voting on.
24	MS. MORIATY: The language is: "Securely
25	locked substantially constructed storage room, facility,

1	safe or steel cabinet," and we would substitute that we
2	would add that language in wherever we have the
3	description of how we're keeping plates with this language
4	in it.
5	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. So I have a motion by
6	Member French, with the staff-recommended language. Do I
7	have a second?
8	MR. MILLARD: Millard seconds.
9	MR. ROBERTI: Member Millard seconds, and we're
10	about to take a roll call vote on this.
11	Do I have any other discussion items on this?
12	We can take up the motion about this, but do I have anyone
13	who wanted to comment on this before we take a vote?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. ROBERTI: Stand by; we'll have the vote
16	coming up. When I say your name, just say yes or no on
17	the following motion.
18	Member Dovalina?
19	MS. DOVALINA: Yes.
20	MR. ROBERTI: Member Elam?
21	MR. ELAM: Yes.
22	MR. ROBERTI: Member Fontenot?
23	MR. FONTENOT: Yes.
24	MR. ROBERTI: Member French?
25	MS. FRENCH: Yes.

1	MR. 1	ROBERTI: Second Vice Chair Hall?
2	MR. I	HALL: Yes.
3	MR. 1	ROBERTI: Member Kuni?
4	MS. 1	KUNI: Yes.
5	MR. 1	ROBERTI: Member Lake?
6	MS.	LAKE: Yes.
7	MR. 1	ROBERTI: First Vice Chair Luedecke?
8	MR.	LUEDECKE: Yes.
9	MR. 1	ROBERTI: Member Mendoza?
10	MS. I	MENDOZA: Yes.
11	MR. 1	ROBERTI: Member Millard? Member Millard?
12	MR. I	MILLARD: (No audible response.)
13	MR. 1	ROBERTI: Member Moore? Member Moore?
14	MR. I	MOORE: (No audible response.)
15	MR. 1	ROBERTI: Member Nino?
16	MR. I	NINO: Yes.
17	MR. I	ROBERTI: Member Ormand?
18	MR. (ORMAND: Yes.
19	MR. I	ROBERTI: Member Paschall?
20	MR.	PASCHALL: Yes.
21	MR. 1	ROBERTI: Member Torres?
22	MS.	TORRES: Yes.
23	MR. 1	ROBERTI: Member Vincent?
24	MS. Y	VINCENT: Yes.
25	MR. 1	ROBERTI: And I, Bobby Roberti, vote yes as

well. Motion passes.

Do we have anything else that any of the members want to discuss or any questions for staff while we're here?

MS. TORRES: Member Torres.

MR. ROBERTI: Member Torres, go ahead.

MS. TORRES: Yes, sir. I just wanted to make a comment. I've been speaking with some of the dealers -- some of the smaller dealers, and they're not aware of them getting any emails or getting anything from TxDOT regarding them having to be on webDEALER.

MR. ROBERTI: Duly noted by staff.

I have a question. It's kind of what you were talking about with "bona fide." So this is not a motion;

I'm just asking this for staff consideration.

Regarding the storage facilities, these secure storage facilities that they possibly have to have, what kind of access is it going to be? Are we going to have any kind of limited kind of access to these "secure" locations?

Are we going to use the word "bona fide" or are we going to be a little more definitive? Is that in the language already? I didn't memorize it. So do we want to qualify that with direct employees and maybe say can't have access by contractors?

1	MR. LUEDECKE: Member Luedecke.
2	I understand what you're saying.
3	MR. ROBERTI: I don't know if that's possible
4	or it's something that we want to do or don't want to do.
5	MR. LUEDECKE: To limit the people that would
6	have access to those plates in some sort of way.
7	MS. QUINTERO: Annette, Vehicle Titles and
8	Registration director.
9	MR. ROBERTI: Sure.
10	MS. QUINTERO: So I'm looking at the rule and
11	it does say "limiting authorized users to owners and bona
12	fide employees with a business need to access the license
13	plates and the license plate system."
14	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. I think that's adequate
15	then.
16	Does anybody else have any questions before we
17	move on?
18	MR. LUEDECKE: This is Member Luedecke again.
19	I do have another comment to Member Hall's recommendations
20	and comments earlier.
21	He mentioned that the tax offices be able to
22	distribute the plates to the dealers. On my way down here
23	today, I had a telephone call from the second largest
24	county tax assessor in the state, John Ames. He has 2,600
25	dealers in his county, and he said it would cost the

county lot of money.

And I understand that Member Hall says that we could possibly get a fee for the distribution of those, but I don't know if it would cover the cost to the counties to distribute all those plates. So there's probably a large portion of counties that would be against the tax offices distributing those metal plates to the dealers.

MS. QUINTERO: Annette, Vehicle Titles and Registration director.

It is part of the cost-benefit analysis that we're doing as we're trying to determine the distribution levels. We have to consider all the pieces and parts.

MR. ROBERTI: Were there any other questions, comments?

Member Fontenot, I see your hand. Go ahead.

Member Fontenot, you have the floor.

MR. FONTENOT: Hello. Can you hear me? Hello?

MR. ROBERTI: Yes.

MR. FONTENOT: Okay, good. I'm having trouble over here with the audio, so I'm on my phone now.

But I haven't heard anyone address or say anything about what is going to happen to all of these independent title companies in the state? It seems to me they'll be out of business overnight.

I didn't know if anyone -- if they addressed that at the legislature or if they did any kind of clarification on the floor when they were passing this.

Does te staff have any -- have y'all thought about that or anyone mention it?

MR. THOMPSON: Member Fontenot, Clint Thompson, deputy director of the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division.

I want to clarify one point. Are we talking about full-service deputies? Are those the entities you're referring to?

MR. FONTENOT: Yes. That's right.

MR. THOMPSON: I'll tell you that this deal intentionally or unintentionally does not exclude counties from the equation nor full-service deputies from the equation.

The requirement in House Bill 718 is for a licensed Texas dealer to submit transactions, title applications through webDEALER. Those transactions today go directly through a county tax office to be reviewed and approved.

Today a county tax office that has full-service deputies in their county have the ability to give that full-service deputy access to webDEALER to approve those transactions, so this is not going to restrict their

1 abilities. 2 And like I touched on earlier, in the event that we have a subset of transactions that cannot be 3 4 processed through webDEALER, they will continue to go 5 directly through the county to be processed or, if the 6 dealer chooses, through a full-service deputy in that 7 particular county. 8 MR. FONTENOT: Okay, good. I think you may 9 have mentioned that earlier and I missed it; I'm sorry 10 about that. MR. THOMPSON: No problem. 11 12 MR. FONTENOT: Appreciate it, thank you. 13 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, sir. 14 Thank you, Member Fontenot. MR. ROBERTI: 15 Do we have any other questions, comments, motions before we move on? 16 17 (No response.) MR. ROBERTI: Seeing none, I'd like to go to 18 19 the next agenda item: Item 2.C. Future meetings and 20 agenda items. I will now move to agenda item 2.C. Future 21 22 meetings and agenda items and turn the meeting over to 23 Associate General Counsel David Richards. 24 Mr. Richards.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. RICHARDS: David Richards, associate

general counsel, for the record. 1 2 Mr. Chairman, we need to ask the members if 3 they had any comments or motions with regard to Chapter 4 217 or Chapter 221, because all we've covered is 215, thus 5 far. Thank you. 6 MR. ROBERTI: All right. So we're going back to item 2.B. 7 8 Do we have any comments about 217 or 221 before 9 we move on? Any members? 10 MR. HALL: Member Hall. I have one question. MR. ROBERTI: Member Hall. 11 12 MR. HALL: And I feel like I probably should know the answer to this and I don't. The comment has been 13 14 made that the safety inspection is being repealed for non-15 emissions testing counties. 16 I was under the impression safety inspection was being repealed statewide, so I just wanted to make 17 sure that that's clear: That safety inspection is going 18 19 away, period. Emissions testing will only remain for 20 emissions counties. Okay. 21 MR. ROBERTI: Do you want to state the rule 22 number that he's referring to, or the statute? 23 MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director 24 of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division.

Member Hall, I think what you heard earlier was

the reference to the safety inspection going away 1 statewide for non-commercial vehicles, as opposed to 2 3 non-emissions only counties, so yes, that is the statewide 4 safety inspection that is going away, and that is 5 statutory as opposed to a rule. 6 MR. HALL: Appreciate that, thank you. 7 MR. ROBERTI: Thank you, Member Hall. 8 Do have any more questions, comments on 217 or 9 221? 10 (No response.) MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Now we can go to 2.C then. 11 Are we good over here? 12 13 MR. RICHARDS: I think I'm good, yes. 14 Item 2.C, Mr. Richards. MR. ROBERTI: 15 MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chair and members, David 16 Richards, associate general counsel, for the record. 17 The department appreciates all the hard work in review of these rules or proposed rules and your comments 18 19 and a few of the motions that were made today. We will 20 take these back and meet internally. At this point in time, we don't know of any 21 22 projected meetings over the next month or two, but again, 23 we may have the need for this committee to meet again 24 soon, but over the next month or two, we probably will not

have a need for the committee to meet.

Thank you. 1 2 MR. ROBERTI: [inaudible] this past summer that 3 there quite possibly could be a joint meeting with another 4 advisory committee. He was saying that something came up 5 and he didn't know whether it was something in our purview 6 or the customer service purview. 7 Anyway, just keep an eye out of that being a 8 possibility, because there's probably going to be 9 something coming up at some point that one, two or three 10 committees might want to advise the Board on. 11 Do we have anything else, Mr. Richards? 12 MR. RICHARDS: No, sir, I do not. 13 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Item 3. Public comment. 14 Do we have any comments from the public? 15 MR. RICHARDS: No, sir, we do not. 16 MR. ROBERTI: Seeing none, then we've got one 17 final agenda item. Do I have a motion to adjourn? MR. LUEDECKE: So moved. 18 19 MR. MILLARD: Member Millard makes a motion to 20 adjourn. 21 MR. ROBERTI: Member Luedecke beat you to the 22 punch. 23 Do I have a second? 24 MR. NINO: Member Nino, second. 25 MR. ROBERTI: Second by Member Nino.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	All in favor? You can say aye this time.
2	(A chorus of ayes.)
3	MR. ROBERTI: Any opposed?
4	(No response.)
5	MR. ROBERTI: We are adjourned.
6	(Whereupon, at 2:20 p.m., the meeting was
7	adjourned.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 MEETING OF: TxDMV Vehicle Titles and Registration Advisory Committee 4 5 LOCATION: Via Webex 6 DATE: February 22, 2024 7 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 8 numbers 1 through 66, inclusive, are the true, accurate, 9 and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the 10 11 Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. 12 DATE: February 29, 2024 13 14 15 16 17 18 /s/ Nancy H. King (Transcriber) 19 20 21 On the Record Reporting & 22 Transcription, Inc. 23 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., Ste 515

24

2526

Austin, Texas 78752