

Consider Change to Basic Program Structure and Program Coverage

The purpose of ABTPA is to provide a statewide method (network) of combating motor vehicle crimes using highly trained specialized officers, using sophisticated police processes and tactics and using the latest motor vehicle technology. Most jurisdictions cannot maintain a specialized unit to combat statewide and regional crime outside of general investigative units without grant support. Most jurisdictions cannot maintain specialized equipment to combat motor vehicle crimes without grant support.

Director recommendation to improve mission obtainment, manage costs, and provide uniformity in managing grants:

- 1) Encourage grant funded agencies to place 80-100% of law enforcement officers' salaries on the grant and use fringe as cash match unless a reasonable exemption is provided;
- 2) Allow program income to fund motor vehicle crime detection and apprehension technology and equipment (surveillance equipment, VIN detection equipment, cameras, bait equipment, LPRs, etc.) up to 100 percent;
- 3) Prohibit the use of program income as cash match for the purchase of vehicles without board approval;
- 4) Require uncommitted program income to be used to reduce grant payments if in excess of \$75,000 in grantee account (or some threshold) [Note: 2017 Q2 balance for all grantees was over \$600,000];
- 5) Prohibit total vehicle lease payments (cash match and grant funded) in excess of \$600;
- 6) Prohibit all part-time non-law enforcement and command staff on ABTPA grants. Direct staff to avoid part-time law enforcement without clear rationale (i.e. rural, specialization, etc.).

Other Issues that the ABTPA Board may consider:

- 1) Ensure that grant funded position descriptions and applications reflect only Automobile Burglary and Theft crimes;
- 2) Request that all grantees charge the \$40 fee for program income for TxDMV 68-A form inspections when the person requesting the inspection is not determined to be the registered owner.

Automobile Burglary and Theft Prevention Authority

July 7, 2017

Grants Budget and Report Committee FY2018 Grant Award Recommendation

Grantee Name	ABTPA Funds Requested	Allowable Adjusted Grant Request	Qualified Based on Score System	2018 Application Match Amount	2017 Match Percentage	Grant Budget and Report Committee Funding	% Diff from Previous Year	Border Security (BS) Earmark
City of Austin	\$452,219	\$452,219	Yes	\$198,139	46.7%	\$430,685	100.00%	
City of Beaumont	\$551,781	\$551,781	Yes	\$339,744	48.0%	\$528,714	100.00%	\$528,714
City of Brownsville	\$1,199,350	\$1,199,350	Yes	\$803,113	72.4%	\$924,350	80.92%	\$924,350
Burnet County	\$283,734	\$283,734	Yes	\$122,778	42.1%	\$276,734	97.77%	
City of Corpus Christi	\$488,305	\$415,807	No	\$711,745	161.0%	\$410,973	100.00%	\$410,973
City of Dallas	\$601,840	\$601,840	Yes	\$123,341	26.2%	\$555,204	100.00%	
Dallas County	\$882,731	\$882,731	No	\$292,015	43.3%	\$840,697	100.00%	
City of Eagle Pass	\$192,823	\$192,823	No	\$70,805	36.9%	\$151,222	78.43%	\$151,222
City of El Paso	\$984,765	\$984,765	Yes	\$408,030	42.4%	\$929,465	99.09%	\$929,465
Harris County	\$1,333,579	\$1,333,579	Yes	\$2,373,997	180.2%	\$1,272,403	100.00%	\$1,272,403
City of Houston	\$809,496	\$809,496	Yes	\$1,460,872	157.4%	\$809,496	105.00%	\$809,496
City of Laredo	\$669,656	\$669,656	Yes	\$359,057	53.6%	\$637,768	100.00%	\$637,768
Lubbock County	\$424,686	\$424,686	Yes	\$239,722	56.3%	\$404,523	100.00%	
City of Mansfield	\$414,058	\$414,058	No	\$214,169	53.3%	\$360,058	91.31%	
Montgomery County	\$347,464	\$347,464	No	\$254,452	179.3%	\$337,464	86.55%	
City of Paris	\$107,807	\$107,807	No	\$41,667	37.8%	\$106,709	100.00%	
City of Pasadena	\$82,998	\$82,998	No	\$819,434	987.3%	\$76,000	91.57%	\$76,000
Potter County	\$378,959	\$378,959	Yes	\$212,122	57.6%	\$361,705	100.00%	
City of San Antonio	\$792,617	\$792,617	Yes	\$561,144	64.1%	\$792,617	91.61%	
City of Seguin	\$55,093	\$55,093	No	\$11,434	N/A	\$0	-	
Smith County	\$341,267	\$341,267	Yes	\$173,503	52.5%	\$332,792	100.00%	
Tarrant County	\$1,213,011	\$1,213,011	Yes	\$494,270	41.6%	\$1,145,500	100.00%	
Travis County	\$658,137	\$658,137	Yes	\$403,669	74.5%	\$631,137	98.19%	
City of Victoria	\$154,719	\$154,719	No	\$30,972	20.0%	\$154,719	100.00%	\$154,719
Galveston County	\$486,993	\$486,993	Yes	\$228,387	52.6%	\$469,183	98.14%	\$469,183
TOTALS	\$13,421,095	\$13,348,597		\$10,720,195	83%	\$12,940,118	96.84%	\$5,895,110