TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES BOARD MEETING

OPEN MEETING VIA TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL PURSUANT TO GOVERNOR'S MARCH 16, 2020, TEMPORARY SUSPENSION OF CERTAIN OPEN MEETING PROVISIONS

Thursday,
December 10, 2020
8:03 a.m.

BOARD MEMBERS:

Guillermo "Memo" Treviño, Chair Charles Bacarisse, Vice Chair Stacey Gillman Brett Graham Tammy McRae John Prewitt Manny Ramirez Paul Scott Shelley Washburn

I N D E X

<u>AGEN</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>AGE</u>
1.	Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum	9
2.	Pledges of Allegiance - U.S. and Texas	10
3.	Chair's Reports A. Introduction of New Board Member Manuel "Manny" Ramirez	10
	B. Committee Member Appointments	11
4.	Executive Director's Reports A. Introduction of Ombudsman Leah Leone	13
	B. State Employee Charitable Campaign (SECC) Awards and Results	16
	C. TxDMV Website Update	17
	D. Awards, Recognition of Years of Service, and Announcements	19
RULES	S - ADOPTIONS	
5.	Chapter 215, Motor Vehicle Distribution Amendments, \$215.500 New, \$215.504 Chapter 218, Motor Carriers Amendments, \$218.72 (Relating to SB 604, clarifying the refund authority for motor vehicle buyers and lessees; refund to a consumer who paid the motor carrier to transport household goods) (Proposal Published - August 21, 2020 - 45 Tex Reg 5874) (Review by Office of the Governor, Regulatory Compliance Division; submission August 27, 2020; comment period closed September 30, 2020)	38
6.	Chapter 221, Salvage Vehicle Dealers New §221.96, Cease and Desist (Relating to SB 604, establishing process under which board may issue a cease and desist order to prohibit a person from violating statutes, board rules, or board orders, after notice and an opportunity for a hearing) (Proposal Published - August 21, 2020 - 45 Tex Reg 5902) (Review by Office of the Governor, Regulatory	47

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 Compliance Division; submission August 24, 2020; comment period closed September 25, 2020)

- 7. Chapter 209, Finance

 Amendments, \$209.23

 Chapter 219, Oversize and Overweight Vehicles
 and Loads Amendments, \$\$219.2, 219.11, 219.13 219.15, 219.42, 219.43, and 219.61 219.63

 (Relating to HB 61 and HB 2620, escort flag
 vehicles including the use of certain lighting
 equipment; removing escrow account payment)

 (Proposal Published August 21, 2020 45 Tex Reg 5869)
- 8. Chapter 219, Oversize and Overweight Vehicles and Loads
 Amendments, §219.31 and §219.126
 Repeal, §219.83
 (Relating to HB 2620, movement of oversize or overweight vehicles and enforcement of motor vehicle size and weight limitations)
 Proposal Published August 21, 2020 45 Tex Reg 5899)
- 9. Chapter 206, Management 49
 New, \$206.151
 Chapter 223, Compliance and Investigations
 Division
 New, \$223.101
 (Relating to SB 604, risk-based monitoring and prevention of title and registration fraud)
 (Proposal Published August 21, 2020 45 Tex Reg 5867)
- 78 10. Chapter 206, Management Amendments, §206.22 Chapter 215, Motor Vehicle Distribution Amendments, §215.22 and §215.55 New, §\$215.59 - 215.63 (Relating to SB 604, new Occupations Code \$2301.709(d), contested cases; and a petition for rulemaking) (Informal Working Draft and Request for Informal Comments on Rules Relating to Contested Cases; and Petition for Rulemaking - Published on TxDMV website April 3, 2020 to May 4, 2020) (Proposal Published - August 21, 2020 -45 Tex Reg 5866) (Review by Office of the Governor, Regulatory Compliance Division; submission August 24, 2020; comment period closed September 25, 2020)

11. Chapter 217, Vehicle Titles and Registration Amendments, §217.3 (Relating to vehicle titling for mopeds) (Proposal Published - October 16, 2020 - 45 Tex Reg 7407)	∶6
BRIEFING AND ACTION ITEMS	
12. Specialty Plate Design Penn State - New Design proposed by My Plates under Transportation Code, §504.851	:9
13. Legislative and Public Affairs Committee Update A. Alternatively Fueled Vehicles Study Update 13 as Submitted to the Legislature under Senate Bill 604, 86th Legislature, Regular Session (2019) (BRIEFING ONLY)	12
B. Agency Process for Legislative Session 13 (BRIEFING ONLY)	4
C. Recommended Legislation to the 87th 13 Legislature under Transportation Code, §1001.025	6
14. Finance and Audit A. Internal Audit Division Status Update 17 (BRIEFING ONLY) - FY 2020 Quality Assurance Improvement Program Report	'2
B. Internal Audit Charter 17	9
15. Amendment to the TxDMV Balanced Scorecard 18	5
<pre>16. Appointment of Ombudsman to Act as Civil Rights 18 Officer under Transportation Code, §1001.023(b)(7)</pre>	8
EXECUTIVE SESSION	
17. The Board may enter into closed session under one or more of the following provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Government Code, Chapter 551: Section 551.071 Section 551.074 Section 551.076 Section 551.089	0
18. Action Items from Executive Session 19	1

19.	Public Comment	none
20.	Adjournment	196

2.3

PROCEEDINGS

MR. TREVIÑO: Good morning. My name is Memo
Treviño, and I'm pleased to open the Board meeting of the
Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

It is approximately 8:03 a.m., and I am now calling the Board meeting for December 10, 2020 to order. I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting, containing all items on the agenda, was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on December 1, 2020.

General Counsel Beaver, is everything coming in better now?

MS. BEAVER: Yes. Thank you, Chairman. You're coming through clearly.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great.

This meeting is being held by telephone conference call in accordance with Texas Government Code, Chapter 551, as temporarily modified under Governor Greg Abbott's authority to suspend certain statutes due to COVID-19. Governor Abbott suspended various provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act that require government officials and members of the public to be physically present at specified meeting locations.

Under that suspension, the public will not be able to physically attend this meeting in person.

Instead, the public may attend this meeting by using the link or by calling the toll free telephone number which are both posted in our agenda which was filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on December 1, 2020. All board members, including myself, will be participating remotely via Webex.

1.3

2.3

At this time will all attendees please mute your phone for the entire duration of this meeting. I'm asking our Webex meeting host to make sure all attendees phones are muted and their videos turned off, except for board members and those who are presenting. Callers will be removed for any disruptions, including background noise.

I would like to remind all participants that this is a telephone conference call meeting. Because this meeting is held by telephone conference call, there are a few things that will assist in making the meeting run smoother and will assist the court reporter in getting an accurate record. Department staff and any commenters should identify themselves before speaking. Speak clearly.

Remember there may be a slight delay due to the telephone conference call meeting so please wait a little longer than usual before responding to participants. Do not speak over others, and speakers should ask the

chairman to proceed and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

2.3

If you wish to address the board or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please send an email to GCO_general@TxDMV.gov. Please identify in your email the specific item you're interested in commenting on, your name and address, and whether you're representing anyone or speaking for yourself. If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting.

In accordance with department administrative rule, comments to the board will be limited to three minutes, then the call will be muted by the meeting host when your time is up. Comments should be pertinent to the issues stated in your email. When addressing the board, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

Before we begin today, I'd like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct at our board meetings. In the department's rules under Section 206.22, the board chair is given authority to supervise the conduct of meetings. This includes the authority to determine when a speaker is being disruptive of the meeting or is otherwise violating the timing or presentation rules I just discussed. Disruptive speakers

1	will be muted, given a warning about disruptive behavior,
2	then removed from the meeting for any continued
3	disruption.
4	Okay. We'll start off with the roll call.
5	Please respond verbally when I call your name.
6	Member Bacarisse, are you here?
7	MR. BACARISSE: Present.
8	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
9	MS. GILLMAN: Present.
10	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
11	MR. GRAHAM: Present.
12	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
13	MS. McRAE: Here.
14	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
15	MR. PREWITT: Present.
16	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
17	MR. RAMIREZ: Present.
18	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott?
19	MR. SCOTT: Present.
20	MR. TREVIÑO: And Member Washburn?
21	MS. WASHBURN: Here.
22	MR. TREVIÑO: And let the record reflect that
23	I, Memo Treviño, am here too, and we have a quorum.
24	Okay. Next item is the pledge of allegiance.
25	Before we begin, I ask that the board and other panelists

please turn off their audio and video. I will also turn 1 2 off my video as I lead you -- actually, I'm going to ask 3 Member Ramirez, our new member, Manny Ramirez, to lead us in the pledges. We will pause to give presenters and 4 5 board members to turn off their audio and video. 6 Please all stand and honor our country and 7 state with the pledges of allegiance. Member, Ramirez, whenever you're ready. 8 9 (The Pledges of Allegiance, U.S. and Texas,

MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez, thank you very

much. Appreciate your assistance in that.

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

were recited.)

We're going to move on to agenda item number 3, chair's report.

On November 3, 2020, Governor Abbott appointed Manuel "Manny" Ramirez to the Board of the Texas

Department of Motor Vehicles as the law enforcement representative. His term is set to expire on February 1, 2021.

And Member Ramirez is president of the Fort
Worth Police Officers Association. We are very, very
happy to have him here with us. He has fulfilled the
required training, he has fulfilled all the required -he's completed all required paperwork, has been duly sworn
into office and received his commission from the Texas

Secretary of State. You can read more about our new board member on our department website under the About Us section.

And I, and I know I speak for the rest of the board, look forward to working with you. Thank you very much for your public service and your willingness to serve in this capacity.

Board members, please join me in welcoming our new board member, Manny Ramirez.

(Applause.)

2.3

MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez, would you like to say a few words?

MR. RAMIREZ: Sure. This is Member Ramirez.

I'd like to thank you, Chairman Treviño, for the

tremendous introduction and thank everybody else for a
warm introduction.

You know, it's my hope just to continue the great work that this board has been doing over the past several years, making sure that we ensure efficient and effective service to the citizens of Texas. That's the number one priority is to make sure that we have an organization that's moving along. And I think that it has been that way, so I'm looking forward to joining the team and doing what I can to make sure we keep working.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Well said, Member

Ramirez. Thank you for your service to this board and thank you for your service to the citizens of Texas by keeping Fort Worth safe. Appreciate that.

1.3

2.3

Now that we have a full board with Member
Ramirez joining us, I would like to notify the board that
Member Ramirez has agreed to join the Legislative and
Public Affairs Committee as a member. This new structure
provides for balance and diverse membership on the
Legislative and Public Affairs Committee. This
appointment doesn't require any action by the board under
Transportation Code, Chapter 1001.

So thank you, Member Ramirez, for agreeing to serve on that committee.

Okay. And the last thing I'd like to cover in my report is we had an election recently in November -- I don't know if everybody is aware of it -- but I'm talking specifically about Member McRae's election -- reelection as tax assessor-collector for Montgomery County. Member McRae, we want to congratulate you on your landslide victory and continued service on this board.

So Member McRae, would you like to say anything?

MS. McRAE: It's always humbling to be elected and represent our constituents, and it's just an honor to be able to do that in Montgomery County and to be able to

serve with these other great members of this board. So thank you so much.

MR. TREVIÑO: Well, I know I speak for the board when I say congratulations on your election and on your reelection but also on your level of service to the citizens of Texas on the Texas Association of Tax Assessor-Collectors, also on this board, and then also the tax assessor-collector for Montgomery County. So thank you very much.

MS. McRAE: Thank you.

MR. TREVIÑO: And with that, I think I'll turn it over to our executive director, Whitney Brewster, for her report.

Whitney.

2.3

MS. BREWSTER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members, guests, and staff.

I also want to congratulate Member McRae and welcome Member Ramirez. It's really great to have a full board and I know the staff is thrilled about Member Ramirez joining the board.

Just a few things to go through this morning.

I know there's a lot on the agenda today, but I'd like to start off by introducing you to a new member of our team. Leah Leone joined the TxDMV team on November 1 as the agency's employee ombudsman and ethics officer for the

agency.

1.3

2.3

You can find out a little more about Leah in your board books, but just to share a little bit about her, she is very experienced as a high conflict mediator. She's been a teacher and a trainer with over 25 years of diverse experience managing and teaching conflict resolution, and she is a law professor also specialized in alternative dispute resolution. So we have a wealth of knowledge in Leah.

She joins us most recently from the Office of Attorney General where she served as the director of access and visitation for the child support division. And she has a bachelor's degree in organizational psychology with a law degree with a specialization in dispute resolution.

So I will turn it over to Leah for just a moment to go through what she's going to be doing as our employee ombudsman.

Leah.

MS. LEONE: Yes. Thank you, Whitney. Good morning, Board and Mr. Chairman. I'm grateful to be here with you this morning. And as employee ombudsman for TxDMV, I'm quite excited. I will be the go-to, if you will, for all communication when employees have workplace disputes or issues or have a question about

1 appropriateness, their duties and how to facilitate 2 challenging conversations or conversations that they 3 struggle with finding the correct words, and definitely helping with resources, referrals if any time there should 4 5 arise any conflicts as well. 6 I'm very excited to join such an amazing agency 7 with wonderful leaders such as Ms. Brewster. MS. BREWSTER: Well, thank you, Leah. 8 9 I just wanted the board to meet our new

I just wanted the board to meet our new employee ombudsman. This is a new position for the department. This is one way for us to address conflict in the workplace with the effort of keeping morale high and recruitment and retention strong within the department.

And I know Leah has already hit the ground running, and we'll hear a little more later on in the agenda as to other things that Ms. Leone may be doing on behalf of the department. But I just want to welcome her to the team, and thank you for being on the line this morning, Leah.

MS. LEONE: Thank you so much.

MS. BREWSTER: Mr. Chairman, are there any questions for Ms. Leone before we move on?

MR. TREVIÑO: Would you like to ask any questions of Ms. Leone?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

(No response.)

, ·

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. TREVIÑO: Ms. Leone, on behalf of the 1 2 board, we're very impressed by all your accomplishments, 3 we've read your bio and had the opportunity to speak with 4 We expect great things from you, and welcome to the 5 We look forward to working with you over the Texas DMV. 6 course of the next several years. 7 MS. LEONE: I appreciate your support. 8 you, Mr. Chairman. 9 MR. TREVIÑO: Whitney, do you want to continue 10 with your report? 11 MS. BREWSTER: Yes, sir. Thank you. 12 Moving on to item B, the State Employee 13 Charitable Campaign. Our agency recently participated in 14 the Texas State Employee Charitable Campaign, or we call

Moving on to item B, the State Employee

Charitable Campaign. Our agency recently participated in

the Texas State Employee Charitable Campaign, or we call

it SECC. And this provides employees the opportunities to

donate to different charities through payroll deductions,

and it's one of the largest state employee campaigns in

the nation.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

And just wanted to share that our agency participated again this year, we have every year since our inception as an agency. It was coordinated this year by Stephanie Lopez in our Human Resources Division, and our employees donated just about \$6,500 this year to a wide range of charities, and it was an increase over last year.

One thing that was challenging this year was

COVID-19. Previously we've been able to have some fun employee events and fundraisers like a chili cookoff or an ice cream social, but we weren't able to do that this year.

1.3

2.3

But nevertheless, we did have some fundraising events coordinated by Donna Winter in our Human Resources Division and had a Halloween contest for employees and employees' children, employees' pets, so there was some were some fun ways for folks to show their creativity and raise a little money. So I want to just thank Stephanie and Donna both for their coordination and also making it a fun fundraising event for the department.

So just a quick feel-good update for the board, just wanted to let the board know that we are continuing to participate in things that do good around the community and boost morale within the department.

If I might move on to item C.

MR. TREVIÑO: Sure. Absolutely. We recognize their creativity and thank them for their efforts.

MS. BREWSTER: Thank you, Chairman.

On the evening of Friday, October 16, we launched our redesigned website at TxDMV.gov. This was a really exciting effort for us because we spent a lot of time looking at web analytics to see how our users were using the information on our website or not, and I'm just

really pleased to be able to share that we've had a great experience with the new website.

1.3

2.3

It's far more mobile friendly. It does have improved search capabilities so people can get to the information that they need sooner, and much more straightforward navigation, so reducing the number of clicks you have to get to essential content.

And so just wanted to share a couple of statistics with the board. We have seen a huge increase in the number of visits to our website. So for example, in 2019 we had just over one million hits to the TxDMV.gov home page. This November we had almost 2.9 million hits to our home page. And we're just seeing a huge increase in information being needed from motorists, and I'm really glad that we were able to roll out the website when we did to be able to help Texans get the information that they need.

Just one other thing that -- there's two other things, actually, that I might mention about the website. We're now able to see that our customers have pivoted away from desktop-friendly web designs to more mobile-friendly layouts, and as we all know, many people are accessing the internet from their handheld devices and we wanted this website to meet them where they are searching for that information.

So for example, we have seen our website visits from mobile users nearly double from a year ago, so about 492,000 users in November of 2019 compared to about almost 920,000. And so that's a really interesting shift in the way that our customers are accessing our website.

1.3

2.3

And then last, but certainly not least, the new website is hosted on Amazon Web Services which really helps our system meet the users' demands more efficiently and faster than we did before. For instance, we're able to utilize the content delivery system so it can ensure that no matter where in the world a user makes a page request, the content is coming from the closest Amazon server to their location. It's not coming all the way back to Austin to get the information for the user.

So I just wanted to share some of that information with the board. It is an exciting improvement for the department and certainly for our customers, and so wanted to just give a quick update to the board on where we were with the website.

Any questions?

MR. TREVIÑO: No questions from the board. Whitney, thanks for the update and keep on going.

MS. BREWSTER: Thank you, sir.

And last, but certainly not least, this is the time where we like to recognize our employees who have

reached a major state service milestone, and this is one way that we celebrate our employees and show our appreciation for those years of service to the citizens of Texas. So if you would please join me as I go through here in congratulating our employees who have reached major milestones.

1.3

2.3

The first are those employees who have reached 20 years of state service. The first I will mention is Felicia Cantu. She's with our Information Services Technology Division.

Felicia has been with the DMV since 2000 and she works as a data analyst in the data support services section of IT. Her work involves complex data requests from DMV customers with really tight deadlines and quick turnaround times and she handles these requests accurately using exceptional customer service and technical skills. She is an absolute asset to our team, and congratulations to Felicia on 20 years of state service.

Is Felicia on the line?

MS. CANTU: Yes, I'm here. I just wanted to say thank you guys. Like I really, really appreciate everything. I've had 20 wonderful years meeting amazing people and it's been a true blessing to work at DMV. Everybody has been great.

MS. BREWSTER: Thank you so much.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you for your service. 1 2 Really happy that you're here and thank you for taking the 3 time to come in and say hello and let us recognize you. 4 You know, I mean, you've done so much for the citizens of 5 Texas and we really appreciate your work. 6 MS. CANTU: Thank you. I appreciate y'all. 7 MS. BREWSTER: Mr. Chairman, there are a few 8 more that I'd like to just mention here. Thank you. 9 Martha Yancey from our Human Resources

Martha Yancey from our Human Resources

Division, she's been working for the TxDMV since 2015 and has 20 years of total experience working for the State of Texas. Prior to coming to our department, she worked for social service agencies, including the Department of Human Services and Health and Human Services Commission.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

All of her state service has been in human resources, performing a wide variety of roles, including payroll, benefits, recruiting and employee relations. She is an important part of the HR team, where she serves customers across the department in the areas of recruiting and employee relations. And you may also remember Martha who as the employee under normal circumstances presents these service awards in person on behalf of TxDMV.

So congratulations to Martha for 20 years of state service.

Moving on to 25 years of state service, we have

Karen McRae from our Motor Carrier Division. Karen started with TxDOT in 2001 as a permit officer after working for Texas A&M University -- which she says is the greatest university on earth -- while earning her bachelor's degree in engineering technology, engineering design graphics.

1.3

2.3

At TxDOT and since she's transferred to our Motor Carrier Division, she has been instrumental in the design and the development as well as the launch and continued improvement of TxPROS, and that's our Texas Permitting and Routing Optimization System. We really appreciate her loyalty and her commitment to the oversize/overweight section of the Motor Carrier Division, and congratulations to Karen on 25 years of state service. That's fantastic.

There are quite a few at 25 years of state service, it's amazing. Matthew Levitt of our Human Resources Division, Matthew is receiving recognition for 25 years as well. He came to the Department of Motor Vehicles with a wealth of experience and expertise in the area of human resources, including being the HR director at the Texas School for the Deaf and as the HR manager at other Texas state agencies.

Before becoming part of our team, he taught college level business classes and he was selected as the

outstanding instructor at Concordia University's accelerated degree program. Matthew is also the past president of the State of Texas Human Resources
Association.

1.3

2.3

So we are really proud to recognize Matthew's dedication and service to the department and to the State of Texas. So congratulations to Matthew.

We also have a 30-year state service recipient, Stacey Cullen in our Enforcement Division. Stacey began her career with the state in October of 1990 at the Texas Department of Public Safety, and during her tenure with DPS she worked in the license issuance and driver license bureau in the driver licensing section, and also the correspondence section before being promoted to a secretary position.

She was then promoted to vehicle inspection and emissions. She was one of the first five employees who started the emissions program, and she was awarded the Traffic Law Enforcement Chief's Award of Excellence. In October of 1998, Stacey joined the Texas Department of Transportation in the Motor Vehicle Division in the Lemon Law Section, where she worked for a year before being promoted to human resource officer for the Motor Vehicle Division.

She obtained her human resource certificate and

kept it active for many years, and in 2003 Stacey became the executive assistant to the division director of the Environmental Affairs Division after all human resource related positions were consolidated within the Human Resources Division. Ultimately, she returned back to the Motor Vehicle Division's enforcement section that ended up becoming a standalone division as it is today.

1.3

2.3

Her organizational skills are tremendous, her outgoing personality helps keep the division staff on track, and certainly our regulated entities informed of all available training opportunities offered by enforcement. She's very involved in that process.

So congratulations to Stacey on 30 years of state service.

Last, but certainly not least, Chairman and Board members, Pamela Winnick from our Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. She started as a customer service representative for the Texas Department of Transportation on October 16 of 1985 in Wichita Falls. She has 35 years of state service.

She is the manager of the Wichita Falls

Regional Service Center. She has always enjoyed working

with customers concerning titling and registration and has

seen many changes over the years. Pam is that employee

who dedicates herself to her work wholeheartedly, and she

is a truly dedicated and loyal employee.

1.3

2.3

Congratulations to Pam on 35 years of excellent state service. That's a tremendous accomplishment.

So congratulations to all of our employees who wished to be recognized.

I do want to mention a couple of others who did reach major milestones. For 20 years, Priscilla Kanutz in our Information Technology Services Division; Julie Payne in our Vehicle Titles and Registration Division in our Midland-Odessa Office, that's 20 years; Sergio Rey in our Finance and Administrative Services Division with 25 years of state service.

And last, the following employees recently retired from the department and some of them are very likely familiar to folks on the line: Cindy James of our Information Technology Service Division; Tammera Parr-Lamb in our Vehicle Titles and Registration Division; and John Stewart in our Finance and Administrative Services Division.

Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, members of the board. That concludes the last item of the executive director's report. Are there any questions or comments?

MR. TREVIÑO: Just on behalf of the board, Whitney, I'd like to thank all these team members for their loyalty and dedication and for their level of

service to all the citizens of Texas and to the Texas DMV, 1 of course. So thank you very much for the report and for all these team members' hard work. 3 4 MS. BREWSTER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 MR. TREVIÑO: Any other comments from the 6 board? 7 (No response.) 8 MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, Executive Director 9 Brewster, please proceed. 10 MS. BREWSTER: That concludes my remarks, sir. MR. TREVIÑO: Okay, great. Thank you very much 11 12 for that excellent report, and we will move on to --13 Tracey, we're going to move on to agenda item number 5, 14 but one of the things if you have any comments or 15 commenters, one of the things in the last board meeting I 16 went through all the items we discussed and asked for 17 comments at the end. I think just from a governance 18 standpoint here, why don't you just let me know if we have 19 any commenters as we go through as opposed to just me asking. Is that okay? 20 21 MS. BEAVER: Thank you, Chairman. I'll do so, 22 and we do have a commenter for agenda item number 5, 2.3 Refund Rules, after the director of the Enforcement

Division, Corrie Thompson, gives her introduction of the

24

25

agenda item.

1	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you.
2	We will now move no to agenda item number 5,
3	and we'll turn it over to Corrie Thompson.
4	Ms. Thompson.
5	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you. Good morning, Mr.
6	Chairman and Board members.
7	MR. TREVIÑO: Hold on a second, hold on a
8	second. Ms. Thompson, you're coming in very, very
9	broken. Do you want to try again?
10	MS. THOMPSON: Are you able to hear me?
11	MR. TREVIÑO: Why don't you try and speak a
12	little bit more, Ms. Thompson. We'll get started and see
13	how it goes. I may break in again.
14	MS. THOMPSON: Okay. Try this again. Good
15	morning, Chairman. How about now?
16	MR. TREVIÑO: Not good.
17	Art, I'm going to turn it over to you as host
18	to see if you can work out the audio portion.
19	MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to try one more thing
20	here.
21	MR. TREVIÑO: Are other board members having
22	the same challenges I'm having?
23	MR. PREWITT: Yes, I am.
24	MR. TREVIÑO: Okay.
25	MS. BEAVER: Chairman, this is Tracey Beaver,

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

general counsel, for the record. 1 It looks like it still having some audio issues. We're having some tech issues this morning. 3 4 you would like to move on to the next agenda item after 5 giving Ms. Thompson a few moments to see if can check her 6 audio. She could always work offline as well with our 7 ITSD folks. MR. TREVIÑO: Great. So I guess we'll move on 8 9 to agenda item number 7 because Ms. Thompson has agenda item 5 and 6. Is that correct? 10 11 MS. BEAVER: That is correct, Chairman. 12 MR. TREVIÑO: Okay, great. 13 Then we'll move on to agenda item number 7, and 14 that has to do with escort flag vehicles. We'll turn it 15 over to Mr. Jimmy Archer and Linda Flores. 16 MR. ARCHER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and 17 members of the Board. For the record, my name is Jimmy Archer and I'm the director of the Motor Carrier Division. 18 19 Can you hear me okay today? 20 MR. TREVIÑO: Sound great, Mr. Archer. 21 MR. ARCHER: Thank you, sir. 22 MR. TREVIÑO: You look good too, by the way. 2.3 MR. ARCHER: I even have my Christmas tie on 24 today.

This is agenda item number 7 and it's found

25

beginning on page 28 of your board books. Item 7 will be presented in two parts.

2.3

First, for the board's consideration, Chief
Financial Officer Linda Flores and I are presenting these
rule amendments to 43 Texas Administrative Code, Chapters
209 and 219 for adoption and publication in the Texas
Register. These rule amendments were originally approved
by the board for publication at the August 6 board meeting
and were published from comment in the Texas Register on
August 21. No comments were received during the comment
period.

These rule amendments are necessary to streamline department processes and improve program efficiency by eliminating two escrow account payment methods for customers that purchase oversize/overweight permits. Those two methods are a Texas Department of Motor Vehicles escrow account which requires department personnel to manually process payments, and a permit account card which is an escrow account administered by Frost Bank and requires department personnel to reconcile the payment records.

The department currently accepts the following methods of payment for oversize/overweight permits for online purchases through Texas Permitting and Routing Optimization System, or TxPROS: we accept credit cards,

automatic clearinghouse, or ACH, check, money order, cashier's check, cash, escrow account administered by the department, the permit account card which is administered by Frost Bank.

2.3

Internal Audit advisory services associated with accounts receivables identified an escrow balance as a concern. Specifically, the Finance and Administrative Services Division activates and deactivates escrow accounts and adds funds to the escrow accounts as needed in TxPROS. Eliminating these two types of payment methods will alleviate the audit concerns and improve efficiency.

This is possible because the department implemented automated clearinghouse in the Texas

Permitting and Routing Optimization System as a form of payment for all oversize/overweight permits in December of 2018. Use of ACH will afford motor carriers with a cheaper option for the payment of oversize/overweight permits than either the department escrow or the PAC card.

The benefit is to the customers because they will not be depositing funds into escrow accounts and therefore will be able to earn interest on their own funds. There will be no problem or any changes in TxPROS to facilitate this change, however, there is no benefit to the Motor Carrier Division in terms of personnel costs but there are benefits for the Finance and Administrative

1 Services Division. Ms. Flowers can answer any questions you may have for cost savings for Finance and 3 Administrative Services. 4 Linda. 5 MS. FLORES: Thank you, Jimmy. 6 For the record, Linda Flores, chief financial 7 officer for the department. As Mr. Archer indicated, this is a win-win 8 9 situation for not only the department but our payers. 10 We're addressing an Internal Audit advisory service to 11 improve reducing human intervention as well as encouraging 12 the use of online electronic payments, and the offer of 1.3 using ACH is also a win benefit for our payers because 14 there is no charge for the use of the ACH. The department 15 will be saving approximately three individuals who 16 manually processed these payments at the front end and at 17 the back end. And with that, I conclude my remarks. 18 19 you. 20 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Mr. Archer and Ms. 21 Are there any questions for Mr. Archer and Ms. Flores. 22 Flores? 2.3 MR. ARCHER: Mr. Chairman, let me mention the 24 escort flag vehicles real quickly.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. TREVIÑO: Sure. Go ahead.

25

MR. ARCHER: The second part of this rule 1 2 package is this permits that escort flag vehicles be 3 equipped with alternating flashing blue and amber 4 lights. Last legislative session the legislature added 5 the blue light. This rule simply is permissive and allows 6 them to use both blue and amber lights. 7 So that's the second part of it and those are 8 all my comments. I'll be happy to answer any questions 9 you might have. 10 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you, Mr. Archer, for that report. And Ms. Flores, thank you very much. 11 12 And thank you guys for looking for ways to make our agency 1.3 more efficient. 14 Any questions for Ms. Flores and Mr. Archer? 15 (No response.) MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, the chair would 16

entertain a motion for agenda item number 7.

MR. PREWITT: Mr. Chairman.

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. THOMPSON: Member Prewitt.

MR. PREWITT: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the board approve the adoption of the escort flag vehicle and escrow account rules, including amendments to Section 209.23 and amendments to Sections 219.2, 219.11, 219.13-219.15, 219.42, 219.43, and 219.61-219.63, as recommended by staff.

1		Well done, Jimmy and Linda. Thank you.
2		MR. TREVIÑO: We have motion from Member
3	Prewitt.	Do we have a second?
4		MR. RAMIREZ: I'll second.
5		MR. TREVIÑO: I believe that was Member
6	Ramirez.	
7		MR. RAMIREZ: Yes, I'll second.
8		MS. WASHBURN: Member Washburn seconds.
9		MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez beat you, Member
10	Washburn.	We will accommodate you later on.
11		Any further discussion?
12		(No response.)
13		MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, I'll call for the
14	vote.	
15		Member Bacarisse?
16		MR. BACARISSE: Aye.
17		MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
18		MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
19		MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
20		MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
21		MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
22		MS. McRAE: Aye.
23		MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
24		MR. PREWITT: Aye.
25		MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
2	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott?
3	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
4	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Washburn?
5	MS. WASHBURN: Aye.
6	MR. TREVIÑO: And I, Chairman Treviño, also
7	vote aye. The motion carries unanimously, motion passes.
8	Mr. Archer, if you would continue with agenda
9	item number 8.
10	MR. ARCHER: Absolutely, Mr. Chairman. Again,
11	Jimmy Archer for the record.
12	This is item number 8, and it's found beginning
13	at page 105 of your board book.
14	For the board's consideration I'm presenting
15	this rule amendment to 43 Texas Administrative Code,
16	Chapter 219 for adoption and publication in the Register.
17	Again, this rule was originally approved
18	MR. TREVIÑO: Mr. Archer, can you hold a
19	second?
20	MR. ARCHER: Sure.
21	MR. TREVIÑO: Board members, if you could put
22	your phones on mute while the person is talking, I think
23	we might be able to get a little bit better audio
24	quality. Thank you.
	1

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Okay, Mr. Archer, please continue.

25

MR. ARCHER: Am I coming through now?

1.3

2.3

MR. TREVIÑO: You're coming in fine.

MR. ARCHER: Okay, great. This is amendment to 43 Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 219 for adoption and publication in the Register. These rules were originally approved for publication for comment on August 21 and no comments were received on this package.

These rule amendments are necessary to conform to all the rules of Transportation Code Section 623.272 and 623.331, and also repeal of 219.83 for the shipper's certificate, as amended by House Bill 2625 of the 86th Legislature.

The proposed rules conform our rules to House Bill 2625 adopting a provision to allow vehicles with an annual timber permit to also load and carry equipment used to load timber, adding a provision that allows the department to investigate and impose administrative penalty on a shipper that does not provide a shipper's certificate of weight, and repeals any duplicative and inconsistent language.

There is no financial impact to state or local governments as a result of this, and I would ask that the board adopt these rule amendments for publication, and I'd be happy to answer any questions you might have.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you, Mr. Archer.

1	Are there any questions for Mr. Archer?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. Hearing none, the chair
4	would entertain a motion.
5	MR. PREWITT: Mr. Chairman, Board Member
6	Prewitt here. I move that the board approve the adoption
7	of amendments to Sections 219.31 and 219.126 and the
8	repeal of Section 219.83, as recommended by staff.
9	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you, Member
10	Prewitt.
11	Do we have a second?
12	MR. BACARISSE: Second.
13	MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. Member Bacarisse, second
14	from Member Bacarisse.
15	Any further discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, I'd call for the
18	vote.
19	Member Bacarisse?
20	MR. BACARISSE: Aye.
21	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
22	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
23	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
24	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
25	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MS. McRAE: Aye.
2	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
3	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
4	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
5	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
6	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott?
7	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
8	MR. TREVIÑO: And Member Washburn?
9	MS. WASHBURN: Aye.
10	And I, Chairman Treviño, also vote aye. Let
11	the record reflect that it's unanimous and motion carries.
12	Okay. How's Ms. Thompson doing, General
13	Counsel Beaver? Can we circle back, or should we keep
14	going?
15	MS. THOMPSON: I believe I'm in. How about
16	seeing me?
17	MR. TREVIÑO: We can see you. I can see you.
18	Yep, it looks like I'm getting thumbs up from other board
19	members. Do you want to try that audio one more time,
20	test it? Any Christmas carols you want to sing and just
21	kind of test the audio?
22	MS. THOMPSON: I don't want anybody to have to
23	suffer through that madness.
24	MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. Well, let's get started.
25	You're coming in fine and don't let the audio issues it

happens all the time, so please, go right ahead, Ms. Thompson.

2.3

MS. THOMPSON: Absolutely. Well, it woke me up in the morning, so I didn't have two cups of coffee yet, so now I'm good to go.

MR. TREVIÑO: There you go.

MS. THOMPSON: Well, good morning once again,
Chairman and members of the Board. I'm Corrie Thompson,
I'm the director of the Enforcement Division, and today
I'm presenting agenda item number 5. It's going to begin
on page 10 of your board book.

And before you what you have is a recommendation to approve the adoption of New Rule 215.504 as well as the adoption of Rules 215.500 and 218.72. And the purpose of all three of these rules is to implement Senate Bill 604 which was our Sunset bill from last legislative session.

It added the ability for the department to be able to order refunds to our list of available sanctions that we can use in the Enforcement Division, and it did that by adding language to Occupations Code 2301.807 for motor vehicle dealers and additional language to Texas Transportation Code 643.257 for motor carriers who transport household goods. These topics were brought before the Consumer Protection Advisory Committee for

additional guidance and any input that they may have had to the rules, and so the rule language that you have before you is the result of those discussions.

1.3

2.3

The rule language actually starts at the bottom of page 16. Rule 215.500 and 215.504 relate to the refunds for motor vehicle buyers and lessees. The 215.500(a)(5) adds in a new section and adds in a refund to that list of available sanctions. Before it was just penalties, the ability to deny or suspend or revoke a license. Then 215.504 defines the term "refund" and gives the department the ability to impose that additional sanction.

The rule language for the household goods movers that are transporting household items starts on page 20 and it relates to those refunds for the consumers who are paying motor carriers to move their home items for them. It also defines "refund" and then gives the department the ability to impose that as a sanction for anybody who has violated that department rule.

There's no financial impact associated with the proposal, and these rule proposals were published for comment in the *Texas Register* from August 21, 2020 through September 21, 2020. We did receive a written comment from the Texas Automobile Dealers Association in response to Rule 215.504. There was a minor change where we were

using the word "consumer" and there was an ask that we 1 change it to match the statute language which was "buyer or lessee" and so we've done that. 3 If the board does adopt these new sections 4 5 during the meeting, we anticipate publication in the 6 December 25 -- so Merry Christmas to everyone -- issue of 7 the Texas Register, with a December 30 effective date. 8 So if there are no questions on that, then I 9 would ask the board for approval to publish the adoption 10 in the Texas Register for these new sections. 11 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you for that report, Ms. 12 Thompson. 1.3 Are there any questions for Ms. Thompson from 14 the board? 15 (No response.) 16 MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, Tracey, when do we 17 take comments? Are there any comments on any of these? MS. BEAVER: Thank you, Chairman. 18 19 Beaver, general counsel, for the record. 20 We did have one person registered to comment on 21 this agenda item. Katherine Warren. I saw her logged in 22 as an attendee earlier. I no longer see her as an 2.3 attendee but she was given instructions on how to raise her hand so we could unmute her to get her comment. 24 25

She wanted to comment regarding a license

So at this time if you're ready for her comments, 1 issue. you can ask Ms. Warren to raise her hand, and if she's a 3 call-in user, she'll do so, and I'll let you know if we 4 see her in there. 5 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. But this would be an 6 appropriate time in the progress of the meeting. Correct? 7 MS. BEAVER: Yes, Chairman. 8 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. And I'm sorry, the 9 commenter's name was? 10 MS. BEAVER: Katherine Warren. MR. TREVIÑO: Ms. Warren, if you could raise 11 your hand, we'll let you in if you're on the line. 12 13 MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, 14 for the record. I don't see her logged in and none of the call-in users have raised their hand. I believe she may 15 16 have logged off. 17 I will have staff reach out to her from the 18 Enforcement Division to address any concerns she might 19 have on her licensing issue. And then also, if she does 20 log back in, there's another opportunity to provide 21 comment at the end of the meeting in the public comment 22 portion, so I'll also mention her name again at that 2.3 portion if she does happen to log in. 24 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you, General

25

Counsel.

1	Okay. So is there any other questions for Ms.
2	Thompson?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, the chair would
5	entertain a motion.
6	MS. WASHBURN: So moved.
7	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Washburn?
8	MS. WASHBURN: Can you hear me?
9	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Washburn, you're not
10	coming in. Could you start over again? It seems like
11	there's a delay or something. Could you try again?
12	MS. WASHBURN: How about now, can you hear me
13	now?
14	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, that's better.
15	MS. WASHBURN: I move [audio cuts out].
16	MR. TREVIÑO: Actually, Member Washburn, no,
17	it's not coming in for some reason. Is it just me or do
18	other people have the same issue? It seems like there's a
19	delay of some kind.
20	MS. WASHBURN: Better now?
21	MR. TREVIÑO: Seems like a little better now.
22	Try it.
23	MS. WASHBURN: Okay. I move the board approve
24	the adoption of the refund rules, including amendments to
25	Sections 215.500 and New Section 215.504 and [audio cuts

outl --1 MR. TREVIÑO: General Counsel Beaver, it's not 2 3 coming through. 4 MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, 5 for the record. 6 It doesn't sound like it's going to be clear 7 enough for the court reporter to be able to get this. I'm thinking we could take a quick break and have IT get with 8 9 Member Washburn if you would like to take a five-minute 10 break. It sounds like there's a delay. 11 MR. TREVIÑO: I think it would be a good idea. 12 So members, I think we're going to be recessed 13 for approximately five minutes. 14 Member Gillman, you can go ahead and get some 15 more coffee if you need it, and we'll be back at about 8:55. Thanks. 16 17 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. TREVIÑO: It think we're ready to start 18 19 again and if everybody -- do I have to take roll again or 20 is it just general acknowledgment? 21 MS. BEAVER: Chairman, it's an acknowledgment 22 that we're back on the record as long as we see everybody 2.3 is still on and their video is on. 24 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. If you guys could just

check the log and make sure everybody is on. I don't see

1	everyone here. Let's see, Member Graham, Member Gillman,
2	don't see them.
3	Member Washburn, are you there?
4	MS. WASHBURN: I'm here.
5	MR. TREVIÑO: Okay, great. So are we missing
6	anyone, General Counsel Beaver?
7	MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel,
8	for the record.
9	It looks like we have Member Gillman who
10	doesn't have video on, Member Graham does not have video
11	on, so we might need to wait for just a moment. I haven't
12	heard from either of them.
13	MR. TREVIÑO: Sounds great.
14	Member Graham, Member Gillman, are you present?
15	Member Graham is on.
16	Member Gillman?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. PREWITT: Making a new pot of coffee.
19	MR. TREVIÑO: I think you're probably right.
20	MR. PREWITT: Slow drip.
21	(General laughter.)
22	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
23	(No response.)
24	MR. TREVIÑO: Well, we have a quorum, so
25	General Counsel Beaver, should we just go ahead and get

1	started?
2	MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel,
3	for the record.
4	It's fine to go ahead and move on. The ending
5	part was taking the vote for agenda item number 5.
6	MR. TREVIÑO: Right. I think Member Gillman,
7	we can catch her back up on this issue.
8	So hearing no further discussion on agenda item
9	number 5, the chair would entertain a motion.
10	MS. WASHBURN: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a
11	motion.
12	MR. TREVIÑO: The chair recognizes Member
13	Washburn.
14	MS. WASHBURN: I move that the board approve
15	the adoption of the refund rules, including amendments to
16	Section 215.500 and New Section 215.504, and amendments of
17	Section 218.72, as recommended by staff.
18	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. We have a motion by
19	Member Washburn. Do we have a second?
20	MS. McRAE: I would like to second, Chairman.
21	MR. TREVIÑO: We have a second by Member McRae.
22	Any further discussion?
23	(No response.)
24	MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, call for the vote.
25	Member Bacarisse?

1	MR. BACARISSE: Aye.
2	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
3	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
4	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
5	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
6	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
7	MS. McRAE: Aye.
8	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
9	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
10	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
11	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye. And I'd like to add good
12	job by the staff for looking for ways to give more
13	enforcement ability to the department instead of just
14	having the option to suspend a license or something like
15	that. I mean, I think this is a better way to serve our
16	consumers in the end run.
17	MR. TREVIÑO: Hear, hear. Well said.
18	Member Scott?
19	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
20	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Washburn?
21	MS. WASHBURN: Aye.
22	MR. TREVIÑO: And I, Chairman Treviño, also
23	vote aye. Let the record reflect that this motion carried
24	unanimously.
25	We'll now move on to agenda item number 6.

MS. THOMPSON: Can everybody still hear me? 1 2 MR. TREVIÑO: Yes. And just for the record, 3 Member Gillman has joined us again. 4 Is that correct, Member Gillman? 5 MS. GILLMAN: Correct, Mr. Chairman. 6 MR. TREVIÑO: Good to have you back. 7 All right, Ms. Thompson, please go right ahead. 8 MS. THOMPSON: Again, Corrie Thompson, director 9 of the Enforcement Division. And now I'm continuing on 10 with agenda item 6. This next rule package will begin at page 24 in your board book, and now before you with a 11 recommendation to approve the adoption of New Rule 221.96 12 13 This rule also relates to Senate Bill 604, our 14 Sunset bill from the last legislative session which 15 established a new process under which the board may issue 16 a cease and desist order to prohibit a person who may be 17 engaging in unlicensed salvage activities from violating statute, board rules, court orders, and that would be 18 19 after a notice and opportunity for a hearing through our 20 administrative procedures. 21 There's no financial impact associated with the 22 proposal or the adoption of this rule. The proposal was

proposal or the adoption of this rule. The proposal was published for comment in the *Texas Register* from August 21, 2020 through September 21, 2020, and we received no comments. If the board adopts this new rule during this

2.3

24

1	meeting today, we anticipate publication, again, on
2	December 25, 2020, with an effective date of December 30,
3	2020.
4	So I'm happy to answer any questions you may
5	have, and if there are none, I'd ask that the board has
6	approval to publish the adoption in the Texas Register.
7	MS. GILLMAN: Mr. Chairman, I have a motion.
8	Can you hear me all right, Mr. Chairman?
9	MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel,
10	for the record.
11	It looks the chairman is muted, but Member
12	Gillman, are you able to get your video turned on?
13	MR. TREVIÑO: I apologize. Member Gillman, we
14	hear you fine. I apologize. Member Gillman
15	MS. GILLMAN: I move that the board approve the
16	adoption of the cease and desist rule in Section 221.96,
17	as recommended by staff.
18	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. We have a motion by
19	Member Gillman. Do we have a second?
20	MR. PREWITT: I second it.
21	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt. We have a second
22	by Member Prewitt. Any further discussion?
23	(No response.)
24	MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, I'll call for the
2.5	vote.

1	Member Bacarisse?
2	MR. BACARISSE: Aye.
3	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
4	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
5	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
6	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
7	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
8	MS. McRAE: Aye.
9	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
10	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
11	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
12	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
13	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott?
14	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
15	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Washburn?
16	MS. WASHBURN: Aye.
17	MR. TREVIÑO: And I, Chairman Treviño, also
18	vote aye. Let the record reflect that the motion carries
19	unanimously.
20	Okay. We're going to now move to agenda item
21	number 9, and we will hear from Mr. Timothy Menke and Ms.
22	Sandra Menjivar-Suddeath.
23	MR. MENKE: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Board
24	members. For the record, Tim Menke. I'm the director of
25	the Compliance and Investigations Division. I'm going to

address agenda item 9 which you'll find on page 112 of your briefing books.

2.3

This is an action item, and it relates to New Sections 206.151 which is the internal portion of a risk-based approach to monitoring title and registration fraud, and Section 223.101 which is the external facing portion of the risk-based monitoring and prevention of title and registration fraud. As I mentioned, this is an action item, and we are requesting approval to publish the adopted new sections in the *Texas Register*.

Specifically, New Section 223.101 is necessary under Transportation Code 520.004 that requires the department by rule to establish a risk-based system of monitoring and preventing fraudulent activity related to vehicle registration and titling in order to efficiently allocate resources and personnel. The requirement is included within the Sunset Advisory Commission change in statute recommendation 2.4.

The Sunset recommendation envisioned the department to develop criteria to determine varying risk levels, such as transaction volume and past violations, to strategically allocate resources and personnel. The proposed sections were published for comment in the August 21, 2020 issue of the *Texas Register*; the comment period closed on September 21 of 2020.

The department received two comments specific to 223.101. One came from the Lubbock Tax Assessor-Collector's office and the other comment came from the Tax Assessor-Collectors Association of Texas.

2.3

The comment from the Lubbock County Tax

Assessor-collector recommended including language that

clarifies the qualifying criteria used to determine when

and how additional monitoring will be required, and that

gives the sources and methods. And quite often we're

working with law enforcement in criminal investigations

and we're just not at liberty to divulge that information.

The second comment from the Tax AssessorCollectors Association wanted language to include
procedures to notify county tax assessor-collectors
concerning routine and periodic reviews. Now, we agree
and have added language to that portion of the sentence,
however, it goes on to say "and disclose procedures
concerning possible fraudulent activity." Once again,
when working with law enforcement, we may be prevented
from divulging that type of information.

And Mr. Chairman, if I may just quickly provide a short context for the board as to when we may or may not provide information to a tax assessor-collector?

MR. TREVIÑO: Please go right ahead, Mr. Menke.

MR. MENKE: All right. Thank you, sir.

CID gets law enforcement involved very early on in criminal investigations, and that's to ensure efficiency of operations. If a law enforcement investigators office is not going to proceed, we're spinning our wheels and we're wasting our time in moving forward with a criminal investigation.

2.3

Quite often, most of the time, law enforcement instructs us not to divulge any information regarding a joint criminal investigation. However, having said that, there are some circumstances in which CID can quickly provide information to a tax assessor-collector.

For example, if a law enforcement agency or prosecution entity deems that the activity doesn't meet the threshold, either a prosecution or investigation threshold, they may decline. And in that case, we will immediately notify the tax assessor-collector that it has been declined for criminal prosecution, this is what we found through the course of our investigation, and seek whatever administrative action we deem appropriate.

Another scenario could be, too, employees within a TAC office are under investigation. Employee A may be charged criminally, Employee B may be involved peripherally and may not be charged. Law enforcement may give us the go-ahead to release information regarding Employee B that's not going to be charged so the tax

assessor-collector can move forward with administrative 1 2 action as they deem appropriate. 3 So bottom line is we recognize very clearly not 4 to let these issues fester; if we have the ability, to 5 move quickly to provide information to the tax assessor-6 collector. 7 So I provided that quick context to the board 8 for understanding, and I'm happy to answer any questions 9 before I turn it over to my colleague, Sandra Menjivar-Suddeath. 10 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you, Mr. Menke. 11 12 Was Mr. Menke's explanation -- was everybody 13 able to follow that? Member Gillman? It was more or 14 less, I'd say, the last three sentences perhaps were a 15 little garbled, if you could, Mr. Menke. I got it but it 16 was a little garbled, so for Ms. Gillman, you might just 17 repeat the last three or four sentences there. MR. MENKE: [audio cuts out]. 18 19 MR. TREVIÑO: Well, I think we lost your audio. 20 MR. MENKE: Okay, sorry? 21 MR. TREVIÑO: I think we lost your audio. 22 MR. MENKE: Okay. 2.3 MR. TREVIÑO: General Counsel? 24 MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel,

25

for the record.

It sounds like the audio is still a bit spotty.

I don't think this is going to be sufficient for the court reporter to be able to document what the last few sentences were that were said. Of course, if any board members have questions of Mr. Menke, then maybe some of that additional information can be gathered that way while Mr. Menke works with IT to see if his audio can be resolved.

2.3

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Well -- Member Graham?

MR. GRAHAM: I would just like to ask Member

McRae if this is something she supports. I mean, I was

trying to follow that, certainly not my area, and respect

her opinion on the matter and would like to get her

thoughts.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. All right. General Counsel Beaver, is it appropriate for board members to go ahead and talk through it at this point, or just wait for comments and discussion?

MS. BEAVER: Thank you, Chairman.

At the point after a motion is made is when deliberation occurs, but definitely feel free to ask any questions of Mr. Menke. I will also note that there is a second part to this rule package with our Internal Audit director presenting, so if you'd like for her to present her portion and then Mr. Menke will have time to address

his audio.

2.3

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Member Graham, great question, and we'll hope to hear from Member McRae in the discussion phase of this.

Ms. Sandra Menjivar-Suddeath, are you available?

MS. MENJIVAR-SUDDEATH: Good morning, Board members. Can y'all hear me?

MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, I can. And I would ask board members to mute their lines while Ms. Menjivar-Suddeath is speaking, it might help.

MS. MENJIVAR-SUDDEATH: Good morning. For the record, Sandra Menjivar-Suddeath, Internal Audit director. And as General Counsel Beaver made mention, this rule package does include the internal part of the risk-based monitoring and prevention of title and registration fraud.

Specifically it will be in Section 206.105
where we'll have information about the internal risk-based
monitoring system for potential fraud in the regional
service centers, as well as developing criteria for
developing varying risk levels for internal fraud
monitoring functions throughout the department. It also
establishes rules for training and development from staff
members on fraud, waste, and abuse.

Our part is a little bit different than the 1 2 external part as everything here is internal and 3 eventually, if we need to, we refer to law enforcement 4 through the Compliance and Investigations Division. 5 I'm open to answer any questions on the 6 internal fraud and hopefully Mr. Menke can jump on the 7 line shortly. MR. TREVIÑO: Do any board members have any 8 9 questions for Ms. Menjivar-Suddeath? 10 (No response.) MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. Thank you, Sandra, 11 12 appreciate it. 1.3 MS. GILLMAN: Can I ask a question? 14 MR. TREVIÑO: Certainly. 15 MS. GILLMAN: How many current and pending 16 investigations are there? 17 MS. MENJIVAR-SUDDEATH: For internal? MS. GILLMAN: Sandra, I don't know, it might be 18 19 for Mr. Menke and/or. 20 MS. MENJIVAR-SUDDEATH: Yes. So for internal 21 we currently have about two investigations that we're 22 working on. I can't really go into the details of them, 2.3 obviously, but I can tell you on average we have about two 24 investigations we work each quarter. We do receive a lot

more complaints than that, and actually, I will be

1	covering that in our quality assurance and improvement
2	program results which is item 14.A.
3	MS. GILLMAN: How long does an investigation
4	take? That's probably for Mr. Menke.
5	MR. TREVIÑO: Mr. Menke, are you back with us?
6	MR. MENKE: I'm checking my audio. Can you
7	hear me?
8	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes.
9	MR. MENKE: Okay. Externally facing, the
10	investigation may last anywhere from a couple of months up
11	to a year, depending upon the complexity of the
12	investigation.
13	MR. TREVIÑO: And do you have any
14	investigations that are open currently, Mr. Menke, that
15	you can give us some information on, not necessarily the
16	investigation itself but just numbers?
17	MR. MENKE: Regarding investigations in TAC
18	offices, of our current portfolio 88 ongoing
19	investigations, we may have one at this particular time.
20	MR. TREVIÑO: Ms. Gillman?
21	MS. McRAE: Chairman, can he repeat what he
22	just said, please?
23	MR. TREVIÑO: Mr. Menke, can you repeat that?
24	MR. MENKE: Yes. Of our current portfolio of
25	88 open investigations. I helieve we have one that

1 involves a tax assessor-collector office. 2 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you very much. Member Gillman? 3 MS. GILLMAN: And tell me the financial impact 4 5 of the fraud. I'm quessing if there is fraud with a TAC's 6 office, title fraud, is the title fraud equal to the value 7 of the car or the motor vehicle? MR. MENKE: Thank you for the question. 8 9 often the investigations in TAC offices are more related to public corruption than dollar value. Of the 88 cases 10 11 we have, the portfolio is about \$42 million in total. 12 Quite often what we see in internal investigations -- I 1.3 should say not internal -- investigations of TAC offices, 14 we'll see kickbacks, small dollar amounts, really not 15 large dollar amounts associated with the fraudulent 16 activity. 17 MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman, any further questions? 18 19 MS. GILLMAN: I quess I was a little bit 20 confused. I was thinking title fraud would mean a title was issued for a vehicle that was not supposed to be, and 21 22 that may indicate a larger value. 2.3 MR. TREVIÑO: Mr. Menke or Ms. Menjivar-24 Suddeath, any clarification? 25 MR. MENKE: For the record, Tim Menke.

When we're looking at investigations within a 1 2 TAC office, we're really looking at employees engaging in some type of fraudulent activity. The title fraud in 3 4 general is a much larger number and it usually involves 5 conspiracies with external sources. But as far as tax 6 assessor-collector offices related to employees, that 7 dollar amount tends to be fairly small. 8 MS. GILLMAN: And Mr. Chairman, I also am 9 interested in Member McRae's comments and I value her 10 experience and I'm interested in what she has to say. MR. TREVIÑO: Great. We'll get to her comments 11 in a few moments, hopefully. 12 13 Member McRae, was your question answered by Mr. 14 Menke, the clarification? 15 MS. McRAE: I do have one question. He said 16 there were 88 external cases and one involving a tax assessor-collector's office. Is that correct, Mr. Menke? 17 MR. MENKE: That's correct, ma'am. 18 19 MS. McRAE: So of the other 87 cases, those do 20 not, just to clarify, involve a tax assessor-collector's office. Correct? 21 22 MR. MENKE: The tax assessor-collector 2.3 employees are not subject of the investigation. The tax 24 assessor-collector office may be involved providing

information regarding possible fraudulent activity through

our red flag process, but not specific to any employees 1 within that office. 3 MS. McRAE: Okay. And of the one case that involves a tax assessor-collector, has that tax assessor-4 5 collector been made aware of the potential for fraud in 6 that office? 7 MR. MENKE: Yes, I believe so. I would have to check with my lead investigator on that. Normally we will 8 9 be able to provide that information if law enforcement 10 allows us to do that. 11 MS. McRAE: I have one other question. 12 case involving the tax assessor-collector, was that case 13 self-reported, or that potential fraud self-reported from 14 the tax assessor-collector? Or was it something that the 15 agency detected through its practices of reviewing 16 transactions? Is that something you can say? 17 MR. MENKE: I would like to refer to that offline, if possible, to get the clarification from my 18 19 investigative team and provide the information to you 20 offline, respectfully, if I can. 21 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Member McRae, are you 22 okay with that? I think we should respect Mr. Menke's 2.3 request. 24 MS. McRAE: And I do have comment, I just don't 25 know if now is the appropriate time to make comment.

MR. TREVIÑO: When we get to the discussion 1 2 portion, Member McRae, I think we can talk about -- get 3 your comments. At this point I think we should just keep 4 our comments -- or questions, actually, to staff, and then 5 we'll take it to the comment portion and then we can 6 discuss it ourselves. 7 MS. McRAE: Okay. Thank you. MR. TREVIÑO: Great. 8 9 Any other questions? Member Gillman has got 10 another question. MS. GILLMAN: Can you hear me, Mr. Chairman? 11 12 MR. TREVIÑO: Perfectly. 13 MS. GILLMAN: Mr. Menke, in your 14 investigations, do you find in your experience that 15 sometimes it's beneficial and helpful actually to have the 16 assistance of the leadership of the tax collector's office 17 to assist in catching the bad guys, the potential fraud? And you said yes, if law enforcement allows it to 18 19 communicate, but in your experience, has their office 20 actually assisted in helping resolve issues? 21 Thank you for the question, Member MR. MENKE: 22 Absolutely. We work in partnership with tax Gillman. 2.3 assessor-collectors specifically for [audio cuts out] 24 identifying fraudulent activity.

MR. TREVIÑO: Mr. Menke, you're going to have

to back up again. We're losing you. 1 2 MR. MENKE: I apologize. Absolutely. We work in cooperation with the tax assessor-collectors and that's 3 a valued relationship. Especially through our red flag 4 5 process, they are on the front lines of identifying 6 potential fraud, so very important. And whenever we can 7 release information to them to help weed out potential 8 fraudulent activity, we do, when allowed to by law 9 enforcement. 10 MS. GILLMAN: Thank you, sir. MR. TREVIÑO: Mr. Menke, so is most of the 11 12 fraud self-reported and most of the stuff is stuff that 13 you've worked through the tax assessor-collectors in 14 general? 15 MR. MENKE: In general, yes, sir. 16 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. It's a great partnership, 17 and the TACs of Texas -- which is difficult to say -- are great partners and do a great job for the majority of 18 19 counties in the State of Texas. Is that correct? 20 MR. MENKE: Yes, sir, that's correct. MR. TREVIÑO: Great, great. Any other 21

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Hearing none, the chair will entertain a motion for agenda item 9.

questions for Mr. Menke or Ms. Menjivar-Suddeath?

(No response.)

22

2.3

24

1	MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman?
2	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes.
3	MR. SCOTT: This is Member Scott.
4	MR. TREVIÑO: The chairman recognizes Member
5	Scott.
6	MR. SCOTT: I move that the board approve the
7	adoption of Sections 206.151 and 223.101, as recommended
8	by staff.
9	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Got a motion from Member
10	Scott. Do we have a second?
11	MR. PREWITT: Mr. Chairman, this is Member
12	Prewitt, I'm prepared to make a second but only after
13	having some discussion on this matter.
14	MR. TREVIÑO: Absolutely. We'll get the second
15	and go into discussion before we have any vote, and then
16	we can do anything we like after that discussion phase.
17	MR. PREWITT: Okay. If that's the protocol,
18	then I will second this motion in terms of opening
19	discussion. Thank you.
20	MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you very much, Member
21	Prewitt.
22	And now the chair will open up the floor for
23	discussion. Anybody like to make comment? The chair
24	recognizes Member McRae.
25	MS. McRAE: Thank you, Chairman.

MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, ma'am.

2.3

MS. McRAE: I would just like to say that, first of all, the tax assessor-collectors are statutory agents of DMV and it's a partnership I think that we all value, and because of that partnership and because -- I'm not sure if the board, all members of the board realize the tax assessors, of course, we are elected, we are elected for four-year terms, and we have personal liability. That is something that other elected officials do not hold. And so we are also required to be bonded through the Governor's Office and through our commissioners courts, all 254 counties.

And as Mr. Menke alluded to, we are on the frontlines. We are self-reporting. I don't know the percentage of the fraud. Maybe that's something that either he or Sandra could provide, but we are self-reporting a lot of the fraud, the majority of the fraud through the red flag program.

And for board members that really don't know what that is, the red flag program is a process by which a transaction is accepted and processed through a county tax assessor-collector's office and it's processed and it's questionable. It's questionable to the point that we're asking the experts at DMV to take a closer look at this because we believe this to contain some type of

fraudulent -- maybe it's documentation or there's a number of items.

1.3

2.3

I know that Montgomery County reports quite a few. I have one person, one employee, staff member that is dedicated, she's my quality assurance manager. All she does all day long is review for fraud, both internally with staff through transactions, also externally for transactions that are accepted from dealers and from the public. I'm fortunate that I do have this individual and our commissioners court has enabled me to do this.

A lot of counties may have someone similar, but the vast majority of the counties in Texas are under 40,000 in population. The elected tax assessor-collector in the majority of these counties are on the frontline processing transactions alongside their staff, and they don't have the resources or the funding to have a position like I have. So it makes it a little more difficult in those counties for them to go through some of the reports maybe that some of the larger counties have the time and personnel to do.

But I would just like to say that because of our relationship, our partnership, and the liability, I think it's important that the tax assessor always be notified. I cannot imagine that -- I mean, unless it specifically involves the tax assessor-collector, the

question of potential fraud, I think the tax assessor should always be notified. And I say that not only because do we process motor vehicle transactions, a lot of us process properly tax. I bring in over \$2-1/2 billion a year to this office and I'm personally liable, have a personal liability for every penny of that.

2.3

And so we do other things such as property tax, some do voter registration, we process boats and motors. So if there's a potential for fraud with motor vehicles, that same potential could exist in other areas within the office.

And I understand that is not a DMV issue but it is a tax assessor-collector concern, and I personally want to be the first person -- I want to be able to self-report, hopefully, that I'm watching closely and I can self-report any potential fraud. And I have reached out to DMV personnel before and had them look at a specific employee.

But not all counties have that same option that some of the larger counties do, so again, I think the tax assessors should be involved. I think they should know, because our personal liability is on the line throughout the duration of any investigation that's going on. And as we see so much with red flag, we are never brought back into the loop.

1 We continue to send in red flag transactions 2 for repeat offenders and we don't know the status of these 3 cases. And it's frustrating because you don't see the fruits of your labor from being on the frontlines and 4 5 trying to identify the fraud, which really equates to loss 6 of revenue for the State of Texas and also for the 7 counties. MR. TREVIÑO: Great. 8 9 MS. McRAE: I would like to see us take a 10 little more time, defer this rule, and I don't know if we 11 could have some sort of small committee, but work

I don't think the tax assessors would 100 percent ever agree or DMV would 100 percent ever agree, but I think there's a way that we can come to a compromise to where we can come up with a better rule than what we currently have. I would like to see it come back in February.

There's no perfect solution.

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

together.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great.

Mr. Menke, would you like to add anything or clarify anything to what Ms. McRae said?

MR. MENKE: Thank you, Chairman. For the record, Tim Menke.

Just to bolster what Member McRae has said, when we essentially started the red flag program, we've

received almost 6,000 red flag referrals from tax assessor-collectors. The viability rate, which -- meaning that there is substance to those referrals, is 94 percent, which means that we provide title fraud training to the TAC offices. That training is working. The TAC offices are identifying vital issues, not only fraud but maybe weaknesses.

1.3

2.3

The process for red flag is when we see the referral and within a week we will determine if it's viable. If it's not viable and there is no substance, we will send it back to the TAC office. If it is viable, we will then forward it on for administrative action elsewhere or for criminal referral.

Very, very few end up in criminal referral, but they may be referred to VTR to support a training issue within a TAC office. But just to reinforce what Member McRae has said, 94 percent of referrals coming in from TAC offices have substance to them, so they are reporting correctly.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. I think I speak for all the board how much we respect and thank our Texas tax assessor-collectors for the hard work they do and the great job that they do for our state.

Any comments from the board? Member Ramirez.

MR. RAMIREZ: Thank you.

And thank you, Member McRae, for the context and clarification on the red flag program and everything that you guys deal with. I know our tax assessor up here in Tarrant County, Wendy Burgess, she's fantastic and does something similar to make sure that fraud doesn't run rampant around here.

I had a couple of questions. So the reason why we're making this rule in the first place is because the Sunset Commission decided that the department would make this rule to develop this risk-based monitoring system for the department, not for tax assessor-collectors. Is that correct, Mr. Menke?

MR. TREVIÑO: Mr. Menke?

MR. MENKE: Yes, sir.

1.3

2.3

MR. RAMIREZ: Okay. So I guess my question, too -- and I want to piggyback on Member McRae -- if we're getting quite a few red flag notifications and 94 percent of them are valid but yet we're not providing feedback to the tax assessor-collectors as to the progress of those investigations [audio cuts out] sort of a disincentive. I think we'd all agree that, you know, it's in the best interest of the public that they feel motivated to continue that operation. We want them to feel like they're partners in that piece.

So what I'd like to see -- and maybe it's a

separate conversation from this motion and this issue -but perhaps a training program for tax assessor-collectors
or even a feedback loop at least where at the conclusion
of a red flag case that the tax assessor is notified of
what occurred once it's over with.

1.3

2.3

Because I understand in the middle of an internal investigation or external investigation dealing with law enforcement, there are some things that you cannot disclose. And definitely, I don't think that it would be appropriate by rule for this department to include something that might cause an issue later on with law enforcement with the Internal Investigations

Division. If they say you cannot release that information but yet our rule says that you must, I can see where that might cause a little consternation.

So I'm supportive of this rule in how it is, but I would also like to see a little addition to where the tax assessors could get information on how the investigations are concluded and give them a little feedback, and maybe some training even as to how they can better bolster their internal fraud monitoring.

MR. TREVIÑO: Mr. Menke, can you comment on how TACs are notified on red flag issues?

MR. MENKE: Yes. I followed up with my field service representative manager. He said within ten days

after reviewing a red flag referral, a letter goes out to the tax assessor-collector regarding the administrative action that is taken. Once it takes an administrative action, it is referred elsewhere and is not on CID's plate anymore. If it remains criminal, then we maintain control of that referral.

1.3

2.3

So an example of referring elsewhere, we may refer something that is a training issue, or it wasn't fraudulent but maybe [audio cuts out] within a tax assessor-collector's office, we may refer that to VTR for training of persons, to follow up with the tax assessor-collector to resolve the vulnerability. Once again, that 94 percent viability doesn't mean they're criminal, it means something valid is there.

There is a vulnerability that doesn't warrant an investigation but warrants administrative follow-up. I hope that helps clarify it.

MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Mr. Menke.

Did all the board members hear Mr. Menke's statement? Great. Okay.

Member Prewitt, did you have a question?

MR. PREWITT: Yes, I did, Mr. Chairman. I

guess the first question was, in prior conversations my

understanding is that we've had roughly twelve Texas

counties that have had investigations of a tax assessor in

1 the past, say, 10-15 years. Is that an accurate statement? I'll ask that of Whitney and Mr. Menke. 3 MS. BREWSTER: May I jump in? Whitney 4 Brewster, executive director. 5 I would say since the inception of the agency I 6 would say that there has been roughly that number of the 7 tax assessor-collectors themselves. Yes, sir. MR. PREWITT: And that's been over how many 8 9 years that we've been established now? 10 MS. BREWSTER: That would be over the course of the last ten years. 11 12 Ten years. And then does the MR. PREWITT: 1.3 Sunset bill -- and of course, as a board we're very 14 motivated to comply with the requirements of the 15 legislature and want to do that. But does the Sunset bill 16 require us to actually follow what the form of this rule 17 is in the sense of non-notification of tax assessorcollectors? Is that something required by the Sunset 18 19 legislation? 20 MS. BREWSTER: I will defer the specific language to Mr. Menke, but I believe the language is broad 21 22 to include reference to a risk-based monitoring program. 2.3 It does not get prescriptive on what that looks like. 24 MR. PREWITT: My contention is that those

twelve counties over ten years represents 4.72 percent of

all the Texas counties, so there's 95.28 percent of Texas counties where we have not had any issues, with 254 counties. So I guess my concern is we may be throwing the baby out with the bathwater.

1.3

2.3

And I guess the other concern is that the partnership with Texas DMV and the county tax assessor-collectors — and totally understanding what's been said by other board members regarding complying with law enforcement and where we want to be an aid, not an impediment to law enforcement. But I would echo Ms.

McRae's sentiments as far as, is there a way we can come to an agreement or compromise where you don't have total non-notification of the county tax assessor-collectors who have taken an oath and are personally liable?

You know, if there was bad behavior on their part, they're going to go to jail. Right? But I do think there may be a way to have a form of compromise, and I just wanted to add that as an observation. Thank you.

MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Member Prewitt.

Member McRae.

MS. McRAE: Yes. And I would like to clarify with Mr. Menke's comment about the red flag. The red flag program is where tax assessors and our staff are self-reporting transactions. Now, some of those could involve internal employees, perhaps if there was a large volume of

red flags by a specific employee, but I think red flag is a little different issue than internal fraud investigations.

1.3

2.3

I'm talking more about if there is a specific employee or employees that are being looked at by the agency, I think that is when the tax assessors need to be brought in. Because we're self-reporting on the red flag, we're not self-reporting necessarily on internal issues, they may not know about, the tax assessor.

So when there is a potential for a fraud investigation for a tax assessor's office is when I think the tax assessor should be brought in and made aware, unless the tax assessor themselves are the point of the investigation. And I just want to clarify that red flag and the fraud investigations, they're a little different in the scope.

And I understand not being able to give, as

Sandra mentioned, not being able to give specific

information. But I just think it's a little vague -- the

standards are vague and are very subjective, and I would

like to see us refine them a little more and compromise,

spend a little more time on this.

Because again, we're talking about reputations and careers. Because if I'm not able to be bonded, if my bond is pulled and I'm not bondable again, no matter that

I'm elected, I cannot hold this office again. I must be 1 bondable. MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you, Member McRae. 3 Member Gillman. The chair recognizes Member 4 5 Gillman. You're on mute, we can't hear you. 6 MS. GILLMAN: Thank you. I wanted to state 7 that I also agree with Member McRae's assessment of the situation and believe that the tax assessor-collectors 8 9 should be involved, and we should -- the Department of Motor Vehicles should reveal the existence of a fraud 10 investigation unless the tax collector themselves are 11 12 subject. So I just echo exactly what Member McRae has 1.3 said. 14 Do we need a modified motion, Mr. Chairman, or 15 can we do as Member McRae suggested and table this for 16 another time? 17 MR. TREVIÑO: We lost you there, Member Gillman, but I think at this point we should ask Member 18 Scott if he would like to withdraw his motion or Member 19 20 Prewitt withdraw his second and this motion to die, if that's the case. 21 22 Member Scott, would you like to withdraw your 2.3 motion? 24 MR. SCOTT: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I withdraw my 25 motion.

1	MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. Member Scott has withdrawn
2	his motion. So do we have another motion, or would we
3	like to send this back to staff for further clarification
4	and review?
5	MR. BACARISSE: Mr. Chairman?
6	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes.
7	MR. BACARISSE: Vice Chairman Bacarisse here.
8	I was a formerly elected constitutional officer
9	in the state and was on the line personally for conduct
10	that happened in my office while I was district clerk, so
11	I understand exactly what Member McRae is saying.
12	I'd like to make a motion that we table agenda
13	item number 9 for further review and discussion, and then
14	come back at the next board meeting for an approval as
15	amended through this discussion period.
16	MR. TREVIÑO: We have a motion from Member
17	Bacarisse. Do we have a second?
18	MR. PREWITT: I second it, Mr. Chairman.
19	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt. We've got a
20	second from Member Prewitt.
21	Clearly the tax assessor-collectors are vital
22	to the operations of the state, they do a fantastic job.
23	Member McRae's office runs like clockwork, but we have to
24	also be aware that law enforcement has protocols that they

use for running investigations, so hopefully we can craft

25

1	some kind	of solution going forward.
2		Any further discussion on Member Bacarisse's
3	motion?	
4		(No response.)
5		MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, I'd call for the
6	vote.	
7		Member Bacarisse?
8		MR. BACARISSE: Aye.
9		MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
10		MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
11		MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
12		MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
13		MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
14		MS. McRAE: Aye.
15		MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
16		MR. PREWITT: Aye.
17		MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
18		MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
19		MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott?
20		MR. SCOTT: Aye.
21		MR. TREVIÑO: Member Washburn?
22		MS. WASHBURN: Aye.
23		MR. TREVIÑO: And I, Chairman Treviño, also
24	vote aye.	Motion carries unanimously.
25		General Counsel Beaver, do you have a good

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 sense of what we need to do there? 2 MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, for the record. 3 I just wanted to mention that the 4 Yes. 5 proposal date for this particular rule was August 21 so 6 the next board meeting would not require us to re-propose 7 if it were postponed. And so we will be addressing this item at the February board meeting, pending the chairman's 8 9 approval to put it on the agenda. Thank you. 10 MR. TREVIÑO: Certainly, absolutely. Okay. Thank you very much, General Counsel Beaver. 11 12 We will now move on to agenda item number 10. 1.3 I'll turn it over to General Counsel Beaver. 14 MS. BEAVER: Thank you, Chairman, members, Ms. 15 Brewster. Good morning. For the record, I'm Tracey 16 Beaver, general counsel. 17 Today I'm presenting rules to implement Senate Bill 604, our Sunset bill, and also the Sunset Advisory 18 19 Commission recommendations that require the board to 20 establish rules for conduct and handling of contested cases coming before the board. 21 22 MR. TREVIÑO: General Counsel Beaver, I think 2.3 before we head into this -- because we do have six commenters. Is that correct? 24 25

MS. BEAVER: That is correct, Chairman.

So

1 after I present this item for the board, then we'll go ahead and go into public comment period before any 3 motions. MR. TREVIÑO: This agenda item may take a 4 5 little bit longer than normal, so I think we'll take a 6 five-minute break, let everybody get freshened up, and 7 we'll come back to it. 9:46, we'll come back at 9:50. 8 MS. BEAVER: Thank you, Chairman. 9 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. TREVIÑO: We're back in session, a full 10 complement of the board is here, and we'll now hear agenda 11 12 item number 10, and we'll turn it over to General Counsel 13 Tracey Beaver. 14 Ms. Beaver. 15 MS. BEAVER: Thank you, Chairman, members, Ms. 16 Brewster. Good morning. Again for the record, I'm Tracey 17 Beaver, general counsel. Today I'm presenting rules to implement Senate 18 19 Bill 604 and Sunset Advisory Commission recommendations. 20 I'm getting a little bit of feedback, so I have 21 to pause. 22 MR. TREVIÑO: If board members could mute their 23 mics, it might help General Counsel Beaver with her 24 presentation. Thank you. 25 MS. BEAVER: Thank you, Chairman.

Today I'm presenting rules to implement Senate Bill 604 and Sunset Advisory Commission recommendations that require the board to establish rules for conduct and handling of contested cases coming before the board for final decision. The rules also respond in part to a petition for rulemaking. The adoption is listed on your agenda as item 10 and on page 124 of your board books.

1.3

2.3

An informal draft of these proposed amendments and new sections were posted to the department's website for public comment back on April 3rd of 2020. The department received six written informal comments at that time and made changes to the rule text based on the informal comments.

And on August 6th of 2020 the board approved publishing the rule proposal in the *Texas Register* for public comment. The comment period closed September 21, 2020, and the department did receive eight written comments and made changes to the proposed rule text before you based on the comments.

Senate Bill 604, in part, amended Occupations

Code Section 2301.709 by adding Subsection (g) that

requires the board to establish standards and rules and

policies for reviewing contested cases. Additionally,

in the final Sunset Advisory Commission staff report, the

Commission emphasized that the board should not

effectively re-litigate contested cases by considering new information or testimony presented in a board meeting that was not presented in the formal SOAH proceeding.

1.3

2.3

extensive oral argument during the board meeting for each party that would then turn into hours of discussion of information that was not presented at SOAH. SOAH proceedings, the State Office of Administrative Hearings proceedings provide the parties to a contested case an opportunity to make arguments and produce evidence in accordance with standard processes under the APA.

And I'll pause here. It does look like Member Gillman may have had some technology issues. Member Gillman's video went out. So Chairman, if you'd permit me, we can see if her audio and video still works.

MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman, are you still with us? Member Gillman?

(No response.)

MR. TREVIÑO: I think we have lost Member Gillman. There's a caution, she's at low bandwidth with her local computer conditions. We've got some issues with Member Gillman.

Would you like to attempt to contact her -would someone attempt to contact her to make sure that
she's going to be able to join us?

MS. BEAVER: Chairman, General Counsel, for the 1 2 record. 3 I'll see if ITSD can reach out to her, or our 4 technology services department, to see if they can help 5 resolve the technology issue. 6 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. I would like to have 7 Member Gillman and have a full complement of the board to discuss this issue. 8 9 (Pause.) 10 MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman, can you hear us? It looks like she's trying to log on again. 11 MS. BEAVER: Chairman, General Counsel Tracey 12 13 Beaver, for the record. 14 I just got confirmation that our technology 15 department is reaching out to her. 16 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you. 17 If everybody could just kind of stay on the line here for a minute. I'm reluctant to call another 18 19 break, for obvious reasons. 20 MR. GRAHAM: And Mr. Chairman, we've been battling -- no one can explain but two or three times a 21 22 day we just have some little micro millisecond glitch in 2.3 our internet that knocks our routers out, and we've been 24 battling it about three or four months and no one can fix 25

it. No one can find it or explain it.

So we're going to fiber to eliminate the 1 2 routers, hopefully it will do it. But you know, 3 technology and internet, it's not perfect, is it? MR. TREVIÑO: No, it's not. And we've been 4 5 pretty lucky for our board meetings, most of them have 6 gone very well. 7 We'd like to thank staff for putting all this 8 together, and you know, it's the challenges of working 9 online and the new reality that we're facing. But we'd 10 like to thank staff because it has gone very, very well the last couple of meetings we've had, very efficient, and 11 staff has done a good job of getting all the materials to 12 1.3 us. 14 MR. RAMIREZ: Chairman Treviño? 15 MR. TREVIÑO: Yes. 16 MR. RAMIREZ: Has there been any indication on 17 when we might resume in-person meetings, or is that still 18 all up in the air as well? 19 MR. TREVIÑO: I don't know if there's anything 20 new. 21 Whitney, do you have any comments on that? 22 MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, 2.3 for the record. 24 I'd just also like to mention that we don't

have any of these items on our agenda for the meeting

25

today so I would hesitate for us to go into items that the 1 public wasn't given notice that we would be discussing today either. 3 MR. TREVIÑO: General Counsel Beaver, thank you 4 5 very much for pointing that out. Is a procedural question 6 like this subject to those rules? I mean, this is more a 7 process question, I would think, than an agenda item, don't you? 8 9 MS. BEAVER: At this time all I can say is that 10 we don't have that information about when we're going to go back to in-person, but yeah, if we wanted to get into 11 detailed discussion about the conduct of a hearing. 12 1.3 It looks like we have Member Gillman back. 14 MR. TREVIÑO: So we will use caution in 15 answering that question. And we have Member Gillman back. 16 MS. GILLMAN: So all electricity at my home 17 just went out, but I have two capable college students 18 that made my telephone a hotspot. MR. TREVIÑO: Wow. That's great. 19 20 They came to my rescue and I MS. GILLMAN: don't know how it works. 21 22 MR. TREVIÑO: Well, good to have you back, and 2.3 I think it's those Christmas lights you've got on the 24 front lawn, I think you need to cut back a little bit.

(General laughter.)

25

MS. GILLMAN: I apologize.

2.3

MR. TREVIÑO: Well done, good to have you back. We have a full complement of the board and so I think we ought to press on.

So General Counsel Beaver, if you could just pick up from roughly where you left off.

MS. BEAVER: Absolutely. Thank you, Chairman.

And I was discussing the Sunset Advisory

Commission staff report. I'll just mention that the

Commission emphasized that SOAH proceedings, the State

Office of Administrative Hearings proceedings provide

parties to a contested case an opportunity to make

argument and produce evidence in accordance with the

standard processes under the Government Code requirements,

which is also called the Administrative Procedures Act,

the APA.

And the board must base their final decisions on evidence from the State Office of Administrative

Hearings proceedings and may not consider new issues or evidence. The amendments and new sections before you today align with the Sunset Commission recommendations and Senate Bill 604.

The rules provide each party a maximum of 20 minutes for their initial presentation and a maximum of five minutes for rebuttal. The board has discretion

whether to allow parties to present their case, and these rules preserve the chairman's discretion by not requiring a set timeframe for all contested case presentations, because contested cases do vary in complexity and the parties to a contested case do not always need the full 20 minutes to present their case.

2.3

The rules specify clear expectations limiting arguments and discussion to evidence in the record of the contested case hearing held at SOAH. The rules also address the requirement that board members may use their industry expertise to help them understand a case and make effective decisions, however, board members are not advocates for any particular industry.

And the rules authorize parties to file presentation aids for cases that are governed by Occupations Code, Chapter 2301. Those presentation aids enable each party to clearly and concisely present their case to the board regardless of what documents they decide to include in their presentation aids.

And the department did modify the language to increase the page limit from four pages to eight pages for the initial presentation aid for a total of ten pages, including those two pages for rebuttal presentation aid.

The department also amended the rules to authorize the board chairman to increase the page limits to preserve

that discretion for presentation aids for each party. 1 And the department is requesting your approval 3 to adopt the amendments under the sections presented. And 4 members, this concludes my remarks. I'm happy to answer 5 any questions the board has on this proposed rule -- or 6 adopted rule. Thank you. MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you very much, General 7 Counsel Beaver, and thank you for all your hard work on 8 9 putting this together. 10 Any questions for Ms. Beaver from the board? (No response.) 11 12 MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. Hearing none, General 13 Counsel Beaver, do we have any comments? 14 MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, 15 for the record. 16 Yes, we have six commenters who have registered 17 to comment on these rules today, and they have all been given instructions on how to raise their hand so that they 18 can be unmuted by our technology department. 19 20 introduce them each one by one. I'll also mention that we do have staff on the line to give the one-minute warning 21 22 when time is running and then another warning at the 2.3 three-minute point. 24 And the first person that we have to comment is

25

David Alaniz.

MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. So we'll now hear from Mr. 1 2 Alaniz. Mr. Alaniz, please raise your hand using the instructions provided, we discussed that. State your name 3 for the record and if you are representing anyone. 4 5 You will have three minutes and you will be 6 muted by the host after speaking for three minutes, and 7 you'll get a warning at one minute. I just want to review 8 that so we're good to go. 9 Mr. Alaniz, are you ready? 10 MS. BEAVER: This is Tracey Beaver, general counsel, for the record. 11 12 Mr. Alaniz has his hand raised and he appears 13 to be ready to comment. We're just waiting for our IT 14 department to unmute him. Thank you. 15 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. So whenever you're ready 16 to go. 17 MR. ALANIZ: Can you hear me now? MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, Mr. Alaniz, we hear you very 18 19 well. Welcome. 20 MR. ALANIZ: Good morning. My name is Martin Alaniz from Coffey and Alaniz, and I'm here today to speak 21 22 on agenda item 10 because we represent motor vehicle 2.3 franchised dealers before the TxDMV. 24 I respectfully request the board reject 25 proposed rule Section 215.60, presentation aids, as it's

currently written. I support allowing the parties to use presentation aids in its oral argument; I object to having those aids limited to only ten pages.

2.3

I believe that these presentation aids are necessary to assist the board in understanding the complexities of these cases. The rule should also allow for the submission of proposed orders and proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law for the board to consider.

Three points I would like to make about this proposed rule. First under Rule 215.59(a), the parties are given 30-days notice of the board meeting, but under Rule 215.59(b), a party to a contested case must notify the board within 14 days of that board meeting if they wish to present before the board.

But in contrast, under .60(a) you have to submit your presentation aids 21 days before the board meeting, so that effectively means that you are forced to notify the board that you want to present 21 days, not 14 days before the board meeting. This inconsistency prejudices the parties.

Secondly, under 215.60(e), the board chairman is authorized to increase the page limits for each party. While I support the intent of this provision, the rule also states that the board shall notify the parties

under 215.59(a) which we now know is 30 days before the board meeting. That means that a party cannot request for good cause to increase that page limitation since they will not even be aware that is even going to be before the board when the chairman is supposed to let the parties know that that page limitation has been increased.

2.3

It isn't clear how you ask for that increase and when that asking needs to happen. There's just no mechanism for making that request happen in the rules.

STAFF: You have one minute remaining.

MR. ALANIZ: And finally, under Texas

Government Code Section 2001.062(a)(2), it allows an
adversely affected party in a contested case to present
briefs to the officials who are to render the final
decision. So I suggest adding a rule to clarify that
briefs to the board are allowed under the APA and define
the time frame for filing such briefs and responses in
order to comply with the requirements of the APA.

Now, I believe that these rules are an overreaction and overcorrection to the Sunset Commission report. Allowing the parties to argue before the decision-maker in the case is not re-litigating the matter, especially considering the time limitations already imposed on the party.

The board is the final decision-maker and not a

rubber stamp for SOAH. These rules should have been 1 2 promulgated by setting up a rules committee that included 3 board representatives, staff and the stakeholders affected 4 in order to get real input about the real world 5 consequences of such rules. 6 So I support the use of presentation aids to 7 the board and I find that allowance to be necessary and I ask that the board reject the Rule 215.60. 8 9 STAFF: Your three minutes are up. 10 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you very much, Mr. Alaniz, and thank you for keeping your comments to three minutes. 11 12 Tracey, do we have another presenter? 13 MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, 14 for the record. 15 We have another presenter, David Downey. He's 16 also been given instructions on how to raise his hand, 17 when you're ready, Chairman. MR. TREVIÑO: Mr. Downey, are you ready to go? 18 19 MR. DOWNEY: Mr. Chairman, can you hear me? 20 MR. TREVIÑO: We can hear you fine. You have 21 three minutes, and they'll give you a one-minute warning. 22 Mr. Downey, welcome. 2.3 MR. DOWNEY: Thank you. 24 Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, my name is 25 Dan Downey from Austin. I'm here representing myself,

although I do represent auto dealers in contested cases.

And I wish to comment in opposition to the proposed

limitation on pages in presentation aids.

1.3

2.3

My comments fall into three categories: first, the limitation is unrealistic; second, it could have unintended consequences; and third, it's unnecessary.

First, it's unrealistic. Look, I'm not fan of verbose briefing, believe me. As a district judge in Houston, I saw a lot of it.

But I can't imagine limiting to eight pages or any other amount for that matter, a post-trial motion for judgment, notwithstanding the verdict, for example, seeking the reversal of a jury verdict in a case that may have taken a week or more to try, with several witnesses and documentary evidence. And even though I was there presiding over the trial, I would not feel comfortable limiting the arguments of the lawyers.

In essence, the board is asked to do the same thing, to review the decision of an ALJ. Likewise, I would think the board would be very uncomfortable in reversing a case that may have involved several days of testimony and documentary evidence addressing very complex issues on the strength of just eight pages. The choice then becomes to make that very uncomfortable, and in my view, unwise decision, or simply remove that uncomfortable

to provide any meaningful review. Secondly, it could have unintended 3 4 consequences. I think unduly limiting this important 5 review function might send the wrong message to others. 6 It could suggest that the board does not take this process 7 very seriously, that it considers it tedious. 8 STAFF: You have one minute remaining. 9 MR. DOWNEY: I don't subscribe to that view, 10 but others might. Lastly, I think it's unnecessary. Those of us 11 12 who practice in this area are a small group, a specialized 13 group, and we see each other frequently. I have found 14 that my colleagues know what to do and what not to do in 15 front of this board, and the one thing we know for sure is 16 that an effective presentation must be an efficient one. 17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That concludes my 18 remarks. 19 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you very much, Mr. Downey, 20 thank you for those comments. 21 Tracey, do we have another presenter? 22 MS. BEAVER: Yes, Chairman. We have four more. 2.3 The next one we have is Karen Phillips who was 24 given instructions on how to raise her hand. So when 25 you're ready, Chairman, you can have her also give

belt and affirm the ALJ, in which case the process ceases

1

comment.

1.3

2.3

MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. Ms. Phillips, whenever you're ready.

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes. Can you hear me?

MR. TREVIÑO: I can hear you fine, and welcome.

MS. PHILLIPS: Well, thank you very much. Good morning, Chairman Treviño, Board members, and Director Brewster. Karen Phillips, general counsel for TADA.

TADA and its members and the members' counsel are mindful and respectful of the board's time. It is very seldom that a contested case is ever brought before the board, however, in those very rare instances, an understanding of the issues is paramount for the board to discharge its responsibilities.

Although the page limitation is expanded from the initial proposal from six pages to now a total of ten, that limitation can have unintended effect of keeping essential information from the board to such an extent that the board is not able to perform its required duties as were set out in Government Code 2001.058(b), in which the board is charged with making certain that an ALJ's PFD properly applies or interprets law, rules, policies or prior administrative decisions.

The board is also charged with determining if a prior administrative decision on which the ALJ may have

relied is incorrect or should be changed. And finally, technical errors in the ALJ's findings of fact are the responsibility to be corrected by the board.

2.3

In order to fulfill those obligations and change a finding of fact or conclusion or law or vacate or modify a proposed order, the necessary information to discharge these duties must be available to the board.

While ten pages may be adequate in some controversies, limiting counsel on information to ten pages total is unlikely to give parties the necessary ability to fully brief the board in a complex case.

In addition, a presentation limitation of ten pages should not include a party's proposed final order with findings of fact or conclusions of law, as a proposed final order may assist the board in complying with its Government Code obligations.

STAFF: You have one minute remaining.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you.

At the board's August 6 board meeting, Member Gillman made a motion to remove the prohibition on accepting proposed orders, proposals for decision and new findings of fact or conclusions of law from a party to the contested case so that the board retains discretion on whether to consider a party's submission. The motion also included authorizing the staff to make the conforming

1 changes to remove the prohibition. The motion passed by unanimous vote. 3 In today's proposal it does not include a 4 proposed final order, PFD, and findings and conclusions to 5 be provided to the board except, perhaps, under that ten-6 page limitation. TADA respectfully requests the board to 7 amend the proposed rule by deleting the ten-page limitation and to allow proposed final orders, a PFD, new 8 9 findings of fact and conclusions of law to be provided to 10 the board without a page penalty. 11 That concludes my remarks. Thank you. 12 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Ms. Phillips. 13 General Counsel Beaver, can board members ask 14 presenters questions? 15 MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, for the record. 16 17 Yes, that would be fine if board members would like to ask commenters questions. 18 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. I failed to mention that 19 20 at the beginning. I just want to make sure that board members have that opportunity, so please, I won't ask 21 22 after each presenter, but if any board member would like

Does anybody have any questions of Ms.

to ask for clarification, please jump in. Okay?

2.3

24

25

Phillips?

MR. GRAHAM: Just a clarification for general counsel, if I could, Chairman.

MR. TREVIÑO: Certainly, Member Graham.

MR. GRAHAM: Thank you.

2.3

Just to make sure I'm clear, what is currently being proposed was modified to include a proposal for final order. Correct?

MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, for the record.

The motion at the last board meeting was to remove the prohibition from parties presenting proposed final orders in their presentation aids, so we did remove that prohibition. The rule specifically stated at the proposal stage as presented to the board that parties were prevented from submitting proposed final orders to the board.

And so we did remove that language from the rule as presented for adoption today, so if parties did want to provide any proposed findings of fact or conclusions of law, the rule currently does not prevent that. However, we did not increase the page limit or presentation aids to also include them to additionally be able to present a full proposed final decision, and those proposed final decisions are also filed with the State Office of Administrative Hearings during the exceptions

1 period. 2 I hope that answers your question. MR. GRAHAM: Yeah. So I think it sounds like, 3 in the case of Ms. Phillips's issue, we do have the 4 5 proposed final order allowed and in place but the 6 restrictions on the pages is the problem. 7 Okay. Just trying to make sure I keep this 8 straight, so thank you. 9 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Member Graham, for 10 your question. 11 Any other questions? If not, we'll head on to the next presenter, General Counsel Beaver. 12 13 MS. BEAVER: Thank you. The next person 14 registered to comment is Mr. Bruce Bennett. 15 MR. TREVIÑO: Mr. Bennett, are you there? 16 MR. BENNETT: I am, Mr. Chairman. 17 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. We can hear you fine, and welcome. 18 19 MR. BENNETT: Okay. I'm Bruce Bennett. 20 attorney from Austin representing myself. 21 Proposed Rule 215.60, subsection (d), as you 22 know, limits the parties to ten pages of written 2.3 presentation aids. Subsection (d) violates Section 24 2001.062(a) of the Administrative Procedures Act, which

allows parties in a contested case to use [audio cuts out]

25

to a final decision-maker. The [audio cuts out] of Subsection (d) page limits to briefs is based on a misunderstanding of the contested case decision-making process.

2.3

The ALJ's job is to hear the evidence and make proposed findings and legal conclusions based on your interpretation of Chapter 2301 and your policies and decisions, which are binding on the ALJ. Your job is to make the final decision based on correct legal interpretations and on facts that are supported by the evidence.

To do your job you must give the parties the opportunity to present briefs to you as the APA requires. You can't do your job if you impose an arbitrary page limit on briefing that restricts the information you need to analyze the PFD, especially in a complex case.

An ALJ's proposed findings and conclusions can be based on a misinterpretation of the law or policy.

ALJs can make findings that have on evidentiary support.

You're empowered to correct the ALJ's legal errors.

You're authorized to reject or change findings or conclusions based on the ALJ's misinterpretation or misapplication of the law, a disregard of your prior decisions and policies, or if no evidence supports the

proposed findings, you can remand the case for further analysis if the ALJ fails to consider evidence that should have been considered.

2.3

Correcting the ALJ's legal errors is not re-litigating the case. The legislature expects you to exercise your own judgment in deciding whether the ALJ made legal errors.

STAFF: You have one minute remaining.

MR. BENNETT: Nothing in the recent Hyundai opinion or in the Sunset report prevents you from correcting legal errors.

Cases concerning the distribution and sale of motor vehicles, such as dealer termination cases or major enforcement cases, vitally affect the public interests and our state's economy. Analyzing an ALJ's legal errors in complex highly technical cases with extensive records, length PFDs and hundreds of findings and explaining the consequences of those errors will take more than ten pages of presentational aids.

No other state agency has page limits except the PUC, and they give you 50 pages in an ordinary case and 100 pages in a big case. Staff says in their response to comments that briefs should be submitted to the ALJ before the PFD is even issued, that is before the ALJ commits any error that will need to be briefed.

1	The APA allows the parties to present briefs to
2	the final decision-maker, that's you, not the ALJs. The
3	briefs are for you to help you analyze and correct legal
4	errors the ALJ has committed in the PFD. You should
5	exclude briefs from
6	STAFF: Three minutes are up.
7	MR. BENNETT: thank you.
8	MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you very much for the
9	comments, Mr. Bennett, and for keeping it to three
10	minutes.
11	Next presenter, Ms. Beaver, or no questions?
12	Anybody have any questions for our presenter?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. TREVIÑO: If not, Ms. Beaver, next
15	presenter.
16	MS. BEAVER: Sure. I'll try to say his last
17	name correctly. It's Leon Komkov.
18	MR. TREVIÑO: Mr. Komkov.
19	MR. KOMKOV: Yes. You said it perfectly. Can
20	everyone hear me?
21	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, Mr. Komkov, you're coming in
22	great, and welcome.
23	MR. KOMKOV: All right. Well, thank you, Mr.
24	Chairman. Thank you, members of the Board, thank you,
25	staff. My name is Leon Komkov, and I represent myself

today. I am an attorney representing retail automobile dealers. I will try not to be cumulative, but I do urge the board not to adopt the proposed rule 215.60 as currently drafted.

1.3

2.3

Folks have noted that at the August 6 board meeting, Member Gillman moved that any restriction or limitation on the board accepting proposed final orders, proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and exceptions to PFDs should be deleted from the rule. That motion was unanimously approved by the board.

I contend that the revised version that is in front of you today of this rule effectively overrules

Member Gillman's motion that was adopted by you. It reincorporates what the board asked to be deleted by not expressly excluding proposed orders, proposed findings of fact and occlusions of law and exceptions from the page restrictions on presentational aids.

I'm somewhat perturbed by the term

"presentational aids" used in this rule, because it seems
to wash over the fact that the APA does permit for
briefing, not just a PowerPoint, not just some exhibits
that might assist the argument before the board; it
permits briefing.

I ask in the strongest terms that the parties' proposed final orders, the parties' exceptions to the PFD,

and the parties' proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law expressly be excluded from any page limitations.

Given the severe page restrictions as it stands right now, this rule effectively in a complicated case is going to put parties to the decision: Will you file a brief or will you give the board a proposed final order?

2.3

In a case that lasted two or three weeks, some of these termination or some of these protest cases, the proposed final order itself is going to eat up the tenpage limit. I think if the board looked at its most recent decisions in complicated, complex cases where you rejected portions of a PFD, you'll find that double-spaced your orders alone are eight to ten pages.

STAFF: You have one minute remaining.

MR. KOMKOV: Respectfully, I believe the staff's comments to 215.60 do reflect a misunderstanding of the trial process.

The staff notes these restrictions shouldn't be problematic because the parties have ample opportunity to submit briefs, exceptions, and responses to SOAH prior to the issuance of the final PFD. That's a quote.

Exceptions and responses to briefs can't usefully be made to SOAH until after the PFD is issued, because no one knows what the error is. Once the PFD is issued, though, the record is closed at SOAH and the

matter is referred back to this board for determination, 1 and this is the point at which briefing needs to happen. 3 Submitting a final order to SOAH is a moot act. 4 SOAH doesn't enter the final order, this board does. 5 not a presentational aid, it is the fundamental crux of 6 this board's function. 7 Thank you all. 8 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you for your comments, Mr. 9 Komkov. 10 Can we have our next presenter? MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, 11 for the record. 12 13 The last person we have registered to comment 14 is Jarod Stewart. 15 MR. TREVIÑO: Mr. Stewart, are you ready to go? 16 MR. STEWART: Yes. Can you hear me? MR. TREVIÑO: 17 We can hear you fine, Mr. Stewart 18 MR. STEWART: Thank you, Board members. 19 name is Jarod Stewart. I'm an attorney in Houston, and I 20 have represented retail auto dealers before the board on several occasions. 21 22 I echo the comments of everyone that has spoken 2.3 I disagree strongly with the 215.60 as it so far. 24 pertains to the definition of presentational aids and also 25 with respect to the page limit.

Including the broad definition of presentational aids, which would include, as written, briefs, proposed orders, proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law is wrong because a presentation aid is something like a visual aid or a chart or something that would help the board understand these complex issues as they are distilled down for the board in a meeting, but a brief and an order are not a presentation aid and they should be excluded from that.

I think that would solve a lot of the problems with the page limit. If ten pages was truly the presentation aids, that sounds a little more reasonable, although I still think ten pages is probably too few for some cases, but the briefs and the proposed orders are going to take a lot more pages in order for the board to understand these issues.

Now, the concern from staff is that we're going to overwhelm the board with too much information, but I think, as Mr. Bennett pointed out and Mr. Alaniz also pointed out, the APA requires and gives the parties the right to submit briefs to the board, and so that right should not be taken away by defining presentation aids to include the briefs and the proposed orders, so that should be taken out of there.

And with respect to the page limits, the

concern about re-litigating cases is not -- this is like taking a sledgehammer to a mosquito. The Sunset Commission was concerned about having new testimony and arguments that went on for hours and addressing that concern I think is addressed by the time limitations that have been proposed, about 20 minutes, which can be adjusted by the chairman, but saying that the parties are limited in a case --

2.3

STAFF: You have one minute remaining.

MR. STEWART: -- that can involve livelihoods, hundreds of employees, millions of dollars and extensive records down to ten pages total for briefs, orders and everything, it's not going to fix the problem; it's going to make the job impossible to do or will effectively make the board a rubber stamp, because the board will not be able to consider any of the issues and potential errors committed by ALJs, which sometimes do not have expertise in this area and may not understand the board's definitions or policies or rules.

And so for that reason, I think the best solution here would be to exclude briefs, proposed orders and findings of fact and conclusions of law from the definition of presentational aids, and adopt a rule that would specifically allow the presentation of those materials to the board, and if reasonable page limits were

proposed, that would be fine. 1 2 I know of no lawyer that practices in this 3 area, whether representing manufacturers, distributors, or 4 dealers, that is in agreement with these page limits or 5 limiting the parties. 6 STAFF: Your three minutes are up. 7 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you, Mr. Stewart, 8 for your comments. 9 General Counsel Beaver, are we finished with 10 public comments at this point? MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, 11 for the record. 12 13 I'm just confirming very quickly that we have 14 no other commenters. 15 Yes, those are all the commenters for this 16 agenda item. Thank you. MR. TREVIÑO: Great. So before we take it up 17 for discussion, General Counsel Beaver, would you like to 18 19 clarify anything that was in the comments from the 20 presenters or any of the questions that were raised or issues? 21 22 MS. BEAVER: Sure. I'm happy to answer 23 questions from the board members as well. I'd just like 24 to state that the rules do provide that the department 25 will give parties 30 days' notice before the case is going to be heard before the board, and parties will have 21 days to present their presentation aids for the board. That way each party can see what the presentation aids are going to look like for the parties, and then they have 14 days to let us know if they want to present oral argument.

2.3

I would like to just mention, also, this is the same process we've been following for about a year and a half now with protest cases and contested cases before the board, so the rules really are just implementing our current processes.

If parties would like to present a proposed final order, they are able to do so during what they call the exceptions period at the State Office of Administrative Hearings. The judges who heard the case will submit their proposed final order and then the parties are able to submit exceptions, including what they think the judge's order should have looked like.

So as we saw in our last case, parties submitted those proposed final orders to SOAH after seeing the PFD to the SOAH judge for them to consider whether they wanted to make changes.

So I just wanted to mention also that that is after seeing the PFD, so the board has that information available to see. There's lots of briefings and evidence heard at SOAH, and the board is able to see all of that

information when making their decision in any protest or 1 contested case. 3 That's all that I have, but I'm happy to answer 4 questions. 5 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you, General 6 Counsel Beaver. 7 Does the board have any questions for General Counsel Beaver? Member Graham. 8 9 MR. GRAHAM: Did you call me, Chairman? 10 MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham, I sure did. MR. GRAHAM: Okay. It seems to me that I 11 12 recollect that in a recent case that we did not -- there 13 was a period of time where we were not given the proposed 14 final orders. Is that not correct, Counsel? 15 MS. BEAVER: That is correct. These rules 16 reflect the current process and that the chairman has 17 discretion on what items the board would like to consider for these cases, and we have limited the amount of 18 19 information that was presented after the SOAH case to the 20 board, and so we did presentation aids the last case but the additional information outside of the SOAH record has 21 22 historically not been presented to the board. 2.3 If the parties did not present those proposed 24 final orders during the exceptions period at SOAH after

25

they saw the PFD.

We did have one case -- that I would just like to mention; I can't talk too much about because it is in current litigation -- in which the proposed final order was presented at the open meeting but was not presented prior to the case being heard by the board because it was information that was not presented in the SOAH record.

1.3

2.3

MR. GRAHAM: And so as written today, I mean, if attorneys from one side or the other want to submit to this board a proposed final order, would they not be allowed to do so?

MS. BEAVER: They would need to do so during the exceptions period at SOAH after seeing the SOAH judge's proposed final decision, and then it would be part of the administrative record that the board would see.

If the parties wanted to present a proposed final order or suggested findings of fact or conclusions of law, the rule does not prohibit that in their presentation aids, but it would have to be in the presentation aids.

Part of the reason for that is Government Code
Section 2001.141 requires that if a rule of the department
does specifically provide that y'all can have those
proposed final orders, the board will have to rule on
every one of those findings of fact and conclusions of law
presented.

So we did a balancing act, allowing the board to be able to see what arguments the parties are going to make at the contested case before the board so you can see the presentation aids, know a little bit about what the oral argument is.

2.3

But really the board is charged with looking at the evidence in the record that was presented at SOAH, so this is just streamlining that process and balancing the information that the board is able to see from the parties before hearing the contested case without hearing new evidence or additional documents.

If parties wanted to submit more than the presentation aid page limit or font limit, then that would be prohibited from these rules. At this time they're only allowed to present the presentation aids, but we did reserve the chairman's discretion to allow additional documents to be presented and additional time. So it's the same process we have now; it's the chairman's discretion.

MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham, does that answer your question? And we have adjusted the time and the presentation aids in complicated cases.

MR. GRAHAM: I just want to make sure that I understand this, because I kind of heard a couple of different things there that I'm not really clear, that if

representatives want to give us a proposed final order, you're saying if they haven't done it within the period at the conclusion of the SOAH case, if it's not done in that certain time period, then they are not -- they are restricted from presenting that to us?

MS. BEAVER: They would have to ask us to be able to present that, and it would be up to the chairman's discretion if he wanted to allow that to be presented, because the rules as currently drafted provide that parties will be able to present presentation aids with a certain page limit, so if they wanted to submit more than just presentation aids, like a proposed final order, I'm assuming would be more than the page limit and font size, then we would have to ask the chairman in his discretion to allow that in certain cases.

The rule currently does not prohibit or specifically state they're allowed to, and it's not specifically excluded from the page limit of the presentation aids.

MR. GRAHAM: So I'll just conclude because I know there's lots of other questions. In the four years that I've been involved in this process, I think it's really important to be able to have the opportunity to hear each side's case as to what they consider not being correctly interpreted by SOAH in some way, form, or

fashion.

2.3

You know, it's very helpful to me as a board member, and I just don't want to see that, you know, restricted or prohibited. So that's my thoughts, but I'll let someone else jump in here. Thank you.

MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Member Graham.

Member Ramirez, I think you had your hand up

next.

MR. RAMIREZ: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

Currently is there any objective criteria or

standard for when a chairman might grant an exception to

the page limit or presentation aid material?

MS. BEAVER: Currently we've had a standard process, and it's very important to be consistent. There are cases that are more complex.

We've had a case that went to district court and was remanded, so I can just mention that there might be some cases that have extreme circumstances that we won't likely see again, but those might be circumstances where the chairman needs to use that discretion, but at this point the rule does not specify any certain criteria in which that discretion would be used.

The goal of these rules is to provide uniformity, predictability, and consistency for parties presenting cases before the board so they know that they

have an even playing field and what to expect, so it would be expected that the rules are consistent implementation of only allowing presentation aids unless there's some extraordinary circumstances.

2.3

MR. RAMIREZ: I guess my trouble there is that if we provide equal or consistent rulings on the cases, then we ought to have some criteria as for when an exception would be granted.

It seems sort of subjective to say some case is more complicated than another. I think that some of the parties to these cases might argue that all of their cases are complicated and that we need more information, and it appears that that's the main sticking point here is the page limits on these presentation aids.

So if we are to put some limit on it by rule, I would just respectfully request that we include some criterion as for when the parties could request a chairman's exception and we don't leave it up to the subjective nature of things.

MR. TREVIÑO: And Member Ramirez, I'll comment on my process in doing this. Generally what I've done -- and I can only speak for my term as chair -- is to follow precedent, which means that if there is a standard that's been used in other cases, I try to adhere to that as much as I possibly can.

But there have been exceptions with very, very 1 complicated cases that have come with a lot of materials 2 3 that I have, with the proper request from counsel, made 4 some exceptions in those cases. But you're right, there 5 isn't a hard and fast rule, and it's a balancing act. 6 I've tried to stick to precedent as much as I possibly 7 could. 8 MR. RAMIREZ: Thank you for the clarification, 9 Mr. Chairman. 10 MR. TREVIÑO: Certainly. Member Gillman. 11 12 MS. GILLMAN: Thank you, sir. Can you hear me 13 now? 14 MR. TREVIÑO: I can hear you fine. 15 MS. GILLMAN: Okay. I made the motion at the 16 August 6 meeting, and I don't have my exact wording in 17 front of me, but I thought -- and that's probably a 18 problem; I'd probably request Tracey Beaver read it out to 19 me, but I thought that we removed prohibitions from both 20 sides submitted a proposed final order. And I, too, don't want to hold back information 21 22 from the board. I really feel like the people that have 2.3 presented today are experts in their field, and from my 24 notes from today's comments, the summary is they'd really

like not to have a page penalty for briefs, orders, and

25

presentation aids in order for us to properly analyze
these cases, and I appreciate the people that came to tell
us that.

And secondly, I don't have a list of the dates
of submission, but if there are inconsistencies and even
how they submit, whether they have to do it in writing or

not, you know, can they do it by email and things like

that.

2.3

So I'd like to just say that the unintended consequence of my motion on August 6 was I wasn't clear or included enough language to allow -- to clarify some of these details.

So I'd like to maybe -- unless I need to modify the original motion from August 6 -- I can do that, modify the motion to change a few words and amend the motion -- we can do that, or we can get with general counsel to really properly draft something that is a little bit more specific, a little bit more inclusive of some of these comments today. Which do you think would be better?

MR. TREVIÑO: General Counsel Beaver, would you like to call a recess and talk with Member Gillman about that?

MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, for the record.

At this time we can't amend a motion that was

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 previously presented and voted on at a prior board meeting for proposal. But the good news is that we're at the adoption stage of this rule, so we can absolutely make changes, and those items are definitely things that we got public comment on, so we can have a new motion for today that would address any concerns that you have and then have deliberation on that once we get to that stage if you'd like to draft a motion on that.

1.3

2.3

I'd just like to mention that we did remove the prohibition from parties sending in proposed final orders or findings of fact and conclusions of law, so we can go ahead and do a new motion for you if you'd like to confer with me.

MS. GILLMAN: Because I really think that I'm just not capable of getting in all this language. Should we do it now or should we hold the drafting of a new motion for the next board meeting? I don't know.

MR. TREVIÑO: So are there any other comments from any other board members? Member Bacarisse.

MR. BACARISSE: Mr. Chairman, listening to all of the discussion, as well as the presentations, some of those litigators I know personally and respect, I think we as a board need to be careful about what we wish for, because if you want to open up all of the possible submissions that attorneys can come up with, just

remember, you have a full-time job, okay, and you're going 1 2 to get piles and piles and piles of paper that you don't 3 have time to review and you may not understand. 4 So I'm just cautioning you, as the former 5 district clerk of Harris County, I have seen what 6 attorneys can file in cases, and I'm not an attorney and I 7 just want to caution us about what we're opening the door 8 to consider doing. Okay? 9 I think we should table this motion and 10 perhaps, you know, bring in -- as one of the commenters 11 said, perhaps form a committee with one or two of these 12 litigators that have appeared before our board on numerous 13 occasions to assist us in drafting a proper order. 14 let's be careful about how much information we're going to 15 allow to come towards us, because we don't need to 16 re-litigate these cases. Thank you. 17 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Member Bacarisse. Any comments from any other board members? 18 19 MS. WASHBURN: I agree with Member Bacarisse. 20 I think maybe ten pages isn't enough, but I don't want 200 21 pages either, so I think maybe we do need to step back and 22 look and see what really makes sense. 2.3 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you, Member

Member McRae.

24

25

Washburn.

MS. McRAE: Yes. And I just kind of want to 1 echo what Members Bacarisse and Washburn said. Maybe the 2 3 ten pages is not enough, but as one of the commenters 4 stated, in some of the smaller cases they have a limit of 5 50, some of the larger cases a limit of 100 pages. 6 While we don't want to re-litigate a case, we 7 want to certainly as a board be fair and make sure that 8 we're getting the necessary information to make the right 9 decisions on these cases. 10 So I would like to see us, I think, go back to 11 the drawing board and come up with something that would be 12 somewhat of a compromise between what we have proposed and 13 something less than -- like Member Washburn said, 200 14 pages is a lot. 15 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you very much, 16 Member McRae. 17 Any other comments from board members? MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman, this is Brett. 18 19 MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, Member Graham. 20 MR. GRAHAM: I think I would add having my 21 first board meeting, I drove to Austin the night before 22 and picked up my box of materials to review and read 2.3 before the next morning, and I appreciate Member 24 Bacarisse's point.

But I do believe it's a really good idea -- one

25

of the speakers had made the comment that in some cases the proposed final order will chew up the ten pages. So you know, we talk about the differences in these cases, some are not that complex, some are very complex, and that document right there, I think if we were to allow for them to submit that separate from the summary document, that would help possibly with those more complex cases that are going to have larger proposed final orders to work that and be acceptable to everyone. So that would be my thoughts.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you, Member Graham.

1.3

2.3

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you, Member Graham So any other comments from board members?

(No response.)

MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, General Counsel, you kind of hear the sense from the board. Would you like to huddle with Member Gillman and see what her proposal is, do we vote on this current one, do we table? What do you think the best way to address the concerns of the board at this stage are?

MS. BEAVER: Sure. Anyone can make a motion to go ahead and table this, similar to what we did for agenda item number 9, if you'd like to table this to the February board meeting, and then you would get a second and a vote on that.

I'd just also like to mention that the proposed

1	date for this was August 21, so we would be within that
2	six-month automatic withdrawal period hearing this in
3	February, so this wouldn't need to be re-proposed; we
4	could adopt in February.
5	But I'm happy to confer if anybody would like
6	any different type of motion other than to table it.
7	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Member Prewitt.
8	MR. PREWITT: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a
9	motion to table this matter until our February board
10	meeting.
11	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. We have a motion from
12	Member Prewitt. Do we have a second?
13	MR. RAMIREZ: I'll second.
14	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Bacarisse. Any further
15	discussion?
16	MR. BACARISSE: Member Ramirez was the second.
17	MR. TREVIÑO: I apologize.
18	MR. BACARISSE: That's all right.
19	MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Member Ramirez, for
20	your quick second. I apologize for missing it.
21	Any further comment or discussion?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. The only thing that I
24	would ask is, General Counsel Beaver, to just fully brief
25	each of the board members on how this process will work

1	and bring everyone up to speed on how we address this
2	going forward. Okay?
3	MS. BEAVER: Thank you. Appreciate that,
4	Chairman.
5	MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, General Counsel.
6	So we've got a motion and a second. I'm going
7	to call for the vote here.
8	Member Bacarisse?
9	MR. BACARISSE: Aye.
10	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
11	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
12	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
13	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
14	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
15	MS. McRAE: Aye.
16	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
17	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
18	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
19	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
20	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Washburn?
21	MS. WASHBURN: You might have called me. Aye.
22	I didn't hear it.
23	MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you.
24	And I, Chairman Treviño, also vote aye. Let
25	the record reflect that the motion carries unanimously,

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	and we will table this with further discussion with
2	general counsel on how to address some of the concerns
3	raised by the board to balance the board's ability to get
4	information but also to make it easier for the board to
5	make some decisions.
6	MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman?
7	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes.
8	MR. SCOTT: Member Scott. I also vote aye.
9	MR. TREVIÑO: I'm sorry. Did I not call you?
10	MR. SCOTT: No, sir, you did not.
11	MR. TREVIÑO: I apologize, Member Scott. Thank
12	you for being observant, and thank you for jumping in
13	there and being part of the decision here. I apologize.
14	MR. SCOTT: No problem.
15	MR. TREVIÑO: That's why we have Member Scott
16	here, to keep us honest. Thank you, Member Scott, always
17	do a great job.
18	MS. GILLMAN: Mr. Chairman?
19	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes.
20	MS. GILLMAN: So to conclude, I'm going to
21	offer to get with Tracey Beaver, general counsel, to
22	modify some of the language and with also some limits on
23	what can be submitted so that we don't all go crazy with
24	hundreds of pages. Agreed.

MR. TREVIÑO: Please.

25

MS. GILLMAN: So do you think we need to have a committee or do you think that we can work on this internally?

1.3

2.3

MR. TREVIÑO: I think we will work on it internally, but what I would like General Counsel Beaver to do is brief each of the board members individually on the pros and cons of what we're talking about and to circle some suggestions about how to do that.

And definitely you will be part of the process, but I would like her to counsel with each of the individual board members so they fully appreciate what receiving a PFD or any kind of different information that we receive, what are the ramifications of that and sort of the background of why we've done things the way we have done going along, and then she can counsel with you about drafting -- or any board member about drafting a possible other motion.

But all board members have equal responsibility in drafting a motion if they so feel that it's necessary, but encourage you to come up with one with general counsel if you feel strongly about it.

MS. GILLMAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Sounds like a great plan. Thank you, sir.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you. Thank you for your input and your service to the State of Texas.

1	MS. BEAVER: Chairman, if I may?
2	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes.
3	MS. BEAVER: I'd just like to mention also that
4	if I do confer with any board members, it would just be
5	for legal advice we're not going to do any kind of
6	walking quorum but also that you do have discretion to
7	create committees and those don't need board votes.
8	So we will offline on how to do this
9	appropriately, to address concerns under the Open Meetings
10	Act. Thank you.
11	MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you very much, General
12	Counsel Beaver. And I just want to state for the record
13	that that is the reason that I'd like for general counsel
14	to confer with each of the board members so we don't
15	violate any statute, rule or law, and that we not only
16	follow the letter of the law but the spirit of the law in
17	drafting a good decision for the State of Texas. Fair
18	enough? Great. Thank you.
19	So that was agenda item 10. Right?
20	MS. BEAVER: That's correct. The next item is
21	agenda item 11 with Tim Thompson.
22	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Generally 11 follows 10,
23	so we'll now hear from Tim Thompson.
24	Mr. Thompson, are you ready to present?
25	MR. THOMPSON: Yes, sir, I am.

MR. TREVIÑO: Well, let 'er rip.

1.3

2.3

MR. THOMPSON: So good morning, Mr. Chairman, Board members. For the record, my name is Tim Thompson. I'm the deputy director of the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division, and I am proudly serving as the interim director.

The item before you can be found on page 193 of your board books. It's an action item for your consideration to move forward with final adoption of an amendment to our Texas Administrative Code, Title 43, Chapter 217, Section 217.3, which pertains to motor vehicle titles.

Members, this item was posted to the October 16 issue of the *Texas Register* and closed on November 16.

The department did not receive any comments on this proposal.

So for some background for y'all, during the last legislative session the 86th Legislature passed House Bill 3171 that repealed a section of Transportation Code which required the Department of Public Safety to maintain a list of certified moped vehicles for the State of Texas.

We, in our rule, referred to that list as guidance for determining the proper titling and registration of mopeds. DPS had informed us that they were not going to continue to maintain that list of mopeds

1	for the State of Texas since they were no longer
2	statutorily required to do so.
3	Therefore, the amendment before you is seeking
4	to remove the reference to that list that's contained in
5	our rule, and going forward in the future with making
6	title and registration determinations for these type of
7	vehicles moving forward, we will rely simply upon
8	Transportation Code Section 541.201 that provides the
9	actual statutory definition of a moped.
10	That's all I have for you, sir.
11	MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.
12	Ms. Gillman, your mic is on, just as a heads
13	up.
14	MS. GILLMAN: Thank you.
15	MR. TREVIÑO: No worries.
16	Thank you, Mr. Thompson. Does that conclude
17	your presentation?
18	MR. THOMPSON: Yes, sir, it does.
19	MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you.
20	Does any board member have any questions for
21	Mr. Thompson on this issue?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, the chair would
24	entertain a motion.
25	MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, Member Scott.
2	MR. SCOTT: Member Scott. I move that the
3	board approve the adoption of amendments to Section 217.3
4	relating to titling of mopeds, as recommended by staff.
5	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. We've got a motion from
6	Member Scott. Do we have a second?
7	MR. GRAHAM: I'll second.
8	MS. GILLMAN: Second.
9	MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. Who was it? I have a hard
10	time with all the entities here. Who was the second?
11	MS. GILLMAN: I'll second.
12	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
13	MR. GRAHAM: I will withdraw my second and let
14	Member Gillman have the second.
15	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
16	MS. GILLMAN: I'll second.
17	MR. TREVIÑO: Everybody is fighting for this
18	one. Mopeds are a hot issue, so thank you guys for being
19	on the record to defend the moped users here.
20	All right. So any further discussion?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, I would call for
23	the vote.
24	Member Bacarisse?
25	MR. BACARISSE: Aye.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
2	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
3	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
4	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
5	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
6	MS. McRAE: Aye.
7	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
8	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
9	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
10	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
11	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott?
12	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
13	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Washburn?
14	MS. WASHBURN: Aye.
15	MR. TREVIÑO: And I, Chairman Treviño, also
16	vote aye. Let the record reflect that the motion carries
17	unanimously.
18	With that, we have a scheduled break. Is
19	everybody okay, should we just keep plowing ahead, or
20	would everybody like to take a break? Member Graham,
21	Member Prewitt, good? All right. Let's keep going.
22	We're going to go to agenda item number 12, and
23	we'll turn it over to Mr. Tim Thompson.
24	MR. THOMPSON: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. Again,
25	Tim Thompson, deputy director of the Vehicle Titles and

Registration Division, proudly serving as the director. 1 2 The action item before you is a request from 3 the department's specialty plates vendor, My Plates, to 4 produce a new specialty plate, and for your reference, 5 this item can be found on page 199 of your board books. 6 It should have a brief description and a photo of the 7 proposed plate. 8 My Plates is requesting approval for the design 9 and issuance of the new license plate in coordination with 10 Penn State University and the Penn State Alumni Association of Greater Houston. 11 12 The department posted this proposed design for 13 public comment back in October, and we had 911 people that 14 liked the design and 91 that stated they did not like the 15 design. 16 With that, the agency requests your consideration for approval of this plate. 17 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Mr. Thompson. 18 19 Are there any questions for Mr. Thompson? 20 (No response.) 21 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Mr. Thompson. 22 think I speak for the board that we sent our regards the 2.3 Penn State Alumni Association of Greater Houston. 24 So no comments, so the chair would entertain a 25 motion on this issue.

1	MR. BACARISSE: Mr. Chairman, since I'm in
2	Houston and I represent the Nittany Lions down here, I
3	move that the board accept the Penn State plate design, as
4	presented by staff.
5	MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. We have an enthusiastic
6	motion from Member Bacarisse. Do we have a second?
7	MS. McRAE: I will second that motion.
8	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae. We have a second
9	from Member McRae.
10	Any further discussion on this issue?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. TREVIÑO: If not, I would call for the
13	vote.
14	Member Bacarisse?
15	MR. BACARISSE: Aye.
16	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
17	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
18	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
19	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
20	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
21	MS. McRAE: Aye.
22	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
23	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
24	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
25	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott? 1 2 MR. SCOTT: Aye. MR. TREVIÑO: Member Washburn? 3 4 MS. WASHBURN: Aye. 5 MR. TREVIÑO: And I, Chairman Treviño, also 6 vote aye. Let the record reflect that the vote is 7 unanimous and this motion carries. 8 Okay. We will now move on to agenda item 9 number 13. We have a presentation from the Legislative 10 and Public Affairs Committee, an update, and I will turn it over to our able chair, Paul Scott, and he will be 11 assisted by Caroline Love. 12 13 Chairman Scott, are you ready to go? 14 MR. SCOTT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 Yesterday, the Legislative and Public Affairs 16 Committee received an update from TxDMV's Government and 17 Strategic Communications director, Caroline Love, on 18 several topics. She will be joining us in a moment to 19 provide an update on legislative activities and discuss a 20 few potential recommendations to provide member of the 21 legislation for the upcoming session. 22 The first item is the alternatively fueled 2.3 vehicle study, but before she begins, I want to provide 24 the board with a summary of her update on the

alternatively fueled vehicle study that was submitted to

25

the Texas Legislature on December 1.

2.3

Last session the legislature passed Senate Bill 604, the TxDMV continuing Sunset legislation, which included a requirement for the department to submit a study on opportunities to assess a fee on alternatively fueled vehicles, such as electric vehicles that do not pay motor fuels taxes for their use on Texas roads.

This study was completed with information and data from several other agencies, including the Texas

Department of Transportation, the Texas Department of

Public Safety, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality,

and the Public Utility Commission.

TxDMV staff coordinated with these agencies over the past several months to obtain their research and the information outlined in the bill and compile a report and sent it to the legislature by the December 1, 2020 submission deadline.

With these alternatively fueled vehicles not contributing into the motor fuels tax for road use, as most vehicles do, the studies looked at several alternatives levying a fee in Texas on those vehicles based on electricity usage, vehicle registration and vehicle safety. The study recommends a registration-based alternative, as it would provide a consistent source of revenue and align closely with the existing collection

mission.

2.3

The collection of an additional fee for alternatively fueled vehicles at the time of registration and registration renewal is a similar approach used by most states that have such fees and closely aligns with the current approach to vehicle registration in Texas.

Based upon the analysis provided by the contributing agencies, an estimated amount of \$100 a year for an electric vehicle and a somewhat lower amount for hybrid electric vehicles would replace the average amount of state motor fuel tax equivalent to what a conventional vehicle pays.

A copy of the report outlining this analysis and research is provided in your board materials today and also available on the TxDMV website.

Now we'll hear from Caroline Love.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ TREVIÑO: Thank you, Chairman Scott, for that report.

Caroline, are you ready to go?

MS. LOVE: Yes, sir. Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members. And thank you, Chairman Scott, for

that opening and summary of that study.

So what I would like to cover for you all now is a quick briefing on item 13.B, which is just a reminder of some of the key dates that are coming up during this

next legislative session.

1.3

2.3

The bill filing began on November 9, so we are just over a month into bills being filed, and then the legislature, of course, will begin on January 12 of 2021. The last day for the legislature to file legislation is March 12, and then they are scheduled to adjourn sine die on May 31. And so those will be some fairly busy months for all of us, honestly, as we go through this.

I do want to mention quickly that there has been a slight increase of the number of bills filed since the bill filing date began. On the very first day, 745 bills were filed, as compared to 566 on the first day of filing in 2018, so there has been a little bit of an increase, but state leadership is saying that even though there has been this increase, the number of bills that will be working their way through the system will likely be fewer due to the pandemic and the need for limited meetings and in-person activities at the Capitol. So we'll continue to watch that.

The final determinations of what committee processes will look like and how the department will participate will occur once the legislature comes in and adopts those rules, but the Capitol State Preservation Board has been taking a lot of efforts to improve the air quality and flow through the Capitol, and they've

installed plexiglass in hearing rooms, things to help 1 protect those who need to be there. 3 So more to come on that in the future, but 4 unless there's any questions about that portion, I'm happy 5 to move on to agenda item 13.C. 6 MR. TREVIÑO: Are there any questions from the 7 board for Ms. Love? 8 (No response.) 9 MR. TREVIÑO: Caroline, don't hear any, so just 10 keep moving. 11 MS. LOVE: Okay. Thank you. 12 So as we have done in the last board meeting, 13 the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles Board is tasked 14 with considering opportunities to improve operations at 15 the department by recommending statutory changes to the 16 Texas Legislature under Transportation Code 1001.025. 17 And there were some adoptions that occurred of recommended legislation at the October board meeting. 18 19 have five additional recommendations for your 20 consideration today that were also approved by the Legislative and Public Affairs Committee yesterday, and so 21 22 I will go ahead and run through those, and at the end of 2.3 that summary I'm happy to answer any questions about the 24 items.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

These certain items allowed us additional

25

opportunities to go back to our stakeholder groups and get more feedback and kind of work through some of the concepts that I'll talk through in a moment.

1.3

2.3

So the first item relates to opportunities to stop temporary tag abuse. To help curtail egregious abuse of the temp tag system, this recommendation would allow DMV to suspend access to the system while we continue to investigate those who may be abusing their access.

Currently the statute states that the department cannot deny access to a licensed dealer, so staff must complete the administrative process up to the point of license revocation before eTAG access can be turned off in cases of misuse.

So this recommended change would give DMV the ability to suspend a license holder's access to the system while the case is in process in instances where we have evidence of fraudulent misuse and where continued access would pose a risk to the public.

These cases are becoming more and more prevalent as law enforcement agencies are reporting they're seeing a high volume of fraudulent temp tags that are issued by licensed dealers from their eTAG accounts, and we have also heard from law enforcement agencies in other states regarding temp tag misuse from Texas.

Additionally, the abuse of temp tags has been

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 linked to other criminal activities, such as human trafficking and drug trafficking, so we really want to try to do everything we can to help curb this abuse.

1.3

2.3

Our staff would self-initiate these temp tag
cases by comparing the number of tags issued by a dealer
to the number of vehicles sold by a dealer. Dealers who
have issued thousands of tags without evidence of sales to
support tag issuance would be subject to this suspension
allowed by this recommendation.

Dealers subject to database suspension would be issued a notice stating that access would be suspended within a certain time period prior to the suspension. The notice would provide the dealer with an opportunity to contact the department with additional information that would stop the suspension and would also provide information on how the dealer could request an expedited hearing within 20 calendar days at the State Office of Administrative Hearings.

This new expedited hearing gives SOAH the ability to decide only the question of whether DMV can keep the suspension in place while we continue to pursue the ultimate sanction for our license holder.

The suspension would only affect the license holder's access to the eTAG system, and the license holder would still be able to obtain temporary tags through other

means such as through a tax assessor-collector office.

1.3

2.3

In our review of this concept with stakeholders, we also discussed opportunities to address temp tag abuse through other measures that could be considered during the licensing process, for example, and we are committed to continuing to work with our stakeholders through these conversations and on other efforts that might be available.

The next recommended item relates to the display of temporary permits. Currently the temp permits referenced in statute are not consistent with the display of the permit. There are a couple that say that permits should be displayed in the rear windshield while most say that they should be placed in the rear license plate area, and the rear license plate area is most beneficial for law enforcement. This recommendation would clarify that all of them should be displayed in the rear license plate area.

And then item number 3: As we have discussed previously, the Consumer Protection Advisory Committee met several times this year, and they had some recommendations that were very helpful in trying to consider additional opportunities to protect customers.

This particular recommendation in number 3 relates to the increase of a surety bond. This will help

DMV address issues when a dealer goes out of business, including the failure of a dealer to pay of trade in a vehicle, submit a title transfer document, remit collected fees to the county, and interaction with bankruptcy proceedings. The statute currently waives certain fees if a customer can show that they were paid, and it requires independent dealers to maintain a \$25,000 surety bond.

1.3

2.3

This recommendation would increase the amount of that bond to \$50,000 and also allows dealers to obtain insurance in lieu of the bond. This recommendation also extends this bond requirement to franchised dealers, which is a protection which does not currently exist for customers of franchised dealerships.

However, I do want to note that the extension of this increased bond requirement to franchised dealers was not a CPAC recommendation but was recommended by staff in an effort to help us find these additional protections for customers and also for consistency across license types.

The recommendation also requires this information about the surety bond and insurance be posted in a dealer's office next to the dealer's license, and this information would also be maintained on the department's website for customer reference.

In our research on this recommendation, this

increased bond is consistent with dealer bond requirements that we've seen in other states, and additionally, we continue to visit with other states on this sort of concept and researching other opportunities such as a scalable bond that might reflect more of a dealership's sales for larger dealerships versus smaller dealerships. So this is just a start of a process that we're going to continue researching moving forward.

1.3

2.3

The fourth item before you relates to another Consumer Protection Advisory Committee recommendation to help protect the motor vehicle records the department maintains.

This recommendation states that an individual or entity who was once eligible to receive this information and is no longer eligible to receive this information by law, that individual or entity may no longer retain that personal information.

And the last recommendation relates to several updates to the Texas Title Act. As we continue to work with our stakeholders on streamlining processes, we identified a couple of areas in the Title Act that we would like to recommend for changing.

The first part of this recommendation relates to out-of-state titles that may come into Texas to receive a rebuilt title without having to be deemed a salvage

title first.

1.3

2.3

Current statute requires issuance of a salvage title before a rebuilt Texas title can be obtained, and salvage dealers must apply for a salvage title when scrapping the vehicle when it's being brought for certain auctions.

This would allow a vehicle with an out-of-state title comparable to a salvage title to be issued a rebuilt title, and it would help eliminate some of the paperwork that we go through currently.

The next component of this recommendation would ensure that there is not a statutory difference between a printed title and an electronic title. It would remove statutory references to ensure that no legal differences exist between printed and electronic titles except when necessary.

Examples of necessary differences between printed and electronic titles would include not requiring an individual to receive an electronic title for changing signing requirements for printed titles, and it would also allow salvage dealers that purchase vehicles from law enforcement auctions or foreclosure sales to use an auction sales receipt to report vehicles that are being scrapped, dismantled or destroyed in order to eliminate an unnecessary application for salvage dealers and processing

by department staff. 1 2 And the last component of this recommendation relates to the deficient ownership documentation title 3 4 process that can be used by insurance companies. 5 Current statute requires that a vehicle must 6 have been issued a paper title in Texas or another state 7 for an insurance company to apply for title when they obtain the current title of the vehicle. 8 9 I feel like there's too many titles in that 10 sentence, but essentially, if a vehicle was on a lot that was never subject to the first sale, the manufacturer's 11 certificate of origin would serve as that proof of title 12 13 for the purpose of the insurance company following these 14 processes. 15 And that concludes the summary of the 16 recommendations. I'm happy to answer any questions. 17 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you very much, Ms. Love. Are there any questions for Chairman Scott or 18 19 Ms. Love on these legislative priorities? 20 Member Scott, you did vet these in your 21 Legislative and Public Affairs Committee. Is that 22 correct? 2.3 MR. SCOTT: Yes, sir, we did. 24 MR. TREVIÑO: And you're recommending the full

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

board to approve them. Correct?

25

MR. SCOTT: I would say that specifically to item four regarding the surety bond and so forth that actually I voted to move this out of the Legislative Committee, because I think there's a lot of discussion that needs to take place, and I don't think that discussion should be just restricted to CPAC.

2.3

I appreciate the work that CPAC has been doing on this, but I think there's some discussion that needs to be had by the full board. So that was my reason for wanting to move this out of committee and take it to the full board.

I'm not convinced that this adequately addresses the issues that face the citizens when this happens. The dealer goes out of business and, you know, there is a statute to work around registration fees and that sort of stuff, but my biggest concern here is dealers that go out of business, customers traded in a vehicle that has a lien on it, the dealer has gone out of business, the dealer agreed to pay it off as part of the contract, but the dealer never paid off the customer's existing loan.

So we have a customer here, a citizen, who is left with two contracts, and so the financial institution that has the original loan is going to be reporting nonpayment of that loan to the various credit reporting

agencies. And this goes on for months and months and the customer doesn't have any ability to make both car payments or just pay it off and say, well, I'll file suit against the nonexistent dealer, the guy that went bankrupt.

1.3

2.3

So I realize this is probably something that's going to require some other agencies to get involved with us, but it is a motor vehicle transaction, so somehow this comes on our plate, and we should be working with whatever those other agencies are, OCCC or something.

I'm not suggesting that the State of Texas or the taxpayers pay off all these loans, but there's got to be a provision somehow that we can instruct the financial institutions to treat this much like they do when a consumer or an individual has filed for bankruptcy where that credit reporting is flagged in a certain way so that everyone knows that there's something going on and it's not just Mrs. Cook not making her car payment. Right?

I mean, she traded her car in, good faith. We, the State of Texas, licensed that dealer, we have oversight over that dealer. She's just a consumer.

So I just take it out a little bit and go later on this customer decides, hey, I think I want to buy a big screen TV for my family, and they go to Best Buy and apply for Best Buy credit and they can't because now they've got

1 bad credit, and it's through no fault of their own and they have really no way of fixing it. 3 So somehow our agency and other agencies need 4 to get involved and try to come up with a way to do 5 something about this. 6 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you for that 7 clarification or sharing that with us. 8 Any other questions or comments from the board? 9 (No response.) MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none --10 Yes, sir. MS. GILLMAN: 11 12 MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, Member Gillman. 13 MS. GILLMAN: I also have an issue with number 14 4. I don't understand why -- I think that CPAC was formed 15 to try and improve and help the consumer, but I think that 16 having new legislation that mandates that every new car 17 franchised dealer go and get a \$50,000 bond is like putting a tiny, tiny little band-aid that maybe on the 18 19 rare occasions when you have a big, big problem. 20 I'm not sure that it -- I'm certain that it is not really the best solution for when a franchised dealer 21 22 goes out of business. I'm actually thinking that a 2.3 \$50,000 bond will do almost nothing. 24 And so I'm agreeing with Member Scott that I 25 think that the recommendations here should be looked at a

little further, and I'm also thankful that Caroline said 1 that CPAC did not recommend that every single licensed 3 franchised dealer go and get a bond, that that was staff 4 recommendation. 5 I think that we need to be careful, I don't 6 think that the CPAC's recommendations -- they're 7 volunteering their time to come up with these 8 recommendations, they should not be ignored. That would be contrary to what we're asking them to do, kind of a 9 curve ball. 10 So that is number one; I echo what Member Scott 11 said that I think that this deserves a little bit more 12 1.3 time. 14 My second comment is regarding, and it's a 15 question for Caroline --16 MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman, at this point 17 before we got into deliberation -- I don't mean to cut you 18 off; it's just procedural --19 MS. GILLMAN: They're questions, they're just 20 questions. MR. TREVIÑO: Questions, and then we'll go into 21 22 deliberations, and we'll talk about how we address it. 2.3 MS. GILLMAN: Correct. Question to Caroline. 24 If any dealer has their permit suspended before -- I

definitely appreciate the fact that you're proposing an

25

expedited hearing in front of a SOAH judge, but in the time that their business is suspended, any dealer cannot deliver a car without a license, and the TAC's office as a solution is not open after five o'clock, they're not open on the weekends, and I can't get a temporary permit from the TAC's office if they are closed, and sometimes they do close because of COVID --

MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman, you froze up and we lost you.

Is everybody else still on? Okay, I see Member Bacarisse. Okay. Member Gillman is back.

Member Gillman, we lost you there for a brief moment.

MS. GILLMAN: So my question to Caroline was because suspension or access to the temp tag is going to put us out of business and sometimes certainly I can't get a temp tag from the TAC's office after hours or on weekends, holidays, and my number that I'm limited to get at the TAC's office would be really shrunk -- what do you think -- how do you -- is there any other solution that would not put a dealer out of business?

MS. LOVE: Yes. This is the process that would be defined by board rule, so we could work with the board on having these opportunities to ensure that our goal is not to necessary put these people out of business but to

make sure they're complying with the laws that they're 1 required to comply with. 3 So another option I know our enforcement staff 4 was looking at was in addition to being able to obtain 5 this paperwork through the county tax assessor, we would 6 also look at electronic options, so that if it was 7 something after hours there might be an option there through that county for such transactions to occur. 8 9 These are things that we're wanting to work 10 through, and that would be done in that rulemaking process 11 as well. 12 MS. GILLMAN: Mr. Chairman, when you're ready, 13 I am prepared to make a motion. 14 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. So is there any further 15 comments from the board, comments or questions? 16 (No response.) 17 MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. Member Gillman. MS. BEAVER: Chairman, this is Tracey Beaver 18 for the record. May I have the floor? 19 20 MR. TREVIÑO: Certainly. 21 I just wanted to let you know that MS. BEAVER: 22 we do have a public comment before we hear a motion from 2.3 the board. Karen Phillips. 24 MR. TREVIÑO: Ms. Phillips, are you ready to 25 qo?

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes. Thank you very much.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Same rules apply; you've got three minutes.

1.3

2.3

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. Good morning again to Chairman Treviño, Board members and Director Brewster. Karen Phillips, GC for TADA.

I want to echo a few of the comments that have been discussed with respect to the temp tag suspension. We've had one conversation with agency staff and would like to continue those conversations in order to arrive at a solution at the licensing stage and not at the stage where the tags are in the process of being printed and abused.

So to try to stop overprinting of the temp tags on the front-end versus misuse to us seems to be the preferable solution. Now, it will not solve the flea market issue, and that may ultimately not be able to be solved until perhaps we're at the point where we're plating the vehicle at the time of sale.

But TADA does not feel that suspension of temp tag printing and an expedited hearing at SOAH is the necessary response, because we may not get an expedited decision from SOAH, and as has been pointed out, temp tags need to be able to be issued late at night and on the weekends.

1 As to the bond, I do appreciate that Ms. Love 2 did indicate that expanding it to franchised dealers was the staff recommendation. It is something that TADA 3 opposes because franchised dealers undergo constant 4 5 financial reporting requirements to their OEMs, as well as 6 frequent audits. 7 We also invest in land, facilities, and have 8 capitalization requirements before ever obtaining our 9 franchise, and so to expand a \$50,000 bond to the 10 franchised dealers is a hoop that we do not feel is

And I'm happy to respond to any questions, but that completes my remarks at this point.

MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you very much, Ms. Phillips.

necessary for us to go through.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Any questions for Ms. Phillips?
(No response.)

MR. TREVIÑO: Well, hearing none, I have a question for staff. Is this something that happens -- Member Ramirez.

MR. RAMIREZ: Quick question for Ms. Phillips. She was talking about the temp tags get printed and the enforcement action has to be taken putting in a safeguard so that it doesn't occur. Were you thinking like a temp tag limit on a dealer, and then if they wanted to print

more than that allotment, they would have to request it 1 beforehand, or were there any specifics you can add to that conversation? 3 4 MS. PHILLIPS: Yes. I think at the licensing 5 stage you could certainly ask an applicant or a licensee 6 the number that they feel they need to be able to be printing on a monthly basis, and that may be a form of it 7 is derived by the number of vehicles that they can put on 8

the lot for display purposes; it could be the number of 10 experience that they've had in sales, and so to make that

11 request at the front-end and for the agency to have that

12 information at the front-end and then perhaps limit the

1.3 number that can be printed before we ever get to the point

14 that thousands and thousands, that I am being told, are

being printed on a monthly basis and are being abused with

16 no sales.

9

15

17

18

19

21

22

2.3

24

25

I'd like to see that be explored before we go through the no printing capability and suspension as well as the additional time and effort that the agency would

20 have to expend.

> Thank you, Chairman. MR. RAMIREZ:

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you.

MR. TREVIÑO: Any other questions for Ms.

Phillips?

(No response.)

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. TREVIÑO: This is a staff question. Do we 1 2 frequently stop dealers from printing tags? Is this 3 something that we have tried to do on a frequent basis? 4 MS. BREWSTER: Mr. Chairman, Whitney Brewster, 5 executive director. 6 Corrie Thompson, the director of Enforcement, is on the line. I'd like, if it's okay with you, to have 7 8 her speak on this item. 9 MR. TREVIÑO: Certainly. Ms. Thompson. 10 MS. THOMPSON: Just to confirm, can everybody hear me this time? 11 MR. TREVIÑO: Yes. 12 13 MS. THOMPSON: Great. Corrie Thompson, 14 director of the Enforcement Division. 15 As Ms. Love mentioned, we do currently pursue 16 cases where we're seeing excessive issuance of tags, and 17 the way the department has to act on those cases now, 18 again, as she did mention, we have to complete the entire administrative process before we're able to terminate the 19 20 access to the tag system, because the current statute that 21 is in place says the department cannot deny access to 22 someone who holds a license. That means we must get rid 2.3 of the license in order to stop that. 24 So what we're seeing is a bleeding of excessive 25 issuance of fraudulent bad tags that shouldn't be out in

the world on the streets.

1.3

2.3

And Ms. Love mentioned that law enforcement is seeing those tags, they're being used in the commission of numerous types of crimes, and so what this seeks to do is to give the department a point earlier in the administrative process to interject, stop that bleeding, give the dealer an alternate way that has more oversight to get those tags issued to them while we then continue investigating the case and proceed with the ultimate sanction option that would come before the board for a final decision.

And how we're handling these cases currently, when we issue the notice of department decision and say, hey, you've issued X number of tags, you don't have the sales we're seeing to back that up, we don't get responses on those, because a lot of the people that are engaging in this type of egregious abuse are not responsive because they're not truly dealers.

And so I believe that that would continue to be the case with those that we would catch in the net if we were to be able to move forward with this change and ultimately obtain this additional authority through the department.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you very much for the clarification.

1	MS. GILLMAN: Mr. Chairman?
2	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, Member Gillman.
3	MS. GILLMAN: I have a motion.
4	MR. TREVIÑO: Is there any other comment or
5	questions for staff before we move to a motion?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Okay, Member Gillman,
8	please proceed.
9	MS. GILLMAN: Okay. I move that the board
10	approve the legislative recommendations by the Legislative
11	and Public Affairs Committee, with two amendments.
12	Number one amendment would be to delete the
13	recommendation of temp tag abuse that's number 2 in our
14	board book, page 267, with regard to suspension of the
15	temp tags to dealers.
16	The second amendment I'd like to recommend is
17	to delete the recommendation of change increasing the
18	surety bond and extending the financial requirement to
19	franchised dealers. I think this is listed under number 4
20	in our board book on page 267.
21	MR. TREVIÑO: I think it's number 3.
22	MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel,
23	for the record.
24	The updated board books available on our
25	website, on page 267, the temp tag issue is number 1 and

the surety bond issue is number 3, just to clarify. 1 They've been updated. 3 MS. GILLMAN: Sorry. I apologize. I printed 4 this section yesterday, so I apologize. Number 1 and 5 number 3. Thank you for that clarification. 6 So I propose to delete those two 7 recommendations, number 1 and number 3, and move to adopt 8 the clarification display of temporary permits. 9 number is that one? MR. TREVIÑO: 10 That is 2. 11 MS. GILLMAN: Got it. Approve to adopt managing data privacy and external access to confidential 12 motor vehicle records at DMV. What number is that? 1.3 14 MR. TREVIÑO: That is 4. 15 MS. GILLMAN: And lastly, to adopt and clarify 16 the electronic titles and printed titles having the same 17 statutory value and refine the use of the deficient owner 18 documentation process to assist with insurance company 19 vehicle cases. That's number? 20 MR. TREVIÑO: Five. MS. GILLMAN: Five. And I'd like to make this 21 22 motion to direct staff to finalize and submit these 2.3 recommendations to the legislature as directed in the 24 transportation code for further consideration by members 25 of the legislature for bill drafting and potential filing.

1	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman, just for
2	clarification purposes, you'd like to strike completely
3	not to change or amend 1 and 3, you want to just strike
4	them completely?
5	MS. GILLMAN: Yes, sir, I'd like to strike them
6	completely and from being adopted and approved today and
7	instead suggest further work is necessary, language
8	modification, not throwing out the issues.
9	I respect very much temp tag abuse improvement
10	and also so I'm wanting to delete those two
11	recommendations at this time by amendment and move to
12	adopt the other three.
13	MR. TREVIÑO: So we've got a motion. Do we
14	have a second?
15	MR. GRAHAM: This is Brett. I'll second.
16	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham. We have a second
17	from Member Graham.
18	Do we have any more discussion on this? Any
19	comments from board members?
20	MR. GRAHAM: This is Board Member Graham. I'll
21	just add I do agree that regarding the bonds, you know, in
22	the franchised dealer world it's not really a solution
23	because it's just absolutely insignificant, and there
24	might be a better way to do it.
25	Perhaps we can come up with something that

would be beneficial to the consumers in Texas, but at the 1 2 end of the day, this is going to make a whole lot of 3 dealers go get a bond that I don't think really achieves 4 anything. So certainly agree with the position. 5 That's my comments. Thank you. 6 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Member Graham. 7 Member Bacarisse. You're on mute, Member Bacarisse. 8 9 MR. BACARISSE: Sorry. MR. TREVIÑO: You're back. 10 MR. BACARISSE: Can you hear me now? 11 12 MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, sir. 13 MR. BACARISSE: I want to encourage or ask that 14 we move with all due haste to get new language on this 15 temp tag abuse issue, because 99.9 percent of all the 16 dealers in the state are good people, they're doing 17 important work. But there are people that are abusing this 18 19 system and the end up selling these tags or whatever 20 they're doing to serious criminals, and we've got to clean 21 up the problem without hurting the rest of the good 22 businesspeople out there. 2.3 So I don't want us to have too much of a delay 24 in figuring out the language that works so we can get some

enforcement not the bad actors.

25

1 Thank you. 2 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you for that comment, Member Bacarisse. 3 Member Ramirez. 4 5 MR. RAMIREZ: I would echo what Member 6 Bacarisse just said. I think that it is a real problem. 7 We see it in the law enforcement community, human trafficking, all different types of offenses that occur. 8 9 So I would say that we need to act expediently 10 to get some language in there, whether that's tweaking what staff has already put together and making sure that 11 12 maybe you can't suspend the ability or the access to the 13 system without a completed SOAH hearing if they choose to 14 appeal. 15 Maybe instead of saying the opportunity for a 16 SOAH hearing, we can't suspend it without that, or working 17 on language to address it on the front-end, as Ms. Phillips with TADA suggested, but I do think that we can't 18 19 scrub the issue altogether when we have hardworking staff 20 that have given us a recommendation and said that this is 21 a pain point they're coming up against. 22 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you very much for those 2.3 comments, Member Ramirez. 24 Anybody else?

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. GILLMAN: Because this is the comment

25

section, I would say I know that abuse in temp tags is 1 2 happening, and I definitely want to support law enforcement in their efforts, and I want to catch the bad 3 4 guys really bad. 5 I support trying to come up with a solution on 6 the front-end, like Ms. Phillips said, but suspending --7 as presented here, as the recommendation, suspending a 8 dealer's access, even for a short a time as 20 days, puts 9 them out of business. 10 So I wholeheartedly agree, it's past time for

So I wholeheartedly agree, it's past time for temp tag abusers to be brought to justice, but I think that there's a better solution, and we should work hard for it.

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. TREVIÑO: Any other comments? Member McRae. I'm sorry. Member Washburn, I apologize, go ahead.

MS. WASHBURN: So I guess I just have a question on what we're voting on here, because Ms. Love said in the beginning these aren't baked, they still need to go get some stakeholder buy-in. So are we voting that this is the final thing that we're recommending, or are we voting that we're going to address these issues?

MR. TREVIÑO: Caroline, would you like to comment on that, clarify?

MS. LOVE: Yes. Thank you. This is Caroline

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 Love with DMV.

1.3

2.3

So we have been working with stakeholders to get their feedback, and so what we brought before the board today was something that we felt we had a significant amount of discussion, and we've been working to accommodate certain requests on that.

And so I believe, based on what the motion was, that three of those the motion would move forward; the other two would be ones that staff will take back and look into further and come back to the board at a future date.

MR. TREVIÑO: But, Caroline, these are legislative priorities for us to address with this session of the legislature. Is that correct? These are not policy prescriptions necessarily that we are going to implement tomorrow.

MS. LOVE: That is correct.

MR. TREVIÑO: So just to clarify if you could, in the best words you can, these are legislative priorities that we're advocating for, but they're not final language in a bill or statute or anything.

MS. LOVE: Absolutely. Once these recommendations are adopted and we take them to the legislature, should a legislative member choose to file the legislation, that will truly be the start of that process, and we'll be available as a resource and continue

working with stakeholders on what my eventually become part of the law, but that would be several months away.

1.3

2.3

MR. TREVIÑO: And, Caroline, if you could also comment, how would we address changing these to come up with something? Because I agree with board members in that I feel very uncomfortable just striking these, especially on the permits.

It seems like 99.9 percent of all licensed dealers are in compliance with the law and do a great job in their communities and never will be subject to something like this, but instead of defending them, we're defending the bad actors.

It appears to be a clear and present danger that the staff and other law enforcement have determined exists, and to strike it and not come up with language quickly that addresses it seems like we're not defending dealers, we're defending bad actors. I'm very uncomfortable completely striking that language.

Can you talk about ways that we will come up with language to address these issues so we're not put in that position?

MS. LOVE: Absolutely, yes. We definitely can go back to the stakeholder groups and talk through these concepts and see what would be more of a middle ground, and this is what's meant to be one tool in a toolbox of

how to address these situations.

2.3

So our staff is planning to look at those other options at the front-end at the time of licensing, those sorts of activities may not require legislative changes, but those are things that we can look into to supplement these types of recommendations.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. And what kind of a timeline would you think that we would be to put together to make sure that we do have good language heading into the session?

MS. LOVE: The last day for the legislature to file legislation is March 12, and the board will have another meeting prior to then. We'll definitely be working to get that language clarified, and we can come back in February with another proposal at that point.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you very much. And the board would encourage you -- I think I speak for the board -- to come up with language quickly because of the issues involved.

Any other comments or questions on this?

MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman, this is Brett. One clarification, just making sure I'm understanding something correctly: My understanding was that we are catching the bad actors on the temp tag issue; it's just we would like to tighten down the amount of time from the

point at which the investigation is ongoing or concludes and to the point at which they can no longer issue those temp tags and trying to find a method by which to tighten that timeline down to reduce the impact on folks who are being impacted by it, while not infringing on the ability of someone who might be accused but inevitably isn't guilty of not being able to issue the temp tags.

Would that be an accurate assessment of what

2.3

Would that be an accurate assessment of what we're trying to achieve with this?

MS. LOVE: This is Caroline Love again. That is very accurate, yes.

MR. GRAHAM: Now, I know it wasn't perfect, but that's good; I'm glad to be pretty close. All right, just making sure I was on base. Thank you.

MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman. The chair recognizes Member Gillman.

MS. GILLMAN: One possible solution that I thought of is there could be board discussion for Caroline's consideration is once the DMV has identified a potential abuser of our system, begin that alert system to the dealer, set up the expedited hearing to hear his side of the situation, his/her side, but my main problem is the suspension of the temp tag until you get to SOAH.

And so I'm certain that there is language that can be modified in here to try and catch the bad actors,

but let's not have so much authority that you can put a dealer out of business in the meantime.

1.3

2.3

MS. LOVE: This is Caroline Love once again.

We agree completely. We always try to strike a balance of protecting consumers while also not having anything too onerous for the businesses, so we thank you for that feedback.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. And I would also like to echo Chairman Scott's comments on dealers that go out of business as well. There has to be some language we can add to that, because it is an ongoing issue, and I do think it's something we should address as well.

MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman?

MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, Member Scott.

MR. SCOTT: There is a sense here from the board of the urgency in dealing with the egregious use of these temp tag abusers, and if I understand it. Whatever we come up with, it's going to be a recommendation that will go to the legislature, and if it's passed out of the legislature, then even then you're still looking at next fall before it comes into effect. Is that correct?

So my question is -- or what I would like to see is I would like to see staff -- we need to work on something to do on the legislative side, that's no question.

But what can we do as an agency now from whatever rule or some way to deal with this in the interim? And I don't know. We're really talking about some egregious people here that sell 20 cars a year and print, you know, 10,000 tags.

1.3

2.3

So what can we do from an agency internally to accelerate our ability to deal with this? Because waiting on the legislature, if this is an urgent issue, it's not something that can be done -- you know, nothing is going to happen for a long time.

MR. TREVIÑO: Director Brewster or Ms.

Thompson, would you guys like to comment on that, or would you guys like to come back with a more thorough presentation for the February meeting?

MS. BREWSTER: Mr. Chairman, we would be happy to come back at a future meeting with more information, but I can tell you that the agency is moving forward with just what Member Scott has mentioned, looking at other ways, non-legislative ways that we can address issues around temp tags.

We have reached out to other states to see what they're doing. I know that temp tags and temp tag abused is not an issue that is specific to Texas, unfortunately; it's an issue across many jurisdictions, so the American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators, which we are

a member of, is putting together a best practices document around temp tags.

So there is a lot of activity in the community around this issue, but I think taking that multi-faceted approach is certainly a way that we can most effectively deal with issues around temp tags.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great.

2.3

MS. BREWSTER: Mr. Chairman, we'd be happy to come forward with more information at the next meeting. Thank you.

MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you.

Ms. Thompson, did you have a comment?

MS. THOMPSON: Yes. I just wanted to respond to Member Scott again. And, yes, you're correct, it would take a change because, as I mentioned earlier, the current statute does say the department cannot deny while they have a license.

And so right now we need to look at something for getting that statutory language out of the way so that we can do something in the interim, be that on the backend, as Caroline has discussed today about being able to allow us the opportunity to suspend it when we notice the bad act is already happening, or a system based on the front-end that would, again, also involve cutting off tags potentially in some way or alerting us to begin taking

that action, because right now we are currently limited to having to move through the entire administrative process.

1.3

2.3

And so as we work our way up through those that are at minimum three to four months to move through that, there could be 3-, 4,000, 10,000 tags issued in the interim. But, yes, we are stuck with the current process given the statutory language in effect.

MR. TREVIÑO: The only suggestion I would make is that we as an agency have good relationships with all the stakeholders, and it's probably best for us to draft the type of legislation that we would like to see come out of the legislature than allow the legislature, who may not be informed or be able to spend the time on this, come out with a piece of legislation that we don't particularly like and have difficulty implementing.

So I just would encourage staff and stakeholders to get together, craft a good solution for the citizens of Texas so we can provide language to our legislators to help them come up with a good decision for all Texans. Okay?

MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman?

MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, sir.

MR. SCOTT: My question for staff is if we see someone with -- there's a law enforcement component of this situation, so if we see someone issuing a whole bunch

of tags, are we permitted to or is it appropriate for us -- would it do any good for us as an agency to notify law enforcement? What do we do with that internally?

2.3

We have investigators of our own. How do we deal with that? You know, we've got human trafficking, we've got all kinds of things that this touches on, but what can we do as far as letting law enforcement know, or would that be appropriate or helpful?

MS. THOMPSON: Absolutely. So I would encourage anybody who sees activity that is against department rule or law to report those violations to us so that staff can begin working on those.

In Enforcement we do work on these cases, CID does the same as well, and they're working on engaging law enforcement entities who can get with prosecutors to see if we can get activity on the criminal side as well.

There are criminal components to eTAG misuse and abuse. Currently I believe the highest offense level is a state jail felony, the others are misdemeanors, so law enforcement may be taking action on their end to see about what they can do in terms of increasing offense levels.

But we do currently work with law enforcement when we see these violations; that is how we are becoming aware of the types of crimes that are being committed with

our temporary tags.

2.3

I'm sure that us exploring options also for how we can just stop the number of tags are getting out into the world would be helpful for law enforcement as well, which is one of the reasons the department is proposing these changes.

MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott, does that answer your question, or would you like some more information on that?

MR. SCOTT: That's fine. I just wanted to throw that out there: what can we do, since there's such a sense of urgency in looking at everything that we can do to help all the stakeholders, stop this abuse, stop the bad guys. And we understand we have to go through the legislative process, but in the interim we need to be as active on this as we can.

MR. TREVIÑO: So Member Scott, with your permission, I would recommend that staff come back to us with a detailed presentation. You might include MVCPA as well; clearly they have a stake in this as stolen vehicles and those types of vehicles are undercut offline in the United States.

But again, I just would encourage staff and stakeholders to try and get together, because the language that we would like to see adopted by the legislature, I do

1	think we're best positioned to provide that language. So
2	I would encourage you guys to come up with something for
3	both these issues. because they do affect Texans, and if
4	we craft something quickly, I sense that's the will of the
5	board.
6	So we have a motion in front of us, we have a
7	second. Any further discussion on this issue?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, I would call for
10	the vote.
11	Member Bacarisse?
12	MR. BACARISSE: Aye.
13	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
14	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
15	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
16	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
17	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
18	MS. McRAE: Aye.
19	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
20	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
21	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
22	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
23	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott?
24	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
25	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Washburn?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MS. WASHBURN: Aye.
2	MR. TREVIÑO: And I, Chairman Treviño, also
3	vote aye. Let the record reflect that the motion carries
4	unanimously. And you've got your direction, so hope to
5	hear from you soon.
6	So with that, I think we'll take a five-minute
7	break, come back around 12:15. How does that sound?
8	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
9	MR. TREVIÑO: All right. I think we can get
10	Member Gillman caught up as we're heading into the
11	Internal Audit Division status update, and it's briefing
12	only, so Ms. Menjivar-Suddeath, are you on the line?
13	MS. MENJIVAR-SUDDEATH: Good afternoon, Board
14	members. Can y'all hear me?
15	MR. TREVIÑO: I can hear you. Can everybody
16	else hear?
17	Welcome, Ms. Menjivar-Suddeath, it's great to
18	see you.
19	MS. MENJIVAR-SUDDEATH: Great to see you as
20	well.
21	Good afternoon, Board members. For the record
22	Sandra Menjivar-Suddeath, Internal Audit director, and I
23	am presenting 14.A, which is the Internal Audit Division
24	status. This is a briefing item only and is on page 269

25

of your board books.

The Internal Audit Division status includes three external coordination activities and five Internal Audit engagements. For time consideration, I will skip the external coordination information that's found on page 270 and go straight into the Internal Audit activities.

2.3

On the Internal Audit side we are moving along with our engagements and continuing to work on our six-month audit plan that's been noted on page 270. We have two engagements in reporting, two engagements in field work, and our quality assurance and improvement engagement was completed since the last board meeting.

The entire report and the results of our quality assurance and improvement program are found on page 272 of your board book, but I want to direct your attention to the one-pager on page 271. The one-pager on 271 provides all key information of the report an in illustrative manner.

Since 2019 the Internal Audit Division has been working on visualizing our reports to provide the board a glance of our results, and if you would like to go further into detail, you can read the report.

So on page 271, and going forward, we will be including these illustrative one-pagers in all of our reports for your consideration.

So the illustration shows the components of our

quality assurance and improvement program, and just as a reminder, internal audit standards require that Internal Audit review itself annually and then every three years have an external auditor come in to review whether we're meeting performance standards, as well as how effective we are.

1.3

2.3

Our quality assurance program includes the review of our internal audit standards, external assessment recommendation progress, key performance indicators, capability model, and fraud, waste and abuse information.

Overall, the division is doing very well. On the internal audit standards we were found to be fully in compliance; however, two opportunities of improvement were noted in our review.

One is better role identification. In reviewing our internal audit charter, we identified that we didn't really solidify the Finance and Audit

Committee's role in oversight in internal audit in the charter. We also could expand and remove some of the duplication in the charter of our roles and responsibilities, and in the next item I'll present those changes.

The other opportunity of improvement was related to board training. Although we do train the board

on what internal audit does, it hasn't focused on the role of internal audit in the department. It's a slight shift but a significant shift where instead of talking about our maturity assessment and the recommendations and looking to the past, it's more looking forward about what do we do related to risk management, what are the expectations to risk management, fraud, waste and abuse and engagement.

1.3

2.3

And so both these items were identified as opportunities of improvement, and we have been actively working on correcting both of them, and I'm happy to report that we have completed -- or hopefully completed correcting both of them.

On the external assessment side, our peer review in 2018 identified an opportunity for us to be more involved in the ethics program. Our internal audit standards require us to be a part and work on ethics-related issues. They recommended we become more involved in the ethics program. We believe we have implemented that recommendation in the past year, as we worked in the ethics work group to help advise the department on its new policy for ethics.

On key performance indicators, we have ten measures. Unfortunately, we only met six out of the ten, which is 60 percent, which as you know, doesn't make me happy, but it is what it is.

But we did meet the internal audit plan, all engagements that were in the internal audit plan were completed. We also asked our divisions and our clients, you know, are audit recommendations useful to you? And we did have an 85 percent agreement that the recommendations we're proposing do help the department and the division in its risk management, governance, and efficiency and effectiveness.

2.3

Where we did not meet our key performance indicators was related to the customer satisfaction. Our key performance indicator is 80 percent for customer satisfaction; we were at 74 percent.

When we looked into what was going on, two things are occurring. One, we don't have a high response rate, so clients and divisions that are not happy with us, it's a bigger impact to us.

The second thing we noticed in the responses is that it wasn't necessarily they were unhappy with the process but more unhappy with the results, which you can kind of expect when internal audit is out making recommendations and maybe changing the design of a program or a function.

However, although we did identify those things that were going on, we are already working on ways to improve our customer satisfaction, and we'll be rolling

out new initiatives over the next year.

2.3

On the capability model, this is a model specifically for the public sector, where it looks at the maturity ranking of the function. We are still at a Level 3.

Now, we've done some significant improvements over the past year where we've improved our communication plan, we've created dashboards and reports to help the board and executive office kind of keep tabs on what we're doing, as well as we've really been more positioning ourselves to help the agency ensure that we keep up with innovation, be a key agent of change, and we measure that by our ability to participate in work groups, ad hoc advisories, as well as the innovation we're producing ourselves.

Now, for us to get to a Level 4 and 5, we do really have to work with our management on the risk management function, and we're hoping that as we mature our risk management function in the agency, Internal Audit will be able to achieve that Level 4 or 5.

The final component of the quality assurance and improvement program is the fraud, waste, and abuse program, and what you have there are kind of the key information of what we did last year.

We spent about 1,100 hours on fraud, waste, and

abuse last year. Now, the majority of that was actually in investigations and not detection. That is something we are working on this year, on doing more things related to detection and prevention.

But we also handled 72 complaints. Now, those complaints are not all internal audit or internal fraud, waste, and abuse; some of these are State Auditor issues

complaints are not all internal audit or internal fraud, waste, and abuse; some of these are State Auditor issues such as someone complained to the State Auditor that they didn't get a title or that they need to figure out how to get a bonded title, and so those come through us and we distribute those. Of the 72 complaints we handled, though, 24 were related to internal investigations and allegations and we did substantiate five of them.

1.3

2.3

So that's the quality assurance and improvement program. I'm open to any questions you may have on our results.

MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you, Ms. Menjivar-Suddeath.

Does anybody have any questions?

(No response.)

MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. And I would just draw the board's attention to the slide on page 271 that kind of summarizes, gives us an overview.

I would just to commend Ms. Menjivar-Suddeath on what a great job that slide is. It's one slide in a big presentation, but a lot of work went into it and you

1 should be commended for it. Great looking piece of work there. 3 MS. MENJIVAR-SUDDEATH: Thank you. MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. And keep on going. 4 5 MS. MENJIVAR-SUDDEATH: Okay. So I will move 6 on to item 14.B, which is the Internal Audit Charter. 7 This is an action item, and I am requesting approval on 8 the Internal Audit Charter. The document begins on page 9 290 of your board book. You have both the tracked changes 10 and a clean version. The tracked changes show the changes we made to the Internal Audit Charter since the last 11 12 approval. 1.3 There are a few key things that we did. One, we provided more information on Internal Audit's role in 14 15 risk management and fraud, waste and abuse, and wanted to 16 clarify what our responsibilities are versus the 17 department's and the board's. Two, we wanted to document communication to the 18 19 board and the executive director so that way there's an 20 expectation from the very get-go on what we should be 21 communicating and when. 22 Three and four are together. We clarified the 2.3 board's responsibilities related to internal audit 24 documents and performance, and then we added the role of 25 the Finance and Audit Committee related to performance,

1	governance, and risk management to clarify those roles.
2	And finally, the Internal Audit Charter was
3	getting a little bit too big for me, so we decided to
4	consolidate as much as possible and remove any extraneous
5	language and kind of consolidate the information.
6	And so with that, I'm open to any questions you
7	may have on the Internal Audit Charter.
8	MR. TREVIÑO: Does anyone have any comments for
9	Ms. Menjivar-Suddeath on the Internal Audit Charter?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham, any comments?
12	MR. GRAHAM: No. Just always appreciate the
13	great work that Sandra and her team does, and they really
14	want to continue to excel as a department, and they're a
15	pleasure to work with. They make my head spin a lot
16	because of the depth of these audits and what goes into
17	them, but I appreciate their work.
18	MR. TREVIÑO: Hear, hear. Well said.
19	Any other comments?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. So with that
22	MS. GILLMAN: Mr. Chairman. I have a comment.
23	This is Stacey.
24	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, Member Gillman, jump on in.
25	MS. GILLMAN: I'm on the committee with Member

Graham, and I, too, want to echo the extensive work that Sandra does to try and identify risks to the department, and so the KPIs at 60 percent is disturbing, and what I know goes on behind the scenes and what needs to be stressed is that Sandra's department points out some — through her audit process she points out some potential problems and then hands it off to the divisions for improvement of the process, so it's a little bit concerning to me 85 percent of the — I think she said 85 percent — I can't remember the words you used, Sandra.

2.3

MR. TREVIÑO: Found the recommendation to be useful?

MS. GILLMAN: Yeah, that recommendations were useful. It's kind of like when an auditor comes and points out ten things that are wrong, they only like 85 of them, 8.5 out of 10. But really, there needs to be -- Sandra works so hard to point all these things out; it really is important for the division directors to embrace and resolve some of these issues so that they don't linger on Sandra's books for years and years and years.

So as a board member, I'd like to encourage all division directors, and of course, Whitney, our executive director, to help reduce Sandra's list.

MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you for those comments, Member Gillman.

Ms. Brewster, any comments on that? 1 2 MS. BREWSTER: Executive Director Brewster. 3 Member Gillman, I do want to make sure that the 4 board hears this directly from me. I think it is 5 extremely important the work that Internal Audit does, and 6 they are a valued partner within this department. 7 I would say Sandra and her team have done an 8 excellent job when it comes to working with each of the 9 divisions, when it comes to audit activities and 10 engagements. They work regularly with management to make sure that they are addressing things that we as management 11 12 see as issues within the department, and so they're 1.3 extremely helpful in that aspect as well. 14 I don't want those scores to be reflective of 15 what I believe is the overall culture of the organization, 16 which is that by and large it is very accepting of 17 Internal Audit and Internal Audit recommendations. I think there may have been a couple of 18 19 engagements with relatively low feedback scores that, like 20 Sandra mentioned to me, may have amplified the results that you see there, but I do not want to leave the board 21 22 with the impression that that is the overall thought 2.3 process of the agency. 24 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you for clarifying

25

that.

1	MS. BREWSTER: Thank you.
2	MR. TREVIÑO: Any other comments or questions
3	for Ms. Menjivar-Suddeath or staff on this issue?
4	(No response.)
5	MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, the chair would
6	call for a motion. I'm sorry? Go ahead.
7	MS. MENJIVAR-SUDDEATH: It was me. I was just
8	going to echo what Ms. Brewster said. We work very well
9	with management, and management does take our
10	recommendations seriously and does act on them. Sometimes
11	it takes longer to implement than anticipated.
12	I think that's something, you know, you always
13	think the bosses are nosier than they should be, but we do
14	work really well with management.
15	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Thank you very much, and
16	the board appreciates everything that you do, you do great
17	work. And very innovative in your presentation materials
18	and you are a valued member from the board's perspective
19	for sure.
20	Okay. Any further comments?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, I would entertain a
23	motion.
24	MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman, I move the board
25	approve the Internal Audit Charter as presented by the

1	Internal Audit director.
2	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. We've got a motion from
3	Member Graham. Do we have a second?
4	MS. GILLMAN: Second.
5	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman.
6	Okay. Any further discussion?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, I'll call for the
9	vote.
10	Member Bacarisse?
11	MR. BACARISSE: Aye.
12	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
13	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
14	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
15	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
16	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
17	MS. McRAE: Aye.
18	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
19	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
20	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
21	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
22	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott?
23	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
24	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Washburn?
25	MS. WASHBURN: Aye.

MR. TREVIÑO: And I, Chairman Treviño, also vote aye. That motion carries unanimously.

2.3

Member Washburn, I believe you've got to drop off at 12:45, so feel free to drop off whenever you need to. Okay?

We will now move into agenda item number 15 and turn it over to Mr. Tom Shindell.

MR. SHINDELL: good afternoon, Chairman

Treviño, Board members, Executive Director Brewster, and
welcome, Member Ramirez.

For the record, my name is Tom Shindell, and I'm the Innovation and Strategy analyst for the department. I will be presenting agenda item 15, which is the amendment to the TxDMV balanced scorecard found on page 305 in your board books. This is an action item.

The department uses the balanced scorecard as part of an overall strategic management process. The scorecard is a strategic management tool comprised of several components, including a strategy map, objectives for each of four different perspectives in the scorecard, which includes learning and growth, internal process, customers, and stakeholders, and well as lead, which are actionable, and lag, which are after-the-fact measures for each objective.

The action being requested of you today is to

approve a measure for internal process one, its lead 1 measure. The objective being measured is all employees 3 4 work with a sense of urgency. The actual lead measure 5 description can be found on pages 306 and 307 in your 6 board books. The measure being proposed is a roll-up 7 measure of 33 separate key performance indicators into an 8 overall measure reflecting the sense of urgency on the 9 part of department staff. 10 I will pause here and ask if you have any questions about the proposed measure. 11 12 MR. TREVIÑO: Any questions for Mr. Shindell? 13 (No response.) 14 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Mr. Shindell, go right 15 ahead. 16 MR. SHINDELL: Okey-dokey. 17 The other action being requested is the authorization for me to the board for staff to be able to 18 19 make periodic changes as needed to the scorecard and to 20 provide periodic updates to the board. And I'll pause 21 here if you have any questions. 22 MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Any questions for Mr. 2.3 Shindell on those changes he's requesting? 24 (No response.) 25 MR. TREVIÑO: If not, then the chair would

1	entertain a motion.
2	MS. McRAE: Chairman, I would like to make a
3	motion, please.
4	MR. TREVIÑO: The chair recognizes Member
5	McRae.
6	MS. McRAE: I would like to move to amend the
7	TxDMV balanced scorecard as proposed by TxDMV staff. I'll
8	also move to authorize the executive director or the
9	executive director's designee to approve changes to the
10	TxDMV balanced scorecard as needed, with periodic updates
11	to the board.
12	MR. TREVIÑO: All right. We've got a motion
13	from Member McRae. Do we have a second?
14	MR. PREWITT: I second.
15	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt.
16	Okay. Any further discussion?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, I'll call for the
19	vote.
20	Member Bacarisse?
21	MR. BACARISSE: Aye.
22	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
23	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
24	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
25	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.

1	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
2	MS. McRAE: Aye.
3	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
4	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
5	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
6	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
7	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott?
8	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
9	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Washburn?
10	MS. WASHBURN: Aye.
11	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. And I, Chairman Treviño,
12	also vote aye. Let the record reflect that the motion
13	carries unanimously.
14	Thank you very much, Mr. Shindell, for that
15	report. Go right ahead.
16	MR. SHINDELL: I was just saying thank you very
17	much.
18	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes, sir.
19	Okay. We're now moving to agenda item number
20	16. Transportation Code Section 1001.023 requires the
21	board chair to designate at least one employee of the
22	department as a civil rights officer of the department and
23	receive regular reports from the officer or officers on
24	the department's efforts to comply with civil rights
25	legislation and administrative rules.

Civil rights officers are appointed by the 1 2 chair of the board and oversee the Equal Employment 3 Opportunity, EEO, process for the department as required 4 by Transportation Code 1001 to help ensure compliance with 5 civil rights laws and policies. Therefore, I am 6 appointing our ombudsman Leah Leone as civil rights 7 officer. 8 I'd like to say thank you to David Richards for 9 his work as interim civil rights officer. Thank you very 10 much, Mr. Richards, on behalf of the board. You've done an amazing job, and everybody is very proud of what you 11 12 accomplished. We appreciate your hard work and service to 1.3 the department and look forward to your continued work in 14 the Office of General Counsel. 15 Ms. Leone, are you online? 16 MS. LEONE: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'm here. MR. TREVIÑO: Wonderful. Would you like to say 17 a few words? 18 19 MS. LEONE: I would like to thank my colleague 20 Mr. Richards for all of his sharing and giving me his notes and understanding of his position as he's been 21 22 acting in the interim position as the civil rights 2.3 officer.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. TREVIÑO: Well, said, well said,

And thank you very much and we look forward to

24

25

working with you, and please let us know how we can be 1 2 supportive in your mission. I know I speak for the board 3 when we say we take these issues very seriously. We're 4 very glad that you're on watch. 5 MS. LEONE: As am I. Thank you. I quite take 6 them seriously as well, and I look forward to working with 7 you and the board not his as well. MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Look forward to hearing 8 9 from you soon. 10 Okay. We'll now take up agenda item number 17. For those of you in the audience, this is executive 11 12 session, I anticipate being in executive session for 13 approximately an hour and we will reconvene in open 14 session after that. We will go into closed session under 15 Texas Government Code Section 551.071, 551.074, 551.076 or 551.089. 16

Will the meeting host please move all panelists, except the board members and those needing to be in closed session, to attendees. It is now approximately 12:40 on December 10, 2020, and we're going into closed session.

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

(Whereupon, at 12:40 p.m., the meeting was recessed, to reconvene this same day, Thursday, December 10, 2020, following conclusion of the executive session.)

MR. TREVIÑO: It's approximately 1:00 p.m. and

1	the board of the Texas DMV is now back in open session. I
2	ask that the meeting host move Tracey Beaver as panelist.
3	MEETING HOST: Who do you need as panelist?
4	MR. TREVIÑO: General Counsel Beaver. Let me
5	know when that's done.
6	MEETING HOST: Okay. She's back.
7	MR. TREVIÑO: She's back, General Counsel
8	Beaver is back. Great. Are you there, Tracey? Can't see
9	or hear you.
10	MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel,
11	for the record. I'm moved to panelist, but it does sound
12	like there's a little bit of feedback.
13	MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. Could board members mute
14	their mics until we get through the last couple of things
15	here? Will board members and panelists please turn on
16	your video and unmute your lines and then mute them back.
17	So we just had a closed session on agenda item
18	18. The chair would entertain a motion for that.
19	MR. BACARISSE: Mr. Chairman?
20	MR. TREVIÑO: Yes.
21	MR. BACARISSE: I would like to make a motion.
22	First of all, Mr. Chairman, do you need to do the regular
23	announcement that we're back in session, and blah-blah to
24	open session. Did you go over all of that?
25	MR. TREVIÑO: I think I did. I said it's

1	'
1	approximately 1:00 p.m., the board is now back in open
2	session and asked the meeting host to move Tracey Beaver
3	as panelist. We've got Tracey back, we've got you back.
4	MR. BACARISSE: Great. So I'd like to move
5	that the board approve the performance plan of the
6	executive director, as we discussed in the closed session.
7	MR. TREVIÑO: I've got a motion from Member
8	Bacarisse. Do we have a second?
9	MR. PREWITT: Second.
10	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt.
11	Any further discussion?
12	(No response.)
13	MR. TREVIÑO: Hearing none, I'd call for the
14	vote.
15	Member Bacarisse?
16	MR. BACARISSE: Aye.
17	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
18	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
19	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Graham?
20	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
21	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
22	MS. McRAE: Aye.
23	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
24	MR. PREWITT: Aye.
25	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
l	

MR. RAMIREZ: Aye. 1 MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott? 2 3 MR. SCOTT: Aye. 4 MR. TREVIÑO: And Member Washburn has dropped 5 off, and I, Chairman Treviño, vote aye. Let the record 6 reflect that the motion carries unanimously, with the 7 exception of Member Washburn, who was not present. 8 And before we move to agenda item number 19, 9 General Counsel Beaver, can you give us an update on the 10 person who came last time and had some concerns with a moving company? Is that possible even though it's not on 11 the agenda. 12 13 MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, 14 for the record. 15 I'd be happy to update the board as we get more 16 information on that, but that's not posted on the agenda 17 today, so I would ask that we postpone that to a later 18 time. 19 MR. TREVIÑO: Great, but if you could, if any 20 member of the public comes with a question in regards to 21 whether we have an answer or not, really would appreciate 22 to give something that we're working on it or something 2.3 like that. I know you're working hard, and I appreciate 24 your hard work, but if you can, I just think it would be a

25

good process.

MS. BEAVER: Absolutely. Happy to do so. 1 2 MR. TREVIÑO: Thank you very much. 3 So we'll move on to agenda item 19. Are there 4 any more commenters out there, or have we exhausted our 5 commenters? 6 MS. BEAVER: We did have one person who 7 registered to comment earlier on a general item, and her 8 name was Katherine Warren. I don't see her logged in, but 9 we have a couple of call-in users, so if you'd like Ms. 10 Warren to have an opportunity to raise her hand in case 11 she did call in, now would be the time. 12 MR. TREVIÑO: We would absolutely like to hear 13 from Ms. Warren. We absolutely like to hear from the 14 public, it's their right. So anybody out there like to 15 raise their hand for public comment? MS. BEAVER: No attendees have raised their 16 17 hand for public comment, so we will touch base with Ms. Warren after the meeting as well to make sure any of her 18 19 issues are addressed and follow up with the board at the 20 next board meeting. Thank you. 21 MR. TREVIÑO: Terrific. 22 Okay. So then we will move to agenda item 2.3 number 20. Are we good there, General Counsel? 24 MS. BEAVER: Yes, that's it for the public 25 comment period.

1	MR. TREVIÑO: Great. Unless there's any
2	further business, I would like to entertain a motion to
3	adjourn
4	MR. RAMIREZ: Motion.
5	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez, thank you very
6	much.
7	And is there a second?
8	MR. BACARISSE: Second. Bacarisse.
9	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Bacarisse.
10	Great. Okay. So call for the vote.
11	Member Bacarisse?
12	MR. BACARISSE: Aye.
13	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Gillman?
14	MS. GILLMAN: Aye.
15	Do we really need to take a vote on
16	adjournment?
17	MS. BEAVER: This is Tracey Beaver, general
18	counsel, for the record.
19	Yes, sir.
20	MR. TREVIÑO: Okay. Member Graham?
21	MR. GRAHAM: Aye.
22	MR. TREVIÑO: Member McRae?
23	MS. McRAE: Aye.
24	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Prewitt?
25	MR. PREWITT: Aye.

1	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Ramirez?
2	MR. RAMIREZ: Aye.
3	MR. TREVIÑO: Member Scott?
4	MR. SCOTT: Aye.
5	MR. TREVIÑO: And Board Member Washburn has
6	dropped off, and let the record reflect that I, Chairman
7	Treviño, also vote aye.
8	Let the record reflect the vote is unanimous,
9	and it is now approximately $1:05 \text{ p.m.,}$ and this meeting is
10	adjourned. Thank you very much for everybody's hard work
11	and patience.
12	(Whereupon, at 1:05 p.m., the meeting was
13	adjourned.)

1 <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

TxDMV Board

LOCATION: Austin, Texas

MEETING OF:

DATE: December 10, 2020

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 197, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

DATE: December 23, 2020

/s/ Nancy H. King (Transcriber)

On the Record Reporting & Transcription, Inc. 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., Ste 515 Austin, Texas 78752