TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

VEHICLE TITLES AND REGISTRATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MEETING

1:00 p.m. Thursday, December 19, 2019

Lone Star Room
Building 1
4000 Jackson Avenue
Austin, Texas

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

ROBERT "BOBBY" ROBERTI, Presiding
THOMAS JOHNSON DRAKE (absent)
DAVID PAUL FONTENOT (via telephone)
TAWNA M. KUNI (via telephone)
SHAY ADAM LUEDECKE (via telephone)
KEITH P. MILLARD (via telephone)
DANA CLARK MOORE
IVAN NINO (via telephone)
JAMES ERNEST PASCHALL
JACKIE LOU POLK (via telephone)
FARON LEE SMITH
KRISTI ANN TORRES (absent)
CHRISTAL LEE VINCENT (via telephone)

I N D E X

AGENI	DA ITE	<u>EM</u>	PAGE
1.	ROLL	CALL AND ESTABLISHMENT OF QUORUM	4
2.	DISCU	JSSION AND ACTION ITEMS	
	Α.	Nominations and Selection of First Vice Chair to Act as the Presiding Officer When the Presiding Officer is Not Available and to Make Presentations to the Board	5
	В.	Nominations and Selection of Second Vice Chair to Act as the Presiding Officer When the Presiding Officer and the First Vice Chair Are Not Available and to Gather and Organize Presentations to the Board	9
	С.	Recommendations Regarding Digital License Plates Rules	10
	D.	Future Meeting Schedule	97
	E. I	Potential Future Agenda Items	98
3.	PUBLI	IC COMMENT	102
4.	ADJOU	JRNMENT	103

PROCEEDINGS

MR. ROBERTI: Good afternoon. My name is Bobby Roberti. I am pleased to open the meeting of the Vehicle Titles and Registration Advisory Committee. I am the presiding officer of this Advisory Committee. For ease of reference, I will refer to this Advisory Committee as VTRAC, which is the acronym for this meeting.

It is 1:03 p.m., and I am now calling the VTRAC meeting for December 19, 2019, to order. I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting containing all items on the agenda was filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on December 11, 2019.

Before we begin today's meeting, please place all cell phones and other communication devices in silent mode, and please, as a courtesy to others, do not carry on side conversations or other activities in the meeting room. If you're joining us by phone today, please let us know immediately if you're no longer able to participate for any reason.

If your phone call drops and you're disconnected, Texas DMV staff will interrupt the meeting to let us know to get you back on the line before we proceed with the agenda. Any members of the public who aren't an Advisory Committee member can address the Advisory Committee or speak on the -- on an agenda item

during today's meeting, if they complete a speaker's sheet at the registration table.

Your time will be limited to three minutes. Please identify on the sheet the specific item you are interested in commenting on, and indicate if you wish to appear before the Advisory Committee and present your comment, or if you only wish to have it -- to have your written comment read into the record.

If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting. Comments should be pertinent to the issues stated on the comment sheet. When addressing the Advisory Committee, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

So we will move to Agenda Item 1, roll call and establishment of a quorum. Please let me know if I pronounce your name correctly or incorrectly, I should say. When I call your name, please indicate if you are present. Member Drake?

(No response.)

MR. ROBERTI: Member Fontenot?

MR. FONTENOT: Yes, I'm here.

MR. ROBERTI: Member Kuni?

MS. KUNI: Member Kuni present.

MR. ROBERTI: Thank you. Member Luedecke?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. LUEDECKE: Member Luedecke present.
2	MR. ROBERTI: Thank you. Member Millard?
3	MR. MILLARD: Present.
4	MR. ROBERTI: Thank you. Member Moore?
5	MR. MOORE: Here.
6	MR. ROBERTI: Sitting right next to me. Member
7	Nino?
8	MR. NINO: Member Nino present.
9	MR. ROBERTI: Thank you. Member Paschall?
10	MR. PASCHALL: Here.
11	MR. ROBERTI: Member Polk?
12	MS. POLK: Member Polk here.
13	MR. ROBERTI: Thank you. Member Smith?
14	MR. SMITH: I'm right here.
15	MR. ROBERTI: Right here. Member Torres?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. ROBERTI: Member Vincent?
18	MS. VINCENT: Member Vincent here.
19	MR. ROBERTI: Thank you. And let the record
20	reflect that I, Bobby Roberti, am here as well. Just a
21	second. Gwen, how many do you have?
22	FEMALE VOICE: We have enough. Yes.
23	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. We have a quorum, so we
24	will continue with the meeting. We will now move to
25	Item 2, "Nominations and Selection of First Vice Chair to

1	Act as the Presiding Officer When the Presiding Officer is
2	Not Available and to Make Presentations to the Board."
3	I want to remind the members to please state
4	your name before you speak so we'll have a record on what
5	each person said. So what I'm going to do is, the way I
6	did it back at my own city, we'll open a nomination
7	committee a nomination window, take nominations, close
8	the window, and then we will take comments, or people can
9	speak for themselves if they want to nominate themselves.
10	If they have nominated themselves during the
11	window, they can speak for themselves as well. So with
12	that, I'd like to open a nomination window. Do I have a
13	motion to open the nomination window?
14	MR. MOORE: Motion.
15	MR. ROBERTI: Motion by Member Moore. Second?
16	MR. SMITH: Second.
17	MR. ROBERTI: Second by Member Smith.
18	Nominations are now opened. Do I have a nomination for
19	First Vice Chair?
20	MR. SMITH: I'll do it.
21	MR. ROBERTI: I have a nomination for Member
22	Smith. Do I have any other nominations? I have I'd
23	like to
24	MS. KUNI: This is Member
25	MR. ROBERTI: Yeah. Go ahead, on the phone.

1	MS. KUNI: this is Member Kuni. I nominate
2	Member Luedecke.
3	MR. ROBERTI: We have a nomination for Member
4	Luedecke. Do I have any other nominations? Do I have a
5	motion to close the nomination window?
6	MR. MOORE: Motion.
7	MR. ROBERTI: Motion by Member Moore, second
8	by
9	MR. NINO: Member Nino.
10	MR. ROBERTI: Member Nino. All in favor,
11	say aye.
12	(A chorus of ayes.)
13	MR. ROBERTI: Any opposed to closing the
14	nomination window?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. ROBERTI: The nomination window is now
17	closed. All right. So at this point, Member Smith, do
18	you want to give a speech?
19	MR. SMITH: I'm here. I'm actually going to be
20	here at every single meeting.
21	MR. ROBERTI: Okay, okay. That's good. Member
22	Luedecke, do you want to is that it? Okay. Member
23	Luedecke, do you want to make any comments?
24	MR. LUEDECKE: Sure. Shay Luedecke. I'm Bell
25	County Tax Assessor Collector, and I'm going to present at

1	every DMV Board meeting as well as the Advisory meetings.
2	
3	I'm not there today because I have a prior
4	engagement at 5:00, and I didn't know how Austin traffic
5	was going to be this afternoon when we let out, so I plan
6	to be there at those meetings every single month or every
7	other month for the DMV Board meeting.
8	So I appreciate your vote in support.
9	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Does anybody else want to
10	make any comments or questions for any of the nominees?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. ROBERTI: All right. We'll take a vote.
13	All in favor of Member Smith as First Vice Chair, say aye?
14	(Ayes of those present: Moore, Roberti,
15	Smith.)
16	MR. ROBERTI: One, two on the phone, say
17	your name, and aye.
18	MS. VINCENT: Vincent, aye.
19	MR. FONTENOT: David Fontenot, aye.
20	MR. ROBERTI: All right. So any votes for
21	Member Luedecke, say aye.
22	MR. PASCHALL: I'm one.
23	(Ayes of those present: Paschall.)
24	MS. POLK: Member Polk, aye.
25	MR. NINO: Member Nino, aye.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MS. KUNI: Member Kuni, aye.
2	MR. MILLARD: Member Millard
3	MR. ROBERTI: Yeah. Say again, one at a time.
4	MR. MILLARD: Member Millard, aye.
5	MS. POLK: Member Polk, aye.
6	MR. NINO: Member Nino, aye.
7	MR. LUEDECKE: Member Luedecke, aye.
8	MS. KUNI: Member Kuni, aye.
9	MR. ROBERTI: Stand by. All right.
10	Congratulations to Member Luedecke for First Vice Chair.
11	MR. LUEDECKE: Thank you.
12	MR. ROBERTI: What was the do we have to
13	call a vote? How many? One, two, three, four, five, six.
14	Six votes for Member Luedecke, and four five for
15	Member Smith. Missed by one. Sorry, sir.
16	MR. SMITH: No worries.
17	MR. ROBERTI: All right. All right. So we're
18	going to go on to Item 2(b), "Nominations and Selection of
19	Second Vice Chair to Act as the Presiding Officer When the
20	Presiding Officer and the First Vice Chair Are Not
21	Available and to Gather and Organize Presentations for the
22	Board."
23	So do I have a motion to open the nomination
24	window?

MR. MOORE: Motion.

25

1	MR. ROBERTI: Motion by Member Moore. Second
2	by Member Paschall. All in favor, say aye?
3	(A chorus of ayes.)
4	MR. ROBERTI: Any opposed?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. ROBERTI: The nomination window is now
7	open. Now taking nominations for Second Vice Chair.
8	MR. MOORE: I nominate Member Smith.
9	MR. ROBERTI: Member Smith.
10	MR. MOORE: We'll get you in there
11	MR. ROBERTI: Do I have any other nominations?
12	(No response.)
13	MR. ROBERTI: Anyone? Nominations are now
14	closed. So we don't have to vote. Congratulations to
15	Member Smith.
16	MR. SMITH: Thank you.
17	MR. ROBERTI: Appreciate your service. All
18	right. Next up, Item 2(c), "Recommendations Regarding
19	Digital License Plate Rules." I will turn the item over
20	to Texas DMV Titles Vehicle Titles and Registration
21	Division staff to provide an overview on the issues on
22	which Texas DMV wants VTRAC to provide recommendations.
23	MS. PARR-LAMB: For the record, Tammera Parr-
24	Lamb. Thank you all for being here in person, as well as
25	on the phone. I know this is the first opportunity you

all have had as a group to discuss this, so this will be our good opportunity for that open discussion.

We sent you a copy of the statute, the excerpt from the Senate Bill 604, a copy of the administrative rules as we've drafted them so far, as well as a list of questions that we had, that as we were drafting the rules and going through everything, these were the items that, I guess, created the most discussion amongst our group, as we were going through them.

So if it's all right with the Presiding

Officer, I thought we would just read through the

questions and maybe discuss them one at a time, or --

MR. ROBERTI: Yeah.

MS. PARR-LAMB: -- Bobby, did you have a different approach?

MR. ROBERTI: No. I think that would be great.

MS. PARR-LAMB: Okay. With me today -- I've got two of our lead team members on the Digital Plates

Project Team, David Pyndus and Romeo McCain. So we're going to look to them if we have any questions, and our manager, Candy Southerland.

So this should be a good opportunity for discussion. So I'm sure y'all have all had a chance to read the rule. And one of the items that we'll start with is the administrative fee that's going to be charged for

What is an equitable administrative fee for 1 2 attaining digital license plates? 3 And when should the administrative fee be 4 collected, one time or annually? So I don't know if, 5 Bobby, y'all want to start with that, or if you want me to 6 have one of the team members provide a little background 7 on our methodology? 8 Anything you pick is --MR. ROBERTI: 9 MS. POLK: This is Member Polk. Oh, sorry. 10 MR. ROBERTI: Yeah. Go ahead, Member Polk. Do you have a point of order question? 11 12 MS. POLK: I do, because I was looking through 13 the calculations, and I did have some questions on the 14 calculations, based on, you know, the five-year recovery 15 and the fact that it's estimated that actually you would 16 have to get a new plate every three years. 17 So I would like to walk through some of the calculations if possible. 18 19 MR. ROBERTI: Yeah. So why don't we do this: 20 we'll have the presentation from staff, and then after Texas DMV staff has finished with the presentation, do you 21 22 want to segment it into different segments, and then have 23 discussion afterwards? 24 MS. PARR-LAMB: We can certainly start with the

methodology on how we came up with the figures and walk

25

1	through that. Would that
2	MR. ROBERTI: We'll do it in segments, then.
3	MS. PARR-LAMB: Okay.
4	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. So we'll have the
5	presentation on what you just said
6	MS. PARR-LAMB: Okay.
7	MR. ROBERTI: and then we'll take Committee
8	questions and comments, after each segment.
9	MS. PARR-LAMB: I think that works.
10	MR. ROBERTI: Okay.
11	MS. PARR-LAMB: David, would you like to walk
12	us through
13	MR. ROBERTI: Is that okay, Member Polk? Is
14	that okay?
15	MS. POLK: Yeah, that's great. Is it a visual
16	presentation or just verbal?
17	MR. ROBERTI: It's we'll just give them the
18	time to give the presentation, and then we'll set aside
19	time for us to ask them questions where they will
20	respond
21	MS. POLK: Okay.
22	MR. ROBERTI: respond freely after that.
23	MS. POLK: Okay. Thank you.
24	MR. PYNDUS: Hi. My name is David Pyndus. I'm
25	a Registration Services planner, and was involved in

analyzing the bill, as we call it. And of course, as earlier this month, we had sent you an excerpt from the bill that establishes digital license plates, just Article 3, as well as a list of questions that we're now currently discussing.

And the bill, as written and passed, under 504.154(d)(2), does authorize us to establish an administrative fee. So just as a purpose -- a starting point for discussion, we were trying to recoup the costs. There are some costs involved with implementing this program, like the programming.

There's programming in our system. I don't want to get too deep into this, but we have a registration and title system when you come in to renew your vehicle, and you get a sticker and/or a plate. This is recorded in a system for passenger cars and trucks in Texas.

There's another separate system. It's called the Texas International Registration Plan System. This is for heavier vehicles, commercial vehicles, and that system would also be programmed. So basically, just based on the programming costs -- and I think these figures were outlined in the document that we sent you, as well as some additional head count or FTEs we need to help us implement the program.

We took that full amount, which was about

1.8 million, and we were determining a proposed administrative fee based on that. We used the State of California as a template. That's the only state that's had an ongoing digital plate program for several years.

They're just finishing the third year now. So we took the number of vehicles in California that were registered with digital plates, and we divided that by five, in an attempt to -- the idea was we were trying to recoup the costs in a five-year period. And this is normally how we do our legislative analysis.

We do everything on a five-year fiscal year template. So then we did -- at the time, we took the number of vehicles, which I believe was 1,300 vehicles, divided that by five, and from that figure, we again divided it by three, which was just -- that was our rough estimate of a potential shelf life of a digital product.

The tricky thing is, this is a new technology. So we really don't know what the shelf life is. There's not really any data on it. This week, we discussed as a group -- I reached out to California, since they've been having the project for a few years now.

And Arizona is the other state that actually has gone live. In January this year, they went live with the digital license plate program. They have about 100 plates issued.

Anyway, I reached out to both Arizona and California just to see if they had gathered any data, and it turns out, neither of these states is tracking the information. So they weren't able to provide me any kind of a figure on the digital license plates that have been replaced, you know, due to malfunction or the digital characters not reading right, you know, any other reason we would normally replace a license plate.

You know, for the metal plate on your car, we generally refer to that as -- you need a replacement if it's lost, stolen or mutilated. So these same things would also apply to a digital plate, but then there could be other, you know, connectivity issues or issues with the integrity of the software of the plate, things we don't really know.

And I've gotten a little off field, but we use that three-year to again divide, and that's how we got the -- I believe we had a \$95 administrative fee proposed, or at least as a means to start discussion. And I don't know if I explained that well enough, but I think we provided the math on the questions that we sent you.

So again --

MS. POLK: This is Member Polk. Oh, sorry.

MR. PYNDUS: Yeah? Go on.

MR. ROBERTI: One second, Member Polk. Just to

make sure he's finished first? 1 2 MR. PYNDUS: Please, go on. 3 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. If -- are you guys -- is 4 everybody finished on that one? Are you finished on that 5 one? 6 MR. PYNDUS: For the moment. 7 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Member Polk, go ahead. Okay. So I followed the 8 MS. POLK: 9 calculations. The only question I had is: if you believe 10 that the license plate will be -- the lifespan is three years, would you not take the 285 and divide it by 1.667? 11 12 Instead of the three years, that will be good for three years, you'd actually be replacing it, you know, 1.67 13 14 times during the five years? 15 So in effect, you would charge \$171 rather than 95. 16 17 This is David Pyndus. MR. PYNDUS: That's certainly another approach, and another tricky thing with 18 this is, because it is a new technology and there's not a 19 20 lot of digital license plates on the road in the U.S., it's -- you know, it's just hard to know where to draw the 21 22 line. 23 I mean, you could also -- you know, you could 24 also assume the shelf life is five years, very easily, or 25 use that five-year, since that's such a -- we're trying to

recoup the cost within that time period, you know, stick 1 2 with that five years, and then the final figure would go down a little bit. 3 But -- and that's the key -- that's the hard 4 5 question. You know, what's equitable? 6 MS. POLK: Here's the -- oh, this is Member 7 I guess, here's the question I have for you. 8 Because, you know, working with companies with commercial 9 motor vehicles, we see a lot of rear plates getting 10 replaced, just simply because they back into, you know, where they're going to load. 11 12 Those things get crinkled all the time, so I'm 13 finding myself thinking, okay. If someone actually wants 14 to pay to have an electronically-displayed license plate, 15 I just foresee issues with it being damaged. 16 Have you looked at what rate of replacement 17 Texas does on average for plates today? MR. ROBERTI: So metal plates. Is that what 18 19 you're asking? 20 MR. KUNTZ: So what --MS. POLK: Yeah. 21 22 MR. PYNDUS: Okay. 23 MS. POLK: Yes. 24 MR. KUNTZ: So Member Polk, Jeremiah Kuntz, the 25 director of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division.

one of the things that I want to sure, as we're talking about this administrative fee, that everybody is kind of understanding what this is as well -- so there is a cost for the plate itself that is not reflected here, because the assumption is, is that the customer would purchase the digital license plate from the digital plate provider.

So there's a separate cost for replacement. If that plate was damaged, they would have to go back to the plate provider to seek a replacement. I don't, at this point, know if -- I'll just use the example of my Apple phone.

You can purchase AppleCare. I don't know if the vendor's going to provide any kind of insurance coverage like that on damage to the plate. Don't know, because we don't know what vendor would potentially get this.

If not, they would have to just get a replacement plate or go back to a metal plate. This administrative fee is the fee the Department would be collecting on these plates in order to recoup our cost for developing the technology interface.

So the cost that you're seeing, the 500,000, approximately, per system, that is to develop an API or an interface between us and the plate providers so that we can connect to whatever software they have, and we need to

recover that cost.

So this is purely limited to recovery of that technology cost and our cost of managing the contract.

Any other costs for replacement would be borne by the customer for replacement with the company. Is that helping clarify anything for you?

MS. POLK: Well -- this is Member Polk again -I did realize that, but I thought there might be some
administrative work with the issuance of a replacement
plate by the two employees that will be, you know, kind
of, I guess, monitoring the data, if you will.

MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. We --

MS. POLK: So I didn't --

MR. KUNTZ: -- yeah. We don't anticipate any fulfillment on the Department's side. Our anticipation is the vendor would do all of the fulfillment, sending the plate to the customer, activation. All of those kind of things would be handled by the vendor.

Those two FTEs are actually for contract management and oversight. So when we have a contract with a vendor, we've got ongoing activities developing our request for proposals, you know, evaluating those, monitoring the contractor to make sure that they're performing the way they're supposed to.

In other words, they're not taking money from

customers and not giving them service, those kind of 1 things. So those are ongoing monitoring activities for the contract. 3 4 MS. POLK: Okay. So -- this is Member Polk 5 again -- just to clarify, then: as far as your 6 Department, when you process a request for a license 7 plate, whether it would be a digital plate or a metal 8 plate, you will basically follow the same procedures in 9 your office and incur the same administration costs as 10 if -- I mean, it will be basically a wash. 11 The only cost will be in building the system and making sure that that API is functioning so that when 12 they purchase that electronic plate from the other -- from 13 14 the provider, that that data is synced between the two. 15 If they don't renew, that the system sends the 16 message so that the plate will display that it's, you 17 know, not a valid registration and such, so --MR. KUNTZ: Correct. 18 19 MS. POLK: -- so there isn't actually any human 20 intervention that is necessary, that is different than you 21 have with a metal plate. Is that correct? 22 MR. KUNTZ: Correct. Yes. There would not be any --23 24 MS. POLK: Okay. 25 MR. KUNTZ: -- kind of, you know, handling, if

1	you will, on our part. So
2	MS. POLK: Okay. That helps.
3	MR. ROBERTI: Do we have any other questions?
4	MR. MILLARD: This is Member Millard.
5	MR. ROBERTI: Go ahead on the phone.
6	MR. MILLARD: Member Millard.
7	MR. ROBERTI: Go ahead.
8	MR. MILLARD: I have a comment. Just to
9	clarify, if you have a metal plate and you need a
10	replacement, they're going to issue a new plate number. I
11	assume on this particular kind of plate, if your plate is
12	crushed in an accident or whatever, ruined, you're going
13	to be issued the same plate number again?
14	MS. SOUTHERLAND: Correct.
15	MR. MILLARD: Okay. Thank you.
16	MR. ROBERTI: Do we have any other questions on
17	this? We'll wrap it up at the end, too, if anybody has
18	any questions along the way. So we'll go on to the next
19	segment.
20	MS. PARR-LAMB: Okay. Tammera Parr-Lamb again,
21	for the record. In our questions document that we sent
22	you all, it also had a couple of recommendations as it
23	relates to this administrative fee. I can read those out

on each recommendation, if that's all right with you,

Bobby?

2 MR. ROBERTI: Yes.

MS. PARR-LAMB: Okay. The first recommendation: proposed rule to collect an administrative fee of \$95 or a different fee as recommended by the Committee for registration of a vehicle with a digital license plate.

1(b). The administrative fees are currently collected for certain departmental actions, Transportation Code, Section 504.154, regarding digital license plates, says that departmental rule may establish a fee in an amount necessary to cover any administrative costs incurred that relate to the issuance of a digital license plate and exceed the administrative cost incurred for the issuance of a physical license plate.

Proposed Administrative Code rules allow for the collection of the administrative fee anytime the vehicle is to be equipped with a digital license plate, initial issuance, and at the time of renewal -- registration -- excuse me -- renewal.

The methodology shown for setting the administrative fee in 1(a) includes annual fee collection to recoup the costs for implementing the digital license plate program. So in essence, this would be the collection of that \$95 on an annual basis.

So I would turn it over to y'all for any 1 2 discussion or questions on that recommendation. 3 MR. ROBERTI: Anybody have any questions or 4 comments on that? 5 MS. POLK: This is Member Polk again. 6 MR. ROBERTI: Go ahead. 7 MS. POLK: I believe I read something, maybe in 8 the -- this bill. I don't remember, but I know it was 9 somewhere I think I saw where you won't supposed to have a 10 fee higher than what you're trying to recoup. 11 But I'm still kind of looking at the numbers, thinking, okay. I understand the 1,425. I understand 12 dividing it by five, because you're going to collect the 13 14 fee every year, but I'm still not sure I understand the 15 three-year, why you would divide it by three? 16 MR. ROBERTI: Mr. Pyndus, do you want to answer 17 that? MR. PYNDUS: This is David Pyndus. And again, 18 that was an estimate for draft discussion. We use the 19 20 number three based on what we assumed to be an average life for an electronic device, and again, as was alluded 21 22 to earlier, this is a device that would be used by some 23 commercial vehicles on the roadways, and are probably 24 subject to more wear and tear than your typical electronic

25

device.

MR. KUNTZ: I mean, at the end of the day -MS. POLK: Sure.

MR. KUNTZ: -- at the end of the day, the way the calculation ultimately works out is, it's a 15-year replacement -- I mean, collection cycle. So if you're collecting the \$95 annually, then basically in 15 years of collecting \$95 on 1,300 plates, you would be at breakeven.

MS. POLK: Right. But I thought we were providing for a five-year?

MR. KUNTZ: We can, but again -- and I think part of this -- and I don't know if it's necessarily years or not, but I mean, what we're trying to do in some of this is to create a reasonable fee. The dilemma we've got with this is, if there's a lower number of plates -- the lower the number of plates, either the longer it takes to recover, or the higher the fee has to be in five years to recover.

And so that's kind of --

MS. POLK: Uh-huh.

MR. KUNTZ: -- the dilemma we've got. I mean, three, I think, was a way to kind of mitigate some of the highness of a \$285 annual fee. It was just to spread that out a little bit longer. Basically, if you have three times as many customers, or you take 15 years, that's

basically what you're getting there.

It's a little bit of a way to discount, I guess, the fee. Ninety-five dollars is somewhat consistent with our other specialty plate fees for metal plates, and so we were trying to get something that was at least similar in line to the other specialty plate fees that we charge.

But yes, I recognize that this is going to string this out as a 15-year, basically, replacement fee at 1,300 vehicles.

MR. ROBERTI: So --

MS. POLK: Okay. I -- Member Polk again. I just wanted to make sure, because I'm -- I was working with that five-year number, and I'm, like, okay. This isn't going to get us there.

MR. KUNTZ: It won't.

MS. POLK: And while I do see the logic of the specialty plates being the \$95, that seems very logical, but one question, I guess, I do have is: if -- because we know how technology works, if in five years electronic display of license plates is old technology, will this system be able to actually be used for something else?

Is there another benefit that can be gained by having this new system, other than with this application?

Does that make --

MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. And I get you. Creating a web service to our system, I guess, could facilitate other technologies. Let's say, RFID. Let's say, all of a sudden, the State completely did away with license plates and just went to RFID chips.

Whoever was selling those RFID chips would have some database that would need to connect to our system, and having that API would allow for the connection of any kind of technology into the system to report who had, you know, paid for their registration or had not paid for their registration.

So I guess there could be some portability, if you will, if there was some other technology that had a database that needed to talk to our system, but beyond that, I don't really know. You know, if it wasn't a registration-based type of system, if it was completely changed in totality, I have no idea whether it would be effective or not.

MS. AUCOIN: Just for the record -- this is Aline Aucoin -- I just want to spell out some of the acronyms that we're just using.

MR. KUNTZ: Sorry.

MS. AUCOIN: So RFID stands for Radio Frequency Identification, I believe.

MR. KUNTZ: Like your toll tags, like -- yeah.

So like the toll tags and the reader for that. 1 MS. AUCOIN: And API stands for --2 3 MR. KUNTZ: I have no idea. All I know is it's an interface that -- it's a web service for computers. 4 5 It's a way for databases to talk to each other, via the 6 internet. 7 MS. POLK: Yeah. MR. KUNTZ: I don't know what that actual IT 8 9 acronym is. I just know what they call --MR. ROBERTI: So --10 11 MS. POLK: There's a lot of value connecting an 12 API with your system. That's for sure. MR. KUNTZ: And we do have other APIs that do 13 14 exist for the system today, for other very specific 15 purposes. MR. ROBERTI: So I'd like to see if I could 16 17 summarize this, and you guys could correct me if I'm right. Okay. So this was basically voted into law. 18 is S.B. 604. Correct? 19 20 MR. KUNTZ: Yes. 21 That staff has come up MR. ROBERTI: Okay. 22 with an administrative fee to recover some of the 23 technology costs to implement this, because it has to 24 happen. Correct?

MS. AUCOIN: Correct.

Technology as well as

25

1	the employee costs.
2	MR. KUNTZ: Yeah, but
3	MR. ROBERTI: Employee is
4	MR. KUNTZ: yes. We have a requirement to
5	implement the legislation. Yes. And we need to recover
6	those fees.
7	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. And it's staff's opinion
8	that this is probably the best balance and the lowest cost
9	they could do this in. Correct?
10	MR. KUNTZ: That's what we're trying to come
11	to. We're trying to keep the fee as low as possible,
12	while still being able to recover the costs to the
13	Department. Yes.
14	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Let the record show
15	everybody's nodding their head, yes.
16	Okay. All right. Member Polk, is that okay?
17	You didn't see that, so I just thought
18	MR. KUNTZ: That's why I verbalized it.
19	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. All right.
20	FEMALE VOICE: You are correct.
21	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. All right.
22	MS. POLK: This is Member Polk. I definitely
23	understand. I just don't want to see our State be one
24	that, you know, goes into a deficit. So I'm just, you
25	know, looking out for the State of Texas.

MR. ROBERTI: Okay. So let's go there. 1 2 ask a question. If we do run a deficit, where does the 3 recovery come from? 4 MR. KUNTZ: So -- again, for the record, 5 Jeremiah Kuntz -- the funds to do this programming were 6 appropriated to the Department by the Legislature. 7 funds come from the fees and taxes that you all pay for 8 registration. 9 So when this agency receives funding from a 10 registration, we collect what's known as a processing handling fee. There are other fees that are collected 11 12 during the titling and registration event that go into the 13 DMV fund, and so those are the funds that will be used to 14 pay for this. 15 So all of the citizens of the state of Texas 16 have already funded this, if you will, through the payment 17 of their registration fees. So basically, it's recovering the costs for everybody who's already paid for this, if 18 19 that makes sense. 20 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Does anybody have any 21 questions on that? Are you guys good? 22 MS. POLK: No.

come back to all of this. We -- before we ask for any

kind of motion or -- we'll make sure everybody has at

MR. ROBERTI: All right. So once again, we'll

23

24

25

1 least another a chance or two to speak on this. 2 So Ms. Tammera? 3 MS. PARR-LAMB: Okay. Thank you. Again, 4 Tammera Parr-Lamb. I'm going to present another TxDMV 5 recommendation for consideration and discussion as it 6 relates to the collection of the administrative fee. 7 one would be to proposed rule to collect the 8 administrative fee for initial registration for the 9 digital license plate and at time of renewal. 10 Note that the digital license plate customer may opt to remove their digital plate, attach their metal 11 plate to the vehicle, and affix their windshield 12 registration sticker, if applicable, at any time. 13 14 digital plate customer switches back to using their metal 15 plate, the customer will not be required to pay an 16 additional administrative fee, if they've already paid the 17 administrative fee for the digital plate for that registration period. 18 19 And I'll open it up. Does anybody on the phone 20 or in the room have any questions or discussions on that? Would you like our team to elaborate? 21 22 MR. ROBERTI: Anyone? 23 (No response.) 24 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. You can keep going. 25 MS. PARR-LAMB: Okay. The second question we

posed -- and again, these are items that came up for discussion as we were drafting the rule -- should a customer be able to opt out of digital license plate advertising that is proposed by the digital plate provider and approved by the Department?

I'm going to read it all to you, and then we can discuss it. Transportation Code 504.155 states a rule adopted by the Department may establish procedures to allow the following displays on a digital license plate: (a) an emergency alert or other public safety alert issued by a governmental entity, including an alert authorized under Subchapter L, M, or P of Chapter 411 of the Government Code; (b) a vehicle manufacture safety recall notice; (c) static logo displays, including unique displays for fleet vehicles; or (d) advertising approved by the Department.

Chapter 411 of the Government Code, Subchapters L, M, and P, are concerned with Amber alerts for abducted children and certain missing persons, silver alerts for missing senior citizens, and persons with Alzheimer's disease, and the blue alert system, which is activated to aid in the apprehension of a person suspected of killing or causing serious bodily injury to a law enforcement officer. Static logo displays are currently available to commercial vehicle fleet owners under Transportation Code,

Section 502.0023(d), and do not need further approval by a digital plate provider or the Department.

Under Transportation Code, Section 504.155, certain advertising may be allowed on digital license plates, if the advertising is approved by the Department. While the Department has statutory authority to approve non-registration information to be displayed on digital license plates, some customers may not want advertising or certain advertising to appear on their digital plate.

With this in mind, should a process be established in rule for customers to opt out of advertising on their digital license plates? Bobby, I would turn it over to y'all for questions or discussions.

MR. ROBERTI: Anybody have any questions on this, on this recommendation? Anyone on the phone?

MS. KUNI: Yeah. This is Member Kuni. I have a question. Are we talking about commercial advertising or is this just those alerts?

MR. KUNTZ: So the -- this Jeremiah Kuntz, for the record, again. There are, as Ms. Parr-Lamb read out -- there are five types of advertising, or I guess, messages that could be displayed on the digital plate.

And so it's emergency alerts.

You could actually have safety recalls that display on the plate. Static logo displays. Unique

displays for fleet vehicles, so like UPS could have UPS on
the plate. Advertising approved by the Department. So
that could just be advertisement for McDonald's that's
displaying on your license plate.

It could be advertisements for Mercedes-Benz or
BMW or any number of different private advertising that

BMW or any number of different private advertising that could run on that license plate -- use this as a minibillboard, if you will.

MS. KUNI: Okay. So I think it would be important to distinguish the difference, then you let people opt out of some of that advertising. That's like choosing my bumper sticker for me, and I may not agree with wanting to market some of that.

But I also see that would be a way to generate some revenue, and maybe offset the fees and the cost of the program.

MR. KUNTZ: Okay.

MS. KUNI: Is that correct?

MR. KUNTZ: We will have to develop the RFP to determine whether or not any of that revenue would come to the Department or if it would stay with the digital plate provider. At this point, that's something that would have to still be determined, if there would be any kind of --

MS. KUNI: Oh.

MR. KUNTZ: -- revenue sharing. It's not clear

at this point whether or not there would be revenue sharing on that, but even then, I think what we're trying to figure out is -- even beyond the private advertising, if somebody has a digital plate, should they be required to display the safety recall on their vehicle?

Should they be required to display Amber alerts, those kinds of things? Are there certain ones — it seems to me that you're kind of indicating maybe some of those should be required, and others, they would have the option-ality to?

MS. KUNI: Yeah. I --

MR. KUNTZ: Okay.

MS. KUNI: -- could see maybe the emergency alerts, but I'm not so sure about the recall notices or the retail advertising. I think maybe those should be optional.

MR. KUNTZ: Okay.

MR. MILLARD: This is Member Millard.

MR. ROBERTI: Go ahead.

MR. MILLARD: This looks to me like the DMV recommendation is customers should be able to opt out of approved advertising which is part (d), not (a), (b) and (c). That would be my recommendation also, that they could opt out of advertising, but it doesn't include (a), (b) and (c).

1	MR. ROBERTI: Okay.
2	MR. LUEDECKE: This is Member Luedecke. I
3	agree with that. I would not want to if I was a
4	business out there, my Coca Cola truck, I wouldn't want a
5	Pepsi to be displayed on my license plate, so I would want
6	to opt out of all that.
7	MR. ROBERTI: So could we we could just make
8	that part of our motion? Stand by.
9	MS. POLK: This is Member Polk.
10	MR. ROBERTI: Yeah.
11	MS. POLK: Oh.
12	MR. ROBERTI: Member Polk, hang on real quick.
13	Go ahead.
14	MS. POLK: Sure.
15	MS. AUCOIN: For the record, it's Aline Aucoin.
16	So the motion can definitely limit the scope of this item
17	to just advertising. In actuality, our recommendation was
18	limited to advertising. It didn't include the safety
19	recalls or the emergency alerts.
20	MR. KUNTZ: I just brought it up just because
21	it seemed like that was part of the discussion. So
22	MR. PASCHALL: I do have a question. Member
23	Paschall. The safety recall notices, would there be a way
24	to, if you got that recall fixed, to not be displayed?

MR. KUNTZ: My assumption is that this would --

25

it's not real clear, but it's not my -- it's my assumption 1 2 that this would only display open recalls. Just -- I 3 bring that one up, I mean, I think, because there's 4 probably some sensitivity to somebody broadcasting that 5 thev're --6 MR. PASCHALL: Yeah. 7 MR. KUNTZ: -- operating a vehicle that's got 8 an open recall on it, but that's why I kind of raise that 9 I mean, especially if you're a commercial carrier, 10 you may not want everybody on the road knowing that you haven't addressed your recall, but maybe that's exactly 11 12 what we want. I mean, that's kind of why we're asking these 13 14 questions -- is do we want that to be broadcast to 15 everybody on the road, that that's a person operating a vehicle with an open recall? 16 17 Or do we want that to be --MR. PASCHALL: Well, we've run into problems 18 where recalls cannot be fixed. 19 20 MR. KUNTZ: Yes, sir. 21 MS. POLK: I mean, I recall --22 MR. KUNTZ: Yes, sir. 23 MR. ROBERTI: All right. So --24 MS. POLK: This is Member Polk. 25 MR. ROBERTI: -- stand by, Member Polk.

before we -- I just want to make sure everybody on the phone knows and everybody in the room knows -- before we move on or make any motions, we will make sure motions will be crafted the way you want it, if you want to make a motion that way.

Okay. Member Polk, go ahead.

MS. POLK: I'm just wondering, do we know that the system -- if the system will allow to pick and choose what information is able to be included, so that each item would be optional?

MR. KUNTZ: I'll use this, because my IT folks love it when I ask this question of what's possible, and they tell me, anything's possible with time and money. So my assumption is that you could have those selected, but again, I wouldn't know until we actually have a provider actually give us the system, and say, here's what my system will or won't do.

MR. MOORE: This is Member Moore.

MS. POLK: Okay.

MR. MOORE: Member Moore. I agree that showing the open recalls, that's okay but I think it needs to be an option for just the reason somebody brought up a while ago about commercial vehicles. And the advertisement is a great idea.

Besides, I believe the recalls are captured

1	during vehicle inspection, are they not?
2	MR. SMITH: Theoretically.
3	FEMALE VOICE: I don't know that.
4	MR. SMITH: For the most part. I used to be a
5	service writer
6	MR. ROBERTI: Right.
7	MR. SMITH: and this is Faron Smith, for the
8	record and when we would go through and we'd pull up
9	the VIN number from the vehicle, it would show recalls,
10	but it was kind of up to the service writer whether he was
11	going to do it or not.
12	MR. ROBERTI: Yeah. Stand by on the phone.
13	MR. KUNTZ: Okay. Sorry. This is Jeremiah
14	Kuntz, for the record. I was just trying to consult. I
15	believe there may have been a bill this last legislative
16	session requiring that those be on the vehicle inspection.
17	I think that may be what you're referencing.
18	MR. SMITH: That's what I'm referencing, yes.
19	MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. So that's legislation that
20	just passed. I don't know if that's been put into effect
21	yet by DPS, but that's
22	MR. SMITH: Right.
23	MR. KUNTZ: I think that's what you're
24	getting at.
25	MR. SMITH: Yes, it is. Correct.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. KUNTZ: Yeah.
2	MR. ROBERTI: Okay.
3	MR. SMITH: With that being said
4	MR. ROBERTI: Mr. Smith, Member Smith?
5	MR. SMITH: Sorry. With that being said
6	MR. ROBERTI: They have to hear it on the mic.
7	MR. SMITH: Okay.
8	MR. ROBERTI: Yeah.
9	MR. SMITH: Faron Smith. Sorry. With that
10	being said, when I was a service writer, the customer
11	could opt in or out on whether they wanted to do that
12	MR. KUNTZ: Oh, yeah, yeah
13	MR. SMITH: at that point.
14	MR. KUNTZ: yeah, yeah. I think the
15	MR. SMITH: So I mean
16	MR. KUNTZ: bill that we're talking about
17	was a bill to require the inspection service centers to
18	notify customers if they had an open recall and it came in
19	for inspection. So there was a bill that just passed to
20	make that they had to look them up.
21	Every time somebody did an inspection, they had
22	to look up to see if there was an open recall and notify
23	that customer that they had an open recall on it. So I
24	think that's the issue is, there should be some

visibility for the customer themselves through that

process -- is what you're getting at.

MR. ROBERTI: All right. Does anybody else have any questions or comments? Member Smith?

MR. SMITH: Faron Smith, for the record. How does this affect law enforcement when they're looking at the license plates when they come -- when they're going down the road, and when they should be seeing the actual plate being displayed as far as the call letters?

How does that affect?

MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. So the way that we had contemplated this in the rules was that that advertisement can only be run when the vehicle is in a stopped position.

MR. SMITH: Okay.

MR. KUNTZ: So yeah. In park. So they would have -- so if you were walking through the aisle here at the -- you know, in a parking lot, you may have digital license plates that are running ads, and basically, there would be, the way it's drafted, a small display in the, like, top, right-hand corner that would display the license plate kind of on top of the -- so you'd have the advertising in the back, and you'd have a small display in the top, right-hand corner that had the license plate.

But yeah. It wouldn't be while you're operating on that.

MR. ROBERTI: We should probably discuss what

1 all you guys just talked about. Especially, members on 2 the phone, you know, correct me if I'm wrong. Okay? So 3 step in if you need to. Law enforcement has automatic 4 digital license plate readers that read your license plate 5 coming and going, and it stores in a database. Correct? 6 MR. KUNTZ: So you're referring to LPRs, which 7 would be license plate readers. 8 MR. ROBERTI: Right. Is that what you're 9 talking about, Mr. -- Member Smith? 10 MR. SMITH: Uh-huh. MR. ROBERTI: Okay. All right. So he was just 11 12 wondering, when does the advertising display? Hopefully it's not while you're going 40 miles an hour in a 25 and 13 14 the automatic license plate reader can't read it because 15 they've got, you know, McDonald's two-for-one. 16 MR. KUNTZ: Correct. 17 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Is everybody clear on If not, just speak up. Okay. 18 that? 19 MR. MILLARD: This is Member Millard. 20 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Go ahead, Member Millard. MR. MILLARD: What I read was that the 21 22 advertising or messages would only be in the secondary 23 region of interest, between the bolt holes of the license 24 plate, not in the primary region.

MR. ROBERTI: Stand by. We're looking --

they're looking into that right now.

MR. KUNTZ: Do you have a reference? I'm looking for it right now. I just want to make sure I'm looking in the right spot.

MR. PYNDUS: This is David Pyndus with Registration Services. The bill with regard to the operation of -- when certain displays appear on the license plate are not spelled out. So we have to make some general assumptions.

As we all agree, nobody wants the primary region of interest to be affected while the vehicle is in operation, but even when the vehicle is in park position, it's still to be determined, from what I can tell reading the bill, where the other information may or may not appear, and especially if it's a visual that's related to advertising.

MS. AUCOIN: So for the record, it's Aline
Aucoin. The bill itself, Section 504.155(b)(2) says that
a digital license plate must include information that is
required to be included on a physical license plate, a
metal license plate, or what we have today, and legibly
display that information at all times and in all light
conditions, provided that the license plate may display
the advertisements in smaller typeface when the vehicle is
parked.

1	MR. MOORE: This is Member Moore. I
2	MR. ROBERTI: One second.
3	MR. MOORE: I'm sorry.
4	MR. ROBERTI: Mr. Millard, did that answer your
5	question?
6	MR. MILLARD: Yes. Thank you.
7	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Mr. Moore, again?
8	MR. MOORE: Member Moore. Question for you,
9	David. Does that include the logos like we see, UPS and
10	other ones that already have the logos on their license
11	plate? Will that include the logos that will only be
12	displayed when the vehicle is driving?
13	MR. PYNDUS: Well, the logos are kind of an
14	interesting aspect of it, because we allow the Texas
15	IRP system currently issues logo plates to certain
16	commercial vehicles that want to pay for the privilege of
17	having their logo on the license plate.
18	On a metal plate, of course, there's a
19	specified position for that logo. It's near the bottom.
20	I think it's the bottom left. But of course, on the
21	digital license plate
22	MR. KUNTZ: So
23	MR. PYNDUS: you know, there's way
24	MR. KUNTZ: the way
25	MR. PYNDUS: more real estate.

MR. KUNTZ: -- the way that I think we need to probably separate these two, is there's -- that there would be a special license plate version. So if you -- so let's say you have your logo on your plate.

That would be able to be displayed while the vehicle is in motion. However, when you stop, the background may change to advertising. Does that make sense? And that logo would go away, and you would now have advertising on the license plate with a number up in the top, right-hand corner.

So you still are going to have -- so I wish I had a specialty license plate here. When we talk primary and secondary areas of interest, you're talking about the actual alphanumeric character area of interest, and then, like, on the left-hand side, you have another area of interest, which is for specialty license plates.

UT plate, A&M plate, you know, those kind of plates. USC?

MALE VOICE: Yes, sir.

MR. KUNTZ: Okay. USC plate. I didn't want to leave you out there, buddy. That that could still be displayed, just like a specialty license plate displays that image today, even while it's operating, but what we're really talking about is advertising.

So where you've got -- the whole background

goes to two-for-one McDonald's, you know, Big Macs, or whatever it is that's displaying in the background. Does that help kind of clarify?

MR. MOORE: Yes, it does.

MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. So this would not preclude that you could not have a specialty plate image on the vehicle. Yeah. So this is what we're talking about here. You've got your primary -- right -- and then our primary area of interest, secondary area of interest --

MS. SOUTHERLAND: Secondary is the Texas -- for the record, Candy Southerland.

MR. KUNTZ: Yeah.

MS. SOUTHERLAND: Secondary is the Texas between the top two bolt holes. For those of you on the phone, our draft administrative rules do contemplate how the advertising will display when the vehicle is in park.

It's under little "g", digital plate displays.

It starts at the bottom of page 3. When we say, when the vehicle is in park -- and we, of course, have a definition of park that ties back to the statute -- it says it may display any of these things, the safety alerts or advertising.

But if you go to the top of page 4, and number 4 at the top of page 4, it talks about how, when it is in park and displaying that advertising, its primary

and secondary regions of interest can be minimized, but we give actual dimensions it may be no smaller than, so that more real estate on the actual digital plate may be used for display.

One thing we did not contemplate is the logo for these entities that may want a logo on a plate.

Normally, we consider that logo when it's part of the plate design as part of the plate design, and we could go -- since this is our, you know, first stab at these rules, I think it would be good to apply that logo as part of, and maybe call it a third or fourth region of interest, so that the whole plate design just shrinks up in the corner and minimizes, and it's not just the Texas, the jurisdiction and the plate number.

So that certainly is a great thing that's come forth that we could add this clarity to the rules.

MR. ROBERTI: Okay. So --

MR. KUNTZ: Sorry y'all aren't get the benefit of the visual that we're holding up, but --

MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. We can provide all of the VTRAC members a copy of this document, which includes this image, so you can see where the holes are, the primary and secondary region of interest.

MR. KUNTZ: That's our plate specification

1 copy. 2 MS. SOUTHERLAND: Yeah. Candy Southerland, for 3 the record. That document actually is on our website, but 4 we certainly can make it available. 5 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Anyone else? Questions on 6 This is -- we're still on Recommendation 2.` 7 MS. PARR-LAMB: So are we ready to move on --MR. ROBERTI: Are we done on this one? 8 9 (No response.) 10 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. We'll go to the next one. MS. PARR-LAMB: Okay. All right. I believe 11 this is the last question that we have to cover. 12 13 Tammera Parr-Lamb. Should the Department adopt a rule on 14 what digital plate provider may do with the Global 15 Positioning System, or GPS, data collected from the 16 customer's digital license plate? 17 Some digital license plates contain GPS technology to assist with locating the motor vehicle, trip 18 19 navigation and mileage tracking. While this can provide benefits to the motorists, such data could also be 20 maintained and used for other purposes by a digital plate 21 22 provider. 23 The Department does not anticipate having or

requesting access to this data. For any GPS data gathered by a digital plate provider, what is the responsibility

24

for the protection and use of such information? 1 2 Should Administrative Code rule provide 3 quidelines for the protection and use of GPS data 4 retrieved from the digital license plates? 5 recommendation for discussion and consideration: 6 Administrative Code rules should be proposed that 7 establish guidelines for the protection and use of the GPS 8 data retrieved from a digital license plate. 9 Bobby, I'll open up for discussion. 10 MR. ROBERTI: Anybody have any questions on this? 11 This is Member Luedecke. 12 MR. LUEDECKE: Yes. 13 So for GPS, they kind of go hand-in-hand with the 14 advertising too, because if you turn it off, then how do 15 advertisers know where they are parked to display the advertisement? 16 MR. KUNTZ: 17 So let's -- I'll take a stab at this one, Mister Chairman. The thing that we were 18 19 contemplating here is, all of our records that are 20 contained in the registration and titling system, your name, your address, your vehicle information, those are 21 22 protected by both state and federal law under what is 23 known as the Driver's Privacy Protection Act. 24 The Driver's Privacy Protection Act does not

contemplate GPS data contained in a system as personal,

private information, and so this is somewhat of a new area of law that neither state nor federal law that I'm aware of really contemplates that we would have either a state or a private company operating on behalf of the state is in possession of your GPS tracking data.

And so that's really -- the question is:
should we as an agency attempt to try and put some
parameters with this vendor around whose data that is,
whether or not it could be sold. To kind of your point,
could it be sold for advertising purposes to other
advertisers?

Could it be provided to somebody that wanted to purchase that data from the digital plate provider to find out, you know, where are all of the trucks in UPS traveling most frequently? So there's things like that we are somewhat sensitive about, that this is a kind of new realm that we were putting to this group on -- what should we do with this?

This is not really clearly defined anywhere as to who owns this data.

MR. ROBERTI: Is there anything in the bill that addresses that? As I look through it, I didn't see it, so I just started asking.

MR. KUNTZ: You are correct.

MR. ROBERTI: Okay.

MR. KUNTZ: This is kind of a weird one, because it's not really clearly defined anywhere, and we -- and like I said, I'm not aware of state or federal laws that really have taken this one up.

Now, we know that there are manufacturers collecting data about vehicles, in, you know, the black box or whatever that's in your vehicle, but I don't know that that's ever been addressed as to whether or not that's the property of the manufacturers. Like OnStar, I mean, they know where you are at any time.

I don't know if there's any state or federal laws that protect that data or would consider it the company's or the person's. This issue of data is definitely a hot topic nationally. So --

MR. PASCHALL: I have a question. Member Paschall. Does the DMV currently sell data obtained currently through registration?

MR. KUNTZ: Yes. So there -- under the Driver's Privacy Protection Act, there are allowances for the sale or access of that data for a permitted purpose. So statute very clearly goes through -- I'll give you the, kind of, prime example.

A tow truck company has a permitted purpose to notify an individual that they've towed their vehicle. So we have a lot of tow truck companies that access our data,

in order to run license plates to find out who the owner 1 2 of the vehicle is so they can provide notice of an 3 impounded vehicle to the owner. Auto dealers purchase data from us in order to 4 5 check if there's any liens on the title, to make sure that 6 the vehicle that's being traded in is actually owned by 7 the customer that's trading it in, make there's no stolen 8 remarks, those kind of things, on the vehicle. 9 But there's a very explicit list of permitted 10 purposes by which somebody can access our data, and so people can purchase those -- our data, but can only 11 purchase it for those permitted purposes. 12 13 MR. MILLARD: This is Member Millard. 14 MR. ROBERTI: One second. Member Paschall, are 15 you --16 MR. PASCHALL: No, I --17 MR. ROBERTI: -- done? MR. PASCHALL: -- I'm fine. 18 19 MR. ROBERTI: Member Millard, go ahead. 20 It appears that the personal MR. MILLARD: 21 information of a company or a person is already protected, 22 so the GPS, it would just be general information used for 23 the vendor to, you know -- or you know, zip code

But the personal information is not part of

information, stuff like that.

24

this question, I don't think. 1 2 MR. KUNTZ: The real question here, though, is: 3 we know for certain that data that resides in our system 4 is covered by DPPA. We would not be in possession of the 5 GPS data. The vendor would be in possession of that, and 6 so they are not covered necessarily by those same 7 provisions of law. That's not in the State's database; it's in a 8 9 vendor's database. So that would be your --MR. MILLARD: It wouldn't be -- it wouldn't be 10 covered under the Driver's Protection Act? 11 MR. ROBERTI: The third --12 13 MR. KUNTZ: I'm going to point to general 14 counsel. 15 MR. ROBERTI: -- the third party -- so the 16 question is: is the third party's data covered under the 17 DPPA? MS. BEAVER: Hi. 18 19 MR. ROBERTI: Hi. Hey. Welcome. 20 MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel, 21 Texas DMV, for the record. State agencies are covered by 22 the Public Information Act, which is in the Government 23 Code. 24 THE REPORTER: Could you get a little closer to

25

a microphone, please.

MS. BEAVER: Sure.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.

MR. MILLARD: Also my recollection, too, even when the U.S. Code was written, it was initially directed towards state DMVs --

MS. BEAVER: So Tracey Beaver, general counsel, DMV, for the record. So the Public Information Act covers state agencies. It's in the Government Code. If there was data that a private company had that the State did not have access to or was in possession of, then any privacy issues or release of that information or use of that information would be based on the company and the contract that we had with them.

If we were not able to access that information or have possession of it, that is not something that is contemplated by the statutes and codes that regulate State agencies --

MR. KUNTZ: Correct.

MS. BEAVER: -- for privacy here.

MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. DPPA relates to data that is collected by a state or federal agency. This would not be data that we are collecting. This would be data that the entity is collecting in their system. So it would not necessarily be covered by DPPA, because we're not the collectors of that data.

MR. ROBERTI: So to further clarify this, let 1 me -- I'll finish, and then you can go. 2 3 MR. MILLARD: Okay. 4 MR. ROBERTI: -- further clarify, any 5 information collected by the third-party vendor, we 6 basically have no control over and they could do whatever 7 they want with this information. Correct? 8 MR. KUNTZ: Unless there is a provision put 9 into our administrative rule and the contract that says 10 they cannot. That's what -- that's the question we're asking is, should a prohibition be placed upon anybody 11 that is collecting this data that would prohibit them from 12 13 using it for anything else? 14 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Do we have anything in 15 place to enforce that? 16 MR. KUNTZ: The contract. It would have to be 17 a contractual term. Okay. All right. Go ahead. 18 MR. ROBERTI: 19 MR. MILLARD: This is Member Millard. 20 just be more specific. If the company vendor had information -- let's say, a third party wanted to know 21 22 where I had been for the last three days, could they still 23 have that information, based on who I am? 24 MR. KUNTZ: So the example we give -- yeah. 25 the example -- and I'll try to draw an analogy for you.

So if I walked into a auto dealership, and I started a transaction with that auto dealership, and they said, here, we would like for you to write down your name and address and all your information, and I provide that to the auto dealership, that auto dealership can use my name and address for marketing purposes.

It's not part of the motor vehicle record.

They collected that data from you directly. When it was coming from the Department, in other words it was information that was put onto the title application and it was submitted in order to obtain title for your vehicle, that's when it becomes driver privacy protected data, because it's part of the motor vehicle record.

It was collected by the Department. And so there's a very nuanced distinction there, that if the vendor collected your name and address from a potential customer, then I don't know that there would necessarily be an extension of DPPA into that data that they had.

MR. MILLARD: Okay. I understand.

MS. AUCOIN: And Aline Aucoin, for the record.

And we could certainly do some research just to verify
what we're saying, what Jeremiah is saying. I'm pretty
sure he's correct, but I'll -- we'll research the issue
just to make certain.

MR. ROBERTI: I've got a bunch of questions,

but I almost don't even know where to start at this point. 1 2 So just keep it -- we'll keep it open. Anybody have 3 anything else? Anybody on the phone? 4 MR. KUNTZ: Does he need the microphone? 5 MR. ROBERTI: Yes. 6 THE REPORTER: Could you get your microphone --7 MR. ROBERTI: Oh, sorry. THE REPORTER: -- close in front of you? 8 9 MR. ROBERTI: I put that over -- sorry. 10 THE REPORTER: That's okay. Thank you. 11 MR. ROBERTI: So as -- so here's a question for anyone, any of the staff members or Committee members. 12 13 Would -- could we put something into the motion or into 14 this, saying something like, I don't know -- you know 15 where I'm going? 16 Something that would say -- that would 17 basically protect the user's information from third-party 18 use? 19 MR. KUNTZ: I think that what we were trying to 20 address here is having some kind of provision in our 21 administrative rule that would direct the Department in 22 its contract with the vendor to have a contract term and 23 condition that says that any data collected for the sale 24 of these marketing -- of the license plate or collected

once the person has a license plate, cannot be used for

any other purpose than to operate the license plate.

In other words, you can use the GPS data for geofencing. So if anybody's familiar with geofencing for marketing -- if I walk into the Cowboy Stadium, and I've got my phone on, and I check Facebook, I'm going to get a Cowboys ad.

So the -- my phone knows where I'm at to know what ads to serve up. So we could limit their use of the GPS data for things like that, for -- I know, like, toll road companies, when you go through the toll road gantry, they're going to want to know that there's a GPS location that they get, as well as the RFID, the number.

So they actually try and collect both of those to make sure they get an accurate hit on that license plate. So we could limit the scope of the use of the GPS data purely for the operations of the license plate itself, and so you can't -- any data that you've collected from this may not be sold, redistributed for other marketing purposes, to other entities, any of that kind of stuff.

So it would be a contract term and condition to try and protect that data through contract.

MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.

Just one minor clarification: the statute for digital

license plates states that the Department by rule shall

1	establish the specifications and requirements for digital
2	license plates, so this really is a rule issue that does
3	tie into our contract.
4	So we're not asking the Advisory Committee
5	to
6	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. That was my next question.
7	MS. AUCOIN: say what needs to be in the
8	contract. It's what needs to be in the rule as a
9	specification or requirement.
10	MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. Which would be used for
11	us
12	MS. AUCOIN: For the contract.
13	MR. KUNTZ: to develop the contract.
14	MR. ROBERTI: All right. So
15	MR. KUNTZ: Sorry.
16	MR. ROBERTI: basically, the language in the
17	recommendation covers all that.
18	MS. AUCOIN: Correct. Well, it
19	MR. ROBERTI: This sentence right here.
20	MS. AUCOIN: it covers it
21	MR. KUNTZ: Conceptually.
22	MS. AUCOIN: plus all conceptually, plus
23	all the detail that Jeremiah just said.
24	MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. So we would have to flush
25	out the actual language to be used in a rule, but this was

kind of what we were getting is, how prescriptive should 1 we be on that? Should we allow any use of that GPS data 3 for other purposes? 4 MR. ROBERTI: Do we get another bite at the 5 apple or is this sufficient? This recommendation is 6 sufficient to basically cover the third-party data? 7 MR. KUNTZ: It really goes to -- if this 8 Advisory Committee believes that it should all be 9 completely boxed in, or is there any allowance -- in other 10 words, does the Advisory Committee want to allow any use of that data beyond that? 11 12 So we're putting this in here to say, should 13 there, for one, be anything in the rule? And then if so, 14 how restrictive or prescriptive should it be? Should it 15 say, you can't use it for anything? Or it could be used 16 for some things, like, for the actual plate itself? 17 Or could it be sold to anybody for any other 18 purpose? 19 MR. MOORE: Member Moore here. Got a question. 20 When the vendor sells it to the person or the company, 21 you know, there's going to be a price. Is it possible 22 that they could discount the price if you let us, you 23 know, advertise? 24 Or we'll discount the price if you let us look

at your GPS data? Is that something that's possible?

MR. KUNTZ: I'm sure it is. 1 2 MR. MOORE: Okay. 3 So that -- I mean, that's kind of MR. KUNTZ: 4 one of those things. I mean, we could write the rule that 5 says, you can only share it if authorized by the 6 customer --7 MR. MOORE: Or an --8 MR. KUNTZ: -- an opt-in or an opt-out, because 9 then there's those two options, either --10 MR. MOORE: Or possibly a positive opt-in or a positive, you know -- so in other words, it's not 11 12 available unless you take the extra step and say that it 13 is. 14 MR. KUNTZ: Okay. 15 MR. ROBERTI: Here's another question that has 16 just popped into my head. Let's say somebody wants a 17 digital license plate. Do they register first, and then get the plate? Or do they do the plate first and then get 18 19 the registration? 20 Because if they do the registration first, then they have -- well, so I guess my question is, is how much 21 22 information can -- if they buy the plate first, then they 23 can give information to the plate manufacturer, you know,

let's say, address, VIN number, all this fun stuff, that

they're not going to collect from the agency.

24

Does that make sense? 1 2 MR. KUNTZ: Sure. MR. ROBERTI: So what's first? 3 MR. KUNTZ: So could be either. 4 5 MR. ROBERTI: Because that would make a big 6 difference on how much data they do have and how much data 7 they do control. 8 So the -- Dana's point, I think, is MR. KUNTZ: 9 pretty well taken in that the federal law actually has an 10 opt-in allowance. In other words, federal law says all of your driver's privacy protection data is protected unless 11 12 you have consent from them to release it. So I mean, I 13 think that that is a -- probably a pretty reasonable way 14 to go with this, to say it's all locked up, unless 15 somebody positively --16 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. MR. KUNTZ: -- allows for the issuance of the 17 data, because federal law contemplates that and allows for 18 19 it, so -- and so does state. 20 MR. ROBERTI: Is everybody thoroughly confused? 21 Well, again, anybody on the phone have any questions? Ιs 22 everybody okay with how this recommendation is worded? Ιn 23 other words, Administrative Code rules should be proposed 24 and establish guidelines for the protection and use of GPS 25 data retrieved from a digital license plate?

1	MR. KUNTZ: What I was hearing and I don't
2	know if the Committee wants to go this way. There could
3	be additional language added for the ability for somebody
4	to opt into sharing of the data. So that would be
5	additional language
6	MR. ROBERTI: All right. So let's put language
7	down. So how would you want to word that?
8	MR. MOORE: Jeremiah said it pretty good. It's
9	all privileged, unless a person opts into it.
10	MR. KUNTZ: So the
11	MR. ROBERTI: With the additional language,
12	additional what word would you want
13	MR. KUNTZ: I would just say that all
14	information contained regarding the person, vehicle and
15	GPS data is protected, unless the owner
16	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Let's try that. With the
17	addition
18	MR. KUNTZ: Authorizes the disclosure
19	MR. ROBERTI: All right. So
20	MR. KUNTZ: We can draft something up to that
21	effect, if we can do
22	MR. ROBERTI: Well, as far as the motion goes,
23	how do we word this? So we've got the recommendation
24	here
25	MR. KUNTZ: Sure.

1	MR. ROBERTI: additional with the
2	addition of all information couldn't write fast enough.
3	Sorry.
4	MR. KUNTZ: You could just if you're doing a
5	motion, you would just say that instructing the
6	Department to add an opt-in provision
7	MR. ROBERTI: Okay.
8	MR. KUNTZ: for the authorized disclosure of
9	personal information.
10	MR. ROBERTI: To add a
11	MR. KUNTZ: Opt-in.
12	MR. ROBERTI: opt-in
13	MR. KUNTZ: For authorization to disclose
14	personal information, including GPS data.
15	MS. AUCOIN: Are we expanding the scope of
16	number three now? Because it was limited to GPS data
17	to
18	MR. KUNTZ: Oh, I
19	MS. AUCOIN: begin with.
20	MR. KUNTZ: I know. I mean, I think that it
21	can go either way. I mean, it sounds like I'm hearing,
22	kind of, all of it. I mean, you just opt into yes, I
23	can I'll opt into the disclosure of my personal
24	information and the GPS data is what I was hearing.
25	MR. ROBERTI: Uh-huh. I would agree.

1	MR. PASCHALL: I've got a question or a
2	statement. This is Member Paschall. Should we look at
3	the plate manufacturer disclosing beforehand, what they're
4	doing with the information to the customer, before opting
5	in or out?
6	MR. KUNTZ: Okay. They have a disclosure
7	requirement. I mean
8	MS. AUCOIN: Aline, for the record. That's a
9	great point, because how would someone know
10	MR. PASCHALL: Yeah. Well, if there yeah,
11	exactly.
12	MS. AUCOIN: what they're opting into, if
13	they don't even know the universe as to how their
14	MR. PASCHALL: Yes.
15	MS. AUCOIN: GPS or other data is going to
16	be used. That's
17	MR. PASCHALL: Yeah.
18	MS. AUCOIN: a great point.
19	MR. KUNTZ: We can add that as well, that
20	and Aline, I'm trying to make them feel that I can kind of
21	capture everything that Mr. Moore was saying, which I
22	think included also an opt-in for the marketing, as well.
23	I know that's not necessarily in this, but I
24	know that that was kind of all wrapped up in what he was
25	discussing was opt-in provisions for both the

Will

disclosure, as well as the marketing. 1 2 So if you wanted to market, that you would opt in. I think -- I know that was one of our previous ones. 3 4 MR. ROBERTI: So you want to opt-in for 5 authorization to disclose personal information, GPS data, 6 and marketing? Is that the language you want? 7 MR. MOORE: I think a person ought to be able 8 to opt in. If they don't, then it's privileged. 9 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. 10 MS. AUCOIN: And for the record, Aline Aucoin. So the language on advertising, we would need to add to 11 Item No. 2. 12 MR. ROBERTI: All right. Does anybody have any 13 14 more questions, anything on any of the three 15 recommendations for Agenda Item 2(c)? 16 MS. POLK: I do have a question. This is Member Polk. I do have one other question on the 17 replacement. 18 19 It's on the recommendation for No. 1. 20 states that if a digital plate customer switches back to using their metal plate, the customer will not be required 21 22 to pay an additional administrative fee, if they already 23 paid the administrative fee for the digital plate for that 24 registration period.

And I guess I was just kind of curious.

they already have the metal plate, or will a metal plate and sticker have to be issued at that point?

MR. KUNTZ: That is a very good question, and something that I can kind of speak to. So the bill actually did something interesting with the metal plates, in that it requires that the vehicle display both the digital license plate as well as a metal plate in the front.

So you have to have both on the vehicle. You have to have a digital on the back and a metal on the front, unless, of course, your vehicle, like -- a heavy truck only has a front license plate. A lot of our IRP-type trucks only have the front plate. They don't have a back plate.

So the Department has always anticipated that when you get a digital plate, you will still receive a set of license plates. So we will still give you two, one for the front and one for the back, and that's partly because of a -- if your vehicle gets two.

My general counsel's keeping me on point here.

But basically that would allow a customer that wanted to,
to just pull the plate off and put their metal plate on if
they wanted to, or put it right back on.

That's what this was really kind of getting at, is -- you've already got the metal plate. It's got the

same number on it that is displaying on the digital plate.

So ABC, one, two, three, four is your license plate

number on the metal plate on the front.

That's the same digital plate on the back. So

if you unscrew the digital plate and took it off, you

could take your second metal plate and put it on, and take

So it gives -- that's why that we had that recommendation, that there was no additional administrative fee for swapping those out, because you would already be in possession of both metal plates.

the metal plate off and put the digital plate back on.

MS. POLK: That makes sense.

MS. AUCOIN: And for the record, Aline Aucoin. So Subsection (i) of the draft rules addresses the issuance of metal plates, and also the possibility that the digital plate, for whatever reason, might cease to display information. It malfunctions or it's -- something happens to it. The person already has however many metal plates they're supposed to have, and the customer can then put the metal plates on, so they're legal.

MS. POLK: Perfect. What do they do for the window sticker?

MR. KUNTZ: That's a really good question.

MR. MILLARD: This is Member Millard. They're going to be issued a window sticker when they get both

I assume they'll be able to keep it, attach it to 1 plates. 2 the windshield, if they put their metal plate back on the rear of the vehicle. 3 They're looking it up right now. 4 MR. ROBERTI: 5 MR. KUNTZ: As you're saying this, I'm 6 thinking, because the bill actually relieves the 7 obligation to have a window sticker placed in the window, 8 when you have a digital plate displayed. 9 MS. POLK: Right. 10 MR. KUNTZ: So --MR. PYNDUS: Well, this is David Pyndus. 11 12 good news is, if there's a malfunction of the digital 13 plate, and they do revert back to metal plate, if they 14 kept that sticker, again, they'll be good to go for that 15 registration period, without having to pay an 16 administrative or any other fee. 17 Chances are they may not hold onto the sticker, and will have to get a replacement. 18 19 MR. KUNTZ: Very good question. 20 MS. POLK: I just didn't know if -- this is 21 Member Polk again -- I just didn't know if they would be 22 issued a window sticker, because then they'd have this

extra metal plate and a window sticker laying around, that

someone could steal, and you know -- so I just wasn't

23

24

25

sure.

MR. NINO: This is Member Nino. Can I ask a 1 2 question? 3 MR. KUNTZ: Member Nino is asking if he can ask 4 a question. 5 MR. ROBERTI: Yeah, Member Nino, go ahead. 6 MR. NINO: Yeah. Well, if they get issued a 7 plate with a certain number, an actual, physical, metal 8 plate, and they get the digitalized version, the same 9 plate number is going to be on the digitalized version, or 10 are they going to get a new number? 11 Because just like the current time, if you lose your plate, you could go get a replacement, and 12 oftentimes, most of the time, or not -- 100 percent of the 13 14 plate, you get a new plate number. So if they were going 15 from just digital to a metal plate, that old plate number 16 should be canceled out. Shouldn't it? 17 MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. So the way it will work is, there will be a front license plate, and the front license 18 19 plate will have ABC, one, two, three, four on it. That 20 same number would display on the digital plate. Let's say, for example, the front metal license plate, the 21 22 screws fall off and the plate falls off while they're 23 driving, and it's lost. 24 They could go and obtain a new license plate, 25 CXY, one, two, three, four. That new license plate number would be displayed on the digital plate once they attach the new metal plate to the front. So that the metal plate and the digital plate will always have to match.

MR. NINO: Sure. Okay, okay.

MR. ROBERTI: Any more questions, comments?

We'll go ahead and we'll take public comments. First one

up, we'll have Daniel Palmer. We're going to have you sit

right up there, and say name and address for the record.

MAJ. PALMER: David Palmer, Texas Department of Public Safety, Texas Highway Patrol, 5805 North Lamar, Austin, Texas. I just want to say a few things. As you know, the Department of Public Safety specifically has — is statutorily involved in this rulemaking process from a law enforcement perspective.

And just to let you know, we didn't see the draft rules until this morning. So we haven't had a chance to circulate it internally. So we'd like to have the opportunity. The discussion has been very, very good.

I think you guys are asking some important questions. I've been -- I was reading through the rules during some of the discussion, and there are some concerns, you know, especially when we start talking about the advertisement, the shrinking of the numbers, even when it's parked.

You know, I think, there was a -- somebody

brought up a great question about the LPR piece, and DMV has done a good job in initial rules of talking about how it needs to be read by standard, commercial LPRs, but you also have to remember that it's not just when they're driving down the road that LPRs are used.

They're also used by law enforcement vehicles, repo folks, when the vehicles are parked, and there's a lot of stolen vehicles that are recovered that way when they're parked. So even when it reduces that license size, I think that's something that's going to have to be considered, as well.

The stuff you were talking about just now, with the two license plates. You know, there are some concerns initially, and we'll provide additional feedback, but I just wanted to touch on some of the higher points. When you issue two license plates, that's great, but -- and in a perfect world, I think that's a great idea. But you've now given somebody two real license plates, and you're expecting them to keep up with a plate, and then if you propose, because of the question of the sticker itself -- if you've got the digital license plate, it can be displayed on the digital license plate, the validation.

However, if they take that off, and now they stick their other one on there, then they're going to have to have something to show that that plate's valid. If you

issue them ahead of time, and they don't have to put it on their windshield, now you've got a valid sticker that's out there, and in spite of the fact that it's got the license plate associated with it, you still have to worry about whether that gets stuck on another vehicle.

And the only way to identify that would be by -- just by chance, running the plate, and no, it doesn't belong on the vehicle. And then the other question that you, you know, just taught high-level is -- I think it's great that everything's got to match up, but I'm guessing -- and I know this is new territory.

But I'm guessing that the digital plate itself will have some way to identify the plate itself through a serial number or something that you can then verify that really does belong on that vehicle, regardless of what license plate is displayed on it, in case, even through the best prevention, it's hacked. So those are the kinds of just high-level -- and we've got other notes, and when we circulate it, we will definitely provide that feedback to the DMV, so they have it and they can present it to the Committee as well.

So with that, that's all I've got for now. And I do appreciate the participation, the ability to listen in on your deliberations and discussion. And we're -- DPS, I, and we're always available if you have guestions

or need a resource. 1 2 MR. ROBERTI: Thank you, Mr. Palmer. Next up, 3 we have Neville Boston. Let's get name and address for 4 the record, please. 5 MR. BOSTON: Neville Boston, name. I'm the 6 founder of Reviver, and I'm from Foster City. Is that 7 good? 8 MR. ROBERTI: Yeah. Address as well. 9 MR. BOSTON: Oh. 4000 East Third Street, Suite 150. 10 MR. ROBERTI: Foster City, California? 11 Foster City, California. 12 MR. BOSTON: 13 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Thank you. 14 MR. BOSTON: 94404. You guys touched on a lot 15 of things today. I was taking a bunch of notes, and I 16 wanted to be as helpful as possible. So I started the 17 company about 10 years ago, back in 2009, and we've been testing plates for at least seven years. 18 19 So there's a ton of data and information that 20 we have out, that we'd be willing to provide to the 21 Committee, you know, just grab it, so that you have it, 22 not just stuff that we've done, but we've done through

third parties. We're currently working in nine of the top

have legislation passed, legislation in process, or pilots

10 vehicle markets, and what I mean by that -- we either

23

24

that are going on, and the two places that we have pilots are both Maryland and Pennsylvania.

But, you know, we have full authorization in Arizona and Michigan and Illinois. We passed legislation here in Texas. This is one of two bills, and I'll get back to that. California will be fully authorized, I believe, next year, probably Q1, but we have access to sell in that state.

We're also working internationally in Dubai and Abu Dhabi and Qatar, and we've been there for a couple years. We've, I think, taken a lot of time to get a lot of feedback. I'm actually part of the digital license plate committee that AMBA is going to be starting here soon.

I thought we've worked fairly well with law enforcement, trying to make sure that everybody's on the same page with the technology that we have. There is, I think, another company in South Carolina that was, you know, contemplating doing the same thing, but I think we may be the only provider that's doing exactly what we're doing.

There's other people that have RFID technology that they utilize. My big thing in being here was wanting to help out and share whatever data and information that could be helpful. We've been working in California since,

I would say, 2011, '12.

We passed legislation, I'd say -- I think, in Florida in about the same time, but we haven't been there doing anything just yet. I think that's going to be next year. There were a couple of things that were brought up that I wanted to address, like, the life in the plate.

We do regression tests on the plate. We've done internally and then on a third party. Right now, it's looking like a five- to seven-year lifespan for the plate. We've had a test that we've done that had it for 10 years, and we've made it with -- so that it's -- it has a secure RFID chip in the plate.

It has Bluetooth, accelerometer, all those kinds of things that are built in. In addition to that, we've worked on different glass technologies. So we're working with -- I think Corning has this thing called Super Glass, that's really flexible, so that is able to be utilized.

We also use Gorilla Glass on the plate as well. There's a plate that's plugged in, and then there's actually one that is battery-operated, so you have a couple different choices of plates. From a cost perspective -- I think you guys have brought that up as well -- we're charging -- I think it's 12.95 and 17.95 a month, depending on the plate that you get, and that's,

you know, again, just additional information.

When it comes to privacy information that was brought up, there is a couple of things. GDPR, which was General Data Protection Regulation, that came out in Europe, and I think implemented in 2018, was about how you take data from one source and kind of move it out, if somebody wants their data back.

And when we set up our system, we set it based on that provision. In California in particular, they have the CCPA, which is the California Consumer Privacy Act, which deals with a lot of how information is -- data is stored and utilized.

So it may be something that would be interesting, to pull that data, if you guys don't have anything in Texas that's the same. That way, you're not, you know, kind of duplicating efforts. I think that's information that's available that could be utilized.

You had brought up information as far as platforms and how they're set up, what information's available or not. I look at it more like a smorgasbord of data, and it would be kind of a choice on how you want to integrate it and utilize it or not utilize it. And that would be more of what the state decides to do.

In Georgia, in particular, we are in the process of passing full authorization there, which will be

Q1 of next year. But it was an administrative issue that stopped it from being passed last year, so we've been actually -- I met with the Governor's Office and the Lieutenant Governor in working with the DMV, so they have the new registration system, that we're using APIs, to actually integrate with their systems.

And just for the record, API is application program interface, so that you're interfacing the information, you have a right. So with that being said, what we're doing is that we're doing the API integration now, so that by March of next year, we'll have authorization to kind of roll it out in that state.

And I -- you know, I'm looking at it from the perspective -- when the company was started, it was started with the mindset of being a partner, not a vendor, as much, and partnering with information and data that we, you know, have been working on for the last 10 plus years.

So -- oh, I'm sorry. So that being said, I'm just willing to share any information that's needed, whether you guys use us or somebody else. It's absolutely fine, but I just wanted to be helpful. That was it.

MR. ROBERTI: Thank you, Mr. Boston. And of course, members reserve the right to ask questions of anybody. So just to let you know. Thank you.

MR. BOSTON: Okay. No problem.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. ROBERTI: Is anyone here to speak for or 1 2 against Item 2(c)? 3 (No response.) 4 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. All right. So we'll just 5 come back around, open the floor again. Does anybody have 6 any comments, questions, comments, questions for our two 7 speakers or for staff? I do have a question for Mr. 8 Boston. 9 Would you mind going back up there, so you're 10 on the -- I'm sorry. Mr. Palmer. Sorry. You were looking at me, but --11 MAJ. PALMER: MR. ROBERTI: I know. I had the wrong sheet 12 13 up. Just so you're on the microphone. 14 MAJ. PALMER: Sure. 15 MR. ROBERTI: So my question is that -- so you 16 have a statutory, you know, obligation to implement this, 17 but it's under my -- it's my understanding that you guys need the final blessing for everything to move forward. 18 19 Is that correct? 20 MAJ. PALMER: The Department does have a provision that the rules will go in effect unless the 21 22 Director, within 30 days of the time they issue the rule, 23 sends a letter to the -- what is it -- Secretary of State, 24 invalidating the rules.

The Department does have the ability to

1	invalidate the rule if they don't agree with it, and of
2	course, the Department doesn't want to do that.
3	MR. ROBERTI: Right.
4	MAJ. PALMER: That's why we want to work ahead
5	of time to resolve any concerns that we would have.
6	MS. AUCOIN: And for the record, Aline Aucoin.
7	When Major Palmer says, the Department, he's talking
8	about the Department of Public Safety
9	MAJ. PALMER: Public Safety.
10	MS. AUCOIN: which is a different agency
11	than the
12	MR. ROBERTI: Correct.
13	MS. AUCOIN: Department of Motor Vehicles,
14	which
15	MAJ. PALMER: Yeah. Thank you.
16	MS. AUCOIN: selected and put this Vehicle
17	Title and Registration Advisory Committee into place.
18	MR. ROBERTI: Correct. Okay. Thank you. Does
19	anybody else have any questions for Mr. Palmer is it
20	Major Palmer?
21	MAJ. PALMER: Major.
22	MR. ROBERTI: Major Palmer.
23	(No response.)
24	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Thank you, sir.
25	MAJ. PALMER: You bet.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. ROBERTI: So basically, if we approve 1 2 something today, the Department of Public Safety still has 3 oversight on whether these recommendations go forward. Is 4 that correct? 5 MS. AUCOIN: Aline, for the record. Correct, 6 for the most part. I'll add in some detail. 7 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Good. 8 MS. AUCOIN: So the detail is -- the Vehicle 9 Title and Registration Advisory Committee provides 10 recommendations to our Board. Our Board may or may not accept, or I guess, pass rules that are exactly in sync 11 with y'all's recommendations, but our Board will 12 13 definitely consider your recommendations. 14 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. 15 MS. AUCOIN: Whatever rules our Board approves, 16 the Department of Public Safety basically has veto 17 authority to either allow or not allow those rules to become effective, if they exercise their -- I'll call it, 18 19 veto authority. 20 MR. ROBERTI: Is everybody clear on that? On 21 the phone, everybody good? 22 (No response.) 23 MALE VOICE: Yes. 24 MR. ROBERTI: All right. With that being said, 25 I believe we're probably ready to make a motion.

anybody have any final thoughts before we craft a motion? 1 2 (No response.) 3 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. So let me do this. So 4 I'll ask for a motion, a motion to approve, with the 5 inclusion of the language that there's an opt-in for 6 authorization to disclose personal information and --7 personal information, GPS data and marketing. 8 Is that language okay, or do I need to be more 9 specific --10 MS. AUCOIN: So are you choosing to go to number three first instead of number one? There's --11 12 MR. ROBERTI: So did you want me to make a 13 motion for each separate recommendation? Would that be 14 cleaner? 15 MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record. 16 think it would be cleaner if you take the items one by 17 one, and even on number one, there's a subpart A and a subpart B. I think it would be cleaner if you take each 18 19 individual item --20 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. We'll do that. 21 MS. AUCOIN: -- for the motion, and that way, 22 it's cleaner, because if you get a problem --23 MR. ROBERTI: I agree, I agree. Okay. But -- so do I have a motion on recommendations -- so 24 25 this is for Item 2(c), to --

1	MS. AUCOIN: Of the agenda item.
2	MR. ROBERTI: of the agenda item. So it
3	would be Recommendation 1(a). Do I have a motion to
4	approve Recommendation 1(a) for Item Agenda Item 2(c)?
5	MALE VOICE: And what was 1(a), do you know?
6	MR. MILLARD: Mr. President?
7	MR. ROBERTI: Yes, sir. Who is this? Mr.
8	Millard?
9	MR. MILLARD: Member Millard.
10	MR. ROBERTI: Yes?
11	MR. MILLARD: According to the agenda and
12	correct me if I'm wrong, but before a vote on any Advisory
13	Committee agenda items, would any Board action be taken
14	today?
15	MS. AUCOIN: Aline Aucoin, for the record.
16	Agenda Item No. 2, the large heading says, "Discussion and
17	Action Items" so we have posted proper notice that this
18	Committee could discuss and take action on any of the
19	items, including any of the items in 2(c), and 2(c) covers
20	the document that we provided to you all that has the
21	Department's three issues and the recommendation.
22	MR. KUNTZ: Aline, I think the confusion is in
23	the heading up here, and what it's saying is, a quorum of
24	the Board of the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles may be
	1

present at the meeting for information-gathering purposes.

1	However, the Board members and when it's talking about
2	Board there, they're talking about the Texas Department
3	of Motor Vehicles Board will not vote on any Advisory
4	Committee agenda items, nor will any Board action be
5	taken.
6	That's reference to the DMV Board, not y'all.
7	MR. ROBERTI: That's in case there's a quorum
8	of DMV Board members here, but it does state that this
9	isn't considered an action item. Correct?
10	MS. AUCOIN: For the Vehicle Title and
11	Registration Advisory Committee
12	MR. ROBERTI: For the VTRAC, and us only.
13	Correct.
14	MR. KUNTZ: Did that clear the
15	MR. ROBERTI: Yeah. Does that clarify it
16	MR. MILLARD: Clear.
17	MR. ROBERTI: Mr. Millard?
18	MR. MILLARD: Clear to me.
19	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Do I have a motion on
20	for Agenda Item 2(c), do I have a motion on Recommendation
21	1(a)? You could say, I make a motion to approve, or you
22	could say somebody
23	MS. POLK: I make a motion. This is Member
24	Polk. I make a motion to accept the Texas DMV
25	recommendation for Section 1(a).

1	MR. ROBERTI: I have a motion by Member Polk.
2	Do I have a second?
3	MR. SMITH: I'll second.
4	MR. ROBERTI: Second by Member Smith. All in
5	favor, say aye.
6	(A chorus of ayes.)
7	MR. ROBERTI: Any opposed, say nay.
8	(No response.)
9	MR. ROBERTI: Motion passes unanimously, I
10	believe. No nays? Correct? On the phone?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. So do I have a motion on
13	Recommendation 1(b)? Do I have a motion to approve Item
14	1(b) Recommendation 1(b)?
15	MR. SMITH: I'll motion that.
16	MR. ROBERTI: Motion by Member Smith. Motion
17	to approve. Correct?
18	MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.
19	MR. ROBERTI: Motion by Member Smith. Do I
20	have second?
21	MS. AUCOIN: Aline, for the record. So just to
22	be clear, is your motion to approve the recommendation
23	provided by the Department in the document we provided to
24	you, or is your motion something else?
25	MR. SMITH: No. That's it. It's to approve

1 it. MS. AUCOIN: Okay. 2 3 MR. ROBERTI: As per staff recommendation. 4 MR. SMITH: Correct. 5 MR. ROBERTI: Say something simple like that. 6 Okay. So motion by Member Smith to approve staff recommendations on 1(b). Do I have a second? 7 8 MR. PASCHALL: I'll second. 9 MR. ROBERTI: I have a motion -- second by 10 Member Paschall. All in favor, say aye. 11 (A chorus of ayes.) 12 MR. ROBERTI: Any opposed? 13 (No response.) 14 MR. ROBERTI: Motion passes, unanimously. 15 will go on to Item -- so this is still on Agenda Item 16 2(c). Do you want to go through all four of these 17 separate? MS. AUCOIN: I guess it depends on the person 18 that wants to make the motion. 19 20 MR. ROBERTI: Well, it depends on the person that wants to make the motions, because --21 22 MS. AUCOIN: Aline, for the record. 23 Department's recommendation just addressed Item -- I'm 24 going to say, (d), on our recommendation for Item No. 2. 25 The Department's recommendation just dealt with allowing the customer to opt out of approved advertising, and did not address the other listed items, which are the emergency alerts, public safety alerts, vehicle manufacturer recalls, or static logos.

MR. KUNTZ: So let me --

MS. AUCOIN: I mean --

MR. KUNTZ: -- try and help out a little bit here, because I want to make sure that we get a clear one on this one. So before you, you've got options, where you could either say, you only want to take up the issue of advertising, or you could take up all of those issues and treat them all the same, where it's all opt-in or opt-out.

Right now, the recommendation from staff was an opt-out. What I believe I heard Mr. Moore recommend was that, instead of an opt-out, it would be an opt-in, so that amendment in this recommendation is going to have to altered for the motion, to make it an opt-in instead of an opt-out, and the question then becomes, do you want it to be for anything other than advertising?

Would it be for all things, or would it just be for advertising?

MR. MOORE: This is Member Moore. I was also wanting to include the safety recall notice as well. I believe in the emergency alert system -- I don't think that ought to be -- I think that ought to be mandatory --

1	MR. KUNTZ: Okay.
2	MR. MOORE: is my personal but the
3	advertising and the safety recall notices, I think, ought
4	to be opt-in, a positive opt-in.
5	MR. ROBERTI: So you think A and B should be
6	how would we structure that?
7	MR. KUNTZ: It would be B and D.
8	MR. ROBERTI: B and D. Sorry.
9	MR. MOORE: B and D should be positive opt-in.
10	MS. AUCOIN: So for the record, Aline Aucoin.
11	So when you're saying "opt-in," you're saying that the
12	customer will not get these things unless they expressly
13	say, Yes, I do want vehicle manufacturer recall notices,
14	and I do want advertising on
15	MR. MOORE: Correct.
16	MS. AUCOIN: the plate that I'm about to
17	buy.
18	MR. MOORE: Absolutely, it's what I'm saying.
19	Yes.
20	MS. AUCOIN: So are you okay.
21	MR. ROBERTI: No, no. That's okay. Do you
22	want to
23	MR. MOORE: Sure. I'll make a motion on that
24	one.
25	MR. ROBERTI: Let's do you want to split it

1	up, or do you want to just reference the document in front
2	of us?
3	MS. AUCOIN: So I guess we could leave it to
4	the option of the
5	MR. ROBERTI: Okay.
6	MS. AUCOIN: motion maker.
7	MR. MOORE: Okay. Member Moore. I make a
8	motion that we accept the staff's recommendation, with the
9	exception of Items B and D require the purchaser to
10	positively opt in.
11	MR. KUNTZ: And B and D being vehicle
12	manufacturer safety recall notices and advertising
13	approved by the Department.
14	MR. MOORE: Well, yeah. That's what I'm
15	talking about. The recall notices, the and the
16	advertising has to be opted in.
17	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Write this down. Here's a
18	pen. Let's do this. Here's what is going to be if
19	that's what you want
20	MR. MOORE: That's I'm
21	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. So this is what your
22	motion should be. Say, for Agenda Item 2(c),
23	Recommendation No. 2 so we get all that on the record.
24	MR. MOORE: Right.
25	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. And then everything you

1	just said.
2	MR. MOORE: Okay.
3	MR. ROBERTI: Start with the Agenda Item
4	Recommendation 2.
5	MR. MOORE: Two. The Committee recommends
6	accepting the staff's recommendation.
7	MR. ROBERTI: Well, say the whole thing. I
8	make a motion
9	MR. MOORE: I make a motion
10	MR. ROBERTI: Okay.
11	MR. MOORE: this is Member Moore. I make a
12	motion that the Committee accept staff recommendation in
13	relation to Item No. 2, with the exception that Item No.
14	(b) and Item No. (d) require a positive opt-in from the
15	consumer.
16	MS. AUCOIN: And let me add one thing as well.
17	Since the Aline, for the record since our
18	recommendation only addressed advertising, if you're
19	intending your motion to also say that the customer
20	automatically gets okay.
21	Let's try it again. You're right. You're
22	right.
23	MR. MOORE: Is everybody clear on that?
24	MR. ROBERTI: All right. So I'm going to
25	repeat what you just said

1	MR. MOORE: Okay.
2	MR. ROBERTI: just to make to sure that
3	MR. MOORE: Okay.
4	MR. ROBERTI: the court reporter knows
5	exactly what we just put on there. So correct me if I'm
6	wrong.
7	MR. MOORE: Okay.
8	MR. ROBERTI: Motion to approve Item Agenda
9	Item 2(c), Recommendation No. 2
10	MR. MOORE: Uh-huh.
11	MR. ROBERTI: that Subsection (b) and (d)
12	requires a customer opt-in. Am I good so far? And then
13	to recommend the rest of the recommendation
14	MR. MOORE: Be accepted.
15	MR. ROBERTI: be as recommended.
16	MR. MOORE: Yeah. As recommended. Yeah.
17	MR. ROBERTI: Is that correct?
18	MR. MOORE: Yes, sir. That's it.
19	MR. ROBERTI: Because the court reporter did
20	we get that? Okay?
21	THE REPORTER: Yes, sir.
22	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. All right. I have a
23	motion for Recommendation No. 2 on Agenda Item 2(c). Do I
24	have a second?
25	MR. SMITH: Member Smith seconds it.

MR. ROBERTI: I have a second by Member Smith. 1 2 All in favor, say aye. 3 (A chorus of ayes.) 4 MR. ROBERTI: Any opposed? Any opposed? 5 (No response.) 6 MR. ROBERTI: Motion passes, unanimously. 7 we good on that one? Okay. Before we move on. All 8 Agenda Item 2(c), once again. We've got 9 Recommendation No. 3 regarding the GPS technology. Do I have a motion on that? 10 That also had some members concerned about an 11 opt-in for that one, as well. Do we want to put some 12 13 wording into the motion? Do we want to put in an opt-in 14 for an authorization, customer authorization to disclose 15 personal information and GPS data and marketing? 16 MR. MOORE: Absolutely. MS. AUCOIN: And Aline, for the record. 17 this disclosure is just disclosure from the vendor. It is 18 19 not disclosure from DMV. I just wanted to make that clear. 20 21 MR. ROBERTI: Oh, okay. 22 MR. MOORE: Exactly. Yes, yes. 23 MS. AUCOIN: And also, as the Presiding 24 Officer, you are authorized to call a recess if you want 25 to give people a break, so they can think clearly about

this very important topic. It's up to you. 1 2 MR. ROBERTI: Does anybody want a break, or are 3 we clear? 4 (No response.) 5 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. All right. So is that a 6 motion you would like to make, Mr. Moore? 7 MR. MOORE: Yes. Member Moore. I would make a motion that the staff recommendations on three be 8 9 accepted. However, I'm not sure how we're going to put 10 this, but the GPS data collected must be an opt-in from 11 the consumer. MR. PASCHALL: I'd also like to -- Member 12 Paschall -- I'd also like to add that the manufacturer 13 14 disclose what data they're collecting prior to the opt-in. 15 MS. AUCOIN: So I'll be the parliamentarian. 16 Aline, for the record. 17 MR. ROBERTI: That -- okay. MS. AUCOIN: So at this time, Member Moore has 18 19 put forward -- he's making his motion. Your motion -- you 20 are allowed to accept Member Paschall's suggestion, if you 21 want to tack that onto your motion. If you don't, we need 22 to move forward with your motion, see if we get a second, 23 and vote on it. 24 MR. ROBERTI: We can make a contingent motion 25 if you'd like. If you want to make it separate, or if you

1	want to add it in.
2	MR. MOORE: Well, let's add it in. I'm fine
3	with that.
4	MR. ROBERTI: I know what you're talking about.
5	MR. MOORE: We'll just add that in.
6	MR. ROBERTI: A lesson on Robert's Rules of
7	Order here today. All right. So but let's see if I
8	can get the language for that, and then you can make a
9	motion.
10	MR. MOORE: Okay. Good.
11	MR. ROBERTI: A motion to approve Agenda Item
12	2(c), Recommendation No. 3, with the inclusion of the
13	language, to instruct the DMV agency to add language for
14	an opt-in from the vendor. Is that right?
15	MS. AUCOIN: From the vendor or the customer?
16	MR. ROBERTI: From the customer.
17	MR. MOORE: From the consumer, from the
18	consumer. Absolutely.
19	MR. ROBERTI: From the consumer for
20	authorization to disclose personal information, GPS data,
21	and marketing, and to also include
22	MR. PASCHALL: The vendor
23	MR. ROBERTI: how information is being used.
24	MR. PASCHALL: What's being collected and how
25	it's to be used.

1	MR. MOORE: Is that right?
2	MR. PASCHALL: Yes, yes.
3	MR. MOORE: Okay.
4	MR. ROBERTI: Let's take a five-minute break so
5	we can get that language down. How about that?
6	MR. MOORE: Okay.
7	MR. ROBERTI: Is that okay? I have a motion to
8	take a five-minute recess
9	MR. PASCHALL: I second.
10	MR. ROBERTI: do I have a motion?
11	MR. MOORE: Motion.
12	MR. ROBERTI: Motion by Member Moore. Second
13	by Member Paschall. All in favor?
14	(A chorus of ayes.)
15	MR. ROBERTI: Any opposed?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. ROBERTI: We're in recess for five minutes.
18	(Whereupon at 2:55 p.m., there was a short
19	recess.)
20	(3:02 p.m.)
21	MR. ROBERTI: We're going to reconvene here.
22	Do I have a motion to reconvene?
23	MR. SMITH: Motion.
24	MR. ROBERTI: Motion by Member Smith. Do I
25	have a second? Motion to reconvene. Do I have a second

1 to reconvene? 2 MR. PASCHALL: I'll second. 3 MR. ROBERTI: Motion by Member Paschall. All 4 in favor, say aye. 5 (A chorus of ayes.) 6 MR. ROBERTI: Any opposed? 7 FEMALE VOICE: Aye. MR. ROBERTI: All in favor, say aye, on the 8 9 phone. 10 (A chorus of ayes.) MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Any opposed? 11 12 (No response.) MR. ROBERTI: We are now back in session. All 13 14 So do I have a motion on Agenda Item 2(c), 15 Recommendation 3? MR. MOORE: Member Moore. I make a motion. 16 Ι 17 make a motion that the Administrative Code should be proposed to protect a person's personal information and 18 19 GPS data retrieved from a digital license plate. 20 vendor discloses to the customer the manner that the data will be used, a customer may allow for the disclosure of 21 22 personal information and GPS data by the digital license 23 plate vendor. 24 MR. ROBERTI: Okay, so I have a motion by 25 Member Moore. Do I have a second?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. PASCHALL: I second.
2	MR. ROBERTI: Second by Member Paschall. All
3	in favor, say aye.
4	(A chorus of ayes.)
5	MR. ROBERTI: Any opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. ROBERTI: Motion passes, unanimously. All
8	right. Next up, we have Agenda Item 2(d), future meeting
9	schedule. Is that do we need to go ahead.
10	MS. AUCOIN: So Aline, for record. We have
11	the only charge before this Advisory Committee at this
12	time were the Committee's recommendations on these three
13	items. So you all can certainly talk about a future
14	meeting schedule if there's any, I guess, procedural
15	issues you think you might want.
16	I mean, do you all think you need to elect any
17	other officers or discuss anything, such as how you're
18	going to there's not a whole lot that you need to talk
19	about, or maybe nothing at this point, but you could
20	certainly
21	MR. ROBERTI: Basically, what Ms. Aucoin is
22	saying is, we've covered so much today, and then we got a
23	lot done, that that might be it for the time being.
24	MS. AUCOIN: Correct, correct.
25	MR. KUNTZ: Unless there's any other items that

1	are not
2	MS. POLK: This is Member Polk.
3	MR. ROBERTI: Yes, Member Polk?
4	MS. POLK: How do we know when we have another
5	issue that needs to be addressed? Does someone contact
6	Robert, and he'll
7	MR. ROBERTI: Well, I think that's going to
8	be
9	MS. POLK: reach out or
10	MR. ROBERTI: our next agenda item,
11	potential future agenda items. We'll address that at
12	the
13	MS. POLK: Thank you.
14	MR. ROBERTI: next thing. But this is for
15	future meeting schedules.
16	MS. AUCOIN: So Aline, for the record. Just so
17	you know, if the Department requests any other actions
18	from this Advisory Committee, we will communicate that to
19	y'all through Kim Fox, or our other or any other
20	designated Department communicators, and we will
21	communicate it to all of you.
22	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Does anybody have any
23	questions on this? Comments?
24	(No response.)
25	MR. ROBERTI: We'll move on to Item 2(e),

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

potential future agenda items. So Member Polk, do you 1 2 have a question? 3 MS. POLK: I think she already answered it, but 4 thank you. 5 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Do you want to just go --6 just explain how that process works, as far as what's 7 going to come in front of us, future agenda items? 8 MS. AUCOIN: So --9 MR. ROBERTI: I feel better -- I put this on 10 the agenda, just for staff to have an outlet to talk about something that may potentially come in front of us. 11 MS. AUCOIN: So Aline Aucoin, for the record. 12 13 So I guess before we talk about any future potential 14 charges that our agency provides to this Advisory 15 Committee, the next action item will actually be this 16 Advisory Committee providing the recommendations to our 17 Board. Our timeline originally showed this Committee 18 19 making recommendations to our Board at our April Board 20 meeting. However, y'all finished faster than we thought, and our next Board meeting is tentatively scheduled in 21 22 February. So we can look at putting this as an agenda 23 item for our Board's February Board meeting, at which this 24 Committee's recommendation would be provided to our Board.

You all could provide it in different ways.

You all could compile the motions and the votes, which 1 2 communicates what recommendations y'all made. Someone 3 could even show up in person and verbally present the 4 written recommendation. 5 So I guess at this point, I recommend us going 6 back to 2(c) and opening it up, because the scope of that 7 agenda item for this meeting --8 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. So yeah. So --9 MS. AUCOIN: -- can contemplate the method. Like, are y'all going to have a person who is going to 10 show up in person? If y'all -- you need to talk about 11 that at this time. 12 13 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. So in other words, we got done quicker, to the point that we need to actually work 14 15 that out? 16 MS. AUCOIN: Correct. And --17 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. MS. AUCOIN: -- y'all could do it -- y'all 18 19 could even do it with just -- I guess, now your First Vice Chair --20 21 We have two people on MR. ROBERTI: Yeah. 22 this, on the job now. Okay. So let's reopen Item 2(c). 23 So we're done with our consider and act. So now that 24 we've basically covered the recommendations from Texas DMV

staff, just any -- it's just -- basically, let's just call

it a general discussion at this point to see how we want 1 2 to present this, if we want to do something in person or a 3 PowerPoint, or if anybody has any ideas on that? 4 So I'll just open the floor up. 5 MR. LUEDECKE: This is Member Luedecke. I'll 6 be happy to present it. I'm not doing a PowerPoint, 7 though. Would you be okay with just staff 8 MR. ROBERTI: 9 drawing up basically what we covered here today, maybe add 10 some of your own personal notes, if you'd like? And then cover that in person? 11 MR. LUEDECKE: That would be fine. 12 13 MR. ROBERTI: Okay, okay. 14 MR. LUEDECKE: And I probably would not add any 15 personal notes, because I'm not changing anything that we 16 haven't discussed in here. 17 MR. ROBERTI: Okay. That's fair enough. Second Vice Chair Smith, that's part of your duties now, 18 19 to help with that presentation. So yeah -- you and Member 20 Luedecke can work that out. Are we going to get a draft of that before we --21 22 MS. AUCOIN: So Aline, for the record. 23 could definitely make the transcription record available, 24 and you could read it, and you'd basically copy exactly

from the record what the motion was, and that would end up

1	being the recommendation, because that's what you as an
2	Advisory Committee as a whole voted on.
3	MR. ROBERTI: Okay.
4	MR. SMITH: That sounds great.
5	MR. ROBERTI: Is everybody good with that?
6	MR. LUEDECKE: Sounds good.
7	MR. ROBERTI: Any other final comments,
8	thoughts, on Item 2(c)?
9	(No response.)
10	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. We'll move on to Agenda
11	Item 3, public comment. We have one speaker, John Buxie.
12	Hello, Mr. Buxie.
13	MR. BUXIE: How are y'all today?
14	MR. ROBERTI: Good.
15	MR. BUXIE: I think the I was going to hit
16	on the law enforcement piece. I think I filled out the
17	wrong form there, but you know, we just from
18	Representative Paddie's office, just echo DPS's comments.
19	Those were comments we heard from law enforcement across
20	the state in doing the legislation was they had a lot
21	of concerns about two plates out there.
22	But that was really it, so I just thank you
23	guys for your service and for swift action here. Just
24	hope everybody has a very Merry Christmas.
25	MR. ROBERTI: Okay. Great. Thanks. Merry

1	Christmas to you, too. Is anyone else here to speak for
2	or against an item not on the agenda? Anyone want to re-
3	address any agenda item while we're still here?
4	(No response.)
5	MR. ROBERTI: If that's it, we've got one thing
6	left. Do I have a motion to adjourn?
7	MR. MOORE: Motion to adjourn.
8	MR. ROBERTI: Motion by Member Moore. Do I
9	have a second?
10	MR. SMITH: I'll second that. Smith.
11	MR. ROBERTI: Second by Member Smith. All in
12	favor, say aye.
13	(A chorus of ayes.)
14	MR. ROBERTI: Any opposed, and want to keep
15	this meeting going?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. ROBERTI: Motion passes, unanimously. We
18	are adjourned. It is
19	MR. NINO: Happy holidays, everybody.
20	MR. ROBERTI: 3:10.
21	(Whereupon, at 3:10 p.m., the meeting was

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 MEETING OF: TxDMV Vehicle Titles and Registration Advisory Committee 4 5 LOCATION: Austin, Texas 6 DATE: December 19, 2019 7 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 8 numbers 1 through 104, inclusive, are the true, accurate, 9 and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the 10 Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. 11 12 DATE: December 26, 2019 13 14 15 16 17 18 /s/ Adrienne Evans-Stark 19 (Transcriber) 20 21 On the Record Reporting & 22 Transcription, Inc. 23 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., Ste 515 24 Austin, Texas 78752